

COUNTYWIDE CRIMINAL JUSTICE **COORDINATION COMMITTEE**



September 11, 2014

TO: Supervisor Don Knabe, Chairman

Supervisor Gloria Molina

Supervisor Mark Ridley-Thomas Supervisor Zev Yaroslavsky Supervisor Michael Antonovich

Jerry E. Powers, Chief Probation Officer J. P. FROM:

Chair, Public Safety Realignment Team

Mark Delgado, Executive Director

Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee

SUBJECT: Public Safety Realignment Implementation – September 2014 Update

The County's Public Safety Realignment Team (PSRT) continues to coordinate realignment implementation in the County. This PSRT implementation report provides current data reported by departments through July 2014 and discusses:

Post-Release Community Supervision (PRCS) Updates I.

- Split Sentence Law Change II.
- III. Custody Related Issues
- IV. Criminal Justice Evaluation Efforts
- V. Future Issues

POST-RELEASE COMMUNITY SUPERVISION (PRCS) UPDATES

PARTICIPANT NUMBERS AND TERMINATIONS

From October 1, 2011 to July 31, 2014, the County received 23,781 individuals on PRCS. Table 1 summarizes the status of Post-Release Supervised Persons (PSPs) who have been supervised by the Probation Department since the start of realignment.

Table 1 – PRCS Population

PSP Count
23,781
12,381
1,997
1,183
8,220

Does not include warrants issued for deported PSPs.

² Warrants issued after PSP is deported.

Honorable Board of Supervisors September 11, 2014 Page 2 of 10

By law, PSPs are terminated from supervision after 12 consecutive months on supervision if they have not incurred a violation resulting in custody time. In addition, some PSPs are terminated from supervision due to new convictions that result in a state prison commitment and/or a lengthy jail sentence. Table 2 shows a breakdown of the total PRCS terminations since inception of the program.

Table 2 – PRCS Terminations Since Program Inception to July 31, 2014

Successful Termination	7,729
Termination Due to a New Criminal Conviction	3,273
Other Terminations (transfers, fatalities, etc.)	1,379
Total Terminations	12,381

Since late 2012, the termination rate has maintained pace with the rate of prison releases to PRCS. However, PRCS numbers should begin to decline in October, when mandatory three-year supervision terminations commence. PSPs who have been supervised for three years – not including tolled time– are terminated from supervision.

Probation is currently reviewing all remaining cases from the October 2011 PRCS cohort to determine who will be eligible for supervision termination. This review process will be ongoing thereafter. It is critical for Probation to make these determinations and update records in a timely fashion so that law enforcement can access accurate information on the supervision or non-supervision status of individuals. In addition, the Department of Mental Health (DMH), Department of Public Health-Substance Abuse Prevention and Control (DPH-SAPC), and all providers of treatment services to PSPs require advance notification of termination dates, since treatment services provided after supervision ends are not AB 109 reimbursed.

PROPOSITION 36 THIRD STRIKE RE-SENTENCING

Since March 2013, 178 individuals have been placed on PRCS following resentencing pursuant to Proposition 36, the Three Strikes Reform Act of 2012.

Placement on PRCS was initially done on a case-by-case basis for a one-year term through an agreement between the Court, the District Attorney's Office, and the offices of the Public Defender and Alternate Public Defender. However, on May 27, 2014, the California Court of Appeals ruled that all re-sentenced inmates are subject to PRCS for a period of three years (*People vs. Espinoza*). Since that decision, the Court has placed all re-sentenced offenders on PRCS upon their prison release. Table 3 details the status of the LA County Proposition 36 re-sentenced population.

Table 3 – Prop 36 Cases

_	Prop 36
Total Cases Accepted	178
Total Cases Closed	30
Outstanding Warrants	9
Deportation Warrants	1
Active Cases	138

Honorable Board of Supervisors September 11, 2014 Page 3 of 10

Approximately 540 cases that are pending review for resentencing pursuant to Proposition 36 remain. It is difficult to estimate how many of those will ultimately be resentenced, as they will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis and may be subject to suitability hearings.

PRCS WARRANTS AND THE PROVISION OF SERVICES/BENEFITS TO ABSCONDERS

On May 13, 2014, your Board instructed the Chief Probation Officer to address in the next realignment report how the Probation Department coordinates with DMH, DPH, and the Department of Public Social Services (DPSS) as it relates to absconders accessing county services or benefits. Probation coordinates with other county departments as follows:

- 1. After a warrant is issued and entered into the Trial Court Information System, the warrant information is forwarded to Probation's Pre-Release Center (PRC), where the information is compiled with other Probation data, such as the PSP's last known/reported address.
- 2. The Pre-Release Center then relays this warrant information via secured e-mail to County departments providing treatment services, including DMH and DPH.
- 3. Additionally, the Probation Department transmits a list of individuals with outstanding warrants to DPSS for the purposes of terminating any benefits in place. PSPs with an active arrest warrant are ineligible for CalWorks, General Relief (GR), Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI), and CalFresh programs.

Upon receiving warrant information from Probation, DPSS processes the information as follows:

- 1. A DPSS analyst reviews the absconder list against the department's LEADER system to determine the DPSS district of responsibility for each absconder.
- 2. The case and personal information are sent to the DPSS district of responsibility to discontinue benefits, as applicable.
- 3. A letter is sent to the person informing him or her of the discontinuance of benefits. (Attachment I provides a sample of a letter of termination of benefits.)

DPSS has indicated that the department is able to provide contact information from their records to Probation upon written request on cases where absconders have been receiving CalWorks, CalFresh, and/or CAPI benefits. Further, DPSS can provide contact information on individuals receiving GR benefits in response to a subpoena. Probation and DPSS will continue to work on a process for effectively sharing this information in allowed cases. It should be noted, however, that contact information pertaining to Medi-Cal records cannot be shared.

While Probation provides DMH and DPH-SAPC warrant information, they do not suspend treatment services for individuals named in a warrant. In addition, because of medical privacy regulations, neither are able to provide Probation with any contact information they may have for absconders who have been in contact with their treatment providers.

However, providing the warrant list can be helpful in clearing warrants for individuals who were unable to report to Probation for supervision because they were receiving services in a locked psychiatric residential treatment facility. This is important because the cash portion of an individual's SSI benefits may be suspended when a warrant is active.

COORDINATION OF SERVICES AND SUPERVISION EFFORTS

Departments continue to coordinate efforts to ensure that the appropriate levels of supervision and reentry support services are provided to PSPs. Departments have provided the following updates on the delivery of effective services:

Department of Mental Health Summary Data

Table 4 – Assessment and Participation


As of July 31, 2014, DMH has:	
Pre-screened	5,484
Assessed at HUBs	8,346
Engaged in services*	4,583
Refused treatment referral	314

^{*} PSPs accepting treatment referrals, including 3,967 for co-occurring disorders and 580 for mental health services only.

It should be noted that the majority of the treatment refusals (309) occurred in the first two years of implementation. Only five individuals refused treatment in the third year of program implementation.

DPH-SAPC Summary Data

Figure 1 – AB 109 Community Assessment Services Centers (CASC) Contacts



Data is current as of 8/7/2014

Of the 8,875 positive substance use disorder (SUD) contacts, 7,922 resulted in referrals to SUD treatment services. One referral can generate multiple contacts and does not reflect unique individuals.

