

genomics
with



care

MINDING THE DOUBLE BINDS OF SCIENCE • MIKE FORTUN

genomics with care

BUY

geno with

EXPERIMENTAL FUTURES:
TECHNOLOGICAL LIVES,
SCIENTIFIC ARTS,
ANTHROPOLOGICAL VOICES

A series edited by Michael M. J. Fischer and Joseph Dumit



UNIVERSITY
PRESS

•
mics

MINDING THE DOUBLE BINDS
OF SCIENCE • MIKE FORTUN

care

DUKE

UNIVERSITY
PRESS

Duke University Press Durham and London 2023

© 2023 DUKE UNIVERSITY PRESS All rights reserved
Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper ∞
Designed by Aimee C. Harrison
Typeset in Portrait Text and Bitter
by Westchester Publishing Services

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Fortun, Michael, author.

Title: Genomics with care : minding the double binds of science /
Mike Fortun.

Other titles: Experimental futures.

Description: Durham : Duke University Press, 2023. | Series:

Experimental futures | Includes bibliographical references and index.

Identifiers: LCCN 2022044510 (print)

LCCN 2022044511 (ebook)

ISBN 9781478020400 (paperback)

ISBN 9781478017233 (hardcover)

ISBN 9781478024521 (ebook)

Subjects: LCSH: Genomics—Research. | Ethnology—Methodology. |

Feminist anthropology. | Feminist theory. | Science—Social aspects. |

BISAC: SOCIAL SCIENCE / Anthropology / Cultural & Social |

SCIENCE / Philosophy & Social Aspects.

Classification: LCC GN345 .F68 2023 (print) | LCC GN345 (ebook) |

DDC 572.8/6072—dc23/eng/20230213

LC record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2022044510>

LC ebook record available at <https://lcn.loc.gov/2022044511>

Cover art: Nathalie Miebach, *Twilight, Tides and Whales*, 2006.

Reed, wood, data, 30 × 18 × 20 inches. Image courtesy of the artist.

DUKE

UNIVERSITY
PRESS

CONTENTS

vii • Acknowledgments

i • POEM-LIKE *TOLLS* 1 • A Prelude

part i. genomics, double binds, affects

13 • 1 • Fors

42 • 2 • Labyrinth Life. Affect Excess Infrastructure

80 • 3 • Double Binds of Science

103 • POEM-LIKE *TOLLS* 2 • An Interlude

part ii. minding the infrastructures of genomics

111 • 4 • Curation. Of Data's Limit

141 • 5 • Scrupulousness. Of Experiment's Limit

183 • 6 • Solicitude. Of Science's Limit

221 • 7 • Friendship. Of Community's Limit

253 • POEM-LIKE *TOLLS* 3 • An Appendix

259 • Postscript

277 • Notes

311 • Works Cited

337 • Index

DUKE

UNIVERSITY
PRESS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

That this book exists as a material, mostly coherent thing so many, many years after some of its passages first took shape is evidence of the care bestowed on me for longer than I can remember, from more people than I have remembered to mention here. I'll begin by thanking those first and most memorable caregivers whose fading memory traces only serve to further impress on me their formative, even definitive, force: my dad and mom, Raymond and Alice Fortun, for teaching me to practice the best kind of catholicism, "living according to the whole." I also owe large parts of myself to my brother, David, another public school teacher like our father, and my sisters, Beth and Mindy. I also want to thank my teachers, colleagues, and friends Herbert J. Bernstein and Marcus Raskin, for far too much to recount but, above all, for being my first models of *Menschlichkeit*; the first to have me read Foucault (Herb) and Adorno (Marc) in my undergraduate transition from physics student to historian and philosopher; and the conveners of the conferences on "reconstructive knowledge" in the early 1980s at Hampshire College and the Blue Mountain Center. I think of myself as being hammered into some shape I still maintain at those conferences by the likes of Stanley Goldberg, Doug Ireland, Michael Thelwell, and especially Barbara Ehrenreich and Evelyn Fox Keller, those early feminist analysts of science whose writing talents and styles were an inspiration and models I wanted to work toward. The reconstructive knowledge project also introduced me to Everett Mendelsohn, another formative teacher who first opened and then protected the sliver of space between history and anthropology of sciences for me in graduate school, an opening that Joan Fujimura ushered me through when

DURHAM

UNIVERSITY
PRESS

she came to Harvard in 1988 (along with her husband, Kjell Doksum, the sweetest statistician who ever lived, until his passing in 2021). I am grateful as well to Ken Alder, Mark Barrow, Evelyn Hammonds, and Skúli Siggurdsson, my fellow graduate students there whose care and friendship left an enduring mark.

