

1	I N D E X
2	ATTENDEES
3	Commission Chair, Sean Kennedy
4	COMMISSIONERS:
5	Robert Bonner
6	Patti Giggans
7	Lael Rubin
8	Irma Cooper
9	JP Harris
10	Luis Garcia
11	Jamon Hicks
12	
13	Special Counsel: Bert Deixler, Kendall Brill & Kelly,
14	Patricia Perello
15	Witnesses Page
16	Inspector General Max Huntsman 11
17	Lieutenant Larry Andrew Waldie 57
18	Anonymous Witness No. 3 102
19	Eliezer Vera 123
20	
21	1 Document prepared by Mr. Huntsman 12
22	2 Memorandum dated September 13, 2004, from 14, 58
23	from Undersheriff Stonich to Sheriff Baca
24	3 Penal Code Section 13670 18
25	

1		INDEX (Cont'd)	
2 3	Exhi	ibits (Cont'd) F	age
4	4	LASD ICIB Case Activity Log relating to the	26
5		Kennedy Hall investigation	
6	5	Letter dated January 19, 2022 to Sheriff from	41
7		Mr. Huntsman	
8	6	Letter dated February 10, 2022 from	42
9		Undersheriff Murakami to Mr. Huntsman	
10	7	Letter from Mr. Huntsman in response to Exhibit 6	42
11	8	Depiction of Executioner Tattoo	64
12	9	Further depiction of Executioner Tattoo	65
13	11	LASC document reporting arrests January 2018	73
14		to January 2019 and March 31, 2018, and 2019	
15	12	Texts exchanged regarding the work slowdown	76
16		in March 2018	
17	13	From Lieutenant Waldie to Captain 78,	94
18		Thatcher dated April 1, 2019	
19	14	From Lieutenant Waldie to Captain	79
20		Thatcher dated April 8, 2019	
21	15	From Lieutenant Waldie to Lieutenant	82
22		Lecrivain	
23	16	Public documents reflecting reports involving	83
24		four separate officer-involved shootings by	
25		Deputy Juarez	

1		INDEX (Cont'd)	
2	Exhi	ibits	Page
3	17	Texts between Lieutenant Waldie and Commander	85
4		Thatcher sending screenshot	
5	19	Document reflecting crime in Compton, August	71
6		2017 through July 31, 2018, and August	
7		1, 2018, through July 31, 2019	
8	21	Recorded Social Media Public Statement by	125
9		Sheriff Villanueva on October 7, 2020	
10	22	Investigator/deputy list promulgated May 20,	84
11		2022	
12	23	District Attorney Determination of	34
13		Non-Prosecution	
14	24	Letter from Mr. Deixler to Sheriff 142,	152
15		Villanueva dated May 24, 2022, inviting him	
16		to attend the hearing	
17	25	Letter from Lieutenant O'Donnell to Mr. Drexler	144
18		dated May 16, 2022	
19			
20	Publ	Lic Comments	Page
21	Carl	los Montes	153
22	Leti	icia Vazquez	154
23	Chri	istina Vazquez	155
24	Jaco	queline Venters 156	5, 164
25	Juli	ie Martinez 157	, 158

1	INDEX (Cont'd)	
2	Public Comment	Page
3	Larry Steward	159
4	Cynthia Anderson-Barker	159
5	Ricci Sergienko	160
6	Raquel Durfee	161
7	Hal Steinberg	162
8	Michelle Infante	163
9	Andres Kwon	166
10	Valerie Vargas	167
11	Stephanie Luna	168
12	Janae Rea	169
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	CHAIR KENNEDY: Good morning, everyone. Welcome to this
3	special hearing of the Los Angeles County Sheriff Civilian
4	Oversight Commission.
5	We're going to have a hearing on alleged deputy
6	gangs, but before we begin, I think we need to take the roll.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
8	Commissioner Kennedy.
9	CHAIR KENNEDY: Here.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioner (Inaudible.)
11	COMMISSIONER 2: Here.
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioner (Inaudible.)
13	COMMISSIONER 3: Here.
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioner Garcia?
15	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Here.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioner (Inaudible.)
17	COMMISSIONER 4: Here.
18	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioner (Inaudible.)
19	COMMISSIONER 5: Here.
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Commissioner Hicks?
21	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Present.
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ms. (Inaudible)?
23	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Here.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible.)
25	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.

So before we begin, I just wanted to make brief 1 2 opening remarks. For decades, there have been allegations from many 3 4 different quarters that deputy gangs exist within the Los 5 Angeles County Sheriff's Department. Several -- several prior 6 commissions have voiced concerns about the department's gang 7 culture and urged each elected sheriff to act swiftly to eradicate deputy gangs or cliques. 8 Unfortunately, sheriff leadership has refused to 9 investigate, even though allegations about deputy gangs have 10 11 hurt the relationship between the department and the 12 communities it is supposed to serve. Consequently, last 13 November, the COC voted unanimously to conduct a full-scale, 14 independent investigation whether there are deputy gangs right now within the department. Today is this commission's first 15 16 special hearing on the matter. 17 The hearing will be conducted by our able 18 Special Counsel, Bert Deixler, and his team of highly skilled 19 lawyers, all of whom have donated their time and expertise to 20 help us investigate the matter of alleged deputy gangs. 21 So, Bert, I'm going to turn it over to you to make

22 some opening remarks.

23 MR. DEIXLER: I'll begin by saying good morning, Commissioners. Appreciate the opportunity to speak with you 24 25 today.

1	Before I begin, I want to ensure that the lawyers who
2	have participated are known to the commission. I have with me
3	today Lauren Wertheimer of the Vedder Price firm, Ellen Choi of
4	the firm Covington and Burling, and Trent Fujii of the Vedder
5	Price firm, and my colleague, Patricia Perellò, who will be
6	keeping me sane here by making sure the exhibits come up as I
7	had hoped.
8	With your permission, I'd like to give a kind of
9	procedural opening statement and reserve the opportunity to
10	give a substantive opening statement perhaps to another time.
11	The many lawyers who have been engaged to gather
12	information for the commission have interviewed several dozen
13	percipient witnesses with dozens more to go. We've been struck
14	thus far by a number of things.
15	First, the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department is an
16	organization of professionals who are mostly heroes. As you
17	will hear, these are people who have volunteered and are
18	committed to community safety at personal risk. Our admiration
19	for them, for the many we've met, is genuine, and our gratitude
20	for their service is real.
21	But within this organization we found a palpable
22	fear, a fear of professional retribution. "Career suicide" is
23	the term we've heard repeatedly, and perhaps more troubling, a
24	fear for physical safety if one is associated and revealed as
25	cooperating in this investigation.

1	Because so many department members have families who
2	serve in the organization spouses, children, siblings the
3	fear of retribution is not limited to the career of the
4	individual with whom we have spoken but to those near and dear
5	to that witness as well. You will see today written evidence
6	of fear expressed by a department member tasked simply with
7	providing objective information from a dataset to a superior.
8	Also, we'll have a current department member testify
9	anonymously for fear of retaliation. That examination will
10	seek to avoid identifying details. And we will ask the
11	commissioners, should any wish to ask questions, to do so in a
12	fashion that will not assist identification of the witness who,
13	as I say, fears retribution.
14	I should add that a critical witness scheduled to
15	testify today anonymously withdrew Saturday out of fear of
16	retaliation, and another scheduled witness had a surprise call
17	to duty today rendering that witness unavailable perhaps a
18	scheduling coincidence.
19	Our investigation has been hampered by the action and
20	inaction of county counsel, which has insisted upon marking and
21	retaining as confidential dozens of depositions taken in
22	pending litigation against the County and Department members.
23	Mind you, of course, the content of each deposition is known
24	both to the plaintiffs in the case, to their lawyers, as well
25	as the numbers of outside counsel representing the County and

1	the individual Department members. The material is not secret.
2	I've been offered the opportunity to review certain
3	depositions. The review, however, was conditioned upon my
4	agreeing not to share the content of the depositions with you,
5	the commission charged with investigating the existence of
6	deputy gangs or cliques. Indeed, I'd be barred from sharing
7	the materials even with the many top-tier, prominent, and
8	experienced lawyers participating in this investigation pro
9	bono. I hope it's not surprising that I've rejected this
10	proposal from county counsel.
11	Finally, if time permits, we intend to question a
12	former LASD chief who has specific relevant information about
13	an issue to be discussed today. The witness is a candidate for
14	sheriff. In calling the witness, we offer no endorsement of
15	his candidacy. No endorsement should be inferred.
16	We have interviewed several other candidates for
17	sheriff, intend to interview more. Each has offered
18	suggestions about the existence of and solutions regarding
19	deputy gangs, each has offered to testify, and, likely, each
20	will be invited to do so at a later meeting. We contemplate
21	calling only one candidate today only because of the ordering
22	of the proof and not for any political purpose.
23	Fairness dictates that I reveal that the only
24	candidate who has thus far refused to meet either informally or
25	to testify at today's hearing is Sheriff Villanueva. I am told

	1 that he has sent a lieutenant who serves directly in his office
	2 to monitor the proceedings. We intend, with the commission's
	3 permission, to invite Sheriff Villanueva to testify at a later
	4 hearing, voluntarily or under compulsion.
	5 With that predicate, we're ready to call our first
	witness, if that's how the commission would like to proceed.
	7 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
	MR. DEIXLER: Max Huntsman, please.
	Be sworn.
1	CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
1	1 Raise your right hand.
1	2 Do you solemnly swear to tell the truth, the whole
1	3 truth, and nothing but the truth?
1	4 MR. HUNTSMAN: I do.
1	5 MR. DEIXLER: Morning, sir. Would you state and spell
1	6 your last name.
1	7 MR. HUNTSMAN: Huntsman, H-u-n-t-s-m-a-n.
1	MR. DEIXLER: And, Mr. Huntsman, how are you employed?
1	9 MR. HUNTSMAN: I work for the County of Los Angeles as the
2) Inspector General.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And prior to your role as inspector general,
2	2 how long have you been involved in law enforcement?
2	3 MR. HUNTSMAN: Since 1991 when I graduated from law school
2	and became a senior law clerk for the District Attorney's
2	5 Office.
1	

ſ

Τ

1	MR. DEIXLER: And where were you where did you go to
2	law school?
3	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yale Law School.
4	MR. DEIXLER: In your role as inspector general, have you
5	had a focus in the area of deputy cliques or deputy gangs?
6	MR. HUNTSMAN: I we have been investigating them
7	actively almost our entire existence as an office.
8	MR. DEIXLER: To your knowledge, is the issue of deputy
9	gangs or cliques a recently discovered problem in the Los
10	Angeles Sheriff's Department?
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: Absolutely not.
12	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to look in a book. I hope
13	the exhibit book is there for Exhibit 1, which I'll ask be
14	placed on the board.
15	MR. HUNTSMAN: I yes. Exhibit 1.
16	MR. DEIXLER: And is
17	MR. HUNTSMAN: Got it.
18	MR. DEIXLER: Exhibit 1 a document that you caused to
19	be prepared?
20	MR. HUNTSMAN: It is.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And let me ask you first about the footnote
22	on page numbered 1. Take a look at that.
23	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
24	MR. DEIXLER: An internal LS LASD memo dated
25	December 5th, 1973, documented an investigation of a group

1	called the Little Devils. The Little Devils employed a
2	sequentially numbered tattoo of a devil on the left calf, and
3	it goes on to say it identified at least 38 of them by name.
4	Was it a part of your research and background to
5	determine if there had been deputy gangs or cliques in the
6	LA Sheriff's Department at least as of 1973?
7	MR. HUNTSMAN: 1971, I believe, according to that memo.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And the number of identified members was
9	what?
10	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe at that time they identified
11	47 members of the Red Devils, and, of course, subsequently they
12	have identified members of other potential law enforcement
13	gangs.
14	MR. DEIXLER: On the first page at the very top, you make
15	reference to Special Counsel James G. Kolts in 1992.
16	Who is who is Judge Kolts?
17	MR. HUNTSMAN: Judge Kolts, at the time of that report,
18	was a retired judge who had served many years as a prosecutor,
19	many years as a judge, and he was brought forward to do an
20	in-depth analysis of LASD, not unlike subsequent ones, partly
21	because he was a Republican, and a and a staunch law
22	enforcement judge.
23	MR. DEIXLER: And what was the subject of his report?
24	MR. HUNTSMAN: It was Professionalism in LASD, for want of
25	a better term.

1	MR. DEIXLER: And what, in substance, did he conclude on
2	the subject matter of deputy gangs in the sheriff's department?
3	MR. HUNTSMAN: He in his final report, there were a
4	half dozen pages on the subject detailing testimony that he had
5	received in anonymous ways similar to the way Rand operated.
6	And he concluded in a recommendation that the sheriff's
7	department should actively, as you described in your opening
8	statement, root out these groups, create policies to prevent
9	them, and actively investigate to remove this influence from
10	the sheriff's department.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And would you take a look, please, at
12	exhibit numbered 2, which is a September 13th, 2004, memo to
13	Sheriff Baca from William Stonich.
14	MR. HUNTSMAN: Two begins with a memo from Commander
15	Miller, and then attached to it, several pages in, is the memo
16	you referred to.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
18	I'd like you to refer to that portion of exhibit
19	numbered 2.
20	And what, in substance, was Undersheriff Stonich
21	writing about to then Sheriff Baca in 2004?
22	MR. HUNTSMAN: He was writing about a situation very
23	similar to what has been alleged since in East Los Angeles.
24	But in this case he was talking about Century Station, which
25	appeared to have at least two groups that were gang-like in the

1	way they operated, and he described them in that memo and
2	recommended action to respond to the problem.
3	MR. DEIXLER: On the second page of that 2004 memo,
4	there's reference to the Mexican Mafia.
5	You see that reference, sir?
6	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. That was the name they used to
7	describe one of the groups.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And how did Undersheriff Stonich identify
9	the positions of influence within the Century Station occupied
10	by the Mexican Mafia gang at that time?
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: He identified them as detective,
12	scheduling, watch deputy, and field training officer positions.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Were and what was the conduct that was
14	identified as unethical activity in 2004 by this deputy gang?
15	MR. HUNTSMAN: As I say, it was very similar to what has
16	been alleged in East Los Angeles and focused primarily upon the
17	use of gang membership in order to influence benefits to
18	employees within the station: Scheduling, overtime,
19	opportunities for advancement, whether or not somebody would be
20	encouraged, if you will, to leave the station, things like
21	that. And and there was an there was a gang-like element
22	to it.
23	MR. DEIXLER: If you turn your attention to the next page,
24	there's another gang identified called the Regulators.
25	Do you see that?