Table 5 – Admissions and Discharges

Overall Treatment Placement	Number of Treatment Episodes	Percent %
Treatment Admissions	4,714	
Currently in Treatment	763	16.2
Treatment Discharges	3,947	
Positive Treatment Compliance	1,850	46.9
Negative Treatment Compliance	2,097	53.1

Treatment Capacity Expansion

As previously reported, DPH-SAPC added medical detoxification services to the existing AB 109 treatment service continuum in April 2014 to increase the engagement of clients in treatment. From April through July, 99 PSPs accessed detoxification services, accounting for a total of 125 treatment admissions.

In April 2014, DMH completed amendments with 14 AB109 providers to expand community outpatient mental health and co-occurring treatment services for PRCS. Outpatient programs provide a wide array of mental health and co-occurring substance abuse treatment services, including assertive community treatment, field capable clinical services, wellness programs, and traditional outpatient treatment. AB 109 community treatment providers served 2,814 individuals during FY 2013-14.

Expansion of Re-Entry Services

The Community Re-entry and Resource Center (CRRC) was established at Twin Towers Correctional Facility as an extension of the Sheriff's Community Transition Unit (CTU). CRRC serves as a one-stop shop to promote continuity of care and facilitate inmates' transition back into the community. DPH-SAPC has co-located substance use disorder (SUD) assessment and referral services at the center through the Community Assessment Service Center (CASC) system. Co-located services provide: on-site SUD assessments; coordination of referrals to treatment; and referrals to ancillary support services as necessary. As of July 31st, a total of 52 clients had been assessed and/or referred at the CRRC.

Similarly, the DMH Jail Linkage Program is co-located at the CCRC to provide referrals and linkage to community mental health treatment services and supports. This includes release prescriptions for psychiatric medication as prescribed by Jail Mental Health Services.

Specialized Training

DPH-SAPC has instituted criminogenic competency trainings for AB 109 treatment providers to increase their capacity to serve the higher-risk realignment population. The following trainings were delivered:

- June 3, 2014 *How Being Trauma-Informed Improves Criminal Justice Responses* The training provided an overview of trauma's effects on behavior among individuals in the criminal justice system and how proper treatment responses among providers can help prevent further trauma.
- July 11, 2014 Medical Marijuana: What Behavioral Health Professionals Need To Know

The lecture provided a review of marijuana's acute and chronic effects and addressed legal questions related to medical marijuana and its use in treatment settings.

Similarly, DMH has instituted a training program for the mental health treatment provider network. Throughout 2014, DMH has provided monthly trainings on evidence-based or promising practices. These included:

- Mental Health Assessment and Treatment of AB 109 Population
- Treatment of Individuals with Co-occurring Mental Health and Substance Abuse Disorders
- High Fidelity Cognitive Behavioral Therapy
- Seeking Safety (Trauma-Informed Treatment)

Honorable Board of Supervisors September 11, 2014 Page 6 of 10

- Assessment and Treatment of Antisocial Personality Disorders and Psychopathology
- Crisis Oriented Recovery Services

In addition, DMH has provided two "Introduction to Mental Health" trainings to Probation staff. These inter-disciplinary trainings have been highly valuable for informing Probation's supervision staff of mental health disorders, treatment models, and departmental policies. Such trainings will serve as a model for expanded AB 109 cross-training opportunities.

Process Improvement Pilot to Increase Treatment Engagement and Retention

DPH-SAPC is engaged in the Performance Improvement (PI) project, which aims to increase the number of PSPs presenting for treatment. An important component of this pilot project is to conduct focus groups with PSPs, CASC staff, and treatment providers to learn about their perspectives on the assessment process and how it can be improved. Focus groups for the CASC and treatment providers were completed in July 2014. Client-centered focus groups with PSPs will be completed by October 31, 2014.

Intensive Residential Treatment Services (IMD Step-downs)

In December 2013, DMH expanded intensive residential program capacity by 60 beds in order to serve 85 AB 109 individuals at any given time at this level of care. These programs provide housing with recovery-based intensive and supportive on-site mental health services and augmented supervision. As clients make progress, they transition into outpatient mental health services with an average length of stay of six to nine months. The programs have been fully utilized, serving 90 individuals in Fiscal Year (FY) 2013-14.

Sheriff's Department and Municipal Law Enforcement Coordination

To support Probation's supervision efforts and promote public safety, the Sheriff's Parole Compliance Team (PCT), Los Angeles Police Department, and regional teams established by the County Police Chiefs Association coordinate enforcement operations, locate absconders, and conduct compliance checks.

The PCT and the Probation Department have continued to concentrate their efforts on locating absconders. Each absconder arrest averages approximately 95 man hours of work. This includes intelligence gathering, the use of investigative resources, and review of social media to obtain possible addresses and leads to locate the absconders.

Many of the absconders no longer reside within Los Angeles County. PCT has located and arrested absconders in San Bernardino, Riverside, Kern, and Orange counties, as well as outside California. The Sheriff's Department actively works with the District Attorney's office to extradite absconders who are located in other states.

"Smart Justice" Statewide PRCS Database

The California State Department of Justice has completed the first phase of the "Smart Justice" database. This database combines information from CDCR, Department of Justice, LARCIS, COPLINK and the County's Adult Probation System (APS). Current users from Los Angeles County include members of the Sheriff's Parole Compliance Team (PCT) and patrol station personnel within the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, Los Angeles County Probation Department, LAPD and LA IMPACT.

Honorable Board of Supervisors September 11, 2014 Page 7 of 10

Feedback from the current users has been very positive, as the database is meeting all of the users' expectations. Additional agencies within Los Angeles County will have access to the system when they implement a Global Federated Identity and Privilege Management process, which will allow users to log on to the Smart Justice system.

The second phase of Smart Justice will include an investigative module. However, DOJ has not yet set a date for implementation.

Ongoing Service Delivery Challenges and Efforts

The following areas have been identified by PSRT as continued focus areas.

- DMH continues to work with CDCR and other stakeholders to request and receive information on individuals being released from custody as early as possible. The coordination of release information in a timely fashion improves continuity of care efforts.
- Over the past year, the AB109 population being released from prisons is presenting
 with more complex medical needs including neurological trauma and medical
 conditions requiring skilled and custodial nursing home care. In addition, increasing
 numbers of individuals with criminogenic thinking and behaviors who may be less
 amenable to mental health treatment are being released. Some of these individuals
 have violent histories that make placement difficult and may present risks to
 treatment staff as well as the community.
- Availability of stable housing resources for this population is critical to successful community re-entry and treatment. Lack of community housing options continues to be a challenge.
- Probation continues to seek solutions to address office space needs for AB 109 programming. As previously reported to your Board, three of the seven requested offices had opened for services earlier this year. A fourth office opened on June 30th in the South Bay (Carson). The South Bay Regional Office houses a total of 56 employees, including sworn and clerical support staff. The opening of the San Fernando Valley Regional Office is expected in October 2014.

Probation continues to work with the CEO Real Estate Division to identify additional office locations in the East and West San Gabriel Valley for AB 109 operations.

