



CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ROOM 522 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION • 500 W. TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
TELEPHONE (213) 974-2411 • FAX (213) 974-2534

Civil Service Commission Meeting – January 8, 2025

Withdrawals Received

4. *Petition of **Adrienne Gates** for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective September 15, 2023, from the position of Eligibility Supervisor, Department of Public Social Services, **Case No. 23-144**.*

Communication received withdrawing the petition. Request to cancel hearing pending.
RECEIVE AND FILE – CANCEL HEARING.

5. *Petition of **Louis Kwong** for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective February 28, 2024, from the position of Chief Physician I, Department of Health Services, Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, **Case No. 24-56**.*

Communication received withdrawing the petition based on a settlement. Request to cancel hearing pending. RECEIVE AND FILE – CANCEL HEARING.

Request for Continuance

24. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the **reduction**, effective September 12, 2024, from the position of Sergeant to Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 24-183**. CONTINUE AT THE REQUEST OF ALI FATHI, ATTORNEY FOR THE DEPARTMENT, IN ORDER TO FILE A RESPONSE.*

Consent Calendar

6. *Petition of **Vahe Bejanyan** for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective July 24, 2024, from the position of GAIN Services Worker, Department of Public Social Services, **Case No. 24-155**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of July 24, 2024, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?
9. *Petition of **Edvid Nersessian** for a hearing on the ten (10) day **suspension**, effective September 2, 2024, from the position of Senior Network Systems Administrator, Office of the Assessor, **Case No. 24-180**. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:*
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of August 29, 2024, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?

10. *Petition of Alis Boiakhtchian for a hearing on the ten (10) day **suspension**, effective August 28, 2024, from the position of Child Support Specialist II, Child Support Services Department, **Case No. 24-182**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department’s letter of August 27, 2024, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?
23. *Petitioner’s request for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective September 9, 2024, from the position of Detention Services Officer, Probation Department, **Case No. 24-181**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department’s letter of September 4, 2024, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?

Recertification of Issues

25. *Petitioner’s request for a hearing on his **suspension** not to exceed thirty (30) days following judgment of a criminal matter, effective March 5, 2021, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff’s Department, **Case No. 21-89**.* DEFINE ISSUES:
- Is there a sufficient nexus between the criminal charges filed against the Petitioner and the duties of Petitioner’s position to support imposition of the non-disciplinary suspension?
 - Did the Department have a valid interest at the time of the suspension to suspend the Petitioner due to the filing of the criminal charges?
 - Did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any or all of the allegations of misconduct that formed the basis of the criminal charges are true?
 - If the Department did not meet its burden for questions 1 through 3, the suspension cannot be deemed appropriate.
 - If the Department met its burden for questions 1 through 3, did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the length of the Rule 18.01 suspension was appropriate?
 - If not, what is the appropriate remedy?
27. *Petitioner’s request for a hearing on his **suspension** not to exceed thirty (30) days following judgment of a criminal matter, effective June 23, 2021, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff’s Department, **Case No. 21-141**.* DEFINE ISSUES:
- Is there a sufficient nexus between the criminal charges filed against the Petitioner and the duties of Petitioner’s position to support imposition of the non-disciplinary suspension?
 - Did the Department have a valid interest at the time of the suspension to suspend the Petitioner due to the filing of the criminal charges?
 - Did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any or all of the allegations of misconduct that formed the basis of the criminal charges are true?
 - If the Department did not meet its burden for questions 1 through 3, the suspension cannot be deemed appropriate.
 - If the Department met its burden for questions 1 through 3, did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the length of the Rule 18.01 suspension was appropriate?
 - If not, what is the appropriate remedy?

Proposed Decisions

1. *Petition of **Sharon Brown** for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective November 7, 2023, from the position of Phlebotomy Technician I, Department of Health Services, Los Angeles General Medical Center, **Case No. 23-170.***

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Sheri Ross).

2. *Petition of **Tanisha Floyd** for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective February 21, 2023, from the position of Intermediate Clerk, Department of Health Services, LAC+USC Medical Center, **Case No. 23-41.***

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Ross Cerny).

13. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the fifteen (15) day **suspension**, effective January 23, 2023, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 23-42.***

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Angela Shaw).