	1	MR. HUNTSMAN: I do.
	2	MR. DEIXLER: And do the Regulators have a means of
	3	identifying themselves to others and themselves?
	4	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. The Regulators use a tattoo which
	5	emulates the historical Regulators: A cowboy a cowboy
	6	outlaws gang and has a has a a skeleton, but there's a
	7	trench coat and tattoo and and some and guns that are
	8	sometimes have smoke coming from them, depending.
	9	MR. DEIXLER: In the fourth bullet point, there's
	10	reference to an Angel Homace who was described as a shot
	11	caller. Do you see that reference?
	12	MR. HUNTSMAN: I do.
	13	MR. DEIXLER: And what is the is the position of
	14	shot caller an officially sanctioned position within the
	15	LA Sheriff's Department?
	16	MR. HUNTSMAN: It is not.
	17	MR. DEIXLER: In connection with the work you've done as
	18	inspector general, have you come upon sheriff's deputies
	19	identified as shot callers?
	20	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
	21	MR. DEIXLER: At what station, sir?
	22	MR. HUNTSMAN: East Los Angeles, Century, and Compton.
	23	MR. DEIXLER: Your your October 2020 report refers to a
	24	report by the Citizens' Commission on Jail Violence.
	25	What was that group?
- 1		

1	MR. HUNTSMAN: That was a group put in place in response
2	to, again, allegations of unprofessionalism and rampant
3	violence by deputies, in particular in the jails, that came to
4	light after what we're talking about here. And the count
5	there were a number of responses, but the County's response was
6	to create the Citizens' Commission on Jail Violence to do a
7	deep dive, not unlike what's happening today.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And did the Citizens' Commission on Jail
9	Violence identify groups of deputy cliques or deputy gangs?
10	MR. HUNTSMAN: They did. And similarly, they made
11	recommendations about how to deal with them.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And where were those gangs located?
13	MR. HUNTSMAN: I don't recall all the locations they
14	identified, but I believe they were primarily, they were
15	focusing on custody operations; so they had the 2000 and
16	3000 Boys, which were in custody. But I believe they also
17	made reference to to other groups that, by then, were known,
18	such as I believe they mentioned the Banditos. But but
19	by that time, they were present in a number of different
20	stations outside of custody.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And in connection with the 2000 and 3000
22	Group Boys, did they identify shot callers?
23	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
24	MR. DEIXLER: In your work
25	MR. HUNTSMAN: By say excuse me; by saying "identify,"

1	I mean that they they concluded that they did exist. They
2	did not name names.
3	MR. DEIXLER: In your work as an inspector general, has it
4	come to your attention that any shot caller was a woman?
5	MR. HUNTSMAN: To my knowledge, I I'm not aware of any
6	shot caller being a woman within one of the gangs.
7	I am aware of an allegation that the Banditos had an
8	affiliate who was a shot caller who was a woman. But she was
9	not a member of the Banditos because I have I'm not aware of
10	any female ever being a member of the Banditos.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Has your work as inspector general led you
12	to the belief that any shot caller was ever African-American?
13	MR. HUNTSMAN: Not to my knowledge.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ensure that when we use the word
15	going forward of "deputy gang" that we have an agreed term.
16	Exhibit 3 is a copy of Penal Code Section 13670.
17	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And you're familiar with that Penal Code?
19	MR. HUNTSMAN: I am.
20	MR. DEIXLER: A law enforcement gang is defined as a group
21	of peace officers who identify by a name and may be identified
22	by matching tattoos and who engage in a pattern of on-duty
23	behavior that intentionally violates the law or fundamental
24	principles of professional policing.
25	You're familiar with that portion of the Penal Code,

1	sir?
2	MR. HUNTSMAN: I am.
3	MR. DEIXLER: Is there any question in your mind, based
4	upon the description of the deputy groups, the Mexican Mafia
5	and the Regulators that we've learned about here, that each was
б	a deputy gang within the definition of the Penal Code?
7	MR. HUNTSMAN: As described in the memo, certainly.
8	As to the Regulators, I am aware of additional
9	information that I have acquired since then, and as to both of
10	those groups, they are indeed they do meet this criteria.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And why do they meet that criteria?
12	MR. HUNTSMAN: Well, for a bunch of reasons that I it
13	would take all day to go through. But but there are
14	multiple conditions in here that are that list the conduct
15	that that if you engage in it, you are a law enforcement
16	gang, and they meet multiple ones of those.
17	MR. DEIXLER: So let's let's examine a few of them
18	within this section of the Penal Code.
19	The Penal Code goes on to describe indicia of deputy
20	gangs to include excluding, harassing, or discriminating
21	against any individual based on a protected category under
22	federal or state anti-discrimination law.
23	MR. HUNTSMAN: Correct.
24	MR. DEIXLER: So, for example, if a woman could not be a
25	member of one of these deputy gangs, would that be sufficient

1	to constitute a violation of 13670?
2	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe so, yes.
3	MR. DEIXLER: If an African-American couldn't be a member
4	of such a gang, would that be a violation of 13670?
5	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe so, yes.
6	MR. DEIXLER: The Penal Code section goes on to require
7	maintaining a policy that prohibits participation in a law
8	enforcement gang and makes a violation of the policy grounds
9	for termination.
10	Has it come to your attention that the sheriff has
11	terminated any employee because he was a member of a deputy
12	gang?
13	MR. HUNTSMAN: It is my specific understanding that he has
14	not.
15	MR. DEIXLER: The Penal Code goes on to impose an
16	obligation of law of a law enforcement agent to, quote,
17	"cooperate in any investigation into these gangs by an
18	Inspector General, the Attorney General, or any other
19	authorized agency."
20	Do you understand cooperation to include the
21	obligation to provide requested documents?
22	MR. HUNTSMAN: I do.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Do you understand the obligation to include
24	the provision of related information to you as inspector
25	general?

1	MR. HUNTSMAN: I do.
2	MR. DEIXLER: Let me turn your attention to an incident at
3	Kennedy Hall on September 28th, 2018.
4	Would you briefly describe what the event consisted
5	of.
6	MR. HUNTSMAN: The Kennedy Hall event was an after-work
7	party of East LA deputies in which a lot of alcohol was
8	consumed and people were celebrating. During that party, a
9	group of deputies, who were allegedly Banditos, began to
10	verbally harass a smaller group of other deputies and
11	eventually assaulted them. One of the alleged Banditos started
12	the assault, and three others joined in.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Do you know the names of the alleged
14	perpetrators?
15	MR. HUNTSMAN: Well, my memory is not perfect, so it would
16	help me to refresh but but I believe Rodriguez you know,
17	I should check my notes. Is that all right?
18	MR. DEIXLER: Why don't you check your notes and see if
19	that'll refresh your memory.
20	MR. HUNTSMAN: Thank you.
21	May I read from my notes, or would you like me to see
22	if my memory is refreshed?
23	MR. DEIXLER: Well, if it refreshes your memory, you no
24	don't need to read from them. If it doesn't, then you can read
25	it as past recollection recorded.

1	MR. HUNTSMAN: I'll read them as past recollection
2	recorded just to (inaudible).
3	Gregory Rodriguez, Rafael Munoz, David Silverio, and
4	Michael Hernandez.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Okay. And because it may come into play as
6	we look at some other documents, did Rafael Munoz have a
7	nickname?
8	MR. HUNTSMAN: Big Listo.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And what about Gregory Rodriguez? Did he
10	have a nickname?
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. G-Rod.
12	MR. DEIXLER: What about David Silverio? Did he have a
13	nickname?
14	MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. His nickname was Bam Bam.
15	MR. DEIXLER: David Silverio's nickname was Silver, wasn't
16	it, sir?
17	MR. HUNTSMAN: I have heard that said.
18	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
19	And Michael Hernandez, his nickname was Bam Bam?
20	MR. HUNTSMAN: Correct.
21	MR. DEIXLER: Do you know whether a civil lawsuit has been
22	brought against those individuals in the county of Los Angeles?
23	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes, it has.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And that's been brought by a private
25	attorney, Vincent Miller, and the ACLU?

1	MR. HUNTSMAN: Correct.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And do the allegations in the complaint
3	include, among other things, assertions of retaliation,
4	workplace harassment, discrimination, and violation of state
5	and federal civil rights laws?
6	MR. HUNTSMAN: They do.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Do the complaints in the does the
8	complaint include allegations regarding injuries that give rise
9	to a possible finding of "great bodily injury," as that term is
10	defined under the Penal Code?
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: Not only does the lawsuit, but the internal
12	investigation of the sheriff's department supports that
13	conclusion.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And would it be a correct summary to say the
15	lawsuit relates to a group known as the Banditos?
16	MR. HUNTSMAN: It would.
17	MR. DEIXLER: How large is the Bandito group reported to
18	be?
19	MR. HUNTSMAN: It is reported to be well over 50, and it
20	has been reported that it may have topped out at 100 for
21	procedural reasons, but it certainly is over 50.
22	MR. DEIXLER: Is there a tattoo that you know is
23	associated with the Banditos?
24	MR. HUNTSMAN: There is. It's a skull with a sombrero and
25	guns.

1	MR. DEIXLER: Have there been other lawsuits involving
2	the Banditos, other than this Hernandez versus The County of
3	Los Angeles case?
4	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
5	MR. DEIXLER: And has the County been forced to litigate
6	or settle those cases?
7	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. So some are pending.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And the cases that have settled, do you know
9	approximately how much money the County has paid in connection
10	with Bandito-related
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: I cannot give you such a figure. I can
12	tell you that approximately \$50 million has been paid in a
13	in a recent analysis, or relatively recent, by the County
14	regarding all deputy gangs, but or alleged gangs, but I'm
15	not sure about the Banditos specifically.
16	MR. DEIXLER: Did it come to your attention that the
17	Internal Criminal Investigation Bureau, referred to as the
18	ICIB, conducted an investigation of the Kennedy Hall event?
19	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
20	MR. DEIXLER: And who was the lead investigator on behalf
21	of the ICIB?
22	MR. HUNTSMAN: Jeffrey Chow.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Did you review any portion of the ICIB
24	investigation?
25	MR. HUNTSMAN: I did. But, more importantly, my staff did

1	at my direction in great detail.
2	MR. DEIXLER: Based upon your review and the review of
3	your staff, did you draw any conclusions about how the
4	Banditos operated?
5	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
6	MR. DEIXLER: And what was the general conclusion that
7	you drew about the Banditos' activities?
8	MR. HUNTSMAN: The ICIB investigation is not my primary
9	source for conclusions regarding the Banditos' activities as
10	a potential law enforcement gang because the investigating
11	officer appears to have been directed not to investigate that
12	matter, and the record itself is sparse. However, simply based
13	upon that record, one can conclude that there was indeed an
14	influence of that group in a gang-like way at that station and,
15	as you described in your opening statement, deputies were
16	scared of them.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Would you have expected that investigation
18	into the alleged criminal conduct of sheriff deputies upon
19	other sheriff deputies would have asked questions specifically
20	about gang behavior?
21	MR. HUNTSMAN: I cannot imagine a gang investigation that
22	didn't ask questions about gang affiliation. And in this case,
23	it was alleged that this gang, and retaliation against these
24	individuals by this gang for disrespecting the gang, was the
25	motive. So I I cannot imagine that not being done in a

 MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to take a look at exhibit numbered 4, which is the LASD ICIB Case Activity Log relation to the investigation of Kennedy Hall. MR. HUNTSMAN: I see it. It appears to Exhibit Number 4 appears to be a redacted version of a log presented by Jeffrey Chow. MR. DEIXLER: And that investigation began on September 28th, 2018, by interviewing the victim in the hospital; is that correct? MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 people were interviewed in connection MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation yes, there were many interviews. I'm not sure it gets up to 	
 to the investigation of Kennedy Hall. MR. HUNTSMAN: I see it. It appears to Exhibit Number 4 appears to be a redacted version of a log presented by Jeffrey Chow. MR. DEIXLER: And that investigation began on September 28th, 2018, by interviewing the victim in the hospital; is that correct? MR. HUNTSMAN: According to this log, yes. MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 people were interviewed in connection MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation 	
 5 MR. HUNTSMAN: I see it. It appears to Exhibit 6 Number 4 appears to be a redacted version of a log presented 7 by Jeffrey Chow. 8 MR. DEIXLER: And that investigation began on 9 September 28th, 2018, by interviewing the victim in the 10 hospital; is that correct? 11 MR. HUNTSMAN: According to this log, yes. 12 MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a 13 lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 peop 14 were interviewed in connection 15 MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation 	
 Number 4 appears to be a redacted version of a log presented by Jeffrey Chow. MR. DEIXLER: And that investigation began on September 28th, 2018, by interviewing the victim in the hospital; is that correct? MR. HUNTSMAN: According to this log, yes. MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 pec were interviewed in connection MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation 	
by Jeffrey Chow. MR. DEIXLER: And that investigation began on September 28th, 2018, by interviewing the victim in the hospital; is that correct? MR. HUNTSMAN: According to this log, yes. MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a landth lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 people were interviewed in connection MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation	
 MR. DEIXLER: And that investigation began on September 28th, 2018, by interviewing the victim in the hospital; is that correct? MR. HUNTSMAN: According to this log, yes. MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 people were interviewed in connection MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation 	
 9 September 28th, 2018, by interviewing the victim in the 10 hospital; is that correct? 11 MR. HUNTSMAN: According to this log, yes. 12 MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a 13 lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 peop 14 were interviewed in connection 15 MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation 	
10 hospital; is that correct? 11 MR. HUNTSMAN: According to this log, yes. 12 MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a 13 lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 people 14 were interviewed in connection 15 MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation	
 MR. HUNTSMAN: According to this log, yes. MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 peo were interviewed in connection MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation 	
MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation occurred with a lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 peo were interviewed in connection MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation	
13 lengthy list of interviewees; is that so? As many as 90 peo 14 were interviewed in connection 15 MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation	
<pre>14 were interviewed in connection 15 MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigation</pre>	
15 MR. HUNTSMAN: Throughout the course of the investigati	ple
16 yes, there were many interviews. I'm not sure it gets up to	on,
	90
17 unless you include some subsequent investigation, but it is	a
18 large number.	
19 MR. DEIXLER: And the investigation continued through	
20 February of 2020; is that the case?	
21 MR. HUNTSMAN: I think that's about right. I think tha	t's
22 when it was submitted to the DA's office, and that's also	
23 consistent with this log.	
24 MR. DEIXLER: In 2018, was there an election for sherif	
25 MR. HUNTSMAN: There was.	E?