II. SPLIT SENTENCE LAW CHANGE

Previous reports to your Board have discussed the relatively low use of split sentences in the County. Sentences are the result of negotiated plea agreements between the prosecution and defense approximately 95 percent of the time, and over 60 percent of felony cases – cases that largely would be eligible for a local prison sentence under realignment – result in placement on probation.

With passage of the Fiscal Year 2014-15 State budget, the legislature passed AB 1468, which includes modifications to split sentencing statutes. Effective January 1, 2015, split sentences are the presumed sentence for defendants convicted and sentenced under PC 1170 (h). That is, unless the Court finds that it is not in the interest of justice in a particular case, a defendant sentenced to county jail under realignment shall be given a split sentence in which a concluding portion of his/her local prison sentence is served on community supervision in lieu of custody.

Honorable Board of Supervisors September 11, 2014 Page 8 of 10

Impacted agencies are preparing for an expected increase in the number of split sentences due to the pending law change. While it is difficult to quantify what the new law's impact will be, several factors will need to be monitored:

- Public Safety and Recidivism The utilization of split sentences offers additional opportunity for providing and funding rehabilitative services to individuals leaving custody. It can also provide more supervision and monitoring of individuals in the immediate term following incarceration. As previously noted, however, the community supervision portion of a split sentence is in lieu of custody time that an individual would otherwise have received. Furthermore, the imposition of supervision conditions including treatment participation to support reentry or search and seizure conditions that support monitoring are not standard as they are in PRCS but are imposed on a case by case basis. Monitoring the impact of split sentencing on the provision of treatment services, supervision, and, ultimately, recidivism will be a PSRT priority.
- Community Supervision and Treatment Needs Probation, DMH, DPH, and treatment providers will monitor the impact on resource and staffing allocations to ensure supervision and community treatment needs are met.
- Coordination with Law Enforcement An increase in the number of individuals on split sentences amplifies the importance of policies and procedures that ensure law enforcement can obtain accurate information on the supervision status and conditions of released individuals.
- Court Hearings Increased use of split sentencing will result in additional court hearings to
 address violations that occur when an individual is on supervision. The impact of additional
 hearings on resource needs of the Court, District Attorney's Office, Public Defender's Office,
 and Alternate Public Defender's Office will be monitored.
- Jail Population The impact of additional split sentencing on the jail population will be measured. Whether split sentencing reduces or increases the demand for jail bed space will be determined by the manner in which split sentences impact overall custody terms, as well as the rate at which individuals on supervision violate.

In advance of the pending law change, the District Attorney issued a split sentence policy directive within the office supporting the use of split sentences in appropriate cases and providing implementation guidelines. In addition, the Court and defense agencies have continued training on the law's application.

III. CUSTODY RELATED ISSUES

In September 2011, just prior to the implementation of AB 109, the Los Angeles County jail's daily population was approximately 15,500 inmates. At the end of July 2014, the jail population was 19,264 and included 5,806 individuals sentenced to a local prison term pursuant to AB 109.

The jail population reached its highest peak in April 2014 with a population of 19,622, as illustrated in Figure 2.

Honorable Board of Supervisors September 11, 2014 Page 9 of 10





Community Re-Entry Resource Center

On Thursday, May 22nd, the Sheriff's Department opened the Community Re-entry Resource Center (CRRC) adjacent to the Inmate Reception Center at Twin Towers Correctional Facility. The CRRC offers a continuity of care to those inmates who have received jail in-reach services and initial assistance for those who are seeking transitional services for the first time. Partnering with the Sheriff's Department at the CRRC are the Probation Department, DMH, DPH-SAPC, DPSS, helathRIGHT 360, and Volunteers of America.

Population Management Strategies

Complementing the County's work on the jail master plan, justice stakeholders continue to develop population management strategies that utilize jail beds effectively, maintain public safety, and promote rehabilitation among offenders with treatment needs.

Reports to your Board on the criminal justice mental health initiative led by the District Attorney and on jail population management strategies developed by the Sheriff's Department are scheduled for your October 7th Board meeting.

IV. CRIMINAL JUSTICE EVALUATION EFFORTS

CCJCC remains on schedule to return to your Board in October to seek approval of Master Agreements with qualified vendors to provide criminal justice research and evaluation services to the County. Initial submissions from interested vendors have been received and are currently being reviewed for qualifications. Once Master Agreements are established, departments will be able to initiate evaluation projects related to realignment implementation or any other criminal justice initiative. CCJCC has solicited input from departments on proposed research/evaluation projects to conduct and is working with departments to establish priorities once the Master Agreements are in place.

Honorable Board of Supervisors September 11, 2014 Page 10 of 10

V. FUTURE ISSUES

As PSRT continues to coordinate implementation of realignment in the County, certain issues will be monitored and/or should be noted for your Board:

- *Split Sentencing* As previously discussed, law changes are expected to increase split sentencing in the County. PSRT will continue to coordinate responses to the change and monitor the impact additional split sentences have on the system.
- Recidivism Reduction Grant The 2014-15 State budget allocated \$8 million to counties to implement recidivism reduction strategies. Funding allocations are based on county population, and L.A County is eligible for \$1.6 million. To receive the funds, your Board must approve a recommendation to accept the grant by September 30th. A Board letter recommending this action is targeted for your September 23rd meeting.
- *Proposition 47* Proposition 47, which has qualified for the November 2014 ballot, proposes significant changes to the criminal justice system. If passed, it would reduce certain felonies to misdemeanors and allow individuals previously convicted of those felonies to be resentenced as misdemeanants.

The CEO's Office is working with affected departments to prepare an analysis of the initiative for your Board, including potential impact on realignment implementation.

Attachments

c: Chief Executive Officer
Executive Officer of the Board of Supervisors
County Counsel
Public Safety Realignment Team
CCJCC Members
Civil Grand Jury

NOTICE OF ACTION

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
HEALTH AND WELFARE AGENCY
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Notice Date :

Case
Name :
Number
Worker
Name :
Number :
Telephone :
Address :

(ADDRESSEE)

As of cash a	, the County is changing your monthly aid from \$ to \$
Here's	s why:
	cannot get cash aid because:
	he/she is avoiding or running from the law for a felony or attempted felony. The rules say that a person is thought to be avoiding or running from the law when there is a warrant issued in his/her name. The warrant was issued by Agency on the date of Cash aid may be started again if he/she can prove that they were not fleeing law enforcement to avoid prosecution or confinement, or for violating a condition of parole or probation.
	he/she has violated the terms of parole or probation.
Your r	new cash aid amount is figured on the next page.
Electro	Keep your plastic Golden State Advantage card if you use onic Benefit Transfer (EBT), even if your aid is terminated. e do not throw it away.
benefi Card(Cal: This notice DOES NOT change or stop Medi-Cal ts. Keep using your plastic Benefits Identification s). You will get another notice telling you about any es to your health benefits.
stamp	Stamps: This notice DOES NOT stop or change your food benefits. You will get a separate notice telling you about nanges to your food stamp benefits.
Recei	ving Medi-Cal and/or food stamps only DOES NOT count

Rules: These rules apply. You may review them at your welfare office: MPP 82-832.20, W & I Code 11486.5

Questions? Ask your Worker.

State Hearing: If you think this action is wrong, you can ask for a hearing. The back of this page tells how. Your benefits may not be changed if you ask for a hearing before this action takes place.

