Elanco ESG Key Performance Indicators

Updated August 2024

ENVIRONMENTAL

Electricity and Carbon Management

2019-2021 environmental metrics (greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, energy, electricity, water and waste) are attributable to Elanco's worldwide locations with manufacturing and R&D operations. 2022 environmental metrics reflect enhancements in data availability and the expansion of our reporting boundary to reflect full operational control.

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)	180,901	117,125*	102,169*	146,978**	145,021**
Biogenic emissions from fermentation (metric tons CO ₂ e)**				34,517	32,771
Scope 2 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e) (location-based calculation method)	342,892	216,906	190,355	208,313	197,833
Scope 1 and 2 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e) (location-based calculation method)	523,793	334,031	292,524	355,292	342,854
Scope 1 and 2 emissions intensity (metric tons $CO_2e/\$1$ million revenue) (location-based calculation method)	170.6	102.1	61.4	80.5	77.6
Scope 2 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e) (market-based calculation method)	354,381	316,805	276,131	299,050	285,188
Scope 1 and 2 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e) (market-based calculation method)	535,282	433,930	378,300	446,028	430,209
Scope 1 and 2 emissions intensity (metric tons CO_2e /\$1 million revenue) (market-based calculation method)	174.3	132.7	79.4	101.1	97.4
Scope 3 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e)			730,232	690,127	655,353
Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e) (location-based calculation method)			1,022,756	1,045,419	998,207
Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions (metric tons CO ₂ e) (market-based calculation method)			1,108,532	1,136,155	1,085,561
Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions intensity (metric tons CO ₂ e/\$1 million revenue) (location-based calculation method)			214.7	237.0	226.0
Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions intensity (metric tons CO ₂ e/\$1 million revenue) (market-based calculation method)			232.7	257.6	245.8

^{* 2020} and 2021 Scope 1 GHG metrics revised to correct a previous data source error.

Note: Please note that the Scope 2 emissions data for 2022 has been restated. The original figure was revised based on an updated emission factor applied to one of our operational areas. In August of 2024, we corrected this factor to accurately reflect the site's energy consumption profile. This restatement aligns with our commitment to transparency and accuracy in our sustainability reporting. We remain dedicated to providing stakeholders with reliable environmental data as we continue to track and improve our carbon footprint.

^{**} Biogenic emissions from fermentation included in 2022 and 2023 total Scope 1 figure.

Energy Management

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Total energy consumption (megawatt-hours)	1,278000	1,341,000	1,279,000	1,059,000	1,015,668
Total energy intensity (megawatt-hours/\$1 million	416.2	410.0	268.5	240.1	229.9
revenue)					

Electricity Management

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Total electricity consumption (megawatt-hours)	353,500	447,700	387,700	442,800	421,767
Total electricity intensity (megawatt-hours/\$1	115.1	136.8	81.4	100.4	95.5
million revenue)					
Renewable electricity (megawatt-hours)		2,710	3,420	58,450	52,737
Renewable electricity (% of total electricity		0.6	0.9	13.1	12.5
consumption)					

Waste Management**

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Total waste generated (metric tons)	104,142	91,384	90,430	108,282	96,276
Waste generated which is recycled (metric tons)		7,421	8,032	7,932	5,520
Waste generated which is reused (metric tons)				92,760	82,152
Total waste intensity (metric tons/\$1 million	33.9	27.9	19.0	24.5	21.8
revenue)					
Waste recycled intensity (metric tons/\$1 million		1.6	1.7	1.8	1.25
revenue)					
Hazardous waste (metric tons)	8,081	7,446	6,920	6,950	6,878
Hazardous waste incinerated (metric tons)				1,295	2,762
Hazardous waste landfilled (metric tons)				520	424
Hazardous waste other disposal method (metric				112	0
tons)					
Hazardous waste intensity (metric tons/\$1 million	2.6	2.3	1.5	1.6	1.6
revenue)					
Hazardous waste recycled (metric tons)		4,546	5,158	5,135	3,693
Hazardous waste recycled intensity (metric tons/\$1		1.4	1.1	1.2	0.8
million revenue)					
Non-hazardous waste (metric tons)	92,563	83,938	83,510	101,332	89,398
Non-Hazardous waste incinerated (metric tons)				419	1,927
Non-Hazardous waste landfilled (metric tons)				3,102	3,492
Non-Hazardous waste other disposal method				104	0
(metric tons)					
Non-hazardous waste intensity (metric tons/\$1	30.1	25.7	17.5	23.0	18.6
million revenue)					
Non-Hazardous waste re-used (metric tons)				92,760	82,152
Non-hazardous waste recycled (metric tons)		2,874	2,874	2,797	1,827
Non-hazardous waste reused and recycled intensity		0.9	0.6	22.83	20.16
(metric tons/\$1 million revenue)					

^{**}Not all disposal methods and volumes are listed. Disposal totals may not sum.