1	MR. DEIXLER: And when was that election?
2	MR. HUNTSMAN: That I I believe November was the
3	runoff.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And as of November 27th was Alex Villanueva
5	the sheriff of Los Angeles County?
6	MR. HUNTSMAN: He was not. On November 26th,
7	Jim McDonnell conceded, at least privately, that he was not
8	going to win the election, and began a transition process. But
9	Sheriff Villanueva was not the sheriff at that time.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Am I correct that the sheriff was sworn in
11	on December the 3rd, 2018?
12	MR. HUNTSMAN: That's correct.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Did Alex Villanueva have any authority to
14	give directions as to how the ICIB investigation should be
15	conducted as of November 27th, 2018
16	MR. HUNTSMAN: He did not. He had no no actual
17	authority.
18	MR. DEIXLER: Let's look at Exhibit Number 4, and the
19	entry of November 9th, 2018.
20	Let me know when you have that.
21	MR. HUNTSMAN: I do.
22	MR. DEIXLER: There is a reference on November 9th, 2018,
23	in this ICIB log to "Also, Lieutenant Chevalier advised me OIG
24	wanted additional questions about subcultures at East LA.
25	Captain Burson confirmed additional questions needed to be

1	asked."
2	Do you see that?
3	MR. HUNTSMAN: I do.
4	MR. DEIXLER: Does that reflect the investigator, Chow's,
5	receipt of a direction from your office about investigation
6	that needs to be undertaken in connection with this matter?
7	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.
8	MR. DEIXLER: What does that reflect?
9	MR. HUNTSMAN: It reflects conversations within the
10	sheriff's department about how the positions that OIG would
11	likely take in public reports, such as the one I just
12	expressed, that you you have to investigate the motive when
13	you're investigating crime. And, therefore, them determining
14	that they should act accordingly to avoid public embarrassment
15	is what I think that refers to.
16	MR. DEIXLER: I see.
17	And so who's Lieutenant Chevalier?
18	MR. HUNTSMAN: I do not know.
19	MR. DEIXLER: Would you look and read aloud the
20	November 27th, 2018, reference in this ICIB log of the day
21	after Sheriff McDonnell conceded but before Sheriff Villanueva
22	took office.
23	MR. HUNTSMAN: It is dated November 27th, 2018, 1300
24	hours, and it reads:
25	"Canceled interviews due to Captain/Chief Burson.

1	He wanted to make sure I did not have to ask questions about
2	subculture groups at East LA Station. Worked on Sergeant
3	Wilonsky case due to statute date is coming up."
4	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
5	Did you understand the reference to subculture groups
6	to mean deputy cliques or gangs?
7	MR. HUNTSMAN: I did.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And what would be the proper investigatory
9	reason for such an instruction to be given to Captain, but
10	soon soon-to-be Chief Burson?
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: I cannot think of a proper investigatory
12	reason.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with the sworn testimony
14	of Investigator Chow that he was told by Captain Burson in
15	connection with the November 27 entry that Captain Burson had
16	to, quote, "speak to the sheriff about pursuing questions about
17	the subgroups"?
18	MR. HUNTSMAN: I am familiar with testimony to that
19	effect.
20	MR. DEIXLER: And in the context of the Kennedy Hall
21	investigation, did the reference to subgroups mean Banditos?
22	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Was any subgroup besides Banditos involved
24	in the Kennedy Hall incident?
25	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.

1	MR. DEIXLER: Please look, if you will, at the reference
2	that immediately follows on December 7th, 2018, and if you
3	would, read it aloud.
4	MR. HUNTSMAN: It is dated December 7th, 2018, 0900 hours,
5	and reads:
6	"Received the go-ahead to start interviewing
7	witnesses for this case. Also, the Chief, Burson, informed me
8	that I do not need to ask about subculture groups at East LA
9	Station. Spoke to an attorney, Sherry Lawrence, representing,
10	redacted, and declined to be interviewed."
11	MR. DEIXLER: First, as we've said, Captain Burson, as of
12	November 27th, was a captain. And on December 7th, 2018, four
13	days after the sheriff was sworn in, he became chief.
14	Is that how you understand the flow of this
15	promotion?
16	MR. HUNTSMAN: At least by December 7th he was officially
17	be called chief. Whether or not he had already received the
18	promotion or or when precisely he did, I don't know. I know
19	Villanueva made a number of promotions almost immediately upon
20	being elected.
21	MR. DEIXLER: What would be the proper investigatory
22	reason, after checking with the sheriff, to say there's no need
23	to ask about subculture groups at the East LA Station?
24	MR. HUNTSMAN: The only proper reason would be if it would
25	somehow interfere with the investigation. And I as I said,

Τ

1	I can think of absolutely no reason why it would.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And, again, by subculture groups, we're
3	talking about the Banditos, aren't we?
4	MR. HUNTSMAN: We are.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with the sworn testimony by
6	Investigator Chow that he understood that it was an order not
7	to ask about subculture groups?
8	MR. HUNTSMAN: I I don't have that before me,
9	specifically, but you want to refresh my recollection?
10	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: Thank you.
12	MR. DEIXLER: Let me read to you briefly from page 33,
13	lines 2 through 3:
14	"Sir" this is Inspector Chow, "Sir, we are a
15	paramilitary organization. He gives me an order, I follow
16	through."
17	And on the same page, at paragraph numbered 14, he
18	says, "Yeah. He had to check with the sheriff. Yes."
19	MR. HUNTSMAN: I thank you for refreshing my
20	recollection. I now remember both those statements by
21	Mr. Chow under oath.
22	COMMISSIONER BONNER: I'm wondering, Mr. Deixler, is it
23	possible to give us a date of that deposition
24	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
25	COMMISSIONER BONNER: and and clearly identify who

1	the deponent was.
2	MR. DEIXLER: Absolutely.
3	The deposition is April 21st, 2022. The witness is
4	Sergeant Jefferson Chow.
5	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Thank you.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with the investigator's
7	testimony that after interviewing 90 witnesses he found no
8	evidence to contradict the victims' claim that they were
9	victims of an unprovoked and unresisted attack?
10	MR. HUNTSMAN: That's my understanding of how he
11	testified, and also, it's consistent with our review of the
12	of the record of his investigation.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Is the ICIB an authorized agent agency to
14	conduct investigations into gangs?
15	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
16	MR. DEIXLER: Was the instruction to not ask about
17	subgroups a lack of cooperation with that investigation?
18	MR. HUNTSMAN: It could be characterized as that. I think
19	it's more serious than that.
20	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Excuse me, Mr. Deixler. Would you
21	identify what the deposition where the deposition comes
22	from?
23	MR. DEIXLER: Yes. The deposition comes from the case of
24	Art Hernandez
25	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Okay.

1	MR. DEIXLER: et al, against the County of Los Angeles,
2	against Rafael "Rene" Munoz, also known as "Big Listo"; Gregory
3	Rodriguez, also known as "G-Rod"; David Silverio, also known as
4	"Silver"; Michael Hernandez, also known as "Bam Bam."
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you very much.
6	MR. DEIXLER: In addition to the witnesses who testified
7	or were interviewed, were there witnesses who declined to do
8	so?
9	MR. HUNTSMAN: There were. Approximately two dozen.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Is the sheriff in power to direct that
11	witnesses cooperate with investigations?
12	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. And they're required to do so under
13	sheriff's policy.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Did it ever come to your attention that the
15	sheriff reversed direction and ordered recalcitrant witnesses
16	to testify?
17	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.
18	MR. DEIXLER: Did it ever come to your attention that the
19	sheriff reversed direction and instructed that there be
20	investigation of the involvement of the Banditos in connection
21	with the Kennedy Hall episode?
22	MR. HUNTSMAN: Not in the criminal case.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Did you learn that the investigator, Chow,
24	testified under oath that he believed the District Attorney
25	should have filed a criminal case against the perpetrators of

1	the Kennedy Hall beatdown?
2	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
3	MR. DEIXLER: Did you learn of the investigator's sworn
4	testimony that he was surprised that the DA didn't file a
5	criminal case against the Bandito perpetrators?
6	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe that accurately describes his
7	testimony.
8	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to take a look at Exhibit 23.
9	MR. HUNTSMAN: Twenty-three appears to be the DA reject in
10	that case.
11	MR. DEIXLER: The DA reject. What do you mean by "the DA
12	reject"?
13	MR. HUNTSMAN: The District Attorney's Office, when
14	presented with a written set of reports from a law enforcement
15	agency asking for a filing of criminal charges, will prepare a
16	charge evaluations worksheet. And when the conclusion is that
17	they will not file it, it is commonly referred to in law
18	enforcement as "the reject."
19	MR. DEIXLER: And the persons under investigation by the
20	District Attorney were Michael "Bam Bam" Hernandez, Gregory
21	"G-Rod" Rodriguez, David "Silver" Silverio, and Raphael
22	"Big Listo" Munoz.
23	Is that what Exhibit 23 demonstrates?
24	MR. HUNTSMAN: That is correct.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And all of those are the defendants in the

1	lawsuit against them and the County claiming they're Banditos;
2	correct?
3	MR. HUNTSMAN: That is correct.
4	MR. DEIXLER: Let me call your attention to page 4 in the
5	third paragraph. That sets forth some of the conduct
6	identifying what the three deputies did with a fellow deputy.
7	Am I understanding that correctly?
8	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And because my aged eyes are not what they
10	once were, could you read aloud what the alleged conduct was?
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: The highlighted portion do you just want
12	the third paragraph or the highlighted portion?
13	MR. DEIXLER: Why don't you tell us about Rodriguez,
14	Munoz, Silverio, Hernandez, and Vincent Moran to set the set
15	the stage for us.
16	MR. HUNTSMAN: It states that they were all part of an
17	older, more senior generation of deputy sheriffs who expressed
18	their dislike of Gonzales and his work ethic. And it refers to
19	footnote 5, which I believe is significant.
20	But skipping that, the next paragraph reads:
21	"On September 26th, 2018, Rodriguez, Moran, and Munoz
22	confronted Gonzales at the ELA Station barbecue area and took
23	turns criticizing his lack of work productivity, work hours,
24	and being too comfortable for a newer deputy. Moran finished
25	by telling Gonzales to transfer to another patrol station. On

Т

1	September 27th, 2018, Silverio confronted Gonzales at the
2	party and told Gonzalez he was not good at the station. And
3	M. Hernandez approached Gonzales and called him a 'pussy' and a
4	'rat.'"
5	MR. DEIXLER: And this is one sheriff's deputy speaking of
б	another sheriff's deputy.
7	Do I understand that correctly?
8	MR. HUNTSMAN: Speaking directly to.
9	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
10	Let me ask you. Earlier you had suggested that not
11	only was this failure to investigate a failure of cooperation,
12	but something more.
13	How would you characterize the failure to ask about
14	the Banditos in these in the investigation to compel the
15	witnesses who declined to testify to testify? How do you
16	how do you see that, and doing so on the instruction of the
17	sheriff?
18	MR. HUNTSMAN: The log that you have introduced or that
19	you have presented as an exhibit I don't believe was ever given
20	to the District Attorney's Office until I gave it to them. So
21	the information that we have here about the way they structured
22	this investigation was not known to the District Attorney's
23	Office when they rejected the case.
24	And I believe the manner in which this case was
25	investigated and presented amounted to a coverup, essentially

1	obstruction of justice.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And the obstruction of justice that you
3	identify starts with whom?
4	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe it started 50 years ago.
5	But I in this in the evidence here,
6	Chief/Captain Burson, possibly expecting a promotion, directed
7	that the investigation be conducted in a manner which would
8	specifically not gather evidence regarding the gang motive of
9	the of the assault.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to continue on with this
11	DA's declination document and look, if you will, at footnote
12	number 5.
13	MR. HUNTSMAN: Footnote 5 reads as follows:
14	"Although there was some mention of a subculture
15	'Banditos' existing at the ELA Station, the 'Banditos' were not
16	a focus of this investigation, nor were the suspects
17	definitively identified as being part of this subculture.
18	Furthermore, whenever mentioned, the 'Banditos' were simply
19	associated with a group of older, more senior deputies that
20	simply ostracized younger deputies they felt were 'lazy.' At
21	no point in this investigation did any witnesses indicate that
22	the 'Banditos' were equivalent to a gang or any type of
23	criminal enterprise."
24	MR. DEIXLER: And is that a fair summary of what your
25	investigation has determined is the action and behavior of

1	the Banditos?
2	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.
3	MR. DEIXLER: And why is that not fair?
4	MR. HUNTSMAN: Because in this specific incident, there
5	was ample evidence that there was prior incidence of of
6	the Banditos deputy deputies who were members of the
7	Banditos threatening this group in order to have have an
8	impact upon their employment opportunities. As we've
9	discussed, the Banditos operated in a gang-like way a way
10	that prior to this year could have been described as gang-like,
11	but now must be because of the law that you've already put up.
12	So so I think this description of the Banditos is
13	not really having anybody to say they're like a gang, while it
14	might represent the four corners of this the document that
15	was submitted to the DA's Office because of the direction of
16	of Chief/Captain Burson.
17	It doesn't accurately reflect what I think even as
18	to that, there was some some evidence in it. But it doesn't
19	accurately reflect the state of what the Los Angeles Sheriff's
20	Department knew to be the truth regarding the Banditos at that
21	time and at this time.
22	MR. DEIXLER: So would it be a fair summary to say that
23	the sheriff's department didn't accurately report to the
24	District Attorney the facts it knew regarding the crime that
25	was being investigated?

1	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe that is more than a fair
2	statement.
3	MR. DEIXLER: Would it be fair to say that the
4	determination of prosecution that or non-prosecution made by
5	the District Attorney was based upon the information which was
6	withheld from the District Attorney?
7	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
8	MR. DEIXLER: Is that consistent with good law enforcement
9	practice?
10	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to take a look in this same
12	exhibit at page 11. Again, it's Exhibit 23. It's entitled
13	"Statements of Other LASD Personnel at the Party."
14	MR. HUNTSMAN: I see it.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And that section summarizes that 21 deputies
16	declined to be interviewed.
17	MR. HUNTSMAN: That is correct.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And is it your understanding that that was
19	in addition to the four suspects who also declined to be
20	interviewed?
21	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. The four suspects would've had a
22	Fifth Amendment right, and it was perfectly appropriate for
23	them to decline. The 21 witnesses did not, as far as I know.
24	MR. DEIXLER: So 25 percipient witnesses were not
25	interviewed. Is that your understanding?