CHANGE: AID PAYMENTS, FLEEING FELONS Page 1 of _____

against your cash aid time limits.

M82-832A (07/04) NOA 200-85 01/98

YOUR HEARING RIGHTS

You have the right to ask for a hearing if you disagree with any county action. You have only 90 days to ask for a hearing. The 90 days started the day after the county gave or mailed you this notice.

If you ask for a hearing <u>before</u> an action on Cash Aid, Medi-Cal, Food Stamps, or Child Care takes place:

- Your Cash Aid or Medi-Cal will stay the same while you wait for a hearing.
- Your Child Care Services may stay the same while you wait for a hearing.
- Your Food Stamps will stay the same until the hearing or the end of your certification period, whichever is earlier.

If the hearing decision says we are right, you will owe us for any extra Cash Aid, Food Stamps or Child Care Services you got. To let us lower or stop your benefits before the hearing, check below:

Yes, lower or stop:
Cash Aid
Food Stamps
Child Care

While You Wait for a Hearing Decision for:

Welfare to Work:

You do not have to take part in the activities.

You may receive child care payments for employment and for activities approved by the county before this notice.

If we told you your other supportive services payments will stop, you will not get any more payments, even if you go to your activity.

If we told you we will pay your other supportive services, they will be paid in the amount and in the way we told you in this notice.

- To get those supportive services, you must go to the activity the county told you to attend.
- If the amount of supportive services the county pays while you
 wait for a hearing decision is not enough to allow you to
 participate, you can stop going to the activity.

Cal-Learn:

- You cannot participate in the Cal-Learn Program if we told you we cannot serve you.
- We will only pay for Cal-Learn supportive services for an approved activity.

OTHER INFORMATION

Medi-Cal Managed Care Plan Members: The action on this notice may stop you from getting services from your managed care health plan. You may wish to contact your health plan membership services if you have questions.

Child and/or Medical Support: The local child support agency will help collect support at no cost even if you are not on cash aid. If they now collect support for you, they will keep doing so unless you tell them in writing to stop. They will send you current support money collected but will keep past due money collected that is owed to the county.

Family Planning: Your welfare office will give you information when you ask for it.

Hearing File: If you ask for a hearing, the State Hearing Division will set up a file. You have the right to see this file before your hearing and to get a copy of the county's written position on your case at least two days before the hearing. The state may give your hearing file to the Welfare Department and the U.S. Departments of Health and Human Services and Agriculture. (W&I Code Sections 10850 and 10950.)

TO ASK FOR A HEARING:

Attachment I Page 2 of 2

· Fill out this page.

Make a copy of the front and back of this page for your records.
 If you ask, your worker will get you a copy of this page.

Send or take this page to:

Appeals & State Hearing Section P.O. Box 18890 Los Angeles, CA 90018

OR

Call toll free: 1-800-952-5253 or for hearing or speech impaired who use TDD, 1-800-952-8349.

To Get Help: You can ask about your hearing rights or for a legal aid referral at the toll-free state phone numbers listed above. You may get free legal help at your local legal aid or welfare rights office.

If you do not want to go to the hearing alone, you can bring a friend or someone with you.

HEARING REQUEST

l w of	vant a hearing due to an action b	y the	Welfare Dep	
	Cash Aid Food Stamps		Codiny a Medi-Cal	bout my.
	Other (list)		modi Odi	
Не	ere's Why:			
=				
_				
	If you need more space, ched	ck he	re and add a	a page.
	I need the state to provide me v (A relative or friend cannot inte	vith a rpret	n interpreter a for you at the	at no cost to me. hearing.)
	My language or dialect is:			<u> </u>
NAME	E OF PERSON WHOSE BENEFITS WERE DENIED,	CHANG	SED OR STOPPED	
BIRTI	HDATE		PHONE NUMB	ER
STAE	ET ADDRESS	,,,,,		
CITY		-	STATE	ZIP CODE
SIGN	ATURE		DATE	-
			3409703	
NAME	OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM		PHONE NUMB	ER
	I want the person named be hearing. I give my permiss records or go to the hearing friend or relative but cannot in	ion f	for this pers ne. (This pe	son to see my erson <u>can be</u> a
NAME		-	PHONE NUMBI	ER
TRE	ET ADDRESS			
TITY			STATE	ZIP CODE
			SIMIE	ZIP CODE

NA BACK 9 (REPLACES NA BACK 8 AND EP 5) REQUIRED FORM - NO SUBSTITUTE PERMITTED

Public Safety Realignment		Year 2	2013	2013	, 2013	2014	. 2014	2010	, 2010	, 201	2014	, 201	Year 3	Oct2011- Jul2014
Summary of Implementation Data	Year 1	Year 2	oct.	40 ⁴	OFC,	INT	EEB.	MARK	MR	MAX	My.	MA	to-Date	Total
Postrelease Community Supervision				У	,		<u>,</u>	y	Y	У				
Pre-Release Packets														
No. pre-release packets received	14,102	6,517	600	478	466	593	577	587	616	638	712	608	5,875	26,494
2 No. pre-release packets processed	14,083	6,243	586	478	466	483	473	569	510	506	621	566	5,258	25,584
No. pre-release packets deemed ineligible (of														
3 those processed)	649	232	23	26	37	18	12	11	12	19	13	13	184	1,065
4 No. PSPs released with Special Handling	148	137	14	3	4	3	10	7	6	2	3	2	54	339
5 No. of PSPs released as registered sex offenders	240	222	32	24	26	19	18	19	14	10	33	14	209	671
No. address verifications conducted	1,902	1,770	218	297	149	192	147	183	181	150	270	209	1,996	5,668
No. homeless/transient PSPs per CDCR	1,484	1,063	92	64	69	62	53	87	89	72	64	45	697	3,244
PSP Reporting Population														
8 No. PSPs released to County per pre-release packet	11,500	5,875	540	496	515	521	479	549	602	510	561	613	5,386	22,761
9 No. PSPs directly released to County per CDCR	11,255	6,233	513	461	471	454	424	487	571	511	468	465	4,825	22,313
No. PSPs released to Federal custody with ICE		200	22	20	25		1.0		20	4.0				4 40 4
10 detainer	770	390	32	30	37	24	18	17	20	19	25	22	244	1,404
No. of PSPs released to the community by ICE	8	25	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	38
No. PSPs released to other jurisdiction custody	450	260	19	12	6	14	3	8	7	11	1	6	87	797
No. PSPs transferred to L.A. County from other		261	2.4		20	2.5	1.7	22	20	22	22		2.52	1.051
13 counties	457	361	24	8	38	26	17	23	28	33	23	33	253	1,071
No. PSPs transferred from L.A. County to other	520	224	21	22	1.7	20	20	26	22	22	4.1		200	1 1 40
14 jurisdictions	528	334	31 484	23	17 480	29	28	26	32	32	41	21	280	1,142
No. PSPs processed at hubs (intake/assessment) Male	9,817 8,650	6,010 5,535	484	380 354	480	436 408	396 369	446 416	501 476	475 447	463 436	512 481	4,573 4,295	20,400 18,480
16 Male17 Female	1,167	475	28	26	28	28	27	30	25	28	27	31	278	1,920
18 No. PSPs by risk tier, as assessed at hubs:	1,107	4/3	28	20	20	20	21	30	23	26	21	31	270	1,920
19 Low Risk	163	69	4	5	4	1	4	1	4	2	2	3	30	262
20 Male	130	56	3	4	4	1	3	1	4	1	1	3	25	211
21 Female	33	13	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	5	51
22 Medium Risk	3,859	1,777	119	79	135	111	96	98	120	120	123	118	1,119	6,755
23 Male	3,356	1,619	110	77	126	104	88	89	114	112	116	113	1,049	6,024
24 Female	503	158	9	2	9	7	8	9	6	8	7	5	70	731
25 High Risk	5,361	3,777	324	276	314	302	283	316	342	325	310	358	3,150	12,288
26 Male	4,781	3,503	308	255	296	284	266	296	325	308	293	337	2,968	11,252
27 Female	580	274	16	21	18	18	17	20	17	17	17	21	182	1,036
28 Very High Risk	396	387	37	20	26	22	13	31	35	28	28	33	273	1,056
29 Male	348	357	35	18	25	19	12	30	33	26	26	28	252	957
30 Female	48	30	2	2	1	3	1	1	2	2	2	5	21	99

