LOS ANGELES COUNTY ETHICS COMMISSION

Candidate Nomination Ranking Analysis Report

NOVEMBER 13, 2026 | PUBLIC NOMINATION MEETING

This report summarizes the preliminary A/B/C classifications of the 16 candidates interviewed by the Los Angeles County Ethics Commission on October 30 and November 6, 2026. The rankings were compiled under the procedure unanimously approved on November 6 and are intended to guide public deliberations, not determine nominations.

This report is a staff-prepared summary of aggregate rankings, not a determination of candidate fitness, an appointment decision, or a forecast of the Commission's final nominations. The Commission retains discretion to discuss candidates and make nomination decisions at its public meeting.

Ranking summary

Candidates interviewed	At least one A rating	Unincorporated-area candidates
16	8	5

All three Commissioners submitted complete rankings. Eight candidates received at least one A rating and are subject to preliminary reference checks. No final nominations have been made.

Distribution by priority group

Priority group	Aggregate classification	Candidates
1	3 A	0
2	2 A, 1 B	2
3	2 A, 1 C	2
4	1 A, 2 B	0
5	1 A, 1 B, 1 C	2
6	1 A, 2 C	2
Outside groups 1–6	No A rating	8

Method and public-meeting use

Each Commissioner independently ranked four candidates A (top choices) and four candidates B (additional strong choices), including at least one unincorporated-area resident in the A group. Candidates not selected as A or B were classified C after staff validated and confirmed each submission. Staff combined the three sets of rankings into six established priority groups. Only aggregate A/B/C counts are published; the individual Commissioners' classifications are not disclosed.

The Commission will consider candidates residing in unincorporated areas first, using the same priority groups, and then consider the complete candidate pool. An unincorporated-area candidate may appear in both review tables but is counted once among the 16 candidates. Rankings are an organizing tool; all nominations require public deliberation and a Commission vote.

1 | Unincorporated-area candidates — initial consideration

Of the five interviewed candidates residing in unincorporated areas, three received at least one A rating from a Commissioner. Only those three candidates are listed below, with their complete aggregate A/B/C classifications and priority groups. They also appear in the complete A-rated list in Section 2.

Candidate name	Unincorp.	A	B	C	Priority
Jennifer Farrell	Yes	2	1	0	2
Gregory Gorman	Yes	2	0	1	3
Guy Primus	Yes	1	1	1	5

The two other unincorporated-area candidates received no A ratings and are not individually listed in this analysis.

2 | Complete candidate ranking list

Eight of the 16 candidates interviewed received at least one A rating and are listed below, including three residents of unincorporated areas. Each listed candidate is eligible for preliminary reference checks under the November 6 adopted procedure. Rankings are organized by priority group (1 highest), then alphabetically within each group.

Candidate name	Unincorp.	A	B	C	Priority
Bert H Deixler	No	2	1	0	2
Jennifer Farrell	Yes	2	1	0	2
Gregory Gorman	Yes	2	0	1	3
Jeffery Daar	No	2	0	1	3
Eduardo Rodriguez	No	1	1	1	5
Guy Primus	Yes	1	1	1	5
Chun-Yen Chen	No	1	0	2	6
Mieczyslaw Pawel Boduszynski	No	1	0	2	6

The remaining eight interviewed candidates received no A ratings and are not individually listed. The ranking summary and distribution table on page 1 continue to account for all 16 candidates.

Reference checks and next steps

Executive Office staff will conduct preliminary reference checks for every candidate receiving at least one A rating (Priority Groups 1–6). Staff will advise the Commission of any potentially relevant concerns or red flags during the November 13 public meeting, consistent with applicable meeting procedures. A reference check does not imply that a candidate has been selected for nomination.

On November 13, the Commission is scheduled to deliberate publicly and nominate four candidates for consideration by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. At least one nominee must reside in an unincorporated area. The nomination motion will designate two seats with initial terms ending June 30, 2028, and two ending June 30, 2031. With three currently appointed Commissioners, at least two affirmative votes are required for action under County Code Section 2.55.090(B).