Т

1	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe that's correct.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And do you know whether the four principal
3	targets actually asserted their Fifth Amendment right, or just
4	declined to be interviewed and were weren't compelled?
5	MR. HUNTSMAN: I I don't know the answer to that
6	sitting here. And it would be standard practice in any such
7	investigation not to interview them on the assumption that they
8	would assert their Fifth Amendment right. So it is possible
9	they didn't actually have to do it.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Was there any evidence the sheriff tried to
11	compel the deputies to answer the investigator's questions?
12	MR. HUNTSMAN: The 21 deputies?
13	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
14	MR. HUNTSMAN: Absolutely no evidence.
15	MR. DEIXLER: Was the lack of cooperation documented in
16	Exhibit 4 and Exhibit 23 by the giving of that instruction not
17	to ask about subgroups a violation of the Penal Code 13670?
18	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
19	MR. DEIXLER: Does the instruction not to ask about the
20	Banditos and the failure to order deputy cooperation violate
21	the Penal Code section other than 13670?
22	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe it does. It certainly, on its
23	face, violates 13670, but I believe it also amounts to
24	obstruction of justice.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And that obstruction of justice, again,

1	is rests with Captain Burson and the sheriff, who gave that
2	instruction, as you understand, in Investigator Chow's
3	testimony?
4	MR. HUNTSMAN: If the sheriff gave that instruction.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Speaking of lack of cooperation, let me have
6	you look at Exhibit 5, please.
7	MR. HUNTSMAN: I see Exhibit 5.
8	MR. DEIXLER: Is that a letter dated January 19th, 2022,
9	to the sheriff from you?
10	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And does that letter reference Penal Code
12	Section 13670 and request information?
13	MR. HUNTSMAN: It does.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And the information requested includes names
15	of potential deputy gang members and documents regarding deputy
16	gangs?
17	MR. HUNTSMAN: Among other things, yes.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And did you receive a response from the
19	Undersheriff Murakami on behalf of Sheriff Villanueva?
20	MR. HUNTSMAN: I don't consider it a response because it
21	didn't come from Sheriff Villanueva, and it didn't give us
22	anything. But I did receive a letter from Undersheriff
23	Murakami.
24	MR. DEIXLER: Well, no surprise.
25	Take a look at Exhibit Number 6, a letter dated

1	February 10th, 2022.
2	And and is that the the non-response response?
3	MR. HUNTSMAN: That's the non-response response.
4	MR. DEIXLER: Did the sheriff authorize the release of any
5	names?
6	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Did the sheriff author authorize the
8	release of any documents?
9	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Did the letter threaten you with a
11	defamation claim?
12	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Did you, on March 21st, respond to the
14	February 10th letter?
15	MR. HUNTSMAN: Well, I'll bet that's Exhibit 7. And it
16	is.
17	I did.
18	MR. DEIXLER: What a coincidence.
19	MR. HUNTSMAN: Thank you.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Did you, in that letter, identify by name
21	the potential law enforcement gangs about whom you sought
22	information?
23	MR. HUNTSMAN: I did.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And would you look at page 5 of that letter?
25	MR. HUNTSMAN: You know, in oh, yes. Yes. Here it is.

	1	MR. DEIXLER: And what were the names of gangs that you
	2	wished to obtain information regarding?
	3	MR. HUNTSMAN: The ones I specifically listed, although,
	4	of course, in our first, it included all potential law
	5	enforcement gangs, are the Executioners, the Gladiators, use of
	6	a term "old ink and new ink," which I do not know to be a gang
	7	as such but makes distinctions within the gangs. Banditos,
	8	Regulators, Jump Out Boys, Gladiators, the Grim Reapers, the
	9	Vikings, and any other group alleged by anyone to be a
	10	potential law enforcement gang.
	11	MR. DEIXLER: From March 21st, when you sent that letter,
	12	through today, would you share with the commissioners the
	13	information that you've received from the sheriff regarding the
	14	requested documents?
	15	MR. HUNTSMAN: I cannot 'cause I've received nothing.
	16	MR. DEIXLER: Have you received a response?
	17	MR. HUNTSMAN: I don't recall. I've received many letters
	18	at times from the sheriff and the undersheriff, but not ones
	19	providing the documents requested.
	20	MR. DEIXLER: Under the Penal Code definition that we've
	21	been studying in 13670, would you agree the sheriff the
	22	sheriff has failed to cooperate with any investigation into
	23	gangs by an inspector general?
	24	MR. HUNTSMAN: I do wholeheartedly agree with that.
	25	MR. DEIXLER: In order for the sheriff to appear for a
1		

5 the subpoena? 6 MR. HUNTSMAN: No. 7 MR. DEIXLER: Was your office, through county counsel, 8 forced to bring an enforcement action against the sheriff to 9 enforce that subpoena? 10 MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. 11 MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to 12 quash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the first		
3 But not a deposition. Just testimony. 4 MR. DEIXLER: In the first instance, did the sheriff of 5 the subpoena? 6 MR. HUNTSMAN: No. 7 MR. DEIXLER: Was your office, through county counsel, 8 forced to bring an enforcement action against the sheriff t 9 enforce that subpoena? 10 MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. 11 MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to 12 quash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24	1	deposition, did your office serve a subpoena?
 MR. DEIXLER: In the first instance, did the sheriff of the subpoena? MR. HUNTSMAN: No. MR. DEIXLER: Was your office, through county counsel, forced to bring an enforcement action against the sheriff to enforce that subpoena? MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to quash, did he appear? MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under Penal Code 13670? MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception th allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 	2	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
5 the subpoena? 6 MR. HUNTSMAN: No. 7 MR. DEIXLER: Was your office, through county counsel, 8 forced to bring an enforcement action against the sheriff t 9 enforce that subpoena? 10 MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. 11 MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to 12 guash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the first 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat	3	But not a deposition. Just testimony.
 6 MR. HUNTSMAN: No. 7 MR. DEIXLER: Was your office, through county counsel, 8 forced to bring an enforcement action against the sheriff to 9 enforce that subpoena? 10 MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. 11 MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to 12 quash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception to 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the first 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat 	4	MR. DEIXLER: In the first instance, did the sheriff obey
7 MR. DEIXLER: Was your office, through county counsel, 8 forced to bring an enforcement action against the sheriff to 9 enforce that subpoena? 10 MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. 11 MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to 12 quash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception to 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the first 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat	5	the subpoena?
<pre>8 forced to bring an enforcement action against the sheriff t 9 enforce that subpoena? 10 MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. 11 MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to 12 quash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat </pre>	6	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.
<pre>9 enforce that subpoena? 10 MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. 11 MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to 12 quash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat</pre>	7	MR. DEIXLER: Was your office, through county counsel,
10 MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes. 11 MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to 12 quash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 11 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat	8	forced to bring an enforcement action against the sheriff to
MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to quash, did he appear? MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under Penal Code 13670? MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat	9	enforce that subpoena?
<pre>12 quash, did he appear? 13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea</pre>	10	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
13 MR. HUNTSMAN: He did. 14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat	11	MR. DEIXLER: And after the sheriff lost his motion to
14 MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring 15 motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County 16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea	12	quash, did he appear?
motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under Penal Code 13670? MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to sweat	13	MR. HUNTSMAN: He did.
16 testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under 17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea	14	MR. DEIXLER: And did you regard that having to bring
17 Penal Code 13670? 18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swear	15	motions to force the sitting sheriff of Los Angeles County to
18 MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception t 19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swear	16	testify as his cooperating, as he was required to do under the
19 allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an 20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea	17	Penal Code 13670?
20 argument to be made that on a matter of first impression th 21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea	18	MR. HUNTSMAN: The Penal Code does have an exception that
21 it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of th 22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea	19	allows people to assert lawful rights. And so there is an
22 inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rat 23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea	20	argument to be made that on a matter of first impression that
23 clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the firs 24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea	21	it's legitimate for the sheriff to question the right of the
24 time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swea	22	inspector general to serve a subpoena. I believe it is rather
	23	clear in the Penal Code. And then having appeared the first
25 tell the truth.	24	time, we had to go back to court to get him ordered to swear to
	25	tell the truth.

45

1	So I suppose there's an argument to be made that it
2	would be an assertion of a proper right for the sheriff to
3	claim that having been forced to appear, he didn't have to say
4	he would tell the truth. But a Court disagreed, and he was
5	ordered to apply.
6	I view it as not cooperating, but I think there's an
7	argument to be made that those legal actions might be he
8	might be given a pass on those. But he's done other things
9	than that.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And and as we go through the hearings,
11	we'll hear more.
12	MR. HUNTSMAN: You may.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Inspector General, let me ask you, when the
14	sheriff did appear, did he testify?
15	MR. HUNTSMAN: Ultimately, yes. Recently, he came and
16	testified. He answered some questions and not others.
17	MR. DEIXLER: And did he was he accompanied by lawyers?
18	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
19	MR. DEIXLER: And how many lawyers did he bring with him?
20	MR. HUNTSMAN: Three lawyers, I believe.
21	MR. DEIXLER: One more thing. I read this in the paper,
22	and I thought I'd give you a chance to talk about it.
23	Are you a Holocaust denier?
24	MR. HUNTSMAN: No.
25	MR. DEIXLER: The sheriff has also stated that you're the

Т

1	subject of a criminal investigation.
2	Is the public announcement that a specific person is
3	under investigation a standard police practice?
4	MR. HUNTSMAN: It is almost never done.
5	MR. DEIXLER: And can you imagine why the sheriff of
6	Los Angeles County would accuse the inspector general of being
7	a Holocaust denier and publicly state that he was under
8	investigation?
9	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And what is that reason that you surmise?
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: I believe that I'm the victim of an
12	extortion attempt under 518 of the Penal Code, along with all
13	the other public officials, including those sitting to my left,
14	and those sitting to my right, who are responsible for
15	oversight of the sheriff.
16	Since he was elected, he has engaged in a campaign to
17	threaten and harass anybody who was a critic of his,
18	particularly those who had the actual legal authority to
19	criticize him.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Pass the witness.
21	CHAIR KENNEDY: Are there I'm going to invite the
22	Ad Hoc Committee on Deputy Cliques and Gangs to ask any
23	questions, if commissioners would like to. And then I'll
24	I'll invite my colleagues on the commission as well.
25	COMMISSIONER BONNER: I I guess this is a question.

1	I'm not sure it's to the inspector general or to Mr. Deixler.
2	But just listening to the testimony and the
3	instructions that were given by Captain/Commander/Chief Burson,
4	I'm wondering whether it might be appropriate for the Oversight
5	Commission to consider a subpoena to Chief Burson so we can
6	understand well, if anyone gave him instructions to order
7	that there be no questions about the Banditos or subcultures at
8	East LA Station. So I guess I'll put that to Mr. Deixler.
9	MR. DEIXLER: Judge Bonner, it is our absolute intention
10	to serve a subpoena and enforce it, if necessary, to have
11	Chief Burson explain the circumstances of the instruction that
12	he gave, but he's not available today.
13	In our next session, or one after that, we'll do all
14	we can to have the chief explain himself.
15	COMMISSIONER BONNER: All all I would say is just
16	it's an observation, but just given us given the length of
17	time it's taking this commission to enforce any of its
18	subpoenas, the sooner we issue it, the better. If you if
19	you think a witness is going to be necessary at any point
20	during your your investigation on behalf of this on
21	behalf of the oversight commission.
22	MR. DEIXLER: I'll present a subpoena to the ad hoc
23	subpoena committee this afternoon.
24	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Thank you.
25	MR. HUNTSMAN: And, Your Honor, I will add in that we have

1	also already subpoenaed him in the Office of Inspector General.
2	And since we are conducting a joint investigation, we will make
3	any information he should provide us, should it be enforced,
4	available to this commission.
5	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Thank you, Mr. Huntsman.
6	CHAIR KENNEDY: Lael?
7	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yes. Early on in this quite
8	enlightening and incredible testimony, Mr. Huntsman, you made
9	reference to the fact that you separately received information
10	about the Regulators.
11	Can you indicate to us what that separately received
12	information and what the source of that was?
13	MR. HUNTSMAN: In general, I can tell you that the
14	Regulators are a well-known group within the sheriff's
15	department, and I've heard multiple references to them.
16	The specific information I'm referring to you, I
17	cannot share because it is privileged under a bunch of
18	privileges, including the attorney-client privilege.
19	I could tell you some things about it, but it
20	wouldn't do any good 'cause the core matters I couldn't convey,
21	other than to say it's something that I learned about prior to
22	the Rand study, and my concerns about it my attempts to
23	investigate are part of what triggered the Rand study.
24	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you very much.
25	CHAIR KENNEDY: Any commissioners have any questions?

2 COMMISSIONER COOPER: My concern is after findi	ing out that
	ing out that
3 a lot of information was not given to the DA, will t	chere be a
4 correction to that?	
5 MR. HUNTSMAN: We published in 2020, on our web	osite and
6 also shared with the DA, the description that that	at you have
7 as an exhibit now. And, unfortunately, it did not r	result in
8 any action by the District Attorney.	
9 We did not have the ICIB log at that time.	. Upon
10 obtaining it, I made sure the DA's Office got it so	they are
11 aware of it. This is a public hearing so they'll be	e aware of
12 this, and what action, if any, they choose to take w	will be up
13 to them.	
14 COMMISSIONER COOPER: Thank you.	
15 COMMISSIONER BONNER: Wouldn't it be correct th	nough,
16 Mr. Huntsman, that the statute of limitations has ru	un on the
17 assaultive behavior that occurred in 2018?	
18 MR. HUNTSMAN: That is correct, although the st	tatute
19 it has not run on some other potential crimes that c	could be
20 charged around it.	
21 COMMISSIONER HICKS: Thank you, Inspector Hunts	sman, for
22 coming and testifying.	
23 A question about during your investigat	cion, was
24 there any identification of the tattoos and whether	they'd get
25 the tattoos together or get the tattoos separately?	

1	MR. HUNTSMAN: Generally, we have learned or been told
2	that it works both ways. There are times when an individual
3	will be invited, and he'll generally be taken by one or more
4	other people to a tattoo artist who's provided a template, and
5	then the tattoo is is put on.
6	But there also have been what what have been
7	referred to as "inking parties." So it is possible that more
8	than one person got a tattoo at a time. But it's most often
9	one tattoo receiver and one or more members of the group that
10	are facilitating that happening.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKS: I was going to ask about the inking
12	parties.
13	The inking parties, is it the same exact tattoo, or
14	is it a similar tattoo?
15	MR. HUNTSMAN: It it tends to be pretty exact.
16	The you know, this is we're talking about a
17	phenomenon that's existed for 50 years, and there's a change in
18	tattoos over that time. So if you wanted to look at the whole
19	thing as a as a as one single entity, then certainly
20	there are different tattoos. The they're they're
21	different groups, but as to any particular one, they seem to be
22	very consistent.
23	And it is alleged as to the 2015 incident, and which
24	recently was publicized, a photograph of a tattoo with a bullet
25	hole in it a bullet wound, that is alleged to have been the

1	result of a change in that tattoo that was not found acceptable
2	by "old ink" versus "new ink."
3	So there are some instances of change, but they seem
4	to be resisted, and when and for the most part, they do not
5	change. There's a sequential number placed next to each
6	tattoo, so that's different. And then eventually that tattoo
7	is left behind and a new tattoo or a new group is started up
8	is is what we generally hear.
9	COMMISSIONER HICKS: One of the groups you mentioned, I
10	believe, was 9-9-8.
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: That was mentioned in that memo from
12	20 2004. 9-9-8 is a reference involving police shootings.
13	It's not a group, as such, I don't believe.
14	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Well, that's what I was trying to
15	understand.
16	Is there was there a subculture or gang that
17	identified their group as 9-9-8 for only those that were
18	involved in a deputy-involved shooting?
19	MR. HUNTSMAN: Not in that way, to my knowledge.
20	I there have been allegations over the years that
21	some of the guns that are displayed in in a gang tattoo will
22	have smoke placed on them if you have been involved involved
23	in a police shooting. And and I've heard allegations that
24	people are forwarded for membership or offered membership as a
25	result of a shooting. But I've never heard an allegation that

1	there is a gang-specific that is, members of those who have
2	who've been involved in a police shooting.
3	COMMISSIONER HICKS: What about those that have tattoos
4	that say "9-9-8" that were involved in a deputy-involved
5	shooting?
6	MR. HUNTSMAN: It is not it is not unheard of for law
7	enforcement to get a tattoo in response to a police shooting.
8	And 9-9-8 would be something they might put on as a tattoo
9	'cause it's universally known that that's that's what that
10	means. That's that's not unusual. That allegation has been
11	made and confirmed in some instances independent of gang
12	allegations that that deputies who were involved, or
13	officers who were involved in this life-or-death situation
14	sometimes place a tattoo on their body to remember it.
15	It has been alleged that has been it's an
16	indicator inappropriate conduct, and sometimes that it has
17	to do with a near-death experience of their own, but it's not
18	always associated with gangs.
19	It the gang association that I've heard mentioned
20	is is the smoke on on the gun tattoo, but I couldn't say
21	that that doesn't also happen outside the potential gang
22	context.
23	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Thank you, Inspector.
24	CHAIR KENNEDY: Can you use the microphone so that our
25	we're live streaming.