	Public Safety Realignment			(T 2013	40 ⁴ 2013	0EC 3013	1842014	KEB 2014	MAR 2010	XPR 2014	MAY 2016	, 10 ¹² 2014	7 201	Year 3 Year- to-Date	Jul2014
	Summary of Implementation Data	Year 1	Year 2	oct .	402	DEC	147.	FEB.	MAR	APR	MA	10/2	Mr	to-Date	Total
31	No. PSPs who are veterans	234	167	7	9	9	6	10	12	11	9	5	9	87	488
	P "No-Show" and Absconder Population														
32	No. "no-show" notifications to Sheriff	1,319	270	7	7	8	17	6	0	0	0	0	2	47	1,636
	No. Sheriff and LAPD attempts to contact "no-show"														
33	PSPs	1,040	123	9	7	10	14	6	0	0	0	0	2	48	1,211
34	No. warrants requested for absconders*	2,832	6,273	552	505	548	538	432	501	645	491	517	498	5,227	14,332
35	All warrants issued	3,186	6,677	630	497	579	624	448	503	679	515	527	531	5,533	15,396
36	All warrants recalled	1,755	5,298	646	514	525	534	425	438	577	467	519	485	5,130	12,183
37	No. of active warrants remaining**	1,431	2,810	2,787	2,769	2,819	2,904	2,925	2,988	3,087	3,128	3,131	3,173	3,173	3,173

^{*} Does not include the number of Deportation Warrants. An additional 1,279 Deportation warrants were requested through July 2014.

PSP Violations/Revocations/New Charges

No. of petitions for revocations (other than warrants)	1,277	2,740	291	159	112	112	144	122	136	103	99	118	1,396	5,413
39 Pending Revocation Hearing			5	17	0	2	1	11	5	7	11	2	61	61
40 No. of Revocation Hearing Cases Heard	621	2,734	382	195	203	264	227	269	300	258	288	445	2,831	6,186
41 Revocation Results														
42 Custody 1 - 10 days	43	6	0	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	6	55
Custody 11 - 45 days	55	144	16	12	7	4	10	19	11	6	5	8	98	297
44 Custody 46 - 90 days	113	439	40	24	17	25	37	29	30	28	28	37	295	847
45 Custody 91 - 180 days	126	1,169	212	97	105	137	117	147	176	137	164	168	1,460	2,755
46 Custody days, other	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Other (Continuances, Bench Warrants, etc.)	273	975	114	60	74	98	61	73	83	87	90	232	972	2,220
48 No. of PSP arrests / bookings	7,023	14,249	1,188	994	905	1,050	939	984	1,040	983	940	1,071	10,094	31,366
49 No. arrests/bookings for prior matters	754	586	47	59	36	44	39	36	51	42	44	52	450	1,790
No. arrests/bookings for new offenses and flash														
50 incarcerations	6,269	13,663	1,074	877	832	956	855	907	945	908	875	1,000	9,229	29,161
No. of cases presented to the D.A. for filing	3,286	6,441	453	381	422	457	401	414	480	399	438	458	4,303	14,030
52 No. of cases filed by the D.A.	2,562	5,056	360	279	332	365	331	323	379	314	317	342	3,342	10,960

Sanctions

No. of verbal warnings	1,688	3,635	338	287	255	261	205	242	271	225	205	156	2,445	7,768
54 Increase reporting (to DPO) requirements	129	356	54	41	35	43	35	33	39	23	33	30	366	851
55 Additional conditions of supervision	83	64	10	8	4	7	6	13	2	5	1	1	57	204
56 PAAWS (Cal Trans)	99	142	6	10	5	2	4	4	3	8	2	1	45	286
57 Referral to Treatment Program	556	509	36	16	14	11	11	14	29	12	12	11	166	1,231

^{**}The number of active warrants remaining is cumulative and includes remaining warrants from previous months. Number of active warrants includes 1,176 Deportation Warrants through the month of July 2014

Public Safety Realignment			2013	2013	DEC 2013	2014	FEB 2014	MARZOL	APR 2014	MAY 2010	JUN 2014	201	Year 3 Year- to-Date	Oct2011- Jul2014
Summary of Implementation Data	Year 1	Year 2	OCT.	402	OFC P	182 L	EB.	MAR	MR.	MAT	11/2 .	W.	to-Date	Total
58 Flash incarceration (Supervision and Warrants)	2,528	9,764	909	773	710	825	671	769	773	746	735	574	7,485	19,777
59 GPS/EM	1	14	0	1	0	1	4	7	2	0	1	1	17	32
-	-						-						•	
Mental Health Treatment Services			_											
No. of pre-release packets forwarded to DMH for	2,634	1,336	116	110	113	114	95	135	144	131	177	111	1,246	5,216
No. of mental health treatment conditions added by														
61 Probation***	2,995	1,615	150	145	120	168	141	171	172	187	195	132	1,581	6,191
62 No. DMH determinations treatment needed	3,253	2,362	214	144	207	168	251	261	298	309	346	533	2,731	8,346
63 No. of PSPs refusing Mental Health Services at Hubs	289	20	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	314
*** Data are reported according to the PSP month of release.														
G. L. dans at the Control of Cont	c	4)												
Substance Abuse Treatment Services (Based on month of			250	106	204	246	210	221	251	227	220	202	2 402	10.266
No. of Hub referrals made to CASCs at Hub No. of substance abuse treatment conditions added by	4,961	2,902	258	186	284	246	210	221	251	237	228	282	2,403	10,266
65 Probation***	7 220	4 121	151	225	222	411	410	421	155	156	401	2.42	4 115	15 565
	7,329	4,121	454	335 356	332 340	411 421	418	421	455	456	491 490	342 312	4,115	15,565
66 No. of narcotics testing orders added by Probation***	7,978	4,587	472				438	438	454	451			4,172	16,737
No. of PSPs showing at CASCs for assessment	3,594	6,152	570	428	434	556	491	478	511	528	551	618	5,165	14,911
68 No. of CASC treatment referrals	2,210	4,046	331	251	241	322	319	303	306	322	329	350	3,074	9,330
69 No. of PSPs entering treatment**** *** Data are reported according to the PSP month of release.	1,215	2,431	144	98	123	125	102	89	86	93	112	122	1,094	4,740
Data are reported according to the FSF month of release.			····· III	ciudes iii	and out o	of fictwor	K auiiiiss	1011 10 30	D ireaiiii	ent servic	Jes.			
Referrals for other Services (Based on month of assessme	ent)													
70 No. PSPs screened for benefits eligibility by DPSS	6,391	4,835	375	301	313	240	279	348	352	293	241	208	2,950	14,176
71 No. PSPs who DPSS referred to local DPSS office	4,731	3,808	301	200	239	178	198	257	285	238	181	155	2,232	10,771
72 No. PSPs enrolled in:	2,070	17,043	2,326		2,328	2,267	2,263	2,279	2,261	2,304	2,378	2,391	23,138	42,251
73 MediCal	4	65	12	8	10	8	7	6	7	5	7	6	76	145
74 Med/CF	17	220	34	41	33	27	33	27	29	33	32	33	322	559
75 General Relief	92	2,293	258	261	261	239	225	230	212	202	191	189	2,268	4,653
76 CalFresh	1,487	6,099	796	791	791	807	843	833	835	891	946	979	8,512	16,098
77 CalFresh and General Relief	456	8,256	1,225	1,238	1,232	1,184	1,154	1,180	1,177	1,171	1,200	1,184	11,945	20,657
78 CalWorks/CalFresh	14	7	1	2	1	2	1	3	1	2	2	0	15	36
Number of Healthy Way L.A. applications filed (from			<u> </u>	 	<u> </u>		- 		-		<u> </u>			
79 Hub) ¹		1,965	171	126	114								411	2,376
No. of PSPs enrolled in Healthy Way L.A.	20	1,418	132	116	112								360	1,798
Number of Medi-Cal applications filed (from Hub) ¹		,	<u> </u>			59	57	52	51	26	24	16	285	285
As of January 2014 the Affordable Care Act expanded access to h	ealth coverage	ge, making H	WLA rece	nients eli	igible for			32	<i>J</i> 1	20	24	10	203	203

