



CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

ROOM 522 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION • 500 W. TEMPLE STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
TELEPHONE (213) 974-2411 • FAX (213) 974-2534

Civil Service Commission Meeting – July 9, 2025

Withdrawals Received

5. *Petition of **Les Griffin** for a hearing on the nine (9) day **suspension**, effective May 8, 2024, from the position of Fire Captain, Fire Department, **Case No. 24-82**.*

Communication received withdrawing the petition based on a settlement. Request to cancel hearing pending. RECEIVE AND FILE – CANCEL HEARING.

6. *Petition of **Sladjana Markovic** for a hearing on her **discharge**, effective August 26, 2022, from the position of Administrative Services Manager 13, Internal Services Department, **Case No. 22-162**.*

Communication received withdrawing the petition based on a settlement. Request to cancel hearing pending. RECEIVE AND FILE COMMUNICATION WITHDRAWING THE PETITION.

Consent Calendar

10. *Petition of **Garik Grigorian** for a hearing on the ten (10) day **suspension**, effective April 7, 2025, from the position of Eligibility Supervisor, Department of Public Social Services, **Case No. 25-85**.*
. GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of March 28, 2025, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?
11. *Petition of **Ricardo Pryor** for a hearing on the fifteen (15) day **suspension**, effective April 7, 2025, from the position of Senior Information Technology Technical Support Analyst, Department of Children and Family Services, **Case No. 25-88**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of March 25, 2025, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?
22. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the fifteen (15) day **suspension**, effective April 21, 2025, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 25-87**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of April 8, 2025, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?

23. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the seven (7) day **suspension**, effective April 28, 2025, from the position of Deputy Sheriff, Sheriff's Department, **Case No. 25-90**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Are the allegations contained in the Department's letter of April 23, 2025, true?
 - If any or all are true, is the discipline appropriate?
24. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the **suspension** not to exceed thirty (30) days following judgement of a criminal matter, effective March 3, 2025, from the position of Detention Services Officer, Probation Department, **Case No. 25-84**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Is there a sufficient nexus between the criminal charges filed against the Petitioner and the duties of Petitioner's position to support imposition of the non-disciplinary suspension?
 - Did the Department have a valid interest at the time of the suspension to suspend the Petitioner due to the filing of the criminal charges?
 - Did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any or all of the allegations of misconduct that formed the basis of the criminal charges are true?
 - If the Department did not meet its burden for questions 1 through 3, the suspension cannot be deemed appropriate.
 - If the Department met its burden for questions 1 through 3, did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the length of the Rule 18.01 suspension was appropriate?
 - If not, what is the appropriate remedy?
25. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the **suspension** not to exceed thirty (30) days following judgement of a criminal matter, effective March 3, 2025, from the position of Detention Services Officer, Probation Department, **Case No. 25-83**.* GRANT HEARING – ASSIGN HEARING OFFICER – DEFINE ISSUES:
- Is there a sufficient nexus between the criminal charges filed against the Petitioner and the duties of Petitioner's position to support imposition of the non-disciplinary suspension?
 - Did the Department have a valid interest at the time of the suspension to suspend the Petitioner due to the filing of the criminal charges?
 - Did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that any or all of the allegations of misconduct that formed the basis of the criminal charges are true?
 - If the Department did not meet its burden for questions 1 through 3, the suspension cannot be deemed appropriate.
 - If the Department met its burden for questions 1 through 3, did the Department prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the length of the Rule 18.01 suspension was appropriate?
 - If not, what is the appropriate remedy?

Proposed Decisions

1. *Petition of **Amy Allen** for a hearing on her **discharge**, effective July 28, 2022, from the position of Mental Health Clinician II, Department of Mental Health, **Case No. 22-146**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: H. Stuart Waxman).

2. *Petition of **Kellie Pimienta** for a hearing on her **discharge**, effective October 22, 2021, from the position of Children's Social Worker III, Department of Children and Family Services, **Case No. 22-31**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Robert Cuen).

3. *Petition of **Tammy Somers** for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective December 12, 2023, from the position of Intermediate Typist Clerk, Department of Animal Care and Control, **Case No. 23-183**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Brent Rosenbaum).

13. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the **discharge**, effective August 14, 2023, from the position of Senior Detention Services Officer, Probation Department, **Case No. 23-128**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Sylvia Marks-Barnett).

14. *Petitioner's request for a hearing on the fifteen (15) day **suspension**, effective March 18, 2024, from the position of Group Supervisor Nights, Probation Department, **Case No. 24-63**.*

Proposed decision to be rendered (Hearing Officer: Richard Terzian).