



CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ROOM 522 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION • 500 W. TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
TELEPHONE (213) 974-2411 • FAX (213) 974-2534

Civil Service Commission Meeting – February 5, 2025

Withdrawals Received

5. *Petition of **Ricardo Martinez** for a hearing on the fifteen (15) day **suspension**, effective March 11, 2024, from the position of Eligibility Supervisor, Department of Public Social Services, **Case No. 24-58**.*

Communication received withdrawing the petition based on a settlement. Request to cancel hearing pending. RECEIVE AND FILE – CANCEL HEARING.

Consent Calendar

7. *Petition of **Melanie Lorenzo** for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective October 18, 2024, from the position of Senior Typist-Clerk, Department of Health Services, Correctional Facility Services, **Case No. 24-199**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of October 17, 2024, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?
8. *Petition of **Estela Guerrero** for a hearing on the **suspension** not to exceed thirty (30) days following judgment of a criminal matter, effective May 27, 2024, from the position of Senior Community Health Worker, Department of Health Services, South Valley Comprehensive Health Center, **Case No. 24-162**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*
- Is there a sufficient nexus between the criminal charges filed against the Petitioner and the duties of Petitioner's position to support imposition of the non-disciplinary suspension?
 - Did the Department have a valid interest at the time of the suspension to suspend the Petitioner due to the filing of the criminal charges?
 - Did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any or all of the allegations of misconduct that formed the basis of the criminal charges are true?
 - If the Department did not meet its burden for questions 1 through 3, the suspension cannot be deemed appropriate.
 - If the Department met its burden for questions 1 through 3, did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the length of the Rule 18.01 suspension was appropriate?
 - If not, what is the appropriate remedy?

23. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective October 16, 2024, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 24-196**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of October 16, 2024, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?
24. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective October 9, 2024, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 24-197**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of October 9, 2024, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?
25. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the six (6) day **suspension**, effective November 4, 2024, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 24-198**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of October 23, 2024, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?

Proposed Decisions

1. *Petition of **David Ortega** for a hearing on his **discharge**, effective June 30, 2022, from the position of Custody Assistant, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 22-140**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Angela Shaw).

13. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on her fifteen (15) day **suspension**, effective January 10, 2022, from the position of Deputy Probation Officer I, Probation Department, **Case No. 22-130**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Sylvia Marks-Barnett).

14. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective October 6, 2023, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 23-141**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Craig Renetzky).

15. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on his seven (7) day **suspension**, effective November 13, 2019, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 20-14**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Brent Rosenbaum).