 Referrals for HealthRight 360 (Formerly Haight-Ashbury)

 82
 No. of PSPs referred this month
 4,504
 6,216
 566
 436
 483
 512
 426
 489
 451
 512
 496
 575
 4,946
 15,666

Public Safety Realignment			.01 ²	MON JUL	, •01;	, ala	· - 1014	MAR 201	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	MAY 2010	· -014	201	Year 3 Year- to-Date	Oct2011-
Summary of Implementation Data	Year 1	Year 2	OCT 2013	404,1	DEC 2013	JAN 2014	KEB 2014	MAR	APR 2014	MAY	JUN 2014	My'	Year- to-Date	Jul2014 Total
No. of Referrals	5,811	8,580	792	580	637	652	526	642	571	661	620	750	6,431	20,822
84 Board and Care	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	5
85 Transportation	358	699	63	45	36	9	9	35	0	0	0	0	197	1,254
86 Sober Living	204	702	96	71	80	100	83	64	76	70	60	58	758	1,664
87 Sober Living With Child	4	15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	20
38 Transitional Housing	1,912	4,809	435	313	329	335	292	360	319	423	417	483	3,706	10,427
39 Transitional Housing With Child	15	25	2		5		2	0	1	0	3	0	13	53
Job Readiness	3,318	2,330	196	151	186	206	140	182	171	168	138	204	1,742	7,390
SP Supervision Terminations		_												
No. of petitions submitted to terminate supervision	485	1,518	133	192	113	132	124	122	139	161	126	164	1,406	3,409
No. of terminations	835	6,725	556	524	480	532	434	468	471	500	478	378	4,821	12,381
No. terminations 6 months violation-free	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
No. terminations 12 months violation-free														
(automatic discharge)	29	4,800	343	309	315	317	286	299	274	267	280	209	2,899	7,728
No. terminations 3 year expiration (maximum														
95 <i>term)</i>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	
No. terminations due to a new criminal conviction	416	1,445	164	167	114	158	99	120	141	172	136	141	1,412	3,273
No. other terminations (revocation settlement,			4.0	4.0				4.0				•		4.000
court order, fatalities, transfers, etc.)	390	480	49	48	51	57	49	48	56	61	62	28	509	1,379
V-v4- I-														
custody														
ail Population and Sentencing				1	1		1					ī	T .	
No. of total Court sentences pursuant to Penal Code	11.204	11.055	1.057	002	1.050	1 110	1 022	1 104	1 212	1 025	1 120	1 150	10.001	22.072
P8 1170(h) (As tracked by the Court) No. sentenced to "split" sentence	11,204	11,857	1,057	902	1,050	1,110	1,032	1,124	1,213	1,035	1,128	1,150	10,801	33,862
*	483	452	24	1.0	1.5	2.1	21	1.2	1.0	1.0	20		242	1 170
(As tracked by the Court) No. actual defendants sentenced pursuant to Penal		452	24	18	15	31	21	13	18	18	30	55	243	1,178
OO Code 1170 (h)	8,473	7,934	714	595	694	727	660	671	788	614	632	737	6,832	23,239
Male inmates sentenced	6,936	5,460	598	469	585	571	542	550	659	516	478	594	5,562	17,958
Mate inmates sentenced Female inmates sentenced	1,537	1,201	116	126	109	156	118	121	129	98	154	143	1,270	4,008
No. of sentenced N3s currently in jail (at end of the	1,337	1,201	110	120	107	130	110	121	147	20	134	173	1,4/0	7,000
month)	5,715	6,199	6,229	6 190	6.017	5 987	5 966	5 997	5,813	5,641	5,660	5 610	5,610	
No. N3s released after serving full term (month of	3,713	0,177	0,229	0,170	0,017	5,707	5,700	5,771	2,013	2,071	2,000	5,010	3,010	
04 occurrence)	2,758	7,064	671	661	723	738	590	716	716	719	644	717	6,895	16,717
	2,700	7,001	0,1	001	, 23	, 50	115	, 10	, 10	117		, . ,	3,070	10,717

106 month)

No. Station Worker Program (at end of month)
No. N3s currently on alternative custody (at end of the

139

Public Safety Realignment Summary of Implementation Data	Year 1	Year 2	_ocr	rats FOA Ja	3 7£C2013	3 7010	FEB 2014	MARZON	APR 2016	MAYZOL	A 7012 2014	11/1.Y 201	Year 3 Year- to-Date	Oct2011- Jul2014 Total
Risk Management and Liability														
Realignment Claims/Lawsuits														
No. claims/lawsuits filed with the County identified as	3													
107 realignment related	0	0	C	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health Post-Release Community Supervision Program Data for PSPs Based on HUB Month++++ As of 8/6/2014

		ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
I DMH Population		-											
DMH Population (Total Clients In	Year 1: 11-12	380	417	385	340	327	310	273	285	251	272	210	203
Tracking System)	Year 2: 12-13	244	188	201	240	196	183	212	219	211	249	226	192
	Year 3: 13-14	245	181	238	190	301	310	332	335	385	576		
Prescreened, Not Assessed at HUB	Year 1: 11-12	44	56	34	44	30	30	25	26	24	21	23	19
	Year 2: 12-13	22	22	15	35	21	26	48	41	51	56	71	49
	Year 3: 13-14	70	32	22	17	20	22	24	25	43	159		
Prescreened, Assessed at HUB	Year 1: 11-12	90	101	98	86	117	100	84	104	95	100	75	89
resolution, Assessed at 110B	Year 2: 12-13	105	72	93	114	88	69	84	99	90	100	83	97
	Year 3: 13-14	94	88	93 148	132	215	210	237	242	269	340	03	91
	16al 3. 13-14	94	00	140	132	210	210	231	242	209	340		
Not Prescreened, Assessed at HUB	Year 1: 11-12	136	131	102	96	68	69	61	64	57	83	48	45
	Year 2: 12-13	55	49	45	49	43	47	39	40	36	50	45	39
	Year 3: 13-14	45	35	59	41	65	78	71	67	73	77		
Net December of Net consend at													
Not Prescreened, Not assessed at HUB, Receiving Treatment	Year 1: 11-12	110	129	151	114	112	111	103	91	75	68	64	50
, G	Year 2: 12-13	62	45	48	42	44	41	41	39	34	34	27	7
	Year 3: 13-14	36	26	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	0		
II DMH Treatment Determination DMH Treatment Determination		٠								0=1			
DIVID Treatment Determination	Year 1: 11-12	380	417	385	340	327	310	273	285	251	272	210	203
	Year 2: 12-13	244	188	201	240	196	183	212	219	211	249	226	192
	Year 3: 13-14	245	181	238	190	301	310	332	335	385	576		
No Treatment Needed	Year 1: 11-12	64	72	15	5	11	11	11	9	6	17	5	10
	Year 2: 12-13	7	12	9	19	16	13	25	22	16	14	23	22
	Year 3: 13-14	31	37	31	22	50	49	33	26	39	43		
Not Prescreened, Left HUB without	Year 1: 11-12	49	27	33	29	25	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Evaluation	Year 2: 12-13	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Year 3: 13-14	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	J	U
	1			<u> </u>	-	U	U	1	<u> </u>	U	U		
Treatment Needed	Year 1: 11-12	267	318	337	306	291	298	262	276	245	255	205	193
	Year 2: 12-13	237	175	192	221	180	170	187	197	195	235	203	170

				Page 2	01.0								
		ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
	Year 3: 13-14	214	144	207	168	251	261	298	309	346	533		
		•											
II.a Type of Treatment Required	t												
Type of Treatment Required	Year 1: 11-12	267	318	337	306	291	298	262	276	245	255	205	193
	Year 2: 12-13	237	175	192	221	180	170	187	197	195	235	203	170
	Year 3: 13-14	214	144	207	168	251	261	298	309	346	533		
Co convenient disputer	Year 1: 11-12	400	214	250	044	004	005	040	000	200	045	167	455
Co-occurring disorder		162			211	221	225	219	232	206	215		155
	Year 2: 12-13	193	123	141	177	142	142	154	169	172	190	177	149
	Year 3: 13-14	174	124	179	139	220	234	267	266	314	492		
Mental health	Year 1: 11-12	41	42	43	53	40	46	24	21	26	22	16	30
	Year 2: 12-13	29	33	36	26	29	16	25	17	13	23	15	7
	Year 3: 13-14	9	6	11	14	17	15	17	21	16	23		
Substance abuse	Year 1: 11-12	35	30	22	23	19	25	19	21	13	16	18	7
	Year 2: 12-13	14	17	13	15	8	6	5	9	9	21	8	13
	Year 3: 13-14	29	14	17	13	13	11	13	20	15	18	J	10
	10ai 5. 15-14	23	14	17		10	- ''	13	20	13	10		
Unknown/TBD	Year 1: 11-12	29	32	22	19	11	2	0	2	0	2	4	1
	Year 2: 12-13	1	2	2	3	1	6	3	2	1	1	3	1
	Year 3: 13-14	2	0	0	2	1	1	11	2	1	0		
W.O. and American and Transfer	and Defermed												
III Client Acceptance of Treatm		1											
Client Acceptance of Treatment Referral	Year 1: 11-12	267	318	337	306	291	298	262	276	245	255	205	193
Referre	Year 2: 12-13	237	175	192	221	180	170	187	197	195	235	203	170
	Year 3: 13-14	214	144	207	168	251	261	298	309	346	533		
Yes	Year 1: 11-12	76	97	90	103	105	94	101	115	108	120	96	100
	Year 2: 12-13	121	91	107	127	97	114	119	121	131	139	126	127
	Year 3: 13-14	137	85	134	127	206	208	234	241	260	326		
No	Year 1: 11-12	26	41	55	28	30	41	14	16	14	13	4	7
	Year 2: 12-13	7	2	4	2	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
	Year 3: 13-14	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	O	O
		0		- 0	'		0		0		- 0		
N/A - Substance Abuse Services	Year 1: 11-12	35	30	22	23	19	25	19	21	13	16	18	7
	Year 2: 12-13	14	17	13	15	8	6	5	9	9	21	8	13
	Year 3: 13-14	29	14	17	13	13	11	13	20	15	18		
N/A- Not Seen At HUB	Year 1: 11-12	130	150	170	152	137	138	128	124	110	106	87	79
	Year 2: 12-13	95	65	68	77	73	50	63	65	54	75	69	30
		1 33	55	50		. 0	50	55	55	J-1	, 0	55	00

									Page 3 of 6					
		OCT	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	
	Year 3: 13-14	48	44	56	27	32	42	48	48	71	189			
		•												
III.a Accepted Treatment by Type	Required	_												
Accepted Treatment by Type Required	Year 1: 11-12	76	97	90	103	105	94	101	115	108	120	96	100	
Accepted Treatment by Type Required	Year 2: 12-13	121	91	107	127	97	114	119	121	131	139	126	127	
	Year 3: 13-14	137	85	134	127	206	208	234	241	260	326			
	Vacan 4: 44 40		-,			70					100			
Co-occurring disorder-	Year 1: 11-12	51	74	69	63	79	70	88	99	88	108	80	75	
	Year 2: 12-13	104	63	77	107	72	95	96	106	118	124	116	120	
	Year 3: 13-14	128	81	125	112	189	195	222	221	244	308			
Mental health-	Year 1: 11-12	25	22	21	39	25	22	13	15	20	10	14	25	
	Year 2: 12-13	17	27	28	19	24	14	20	13	12	15	9	7	
	Year 3: 13-14	8	4	9	13	16	12	11	18	15	18			
												_	_	
Unknown	Year 1: 11-12	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	2	2	0	
	Year 2: 12-13	2	1	2	1	1	5	3	2	1	0	1	0	
	Year 3: 13-14	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	2	1	0			
IV Accepted Treatment By Level		I												
Accepted Treatment By Level	Year 1: 11-12	76	97	90	103	105	94	101	115	108	120	96	100	
	Year 2: 12-13	121	91	107	127	97	114	119	121	131	139	126	127	
	Year 3: 13-14	137	85	134	127	206	208	234	241	260	326			
State Hospital	Year 1: 11-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
·	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	Ü	ŭ	
				-										
Institution for Mental Diseases (IMD)	Year 1: 11-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Inpatient++	Year 1: 11-12	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Year 2: 12-13	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	Ü	Ü	
	16ai 3. 13-14	U	0	0	0	'		'	<u> </u>	0	0			
IMD Step Down	Year 1: 11-12	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	3	2	2	
	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	5	4	0	0	0	4	4	1	2	3	
	Year 3: 13-14	1	1	1	6	5	7	6	11	14	17			
Residential Treatment	Year 1: 11-12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	
Residential Fredment	Year 2: 12-13													
	1 C al 2. 12-13	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	