Although I was only briefly in an actual classroom with Michael Fischer, he along with George Marcus and Sharon Traweek gave me reason through their teaching and writing to want to call myself an anthropologist. It was in his social theory seminar that he held in his first year at MIT that Mike introduced me, through her writing, to Kim. Also present was Rich Doyle, who taught me deconstruction and friendship, the two main drivers of this book. Joan Scott helped me to be comfortable wearing my deconstructive tendencies on my proverbial sleeve, as I do here; I am most grateful to her and to Sylvia Schaefer, who also became a friend in the year we were privileged to share at the Institute for Advanced Study. That year was also when I met Elizabeth Wilson, who has since taught me my most valued lessons in deconstruction, feminist theory, and, above all, friendship; she has been the most valued and generous of readers for my entire career, but never more so than for this book.

Thanks to Lori Hoepner, Jeffrey Thomas, and many other unnamed interlocutors for teaching me about the pleasures, anxieties, and the less directly affective dimensions of research in the life sciences. Thanks to Laura Caghan, Nancy Campbell, Ken Ficerai, Chris Findlay, Kathy High, David Max, and Ned Woodhouse for keeping me well and feeling cared for, and to Vivian Choi, Stefan Helmreich, Jason Jackson, Chris Kelty, Hannah Landecker, Joseph Masco, Heather Paxson, and Kaushik Sunder Rajan for the kind of friendship that's made me less stupid even at a distance. I am grateful to my friends and coworkers on the PECE Design Team, who were also my teachers as graduate students at Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute and at UCI: Lindsay Poirier, Brian Callahan, Ali Kenner, Aalok Khandekar, Alli Morgan, Angela Okune, Tim Schütz, James Adams, and Perna Srigyan; thanks as well to Renato Vasconcellos Gomes, Lina Franken, Ariel Hernandez, and Nadine Tanio for being part of PECE and part of my life while writing this book. Other former and current graduate students at RPI and UCI to whom I am grateful are Jon Cluck, Katie Cox, Charlie Curtis, Pedro de la Torre, Thomas De Pree, Sean Ferguson, Gina Hakim, Rodolfo Hernandez, Scott Kellogg, Sean Lawson, Neak Loucks, Richard Salazar Medina, Alberto Morales, Adan Martinez Ordaz, Kaitlyn Rabach, and Guy Schafer. I am grateful also to Simon Cole, Tom Douglas, Paul Dourish, and Gaby Schwab, colleagues at UCI who have

shown me nothing but kindness and support. Fran Berman, Peter Fox, Jim Hendler, Deborah McGuinness, and Mark Parsons welcomed me and PECE colleagues into the Research Data Alliance, where I learned to ask about what data needed, and how the exciting boring work of building boring exciting infrastructure was a call to be picked up. And lastly, to Angela our departed cat and Anubis our recently arrived, constant companions who sat with me for the years I sat with this.

Through everything, for everything, my everything: Lena, Kora, and Kim. Kora and Lena never cease to astound me and fill me with the love they give. Being with them as they grew into adults alongside this book gave me the necessary hope and determination to see it through. Kim's gifts to me over nearly thirty years are enormous and innumerable; her gift of Lena and Kora tops the infinite list. This book is only mine because of all of us, and I give it back here to the three of them.

DUKE

UNIVERSITY
PRESS

POEM-LIKE *TOLLS* 1 ·

a prelude

Establishment of Dorsal-Ventral Polarity in
the *Drosophila* Embryo: Genetic Studies on the
Role of the *Toll* Gene Product

KATHRYN V. ANDERSON,

GERD JÜRGENS, AND CHRISTIANE NÜSSLEIN-VOLHARD

In the course of a number
of mutant screens
in which isogenic lines were established

six totally penetrant
dominant maternal effect
mutations were identified and recovered

(see *Experimental Procedures*).