Water Management

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Water use/intake (1,000 cubic meters)	6,537	6,330	5,652	6,151	5,440
Water use/intake in water-stressed areas*** (1,000 cubic meters)				126	145
Water intake intensity (1,000 cubic meters/\$1 million revenue)	2.1	1.9	1.2	1.4	1.2
Wastewater discharge (1,000 cubic meters)	5,357	4,405	3,690	4,208	3,453
Wastewater discharge intensity (1,000 cubic meters/\$1 million revenue)	1.7	1.4	8.0	1.0	0.78

^{***}As identified as Drought Conditions/Water Stressed Areas by "Jupiter Climate Risk Analytics" assessment data.

SOCIAL

Community Engagement

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Employee volunteering and giving participation rate (%)		>8%	~9%	>18%	>28%
Total employee volunteer hours		~9,000	~9,350	>12,800	>23,600
Estimated value of employee volunteerism ¹		~\$250K	~\$280K	~\$400K	>\$790K
Total employee charitable and community giving		~\$50,000	>\$118,500	>\$121,500	>\$125,000
Total corporate, employee and Foundation charitable and community giving ²	-	-	-	>\$4M	>\$3.7M

Diversity, Equity and Inclusion

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Total number of global employees	6,080	10,200	9,800	9,760	9,730
Total number of global female employees				4,400	4,500
Total number of global male employees				5,360	5,100
Percent of employees are US employees		~32%	~28%	~30%	~30%
Percent of employees are OUS employees		>68%	~72%	~70%	~70%
Total number of unionized employees		~140	~200	~200	~165
9/ Fomale employees in leadership globally			32.9%	35.8%	36.6%
% Female employees in leadership globally					
% People of Color in U.S. leadership ³			16.9%	17.4%	17.0%
% Female - Exec/Sr. Manager - global		30%	31%	37%	34%
% Female – Mid-Level Manager – global		32%	34%	36%	37%
% Female - Professionals - global		42%	44%	46%	47%
% Female - All Other Worker Levels Not Listed -		45%	45%	46%	48%
global					
% Undisclosed - Exec/Sr. Manager - global			0%	0%	0%
% Undisclosed - Mid-Level Manager - global		1%	1%	0%	0.4%
% Undisclosed - Professionals - global		1%	1%	0%	0.1%
% Undisclosed - All Other Worker Levels Not		2%	1%	1%	0.5%
Listed - global		·			
		700/	500/	520/	5504
% Male - Exec/Sr. Manager (global)		70%	69%	63%	66%
% Male - Mid-Level Manager (global)		67%	66%	64%	63%
% Male - Professionals (global)		57%	55%	54%	53%
% Male - All Other Worker Levels Not Listed		57%	54%	53%	52%
(global)					
U.S. People of Color % - Exec/Sr. Manager		27%	24%	23%	18%
U.S. People of Color % - Mid-Level Manager		19%	15%	16%	16%
U.S. People of Color % - Professionals		14%	11%	11%	13%
U.S. People of Color % - All Other Levels Not Leadership		16%	11%	11%	12%

Human Capital

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Percent of employees reporting they feel engaged*****		77%	69%	66%	67%
Employees by Geographic Location - Africa				1.1%	0.9%
Employees by Geographic Location - Asia				24.2%	24.3%
Employees by Geographic Location - Australia and New Zealand				2.8%	2.8 %
Employees by Geographic Location - Central America				2.5%	2.5%
. , , , ,					
Employees by Geographic Location - Europe				34.4%	33.1%
Employees by Geographic Location - North America				30%	31.6%
Employees by Geographic Location - South America				5%	4.7%

^{*****}Our 2022 measurements utilize a new engagement index that enables annual external benchmarking, which we seek to match or exceed. This figure is not directly comparable to years 2020 and 2021.

GOVERNANCE

Employee Safety

Metric	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Work-related fatalities	0	0	0	0	0
DART (Days Away Restricted Time) injury count	-	-	54	40	37
Number of Leadership Safety Engagements ⁵	-	1,628	3,601	8,506	4,965
Number of Health, Safety and Environment	-	1,925	5,692	5,711	6,806
Observations					

^{*}The definition of Leadership Safety Engagements was re-defined in 2023, which narrowed the scope of what was included.

FOOTNOTES

Values may vary due to rounding.

¹Value of employee volunteer hours calculated per "<u>Independent Sector</u> U.S. National Value" applied to global volunteer hours for 2022 was \$31.80. see https://independentsector.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/04/Value-of-Volunteer-Time-by-State-2001-2022.pdf

⁵Leadership Safety Engagements are defined as the number of engagements leadership provides to the organization to promote the emotional connection to safety commitment. Therefore, a higher number is better.

²Significantly larger number for 2022 is a result of enhanced data tracking and availability.

³Leadership is defined as: Executives, Senior Managers, Mid-level Managers and Professionals.

⁴As defined by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), A lost time injury or illness is a work-induced injury or illness which results in an employee being unable to work a full assigned shift; fatalities and restricted workdays are not included. The rate is determined by the number of lost workdays per 100 full-time employees in the time frame, typically annually.