1	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Thank you, Max.
2	My question is: From your experience and your
3	investigations and your contact with the department, the
4	sheriff has declared and I believe it it's also on the
5	website that he has taken care of whatever remnants from the
6	past of gang behavior, cliques, et cetera, that he's
7	transferred people from one station to another.
8	Do you have any evidence of knowing the people who
9	were transferred, or that actual any action specifically
10	related to the issue of the cliques and the gangs that the
11	sheriff has said that he has taken?
12	MR. HUNTSMAN: No. I will say there's two two
13	qualifications of that. One is he has publicly talked quite a
14	bit about 26 transfers out of East Los Angeles. And in that
15	instance the captain of East LA, during the time in which he
16	described that, has said under oath that those were not
17	Bandito-related transfers.
18	And I happen from based on information I've
19	seen, happened to know that some of them were the alleged
20	victims of the Banditos' assault. So I'm pretty sure they
21	weren't transferred to bust up the Banditos; so that one is
22	is not supported.
23	And and then the the general answer is no.
24	I'm aware of some people under the sheriff doing some
25	movement around stations because they got wind of a of a

1	gang-related issue and wanted to try to improve it. So it's
2	not the case to say that the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department
3	is oblivious to this problem. But the sheriff actually
4	removing the problem, I I'm aware of no evidence of that.
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: JP?
6	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Thank you.
7	Mr. Huntsman, I'm doing a follow-on to
8	Commissioner Cooper's question.
9	Now that we have this new information that there
10	appears to have been some interference in the investigation,
11	is it possible that the Internal Affairs investigation into
12	misconduct wherein the 21 people who didn't or refused to be
13	interviewed by ICIB could be compelled to be interviewed by
14	Internal Affairs? Could that investigation be either reopened
15	or a new investigation started to compel them to tell the
16	department what they know about the Banditos?
17	MR. HUNTSMAN: The there was, as is usually the case in
18	any law enforcement agency but particularly in the sheriff's
19	department, an internal affairs investigation that followed the
20	internal criminal investigation. And in that investigation
21	many people were compelled, and some Banditos were identified.
22	And that and that is the source of when we wrote
23	to the sheriff and told him we wanted information. We
24	specifically pointed out, you know, "You've conducted a couple
25	of the investigations. You've identified 41 potential gang

1	members." And we identified 10 that they identified. There's
2	a lot more Banditos than 10.
3	And I wouldn't hold my breath waiting for the
4	sheriff's department to solve this problem through an internal
5	investigation, but yes, that can certainly be done.
6	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Thank you.
7	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Could I just on a follow-on to
8	that question, I'm I'm wondering, do we have the names of
9	the 21 deputies who declined to be interviewed? And if we do,
10	should we consider I I don't need an answer right now,
11	but should we consider subpoenaing them for a public hearing,
12	that is, before this body, the Oversight Commission?
13	I ask you, Mr. Deixler.
14	MR. DEIXLER: I have the names.
15	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Thank you.
16	We'll we'll consider that in due course.
17	CHAIR KENNEDY: Lael?
18	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yes.
19	Mr. Huntsman, in following up from Commissioner
20	Giggans's question and in statements that you've made, you've
21	indicated that there were transfers from East LA Station.
22	What has happened to the to the victims in the
23	Bandito complaint and investigation? Are they still at
24	East LA? Are they still with the department?
25	MR. HUNTSMAN: For the most part, they're still with the

1	department. I do not believe they're at East LA, and
2	Vincent Miller, their lawyer, would be the best person to ask
3	about that. I have not tracked where they are at this time.
4	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: Anyone else?
6	Max, I just have one question. Chief Matthew Burson,
7	isn't he the person who announced at a press conference that he
8	had been appointed by the sheriff to investigate the Banditos
9	and the Executioners and also conduct a comprehensive
10	investigation throughout the entirety of the department about
11	deputy gangs?
12	MR. HUNTSMAN: Yes.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: Mr. Deixler, I I don't think there
14	COMMISSIONER BONNER: I think you should follow up. And
15	have we ever seen an investigative report from Chief Burson
16	with respect to the existence of deputy cliques, gangs, or
17	exclusionary subgroups?
18	MR. HUNTSMAN: No. Nor am I aware of any such
19	investigation, any internal report. And the interim sheriff,
20	John Scott, made a similar promise years earlier that also
21	wasn't done. So I I don't expect that there is such a
22	report.
23	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Mr. Deixler? Next witness.
24	MR. DEIXLER: No further questions.
25	Our next witness is Lieutenant Waldie.

1	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
2	MR. DEIXLER: Commissioner Giggans, before you leave, I
3	will tell you that we will have two witnesses today who will
4	who will answer your question.
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: Could you raise your right hand?
6	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
7	nothing but the truth?
8	MR. WALDIE: I wholeheartedly do.
9	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Good good morning, sir.
11	Would you state your name for the record and spell
12	your last name.
13	MR. WALDIE: My name is Larry Andrew Waldie, and my last
14	name is spelled W-a-l-d-i-e.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And, sir, how are you employed?
16	MR. WALDIE: I'm employed by the County of Los Angeles in
17	the capacity of a law enforcement officer, a deputy sheriff.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And what rank do you currently hold in the
19	LASD?
20	MR. WALDIE: I am a lieutenant.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And how long have you been a member of the
22	LASD?
23	MR. WALDIE: A little going on over 22 years, sir.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And I understand, sir, that you are a member
25	of LASD royalty. Let me take ask you to take a look at

1	Exhibit Number 2, page number 5.
2	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
3	MR. DEIXLER: And let me let's make sure we get it up.
4	I've set as my goal for this examination not dropping
5	the exhibit book.
6	Page numbered 5, of Exhibit Number 2, says, "Chief
7	Ronnie Williams has advised myself and Larry Waldie" this is
8	the memorandum dated September 13th, 2004 "about his efforts
9	in addressing inappropriate and potentially damaging behavior
10	occurring at Century Station."
11	Would I be correct saying that in September of 2004
12	you were not the Larry Waldie who was investigating a gang in
13	Century Station?
14	MR. WALDIE: That's correct, sir.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And and who was Larry Waldie?
16	MR. WALDIE: Larry Waldie is my father. He is currently
17	retired as the former undersheriff.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And so here we are, 18 years later,
19	discussing the same subject matter as your dad had investigated
20	at that time. Well, here we go.
21	Could you summarize for us, briefly, your career in
22	the the LASD, in the service of the public?
23	MR. WALDIE: I was I came on in 2000 as a deputy
24	sheriff from the academy. I worked the jails, essentially
25	responsible for the the care, the welfare of the inmates at
1	

1	Century Regional Detention Facility in Lynwood.
2	I went on to do some minor database applications for
3	the department regarding retroactive contracts. I then became
4	a deputy at Compton Station from 2005 to approximately 2009,
5	working also at Compton Station in 2007 to 2009 for the Gang
6	Unit, Operation Safe Streets Bureau, as a gang enforcement
7	deputy. I then promoted to sergeant in 2009, and I was working
8	Lennox Station on the in-field activities.
9	I was then put on loan to the CCJV's recommendation,
10	the Commander Management Task Force, and that was to analyze
11	significant force incidents or any incidents that looked like
12	they might reach a level of high-risk management issues.
13	And then I promoted to lieutenant, and I I did a
14	couple gigs, one up in NCCF North Correctional Facility and
15	then Risk-Management Lieutenant, analyzing trends, and
16	reporting on them for managers, unit commanders, within custody
17	facilities. And then I became the operations lieutenant at
18	Twin Towers for a little over a year. Then I came back to
19	Compton as a lieutenant in 2017.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Okay. And I should ask when you first made
21	lieutenant?
22	MR. WALDIE: That was approximately 2013.
23	MR. DEIXLER: And what were the circumstances of your
24	returning to the Compton Station where you had been from 2005
25	to 2009?

1	MR. WALDIE: Well, I was I was asked to stay by my
2	who's now a chief my my captain, Laura Lecrivain. She
3	said that I had a promising career there in custody 'cause I
4	did a great job for her. However, I wanted to learn the ropes
5	as a field lieutenant; so I put in a transfer to go to patrol,
6	start over again from the bottom.
7	MR. DEIXLER: When you were at Compton, sir, who was the
8	captain in charge?
9	MR. WALDIE: His name is Michael Thatcher. He was the
10	captain at the time I arrived there.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And I should ask, for purposes of candor, do
12	you have a tattoo?
13	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And what is the tattoo associated with?
15	MR. WALDIE: It is associated with a group of deputies
16	from Compton Station, as Mr. Huntsman had placed in a memo.
17	The name is the Gladiators, and it was associated with,
18	essentially, serving the community, hard work, the ability
19	to the ability to teach others, share information with
20	others, and do the right thing.
21	MR. DEIXLER: When did you obtain your tattoo as a
22	Gladiator?
23	MR. WALDIE: I believe it was in 2007 after about two
24	years working Compton Station.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And when you arrived at Compton Station as a
·	

1	lieutenant, what were your duties?
2	MR. WALDIE: I was a watch commander on early morning
3	shift, which is graveyard shift.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And are you familiar with the term "shot
5	caller"?
6	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
7	MR. DEIXLER: And what does that term mean within the
8	context of the department?
9	MR. WALDIE: A shot caller is a term, as I heard in
10	previous testimony, that is given to someone within a gang,
11	someone that wields extreme power, and essentially calls the
12	shots for a subset or a specific gang.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And during the time you were at Compton
14	Station, was there a shot caller?
15	MR. WALDIE: Yes.
16	MR. DEIXLER: And who was that shot caller?
17	MR. WALDIE: His name was Jaime Juarez.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And did Jaime Juarez belong to a deputy
19	clique?
20	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And what clique was that?
22	MR. WALDIE: That clique has come to be known as lack
23	of a name that I know of, it's been widespread in the media,
24	called the Executioners.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And during the time you were at Compton

1	Station when Jaime Juarez was the shot caller, were there other
2	Executioners other than Deputy Juarez?
3	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And about how many Executioners were there
5	at the Compton Station during the time that you were there?
6	MR. WALDIE: I I'm off the top of my head, a guess
7	would probably be about 10 to 15 that I knew of.
8	MR. DEIXLER: Can you recall the names of any Executioners
9	who were there at the time you were?
10	MR. WALDIE: I can name a few.
11	Let's see. Anthony Bautista, Jaime Juarez, Edgar
12	Cuevas, David Navarrete. Let's see.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Was a was a Deputy Barajas an
14	Executioner?
15	MR. WALDIE: Yes. I found out, ultimately later, that he
16	had been in fact, was a member of the Executioners.
17	MR. DEIXLER: What about Deputy Ingersoll? Was he a an
18	Executioner?
19	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DEIXLER: As you understood it, was membership in the
21	Executioners opened to people of any gender or race?
22	MR. WALDIE: As far as I understood, and I don't
23	understand where they develop their qualifications for
24	inclusion into their their group, but no, I did not know of
25	any women or hear of any any blacks, specifically, that were

1	included.
2	MR. DEIXLER: How did the Executioners, if they did,
3	exercise power or influence in the Compton Station?
4	MR. WALDIE: Well, Jaime Juarez was called the shot caller
5	because of as Mr. Huntsman mentioned, I believe it was
6	Mr. Stonich had actually written that they had influence over
7	scheduling, overtime, days off, specific specialized
8	positions as well as held certain positions within the station
9	that that were influential, such as Detective Bureau and
10	training officers.
11	MR. DEIXLER: By the way, do training officers get paid an
12	increased amount of money from a deputy who is not a training
13	officer?
14	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And and how much, in addition, does a
16	training officer get?
17	MR. WALDIE: I believe when you're a senior training
18	officer that's pretty much top step after a year of training
19	someone. But best to my knowledge, it's about 10 to 12 percent
20	increase in pay per month.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And so being a training officer is a
22	financially valuable as well as powerful position in in the
23	Compton Station at the time you were there?
24	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
25	MR. DEIXLER: Did the Executioners have tattoos?