Females heterozygous
for each of the mutations
produce embryos that develop and differentiate
cuticles of characteristically
mutant pattern.

DUKE

UNIVERSITY
PRESS

Four of these
dominant maternal effect
mutations share a common embryonic phenotype,
the *Toll* phenotype. The
cuticle pattern
of *Toll^D* embryos differs strikingly from the
wild-type pattern.

Instead of the characteristic array of
denticle bands ventrally
and fine hairs dorsally,
Toll^D embryos have rings or patches of
ventricle denticles
along the entire dorsal-ventral circumference and
lack dorsal hairs altogether.
Other structures normally
derived from dorsal and dorsolateral
anlagen are also missing:
filzkörper, spiracles,
head sensory organs
and the head skeleton are
all absent.

Early
in the development of *Toll^D* embryos,
the pattern
of morphogenetic movements
at gastrulation also
shows a loss of dorsal,
and expansion of ventral,
pattern elements.

Several observations suggest
that there is a direct interaction
between the copies of the *Toll* gene product.
Two of the four dominant
alleles, *Tl^B* and *Tl^{B4C}*,
behave like amorphic alleles when placed in
trans to a deficiency.

The products of these alleles are thus inactive on their own, yet in combination with the wild-type product produce an abnormal activity.

Two classes of models could explain the specific interactions seen between *Toll* alleles. One model is that the *Toll* protein product is present as a dimer or multimer whose activity depends on interactions between subunits. An alternative model is that the active *Toll* product autocatalytically promotes the further activation of other copies of the *Toll* product.

The autocatalytic mechanism is attractive . . .

However, the data currently available do not allow us to distinguish between these two classes of models.

The system that establishes dorsal-ventral positional information in the embryo requires the action of nine maternal effect dorsal-group genes in addition to *Toll*.

In the absence of any one of these components, all cells differentiate according to a dorsal ground state. The simple model in which each of these genes controls one step in a linear biochemical pathway leading to the production of a ventralizing

morphogen is ruled out
by the double mutations of the recessive alleles of
other dorsal-group genes with *Tl^{9Q}*
since in the presence of *Tl^{9Q}*
ventrolaterally derived structures can be produced
in the absence of
*gastrulation-defective**, *nudel**, *pipe**, *snake*, or *easter**.

The working model we find
most attractive
is diagrammed in Figure 6 . . .
Both the active form
of the *Toll* product and the products
of the other dorsalizing genes
(*gd**, *ndl**, *pip**, *snk**, *ea**)
are required
in a way that we do not yet understand . . .

A Family of Human Receptors Structurally Related to *Drosophila Toll*

FERNANDO L. ROCK, GARY HARDIMAN,

JACKIE C. TIMANS, ROBERT A. KASTELEIN, AND J. FERNANDO BAZAN

The seeds of the
morphogenetic gulf
that so dramatically separates
flies from humans
are planted
in familiar embryonic
shapes and patterns
but
give rise to very different
cell complexities.

This divergence
of developmental plans between
insects and vertebrates

is choreographed
by remarkably similar signaling pathways,
underscoring
a greater conservation of protein networks and
biochemical mechanisms from unequal
gene repertoires.

A universally critical
step in embryonic development is the
specification of body axes, either born
from innate asymmetries or triggered
by external cues.

We describe the cloning
and molecular characterization of five
Toll-like molecules in humans
—named TLRs 1–5—
that reveal a receptor family more
closely tied
to *Drosophila Toll* homologs than to vertebrate
IL-IRs. Spurred
by other efforts, we are assembling,
by structural conservation and molecular parsimony,
a biological system in humans that is
the counterpart of a compelling
regulatory scheme
in *Drosophila*

This signaling pathway centers on *Toll*, a
transmembrane receptor that transduces
the binding of a maternally secreted ventral
factor, Spätzle,
into the cytoplasmic engagement of
Tube, an accessory
molecule, and the activation of
Pelle, a Ser/Thr
kinase that catalyzes the
dissociation of Dorsal
from the inhibitor

D U K E

UNIVERSITY
PRESS

Cactus and
allows migration of
Dorsal to ventral
nuclei.