												Page 4 (OT 6
		ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Outpatient	Year 1: 11-12	75	96	89	101	105	90	101	114	106	114	93	98
	Year 2: 12-13	120	91	102	123	96	107	116	116	126	136	123	124
	Year 3: 13-14	136	83	132	121	197	198	226	229	246	306		
V Current Status of Clients Who	Accented Trea												
Current Status of Clients Who	Year 1: 11-12	76	97	90	103	105	94	101	115	108	120	96	100
Accepted Treatment	Year 2: 12-13	121	91	107	127	97	114	119	121	131	139	126	127
	Year 3: 13-14	137	85	134	127	206	208	234	241	260	326	.20	,
New Client/Status To Be Determined	Year 1: 11-12	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	6	4	3	3
New change tatas To Bo Boton miles	Year 2: 12-13	2	2	5	6	0	3	2	0	1	4	4	1
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	1	1	2	7	5	12	21	48	7	'
Completed Treatment	Year 1: 11-12	11	32	21	37	40	33	37	47	49	47	33	29
	Year 2: 12-13	39	33	47	56	36	36	32	35	46	42	18	17
	Year 3: 13-14	17	7	13	13	11	13	12	8	7	12		
	Year 1: 11-12	14	24	18	23	22	19	15	20	9	21	13	13
	Year 2: 12-13	33	23	11	12	17	20	29	28	34	24	44	48
	Year 3: 13-14	55	37	61	58	86	73	109	99	98	56		
In Treatment/Not Complying With	Year 1: 11-12	2	1	0	5	1	0	1	4	1	3	4	3
Treatment Plan	Year 2: 12-13	3	4	1	3	4	6	9	8	7	15	19	9
	Year 3: 13-14	16	12	17	17	23	30	34	39	28	17		
Left Treatment	Year 1: 11-12	8	10	15	11	15	13	14	14	11	12	13	15
	Year 2: 12-13	16	8	19	20	17	13	10	15	18	26	14	22
	Year 3: 13-14	16	13	8	16	17	16	7	2	9	12		
Did Not Show for Treatment/Refused	Year 1: 11-12	27	20	21	14	16	16	24	24	22	24	10	7
Treatment After Referral	Year 2: 12-13	5	7	8	6	9	12	13	22	11	14	14	14
	Year 3: 13-14	14	10	16	12	35	38	36	40	51	53		
In Inpatient Setting Awaiting Transfer to		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
State Hospital/IMD	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-
In Jail Awaiting Transfer to State	Year 1: 11-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hospital/IMD	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	v	J
		J			<u> </u>								

		ост	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
Incarcerated	Year 1: 11-12	3	5	5	1	4	1	2	1	4	3	5	3
	Year 2: 12-13	6	1	1	2	1	4	8	1	4	2	3	6
	Year 3: 13-14	6	0	3	4	9	10	11	3	13	29		
Deceased+	Year 1: 11-12	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0
	Year 3: 13-14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Other (Client referred to Other	Year 1: 11-12	7	3	7	9	6	10	5	3	4	5	15	26
County/Provider)	Year 2: 12-13	17	12	12	18	12	20	16	11	8	10	9	10
	Year 3: 13-14	12	6	15	6	22	21	18	34	32	97		
VI Current Placement of Clients	Ī	1											
Current Placement of Clients	Year 1: 11-12	76	97	90	103	105	94	101	115	108	120	96	100
	Year 2: 12-13	121	91	107	127	97	114	119	121	131	139	126	127
	Year 3: 13-14	137	85	134	127	206	208	234	241	260	326		
Jail++	Year 1: 11-12	3	8	6	3	3	2	6	4	8	10	7	3
	Year 2: 12-13	11	2	7	5	4	6	13	5	5	9	6	8
	Year 3: 13-14	8	4	3	4	10	12	13	3	16	34		
State Hospital++	Year 1: 11-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Institutions for Mental Disease	Year 1: 11-12	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Inpatient++-	Year 1: 11-12	6	14	14	16	17	12	10	17	6	8	10	12
	Year 2: 12-13	16	8	2	4	8	10	10	14	8	11	9	1
	Year 3: 13-14	5	5	7	11	19	18	24	16	8	8		
IMD Step Down-	Year 1: 11-12	0	1	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	3	1	1
	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	2	1	1	2	5
	Year 3: 13-14	2	0	1	4	3	5	4	5	11	14		
Residential Treatment-	Year 1: 11-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	Year 2: 12-13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Outpatient Services	Year 1: 11-12	32	51	42	56	61	48	53	65	60	64	47	47

												Page 6	
		ОСТ	NOV	DEC	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP
	Year 2: 12-13	72	60	68	83	65	61	65	66	95	93	91	90
	Year 3: 13-14	95	62	92	89	119	113	143	135	141	91		
Other	Year 1: 11-12	35	23	27	25	24	28	32	28	34	34	29	37
	Year 2: 12-13	22	21	24	32	20	37	30	32	21	25	17	22
	Year 3: 13-14	27	14	30	17	54	56	48	79	84	79	AUG 91 29	
VII PSPs Who Have Accessed Se	rvices+++												
PSPs Who Have Accessed	Year 1: 11-12	256	278	287	268	249	232	219	235	212	206	179	167
Services+++	Year 2: 12-13	201	155	163	203	165	153	184	178	185	207	189	161
	Year 3: 13-14	207	158	190	158	270	277	290	284	303	262		
Admission	Year 1: 11-12	1	10	8	4	0	8	2	1	1	2	1	1
	Year 2: 12-13	1	3	1	2	1	2	3	1	1	0	1	1
	Year 3: 13-14	0	0	1	0	2	5	2	1	3	2		
PSPs with At least One Crisis Service	Year 1: 11-12	28	37	30	28	20	22	16	22	27	24	13	13
(PMRT, UCC, PES)	Year 2: 12-13	17	16	14	25	13	19	22	10	20	19	16	16
	Year 3: 13-14	20	8	12	18	19	27	21	19	24	25		
PSPs with At least One Services in Jail	Year 1: 11-12	164	188	199	173	164	159	140	154	139	140	133	113
Since Release	Year 2: 12-13	135	111	109	134	124	111	151	135	142	161	149	132
	Year 3: 13-14	165	131	157	119	227	236	231	232	259	239		
VIII N3s													
N3s Assessed by CRM	Year 1: 11-12	1	1	0	3	0	4	2	19	33	28	34	34
	Year 2: 12-13	61	48	62	52	69	66	74	63	66	63	53	47
	Year 3: 13-14	66	68	55	58	38	51	39	53	53			

Attachment III

⁺ Deaths due to medical conditions

⁺⁺ Some Clients placed in inpatient facilities or County Jail pending completion of conservatorship proceedings necessary for State Hospital/IMD Placement

⁺⁺⁺Based on IS data entry may lag up to three monthsafter the month of service

⁺⁺⁺⁺Report reflects PSPs currently on Probation