1	MR. WALDIE: Yes.
2	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to take a look at Exhibit
3	Number 8, and I'm going to ask you whether that represents an
4	Executioner tattoo.
5	MR. WALDIE: Come to be my understanding that that is, in
6	fact, the insignia that they they place on on their body.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Well, let's go back I want to see the
8	flags. There are two flags.
9	Do you what's the one on the left?
10	MR. WALDIE: Left appears to be a pro law enforcement thin
11	blue line as well as pro military flag.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And the one on the right?
13	MR. WALDIE: That appears to be that that group at
14	Compton Station.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And is it your understanding those flags are
16	on Deputy Jaime Juarez' vehicle?
17	MR. WALDIE: That is correct.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And let me ask you to take a look at
19	Exhibit Number 9 so we can hone in on an Executioner. That's a
20	publicly published photo of a Deputy Aldana.
21	Was he a deputy in Compton Station when you were?
22	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
23	MR. DEIXLER: And was he a member of the Executioners?
24	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And is that an accurate depiction of what an

1	Executioner tattoo looks like?
2	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. It's the tattoo he described as
3	having when he was deposed by by an attorney regarding a
4	civil lawsuit.
5	MR. DEIXLER: And that's the same tattoo as appears on the
6	flag that we just looked at for Deputy Juarez?
7	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
8	MR. DEIXLER: You've talked about the positions that were
9	occupied by the Executioners which were powerful, and let me
10	focus first on the scheduling deputy position.
11	Who was the scheduling deputy of Compton in 2018 and
12	2019?
13	MR. WALDIE: His name is Jaime Juarez.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And, in your experience, why is that a
15	powerful position?
16	MR. WALDIE: Because he essentially controls deputies,
17	everyone working on the line there, you know, their livelihood:
18	Overtime, as I mentioned, great spots, recommendations for
19	being on loan, items that that come up, like, essentially,
20	like, training NDB to for for deputies to acquire more
21	skills.
22	MR. DEIXLER: And are there parts of the area covered by
23	the Compton Station which are desirable or less desirable?
24	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And what would be an example of a less

1	desirable spot?
2	MR. WALDIE: There are a couple. One would be a traffic
3	unit. No deputy that comes to Compton Station that I know of
4	wants to work traffic. They want to make a difference, make a
5	dent in in crime; however, that difference is according to
6	their moral compass. However, there is also a a a spot,
7	which is a town center, which is guarding a commercial
8	shopping center.
9	MR. DEIXLER: Mall cop job, in effect?
10	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
12	That would be undesirable position, I gather?
13	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with the term "fast
15	station"?
16	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
17	MR. DEIXLER: And what is the term "fast station" in the
18	lexicon of of the LASD mean?
19	MR. WALDIE: Well, our our definition of a "fast
20	station" would be a station that sees a high amount of violent
21	crime.
22	MR. DEIXLER: And was Compton considered a "fast station"?
23	MR. WALDIE: Definitely.
24	MR. DEIXLER: Before going to a patrol station, is it the
25	general practice of the LASD that deputies work in the jails?

1	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And was there a particular location in the
3	Men's Central Jail which was regarded as a path to a fast
4	station?
5	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. I had heard there were a couple
6	floors.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Did the Executioners you knew of generally
8	come from the 3000 floor of Men's Central Jail?
9	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DEIXLER: You heard Inspector Huntsman refer to the
11	3000 Boys and the CCJV.
12	Is it fair to say that the 3000 floor, Men's Central
13	Jail, served as a feeder to for Executioners in the Compton
14	Station?
15	MR. WALDIE: For the most part, yes.
16	MR. DEIXLER: Do you know whether the Citizens' Commission
17	on Jail Violence specifically identified the 3000 Boys as
18	particularly dangerous who needed to be broken up?
19	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DEIXLER: I'd like to turn your attention to 2019 at
21	Compton Station. What position did you hold in 2019 at Compton
22	Station?
23	MR. WALDIE: As of the end of 2018, starting in,
24	officially, January 2019, I was made the de facto captain of
25	Compton Station, called the acting captain.

1	MR. DEIXLER: And did there come a time when Deputy Juarez
2	was going to leave the Compton Station, and his position as
3	scheduling deputy needed to be filled by somebody else?
4	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
5	MR. DEIXLER: And did you have a discussion with Deputy
6	Juarez about a successor for him as scheduling deputy?
7	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And where did that conversation take place?
9	MR. WALDIE: It took place in my office.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And was it initiated by him or initiated by
11	you?
12	MR. WALDIE: He approached me, sir.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And would you tell me and all the people
14	here what was said by Deputy Juarez on the occasion of his
15	getting ready to leave the Compton Station?
16	MR. WALDIE: Deputy Juarez was it was intended that he
17	stay at Compton Station. He was being released to the field
18	because, under a previous administration, he was involved in
19	a a large number of deputy-involved shootings, 9-9-8s. And
20	when the sheriff took power, Mr. Murakami, the undersheriff,
21	granted him his ability to go back to patrol.
22	And he asked me first before this meeting if he could
23	stay in scheduling he wanted to maintain his power is what I
24	assume and I sent it up the chain of command, and they said,
25	no, he had to go back to the line, work as a deputy. And he

	1	MR. DEIXLER: Let me make sure I understand it before we
	2	get into it further.
	3	He had been relieved of responsibility as a patrol
	4	deputy because he had been involve been involved in
	5	shootings?
	6	MR. WALDIE: He had been relegated to a non-field spot,
	7	low-risk management. No contact with the public.
	8	MR. DEIXLER: Okay. But remained an LASD deputy with
	9	responsible power as a scheduling deputy in a fast station at
	10	Compton.
	11	Do I understand you so far correctly?
	12	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. He was told not to wear his
	13	uniform outside the station.
	14	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
	15	So please return, if you will, to the narrative of
	16	Deputy Juarez and you in your office on that occasion.
	17	MR. WALDIE: When I explained to him that he he had to
	18	go back to the field, according to the chief and according to
	19	Chief Vera, he returned shortly after I forget exactly when;
	20	it was within a couple weeks and announced that he had
	21	suggestions for his replacement.
	22	MR. DEIXLER: And so Deputy Juarez, who was now being
	23	removed from one position to be returned to another, offered
	24	the opportunity to advise you as the acting captain who he
	25	thought would be up to the task of being the scheduling deputy
- 1		

1	at Compton.
2	Do I understand that correctly?
3	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And what did Deputy Juarez suggest?
5	MR. WALDIE: Deputy Juarez suggests had a list of about
6	10 10 individuals who were, as I could see based on being
7	there for the last year-and-a-half, two years, were loyal to
8	him.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And by "loyal to him," do you mean members
10	of the Executioners?
11	MR. WALDIE: Some of them, yes; some of them, I assumed
12	that were more intimidated and would follow his orders.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And and given his suggestion of this
14	group of 10, what was your response, sir?
15	MR. WALDIE: I told him that I was I appreciated his
16	suggestion but that I was going to ensure that the station had
17	a scheduling deputy that had no affiliation with any tattoo,
18	including my own, for the fairness of the community, for the
19	fairness of the station because of morale and because of public
20	safety. I did not want that to trickle over into the public,
21	and I and he told me that well, and that's that's what
22	I told him. I said, "Thank you, but no thank you."
23	MR. DEIXLER: And what did he say in response?
24	MR. WALDIE: He said that the guys on the line are telling
25	me that if you do not obey this or follow this request that we

1	are going to initiate a work slowdown.
2	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Initiate the what?
3	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Work slowdown.
4	MR. WALDIE: A work slowdown.
5	MR. DEIXLER: And what does a work slowdown mean in the
6	context of the sheriff's department in Los Angeles County?
7	MR. WALDIE: Well, it's it's an exactly the way I
8	describe it is it's a it's doing less police work
9	proactively, only taking calls for service. And when you do
10	take those calls for service, you ensure that your service is
11	diminished by longer response times for non-priority calls,
12	non-emergent calls, as well as not having a police presence,
13	allowing crime to rise within the community.
14	MR. DEIXLER: During the month of March 2019, was there a
15	slowdown?
16	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
17	MR. DEIXLER: And during the month of March 2019, was
18	there an increase in crime in the area patrolled by Compton
19	deputies?
20	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
21	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to take a look at exhibit
22	numbered 19.
23	And is this a document that is a document that is
24	obtained from the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department?
25	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.

Γ

Τ

1	MR. DEIXLER: And it is a document that reflects crime in
2	the city of Compton the top part being from August 2017
3	through July 31st, 2018, and the second being from August 1st,
4	2018, through July 31st, 2019?
5	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Would you we're focused on a slowdown in
7	March when you say crime increased.
8	First, you had involvement, didn't you, in your
9	career in creation of datasets?
10	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And how much time did you spend analyzing
12	data?
13	MR. WALDIE: I I would say I built databases for a
14	couple years prior to coming to Patrol. And then as a
15	risk-management lieutenant, approximately a year analyzing data
16	trends sets.
17	MR. DEIXLER: So you were familiar with the analysis of
18	data before we get to this document; is that fair?
19	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DEIXLER: So would you tell me first, let's look
21	at I guess I should ask how long the slowdown lasted?
22	MR. WALDIE: It lasted approximately four weeks, maybe a
23	little longer.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And that was in the month of March of 2019?
25	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.

1	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
2	So let's take a look, if we can, at the crime
3	statistics for February, the month preceding the slowdown,
4	and and contrast that with the numbers of crimes reported in
5	the month of March.
6	How did those numbers compare?
7	MR. WALDIE: Well, it almost doubled.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And how does the March of 2019 compare to
9	the March of 2018?
10	MR. WALDIE: That number is significantly higher.
11	Twenty-one more major crimes.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And after the slowdown ended in early April,
13	what happened to the number of committed crimes in the city of
14	Compton Station?
15	MR. WALDIE: They went down dramatically.
16	MR. DEIXLER: And so was it your observation in real time
17	that there was a slowdown of work in March 2019 that led to a
18	rise in crime?
19	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
20	Before I received this data, I was being told by
21	certain individuals within the station they had pertinent
22	knowledge that that a work slowdown had, in fact,
23	occurred was occurring.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And let me ask you to take a look at exhibit
25	numbered 11. And that is another official document of the

1	LA Sheriff's Department reporting arrests from January 2018 and
2	January 2019 through March 31st of 2018 and 2019?
3	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And taking a look at the number of arrests
5	in February of 2019 I guess we can start in January. Start
6	in January of 2019 through February of 2019 and then look at
7	March. What was the trend prior to March of 2019?
8	MR. WALDIE: It appears the the arrests were high, and
9	they began to as I have mentioned, the end of February,
10	early March, when the work slowdown was was alleged to have
11	started, it started to drop from January to February, and then
12	there was a significant drop of over 100 arrests in the month
13	of March.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Other than the work slowdown initiated by
15	the Executioners, do you know of a legitimate reason for why
16	there would be declining arrests in the midst of a crime wave?
17	MR. WALDIE: I don't know of any other reason based on my
18	experience and based on analyzing the numbers of why this
19	happened.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Was was the conduct of a work slowdown by
21	sworn officers consistent with the fundamental principles of
22	professional policing?
23	MR. WALDIE: It was definitely incongruent with the
24	fundamentals of professional policing.
25	MR. DEIXLER: Is there an effect upon the community with

1	increased crime and a police slowdown?
2	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
3	MR. DEIXLER: And how would you describe that?
4	MR. WALDIE: I would say that public safety is
5	jeopardized.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Do you know whether deputies who didn't wish
7	to slow down work were harassed or otherwise pressured to do
8	so?
9	MR. WALDIE: I know of a specific incident, yes, sir.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Would you share that with the commission.
11	MR. WALDIE: I was told that Deputy Ingersoll approached a
12	deputy that refused to slow down and be proactive, and this
13	deputy specifically was told, when he brought a gun arrest into
14	the station, that he was not to bring gun arrests into the
15	station. But he asked him Deputy Indersoll asked this
16	deputy if he understood that there was a work slowdown. And he
17	said he did but that he didn't operate in the manner that they
18	did.
19	MR. DEIXLER: And Deputy Ingersoll, you testified earlier,
20	was a an Executioner; correct?
21	MR. WALDIE: Yes.
22	MR. DEIXLER: Now, while this slowdown was going on, were
23	there communications in real time that memorialized the fact of
24	the slowdown?
25	MR. WALDIE: Yes.

1	MR. DEIXLER: And let me ask you to take a look at exhibit
2	numbered 12. And for reasons of confidentiality, we have
3	redacted the names of the participant.
4	MR. WALDIE: Sir, there is a name up there right now.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Not here (inaudible)
6	MR. WALDIE: On the up there too, in within the text
7	message.
8	MR. DEIXLER: I thought we had well, I apologize for
9	it. We'll we'll I won't ask you about the name of the
10	individual. But tell me, if you will, what this text message
11	reflects.
12	MR. WALDIE: Basically I'm asking this individual to get
13	me the tag number for a 415 business call that, too, I later
14	identified as Executioners weren't responding to in time.
15	They they had to be texted, from what I heard. They had to
16	be texted to essentially handle their call.
17	MR. DEIXLER: So let me understand this. It would have
18	been a radio call asking for assistance of a patrol unit?
19	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DEIXLER: And there was no response to it?
21	MR. WALDIE: Right. There was a disturbance at a
22	business, and there was no response, I believe, for over 45
23	minutes.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And so the deputies involved had to receive
25	a text in order to get them to do their job?

1	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And is that consistent with fundamental
3	principles of professional policing?
4	MR. WALDIE: Definitely not.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to look at the third page of
6	this exchange of emails texts. I'm sorry.
7	Is the top portion "I'll keep my eye and ear open"
8	written by you or written by the person with whom you were
9	communicating?
10	MR. WALDIE: This is a person whom I was communicating
11	with. And I was asking this individual if I could if they
12	had any proof, as well as what I had heard about lack a lack
13	of proper response, they had any information related to the
14	work slowdown.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And so you were asking for the accumulation
16	of certain statistics
17	MR. WALDIE: Yes.
18	MR. DEIXLER: is that right?
19	MR. WALDIE: Certain statistics or any type of information
20	that could lead me in the right direction as to who was
21	being who was involved in this and the operation of the work
22	slowdown.
23	MR. DEIXLER: And the person the member of the
24	department that you're asking to provide this information
25	writes, "But please, between you and I, this could ruin my

1	career. I don't want my name mentioned at all. Please. I
2	can't have that."
3	And you respond "Copy. Thanks. Will do. I
4	understand you're risking a lot, and I appreciate it. I
5	wouldn't do that to you."
6	In that exchange, what did you understand the person
7	with whom you were discussing was fearful of?
8	MR. WALDIE: This individual was fearful of that group,
9	being uncovered as someone that was doing the right thing and
10	would be potentially retaliated against.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And is that a reflection of the culture of
12	the Compton Station in 2019?
13	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And is this kind of reluctance to provide
15	information requested by a superior for fear of retaliation
16	consistent with fundamental principles of professional
17	policing?
18	MR. WALDIE: I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
19	MR. DEIXLER: Yes. Is the is the fear of providing
20	requested information consistent with the fundamental
21	principles of professional policing?
22	MR. WALDIE: No, sir.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to take a look at exhibit
24	numbered 13, which is an email from you dated April 1st, 2019,
25	to Captain Thatcher.

1	And do you have it in front of you
2	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir, I do.
3	MR. DEIXLER: You'll observe we've redacted the names that
4	you describe.
5	What what did this email relate to?
б	MR. WALDIE: This email was essentially my documentation
7	after I was rebuffed, or at least my efforts to inform him that
8	there was a work slowdown were rebuffed. He had told me that,
9	you know, there could be some other explanation. Let's wait
10	'til the numbers come in in April, which I which they did.
11	So this was a formal documentation to notify him that I was
12	proceeding further with an inquiry, and these were some
13	individuals that I had in mind.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And in the very first line you say, "FYI.
15	Arrest stats are lower than last year's March numbers, which in
16	2018 had increased from the prior month. Also, they are
17	trending lower in Feb and March of this year. Jaime came to me
18	near the end of February."
19	And who is the Jaime to whom you make reference in
20	Exhibit 13?
21	MR. WALDIE: I was referring to the scheduling deputy, a
22	shot caller.
23	MR. DEIXLER: And let me ask you to take a look at exhibit
24	numbered 14. And that is an April 8th, 2019, email from you to
25	Captain Thatcher.

