

The dopaminergic reward system and leisure time exercise behavior: A candidate allele study



Charlotte Huppertz^{1,2}, Meike Bartels^{1,2,3}, Maria M. Groen-Blokhuis¹,

Conor V. Dolan¹, Marleen H.M. de Moor¹, Abdel Abdellaoui^{1,3}, Catharina E.M. van Beijsterveldt¹, Erik A. Ehli⁴, Jouke-Jan Hottenga¹, Gonneke Willemsen^{1,2}, Xiangjun Xiao⁵, Paul Scheet⁶, Gareth E. Davies⁴, Dorret I. Boomsma^{1,2,3}, James J. Hudziak⁷, Eco J.C. de Geus^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Biological Psychology, VU University Amsterdam; ²EMGO+ Institute for Health and Care Research, VU University Medical Center; ³Neuroscience Campus Amsterdam, VU University Medical Center; ⁴Avera Institute for Human Genetics, Avera McKennan Hospital and University Health Center, South Dakota, USA; ⁵Department of Community and Family Medicine, Geisel School of Medicine, Dartmouth College, New Hampshire, USA; ⁶Department of Epidemiology, University of Texas M.D., Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Epidemiology, Texas, USA; ⁷Department of Psychiatry, Medicine, and Pediatrics, Vermont Center for Children, Youth and Families, College of Medicine, University of Vermont, Vermont, USA



Nederlands
Tweelingen
Register



METHODS

Data on exercise activities and at least one SNP/VNTR were available for 9,045 individuals aged 7 to 50 years old that are registered with the Netherlands Twin Register.

Exercise behavior was quantified as weekly metabolic equivalents of task (MET) hours spent on exercise activities. Mixed models were fitted in SPSS, with the following fixed effects: sex, age, sex by age interaction, and the respective SNP/VNTR. We corrected for genetic relatedness between family members by including a latent genetic factor as random effect.

INTRODUCTION

Despite its benefits, regular leisure-time exercise behavior drops from childhood to adolescence and reaches unacceptable low proportions in adulthood, with the majority of people in the United States and Europe not engaging in regular exercise at the recommended level. Twin studies provide evidence that genetic influences contribute strongly to individual differences in leisure-time exercise behavior.

We hypothesize that part of this heritability is explained by genetic variation in the dopaminergic reward pathway that has, in animal models, been implicated in the adoption and maintenance of exercise activities due to its role in movement and reward responsiveness. A genetic association with exercise behavior was investigated for eight single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and three variable number of tandem repeats (VNTRs) with a functional effect on the dopaminergic reward system expected to increase sensitivity to rewarding effects of exercise (see Table 1).

Gene	Allelic variant (increaser/decreaser)	N	Age (SD)	Mean and SD of weekly MET hours spent on leisure time exercise activities		
				Increaser allele homozygote	Heterozygote	Decreaser allele homozygote
DRD1	rs265981 (G/A)	7873	33.3 (12.1)	12.5 (17.5)	12.4 (18.2)	12.6 (18.2)
DRD2	rs6275 (A/G)	7734	33.2 (12.1)	13.4 (19.4)	12.4 (17.5)	12.4 (18.1)
	rs1800497 (A/G)	8756	32.5 (12.3)	14.5 (20.2)	13.2 (18.6)	12.9 (18.3)
DRD3	rs6280 (T/C)	7734	33.2 (12.1)	12.4 (17.2)	12.7 (18.7)	12.3 (18.3)
DRD4	rs1800955 (T/C)	2152	23.9 (11.3)	17.3 (22.5)	18.0 (22.3)	18.1 (21.3)
	7 allele/others	2476	23.3 (11.0)	15.8 (17.9)	19.7 (23.2)	18.3 (22.7)
DRD5	others/148 allele	2480	23.3 (11.0)	17.6 (23.0)	19.2 (22.3)	18.3 (23.0)
DBH	rs1611115 (T/C)	3140	24.4 (11.2)	15.9 (19.3)	18.2 (23.1)	18.0 (21.9)
	rs2519152 (T/C)	8139	32.8 (12.3)	13.5 (20.1)	12.6 (17.8)	12.5 (16.9)
DAT1	others/480 allele	2464	23.3 (11.0)	19.2 (21.1)	18.2 (20.8)	18.9 (24.2)
COMT	rs4680 (G/A)	8755	32.5 (12.3)	13.8 (18.6)	13.2 (18.7)	12.4 (18.0)

Table 1: Weekly MET hours (SD) for the three allelic combinations of each SNP/VNTR separately.

RESULTS

None of the SNPs/VNTRs were associated with exercise behavior ($p > .02$), despite sufficient power to detect even small effects.

CONCLUSION

We found no significant association between allelic variants involved in dopaminergic function and leisure time exercise behavior. Possible reasons for this include the fact that exercise behavior is a complex phenotype that is influenced by many genetic variants with very small effects, which may still include variants in the genes studied here. A plea is made for large genome-wide association studies to unravel the genetic pathways that affect exercise behavior in order to help personalize the strategies to increase this health-enhancing activity.