The *Toll*
pathway also controls
the induction of potent antimicrobial
factors in the adult fly; this role
in *Drosophila* immune
defense strengthens mechanistic parallels
to interleukin pathways
that govern a host of immune and
inflammatory responses in
vertebrates.

A *Toll*-
related cytoplasmic domain directs the
binding of a Pelle-like
kinase, IRAK, and the
activation of a latent
NF- κ B complex that
mirrors the embrace
of Dorsal and Cactus.

Components of an Evolutionarily Ancient Regulatory System.

The evolutionary link
between insect and vertebrate immune systems is
stamped in DNA:
genes encoding antimicrobial factors in insects
display upstream motifs
similar to acute-phase response
elements known to bind NF- κ B transcription factors in mammals.

Dorsal and two Dorsal-
related factors,
Dif and Relish,
help induce these defense proteins after

bacterial challenge; *Toll* or other TLRs probably
modulate these rapid immune responses
in adult *Drosophila*.

These mechanistic parallels
to the IL-1 inflammatory response in vertebrates
are evidence
of the functional versatility
of the *Toll* signaling pathway
and suggest an ancient synergy
between embryonic patterning
and innate immunity

perhaps the distinct
cellular contexts
of compact embryos and
gangly adults simply result
in familiar signaling pathways and their
diffusible triggers having
different biological outcomes at
different times

Human TLRs and IL-1Rs in Host Defense: Natural Insights from Evolutionary, Epidemiological, and Clinical Genetics

JEAN-LAURENT CASANOVA, LAURENT ABEL, AND LLUIS QUINTANA-MURCI

The immunological saga
of *Toll*-like receptors (TLRs) began with the
seminal discovery
in 1981 that antimicrobial peptides are a key
mechanism of innate host defense
in insects.

This was followed by
the observation in 1991 that the fruit fly
Drosophila melanogaster *Toll*

and mammalian interleukin-1 receptor have an intracellular domain in common. These studies paved the way for elucidation of the role of *Toll* in controlling the synthesis of some of these peptides in *Drosophila*.

These discoveries soon led to the identification of a human TLR, followed by the discovery of a function for TLRs with the demonstration that lipopolysaccharide (LPS) responses were abolished in mice with spontaneous TLR4 mutations.

The similarities between the *Toll* and TLR signaling pathways in invertebrates and vertebrates were initially interpreted as evidence of a common ancestry for these defense mechanisms and subsequently of convergent evolution, emphasizing their evolutionary importance.

The 15 years or so following these findings have witnessed a substantial rise in interest in the role of *Toll* in *Drosophila* immunity, of TLRs in mouse host defense, and even of TLRs in diverse other animal species.

Indeed, interest in TLRs has been such that just about any immunological phenomenon imaginable—

ranging from host defense and tumor immunity to allergy and autoimmunity—has been examined from a TLR perspective.

This phenomenon has even extended to processes only remotely connected with immunity, such as atherosclerosis and degenerative diseases, and has also stimulated research into the role of human TLRs in the pathogenesis of most, if not all, human diseases.

Various schools of immunological thought have conferred different names on pathogen receptors, including pathogen associated molecular pattern (PAMP) recognition receptors, pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs), innate immune sensors, and microbial sensors. Whatever the terminology used, the underlying idea is that TLRs detect a wide range of microorganisms, discriminating between these microbes and distinguishing them from self on the basis of their type, through the detection of specific, conserved microbial patterns, molecular patterns, or molecules.

Does this commonly expressed view of TLRs and IL-IRs reflect the biological reality?

Like most immunological knowledge, it is based mostly on experiments conducted in the mouse model.

However rigorous, accurate, and thorough such experiments are, can experimental

findings in mice really provide a faithful and reliable representation of host defense and protective immunity in other species, in their natural setting?

There are differences between species, including several identified differences between humans and mice, and immunological generalizations from a single species may be perilous.

DUKE