1	Let I should ask: Was was Thatcher still a
2	captain, or had he been promoted as of this time that you were
3	communicating with him?
4	MR. WALDIE: When he when he was promoted to commander,
5	as soon as Sheriff Villanueva took office, essentially, about
6	three weeks later I was made the captain of Compton Station, so
7	he was now my commander. He still directly oversaw Compton
8	Station.
9	MR. DEIXLER: So we learned that Sheriff Villanueva became
10	the became the sheriff in December 3rd of 2018, and you
11	were you became the acting captain as of January 2019?
12	MR. WALDIE: Unofficially end of December, he
13	Captain Thatcher had taken a couple of weeks off. He had heard
14	that he was going to be promoted. So but, yes, officially,
15	it was January 4th of 2019, yes, sir.
16	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
17	And and then Captain, but soon-to-be Commander
18	Thatcher had been promoted by Sheriff Villanueva; correct?
19	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DEIXLER: As of this time in of the promotion of
21	then Commander Thatcher, was there any question that people
22	were aware of the role of the Executioners in Compton?
23	MR. WALDIE: No.
24	MR. DEIXLER: Was it a secret that there had been a
25	gang-like behavior involving the Executioners as of the time

1	this captain was promoted to commander by Sheriff Villanueva?
2	MR. WALDIE: It was no secret. Deputy Aldana had in
3	2018 and and I believe it was my allow from the LA Times
4	that published that story expressing or demonstrating that
5	there was a clique at Compton Station with that tattoo involved
6	in the shooting of Donta Taylor.
7	MR. DEIXLER: And and notwithstanding the fact of the
8	knowledge and and Thatcher was the captain during that
9	period; is that so
10	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And notwithstanding the public knowledge of
12	the involvement of the Executioners, Captain Thatcher was
13	promoted by the sheriff to commander.
14	Do I understand that correctly?
15	MR. WALDIE: That's correct, sir.
16	MR. DEIXLER: Okay.
17	So we're back to Exhibit 14, which is an email from
18	you to, I guess, Commander Thatcher.
19	"I heard they called off the work slowdown. I'm
20	hearing his support was dwindling."
21	So that's an email that you sent to the commander; is
22	that true?
23	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And when you make reference to "his
25	support," to whom were you referring?

1	MR. WALDIE: I was referring to Jaime Juarez.
2	MR. DEIXLER: So it's fair to say that by the first week
3	of April or so that the slowdown had had ended.
4	MR. WALDIE: That's as far as I understood it, yes.
5	MR. DEIXLER: When you say, "His support was dwindling,"
6	what do you mean by "support dwindling"?
7	MR. WALDIE: Well, I I felt there were the the
8	fact that he was no longer a scheduling deputy and he was
9	assigned to the line and I had a a female operating as the
10	scheduling deputy with no affiliation with any tattoo she
11	was impartial that he had no ability to coerce anyone to do
12	as he said.
13	And I felt also there there might have been some
14	collusion between the commander, most likely, after my email
15	seven days prior telling him telling Jaime Juarez that this
16	guy is onto you and and you better knock it off quickly.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to take a look at Exhibit
18	Number 15. And that is an email from you to is it
19	commander?
20	MR. WALDIE: That that is now Chief Lecrivain. But at
21	the time a lieutenant who was attempting well, was planning
22	on interviewing for Compton Station captain during the
23	interview process.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And what was the purpose of your sending
25	that email to now Chief Lecrivain?

Τ

1	MR. WALDIE: Well, I to be completely honest, I I
2	she asked for stats so that she could understand, you know,
3	the the current state of crime within Compton, if she was to
4	be asked these stats during an interview with stakeholders
5	city stakeholders.
6	I I threw in there, on purpose, because I didn't
7	feel like I was supported by executive level, that there was a
8	work slowdown. I was letting her know that there was a work
9	slowdown. She was the operations lieutenant a couple
10	operations lieutenants before me; so I thought she would take
11	an interest in that.
12	MR. DEIXLER: You say you didn't feel supported by
13	executive level. What did you mean by that?
14	MR. WALDIE: I felt that Commander Thatcher was operate
15	undermining me and essentially supporting these individuals
16	that were violating 13670.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you to take a quick look at
18	exhibit numbered 16.
19	And am I correct that those are public documents that
20	reflect a collection of reports involving four separate
21	officer-involved shootings by Deputy Juarez?
22	MR. WALDIE: Give me a second, sir, Mr. Deixler. I will
23	quickly verify that.
24	Yes. They appear to be the reports that I saw during
25	a shooting analysis committee.

Γ

Τ

1	MR. DEIXLER: And do they reflect four shootings by him in
2	the period 2006 to 2015?
3	MR. WALDIE: It appears so, sir.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And in your experience is that a an usual
5	number of shootings for a deputy?
6	MR. WALDIE: My personal experience, yes.
7	MR. DEIXLER: And well, you are a 22-year career LASD
8	officer. How many officer-involved shootings have you been
9	involved in?
10	MR. WALDIE: None.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Was Deputy Juarez initially removed from
12	Patrol?
13	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Was he returned to the streets when Sheriff
15	Villanueva took office?
16	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Would you take a look at exhibit numbered
18	22. And what is Exhibit Number 22?
19	MR. WALDIE: Exhibit Number 22 is a an
20	investigator/deputy list. It was promulgated on a few days
21	ago on the 20th of May. And it lists Jaime Juarez as the
22	number two well, second individual second highest-scoring
23	individual for a detective position within the department.
24	MR. DEIXLER: So is this a list that was published on
25	Friday regarding promotions in the LASD?

for promotion based on this test, these candidates. Yes, sir. MR. DEIXLER: Let me make sure. Is this the same number 2 here is this the same Jaime Juarez, the Executioner, who led the slowdown and has been involved in at least four officer-involved shootings? MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. MR. DEIXLER: During the time that you were at the station in Compton, was an Executioner involvement in a shooting something that was celebrated, to your knowledge? MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I lutimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, I found out that that was the case. MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
 Is this the same number 2 here is this the same Jaime Juarez, the Executioner, who led the slowdown and has been involved in at least four officer-involved shootings? MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. MR. DEIXLER: During the time that you were at the station in Compton, was an Executioner involvement in a shooting something that was celebrated, to your knowledge? MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, I found out that that was the case. MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
Jaime Juarez, the Executioner, who led the slowdown and has been involved in at least four officer-involved shootings? MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. MR. DEIXLER: During the time that you were at the station in Compton, was an Executioner involvement in a shooting something that was celebrated, to your knowledge? MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, I found out that that was the case. MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
 been involved in at least four officer-involved shootings? MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. MR. DEIXLER: During the time that you were at the station in Compton, was an Executioner involvement in a shooting something that was celebrated, to your knowledge? MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, I found out that that was the case. MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
 MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. MR. DEIXLER: During the time that you were at the station in Compton, was an Executioner involvement in a shooting something that was celebrated, to your knowledge? MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, I found out that that was the case. MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
 MR. DEIXLER: During the time that you were at the station in Compton, was an Executioner involvement in a shooting something that was celebrated, to your knowledge? MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, I found out that that was the case. MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
9 in Compton, was an Executioner involvement in a shooting 10 something that was celebrated, to your knowledge? 11 MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I 12 ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, 13 I found out that that was the case. 14 MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? 15 MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
<pre>10 something that was celebrated, to your knowledge? 11 MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I 12 ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, 13 I found out that that was the case. 14 MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? 15 MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what</pre>
MR. WALDIE: I did not initially know that, but I ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, I found out that that was the case. MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
<pre>12 ultimately, in July of 2019, after a deputy-involved shooting, 13 I found out that that was the case. 14 MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? 15 MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what</pre>
13 I found out that that was the case. 14 MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief? 15 MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
14MR. DEIXLER: What is a 9-9-8 debrief?15MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
15 MR. WALDIE: I I would liken it to from what I what
16 was explained to me by the individual telling me about it
17 happening, or it was to happen in real time, that it was a
18 celebration of a shooting.
19 MR. DEIXLER: Would you take a look at Exhibit Number 17,
20 please.
21 MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
22 MR. DEIXLER: So the these are texts between you and
23 and whom?
24 MR. WALDIE: So an unidentified another source had
25 texted me, and you'll see the screenshot embedded in this page

1	and it was to Commander Thatcher sending him that screenshot.
2	MR. DEIXLER: "Nothing urgent. I was just wondering if
3	Juarez had invited you to Ingersoll and Barajas' 9-9-8 debrief?
4	That's all."
5	And and what did you understand that to mean?
6	MR. WALDIE: I didn't understand at first. I I was
7	asking this individual what debrief, Juarez, like, as in
8	"What's going on with him?" Like, "What's he organizing?"
9	And he goes on to explain "Celebration."
10	MR. DEIXLER: And you you previously identified
11	deputies Ingersoll and Barajas as Executioners.
12	Did I understand that portion of your testimony
13	correctly?
14	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And let me ask you, if you would, to turn
16	the page in this exhibit.
17	"I'll see if I can find out. Since it's in my town,
18	I'd like to know if you can find out."
19	"Copy. I'm trying right now."
20	"Don't worry if you can't."
21	What did you find out was to be the location of the
22	celebration of a shooting by two deputies who were
23	Executioners?
24	MR. WALDIE: I had found out that information given to me
25	that it was going to be at Heroes Sports Bar and Grill in

1	downtown Fullerton.
2	MR. DEIXLER: As an acting captain, would such a
3	celebration of a shooting be of concern to you?
4	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Why?
6	MR. WALDIE: Well, you you know, in this particular
7	instance, these deputies, when they came across this vehicle
8	that they were ultimately involved in a shooting with, they had
9	knowledge prior knowledge from a day before that the same
10	vehicle this this vehicle, matching the description of a
11	drive-by shooting nearby, couple blocks over, and resulted in
12	multiple AK-47 rounds being sprayed at a house.
13	They knew this as they were detaining an individual
14	on the street, and this vehicle passed by them.
15	I thought it was concerning that they initiated a
16	pursuit of this vehicle after throwing this detainee in their
17	backseat and driving this detainee in a two-to-four-minute
18	pursuit as they're taking rounds from an AK-47.
19	I also found it concerning that someone would be
20	celebrating this shooting after a Ricky Starks, a uninvolved
21	bystander civilian, was shot and killed by the AK-47 fire, from
22	what I understand.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Did you bring the fact of this celebration
24	by the Executioners to the attention of anybody superior to you
25	in the LASD?

1	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir. To Commander Thatcher.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And what was Captain Thatcher's willingness
3	to rein in this behavior?
4	MR. WALDIE: He essentially told me it shouldn't be a
5	problem, that they couldn't get too rowdy.
6	MR. DEIXLER: And, again, when Sheriff Villanueva took
7	office, what happened to Captain Thatcher?
8	MR. WALDIE: He was promoted to commander.
9	MR. DEIXLER: After you left Compton, were there other
10	officers officer-involved shootings involving Executioners?
11	MR. WALDIE: After I left Compton as a Lieutenant?
12	Yes, sir.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And and what do you know about other
14	Executioner-involved shootings after you left Compton?
15	MR. WALDIE: Well, I I don't know if they were
16	specifically Executioners. I had heard that they were
17	prospects. These were individuals that worked 3000 floor back
18	in the day.
19	And yes, there was a shooting of Andres Guardado in
20	June of 2020.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And when you say, "prospects," what do you
22	mean by that?
23	MR. WALDIE: From from what I understood from
24	deposition of Austreberto Gonzalez that these these were
25	individuals that were trying to attain a tattoo from this

1	unlawful clique, this specific one at Compton Station.
2	MR. DEIXLER: Now, Lieutenant, you have filed a lawsuit
3	and a grievance against the County of Los Angeles.
4	Do I understand that correctly?
5	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
6	MR. DEIXLER: And is one of your complaints that you
7	believe you were blocked for promotion because you stood up to
8	the Executioners?
9	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And is it your belief that the Executioners
11	are being protected by the command staff of the LASD?
12	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And does that include the Undersheriff
14	Murakami and Sheriff Villanueva?
15	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
16	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you this: As a 22-year veteran
17	of the department, the son of a man who devoted his
18	professional life to the department, how do you feel about
19	working in an organization which tolerates and perhaps rewards
20	gang behavior?
21	MR. WALDIE: Well, I'll I'll tell you, I'm I'm
22	disheartened. This is a calling for me, a noble cause. My
23	father instituted or instilled in me values that he learned,
24	and I learned also. We're a Catholic family.
25	And I felt that this was a it's essentially
1	

1	spitting in the face of as Mr as as you, actually,
2	sir, mentioned, the majority of these deputies in our
3	department are good individuals who do the right thing. I
4	think that's a slap in the face of of that work and that
5	calling.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Thank you for your testimony.
7	I pass the witness.
8	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
9	Are there any questions from the Ad Hoc Committee on
10	deputy cliques and gangs?
11	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Yes.
12	CHAIR KENNEDY: Lael.
13	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: First of all, I want to thank you,
14	Lieutenant Waldie, for your very insightful, and what must be
15	very difficult for you, your testimony today. It is evident
16	from your voice and your body language that this is not easy
17	and you have a lot of concerns.
18	Understanding that you have filed a complaint, do you
19	have any immediate fear of retaliation to you and your family
20	as a result of your filing of the complaint and of your
21	testifying here?
22	MR. WALDIE: Yes. Rightly so, ma'am. I do.
23	I I have seen that individuals such as yourselves
24	have been retaliated against publicly, without any fear.
25	And I am actually not external to this department;

1	I'm internal. So I think I'm in within closer reach of any
2	type of retaliation, whether it be a putting a case on me,
3	initiating an investigation on me for whatever reason that
4	might pop up and yeah, definitely for my family.
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: And what about fear of your safety
6	and the safety of your family?
7	MR. WALDIE: I I I feel fear because I don't know at
8	what lengths individuals might go. I I like to believe that
9	people would not follow orders if they came from the top,
10	any any to enact any violence against my family, but I
11	mean, it's always a possibility. So it's it's it's a
12	fear in the back of my mind, yes.
13	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you very much.
14	CHAIR KENNEDY: Anyone else?
15	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Thank you so much for being here
16	today. I might have missed it, but what is your role right
17	now, status in the department?
18	MR. WALDIE: I I after I was my opportunity to
19	interview for the position that I was doing managing for
20	about 10 months as the captain, I wasn't allowed to interview.
21	So I I was chosen by a specialized unit, and
22	Mr. Murakami squashed that. He told them to take the number
23	two, not the number one, which I was, choice for specialized
24	unit. So I decided to take care of my body, which was a fusion
25	surgery.

1	And when I came back from fusion fusion surgery
2	in after about a-year-and-a-half off, I was I I
3	transferred voluntarily 'cause I didn't want to go back to
4	Compton as a watch commander on the line.
5	So, essentially, a demotion but, I mean, I love it.
6	I'm making a difference there with the deputies, and I do that
7	as a watch commander on the line on dayshift in Marina del Rey.
8	CHAIR KENNEDY: Jamon, you had a question.
9	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Thank you, again, Lieutenant, for
10	your testimony. A few follow-up questions.
11	I know some of the emails that we've seen reflect
12	back to 2019. Is it your position that still in 2022 the
13	Executioners exist?
14	MR. WALDIE: Yes. These individuals are still in the
15	department, from my understanding.
16	Jaime Juarez and a couple of other ones that were
17	involved in some incidents after I left, specifically a TMZ
18	video with Dalvin Price in Compton, these individuals have been
19	scattered and are awaiting the investigation at Compton
20	regarding deputy cliques. And I have no idea where they're at,
21	other than I know Jaime Juarez is at Logistics handing out
22	equipment. But yes, I mean, they still have a tattoo, you
23	know, and they're still in the department.
24	COMMISSIONER HICKS: And I know we've we've seen
25	emails about the report or not emails we've seen the

1	reports of Mr. Jaime Juarez as far as officer-involved
2	shootings. But are there other uses of force that he's been
3	involved in outside of just shootings?
4	MR. WALDIE: I don't know. I don't have the answer to
5	that. All all I've reviewed during the shooting analysis
6	committee when I was the acting captain were those specific
7	shootings that they had reviewed prior to Villanueva taking
8	office. It was a normal process to review these shootings, and
9	usually they would they would they would ensure that he
10	stayed indoors.
11	And so after that analysis committee, I I reviewed
12	those shootings, and that's the only those are the only
13	shootings or use of force that I know that Deputy Juarez was
14	involved in prior to my arriving there.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKS: I've heard some testimony about
16	inking parties, these tattoo parties.
17	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
18	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Do you know if the 9-9-8 debriefings
19	do the inking parties happen at the debriefings, or is that
20	a separate
21	MR. WALDIE: I don't have knowledge of of how it works.
22	Like I mentioned, I've never been involved in a
23	shooting, and yes, I do have a tattoo. But we never
24	celebrated, not that I know of, any of my partners when I was
25	there were involved in shootings.

1	So I don't know how that would work if if there
2	was a shooting, if someone's celebrating it, and, like, in this
3	case.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Exhibit 9 with the oh, I'm sorry.
5	Exhibit 8 with Juarez' truck his pickup truck the flag,
6	that is the same as the tattoo on Exhibit 9; correct?
7	MR. WALDIE: It appears so.
8	COMMISSIONER HICKS: And 28, what does that represent, if
9	you know?
10	MR. WALDIE: Twenty-eight, that's the number of our
11	station. Stations are are numbered. It's a department's
12	number.
13	COMMISSIONER HICKS: So 28 is for Compton Station?
14	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
15	COMMISSIONER HICKS: And then Exhibit 13, you listed I
16	know they're redacted, but in the email to Mr. Thatcher, there
17	are, I believe, nine deputies that are listed that were
18	redacted?
19	MR. WALDIE: Yes, sir.
20	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Are any of those Executioners?
21	MR. WALDIE: All of them were.
22	COMMISSIONER HICKS: All of them?
23	MR. WALDIE: Uh-huh.
24	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Okay. Thank you.
25	CHAIR KENNEDY: JP?

Т

1	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Yeah. Just for clarification, if
2	you know, when was Thatcher promoted to captain, and then
3	and made commander?
4	MR. WALDIE: I believe he was promoted to captain in 2016
5	under McDonnell.
6	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
7	MR. WALDIE: Sheriff McDonnell.
8	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: And did he immediately go to Compton
9	Station as captain?
10	MR. WALDIE: That's that's what I understood, yes.
11	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: Okay.
12	And then he was promoted to commander?
13	MR. WALDIE: Approximately let's see. Three years
14	later, in 2019. January.
15	COMMISSIONER HARRIS: All right. Thank you.
16	I too want to thank you for your testimony. It was
17	very powerful. Appreciate it.
18	MR. WALDIE: Thank you.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKS: I have one followup.
20	Outside of Juarez, are you aware if other
21	Executioners have been involved in officer-involved shootings?
22	MR. WALDIE: Well, we had Deputy Aldama and Deputy Orrego,
23	his partner at the time, in 2016, were involved in that
24	shooting with Donta Taylor. He was a Cedar Block Piru member.
25	And yes, that involved a lawsuit which resulted in \$7-million

1	settlement. And that settlement only occurred from what I
2	what I understand after the the County was ordered
3	compelled to release the names of other people in that clique.
4	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Do you know a Deputy Vega or a Deputy
5	Hernandez as part of Executioners?
6	MR. WALDIE: Those, as I mentioned, were from what I
7	understand what I heard from deposition from Austreberto
8	Gonzalez, are prospect were prospects.
9	They're currently relieved of duty for an incident
10	prior to the shooting with Andres Guardado where they were
11	alleged to have, which I believe was settled, kidnapped a
12	skateboarder.
13	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Do you know if they're under criminal
14	investigation still?
15	MR. WALDIE: I don't know. I don't have internal
16	knowledge of that.
17	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Okay. Thank you.
18	CHAIR KENNEDY: Irma?
19	COMMISSIONER COOPER: Thank you for coming up here. I
20	know what impact it may have on your family and you.
21	My question: During the work slowdown, you mentioned
22	that the officers took longer to respond to citizens' calls,
23	but was that information about the work slowdown given to the
24	citizens of Compton, or did you receive any phone calls from
25	them to say, "Why is the officer taking 'X' amount of time?"

1	MR. WALDIE: It normally you we may receive calls,
2	that would be Dispatch would receive those calls. Our our
3	policy is if there is going to be a delay that is going to
4	exceed our response times, our our mandated response times
5	depending on the type of call, that we advise them of the
6	delay. But that information wouldn't necessarily reach my
7	level unless something in this like, in this scenario was a
8	work slowdown and someone's trying to do the right thing and
9	tell me about it.
10	And no, I did not notify the City about it.
11	Personally, it's embarrassing. I did not want to raise any
12	flags, and I was trying to deal with it internally. And when
13	it slowed down, I I didn't even even further my inquiry
14	because I was relieved, to tell you the truth, that it had
15	stopped. It was essentially mutiny.
16	CHAIR KENNEDY: I have a question: As a longtime member
17	of the department, can you give us any insight into why the
18	LASD leadership would be protective of a tattooed deputy gang
19	like the Executioners?
20	It it doesn't make any sense to me. And I'm
21	wondering if you have any insight to why they would be
22	protected.
23	MR. WALDIE: Well, I think it it this is just my
24	personal opinion. I think it boils down to we're law
25	enforcement, and law enforcement typically doesn't want to be

Γ

Τ

1	policed. It's a culture within the sheriff's department.
2	It's it and that and that's how, essentially, without
3	proper management, proper holding deputies accountable,
4	that's how deputies grow to think within this department.
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: Is there no consideration of the risk they
6	pose to the community if they are allowed to operate unchecked
7	like this?
8	MR. WALDIE: I believe it's it's a tribe/absolution-
9	type of philosophy where you believe the people around you,
10	they're doing the same thing as as you are, keeping quiet.
11	You've been forgiven, or at least it's okay to continue to
12	think like that.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: Any other
14	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Well, let me just follow up on that
15	because I I agree that it's very puzzling to me, and I've
16	had the experience of heading several fairly large law
17	enforcement organizations.
18	But why would the leadership of the sheriff's
19	department want to tolerate a situation in which there's shot
20	callers who break the chain of command, let's say, from the
21	captain to the lieutenant to the sergeants?
22	I mean, just from that point of view alone, it seems
23	to me that you would want to root this out so that you you
24	had you could effectively implement whatever your policies
25	or goals are as the sheriff or the the leadership of the

1	department.
2	I mean so if you could explain that to me, I
3	I'd really appreciate it, Lieutenant Waldie.
4	MR. WALDIE: Well, I would I would love to tell you
5	that I completely understand it, sir.
6	In this particular case, I believe that Commander
7	Thatcher, as a captain, had taken care of these individuals and
8	he had already set his course in terms of ensuring that they
9	they controlled the station when when he needed them to.
10	And I believe that I mean, the fact that he went to
11	Orrego's Deputy Orrego, Deputy Aldama's partner, who was
12	fired terminated, he went to a Civil Service hearing after
13	Villanueva was elected and tried to get his job back.
14	That says a lot even after knowing that a lawsuit was
15	filed and that he had lied and disparaged other law enforcement
16	officers in the city of Orange through an investigation of a
17	DUI arrest.
18	So I believe that Thatcher had already set course,
19	and there was no way he was going to reverse course 'cause
20	these were the individuals that Jaime Juarez was sponsoring.
21	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Now, but you're talking only about
22	Thatcher, and I'm just saying I can't conceive of any other law
23	enforcement organization federal, state, or local that
24	would tolerate the existence of gangs, cliques, exclusionary
25	subgroups, whatever you want to call them, actually having

Τ

1	control over assignments, the plum assignments within a station
2	and the like. I mean, that would be total anathema to
3	anybody to at least any police chief I've ever known or head
4	of any law enforcement organization I've ever known.
5	And I and so it's not just Thatcher. This thing
6	so permeates so deeply into the culture of the sheriff's
7	department that apparently nobody over the last 30 to 50 years
8	has actually taken the bull by the horn and said, "We've got to
9	get rid of these gangs these deputy gangs and cliques within
10	the department," and and really taken it seriously and done
11	it.
12	And I just I I to the life of me I
13	understand what you're saying about Thatcher, but I just can't
14	understand why the command staff of Sheriff Villanueva,
15	Murakami, all the all the people that are currently running
16	the department and have run the department before, why they
17	didn't tackle this and get rid of it. So it has to be some
18	something very deeply embedded within the culture of the
19	sheriff's department.
20	MR. WALDIE: I I can tell you now, sir, if I can add to
21	that?
22	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Yeah.
23	MR. WALDIE: My father was on the department. He did not
24	tolerate that. I think he relied on people to deal with it.
25	

	1	and I I don't know about McDonnell. I don't think I think
	2	he wanted to do the right thing too. But I think it all boils
	3	down to the fact that sheriffs are elected, and it's it's
	4	a it's sacrificing public safety for power. And I believe
	5	that's essentially what's happening now.
	б	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Interesting point, for sure.
	7	Thank and by the way, thank you for your your
	8	testimony, for your candor, and for your courage, Lieutenant
	9	Waldie.
	10	MR. WALDIE: Thank you, sir.
	11	CHAIR KENNEDY: Seeing no other questions, and it being
	12	11:00 o'clock, I think our very able special counsel deserves a
	13	break. And we're all going to take a 15-minute break. We'll
	14	resume at 11:16.
	15	(Recess taken from 11:00 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.)
	16	CHAIR KENNEDY: Mr. Deixler, whenever you're ready.
	17	MR. DEIXLER: Yes. I would like to call our next witness
	18	who has agreed to testify, but only anonymously, so we won't
	19	see his or her face, nor will we see his or her actual voice.
	20	The witness will be speaking through a voice distorter.
	21	So if I might just ask the witness to indicate that
	22	you can hear hear us now?
	23	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
	24	CHAIR KENNEDY: Before we begin, can we I guess, we'll
	25	just do it this way.
- 1		

ſ

Τ

1	Anonymous Witness, can you raise your right hand?
2	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
3	nothing but the truth?
4	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: I do.
5	CHAIR KENNEDY: Go ahead.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Are you a current LASD employee?
7	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And where are you stationed?
9	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: East LA Station.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And have you been an LASD employee more than
11	five years?
12	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And why have you requested to testify
14	anonymously?
15	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: The fear of retribution or
16	retaliation.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Tell us, if you will, why you first decided
18	to work for the LASD.
19	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: I believe it was my calling in
20	life to help other people out.
21	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with the term "shot caller"
22	as it's understood within
23	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
24	MR. DEIXLER: the department?
25	And what is

1	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
2	MR. DEIXLER: What is a shot caller at the East LA
3	Station?
4	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: That is a deputy who has a
5	certain amount of power at the station.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Are there shot callers currently in East LA
7	Station?
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And who are the current shot callers at the
10	East LA Station?
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Miguel Ortiz and Luis Valle.
12	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with a "Rene" Munoz?
13	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And was Rene Munoz a shot caller in East LA?
15	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
16	MR. DEIXLER: In the East LA Station currently, what
17	authority or responsibility does a shot caller hold?
18	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: They have influence over the
19	schedule, what deputies can work, spots that are considered
20	evident (phonetic), and which deputies who are shunned.
21	MR. DEIXLER: In East LA, are more patrol areas more
22	desirable than others?
23	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: The North End, yes. That be
24	the East LA.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And why is the North End more desirable for

Τ

1	a deputy than other portions of the East LA Station coverage?
2	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: In East LA area, the deputies
3	will have a partner with them. You work as a two-man car. In
4	the South End Commerce, Maywood, Cudahy deputies work by
5	themselves in single-man cars. It is desirable to have a
6	partner in a car.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Does the scheduling deputy have the power to
8	assign deputies to desirable or undesirable locations?
9	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Is is Luis Valle a Bandito?
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
12	MR. DEIXLER: Is Miguel Ortiz a Bandito?
13	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Is Rene Munoz a Bandito?
15	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
16	MR. DEIXLER: How do you know that they are Banditos?
17	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Common knowledge throughout the
18	station.
19	MR. DEIXLER: And how long have you been aware of the fact
20	that Luis Valle and Miguel Ortiz are Banditos?
21	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: For a while. More than more
22	than a couple years.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Are there other Banditos at currently at
24	the East LA Station other than Deputy Valle and Deputy Ortiz?
25	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.

1 1	
1	MR. DEIXLER: And about how many Banditos are there at
2	East LA currently?
3	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Between 12 and 15.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And how do you know that?
5	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Common knowledge at the station.
6	MR. DEIXLER: What positions do these Banditos hold in the
7	East LA Station currently?
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Usually detective at the
9	station, or TOs, which are training officers.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And is being a training officer a desirable
11	position in the East LA Station?
12	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And and why is that?
14	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: It's a coveted testing spot
15	where you get a pay increase for training a deputy.
16	MR. DEIXLER: You get a pay increase for being a TO?
17	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Correct.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And that's a determination made by the shot
19	caller in the East LA Station?
20	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
21	MR. DEIXLER: What's the level of influence of the
22	Banditos in East LA currently?
23	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: They decide which deputies are
24	considered BO, which means a deputy who's not considered up to
25	the job. Those people will be shunned, or they'll have their

1	reputation demolished or flashed, or they'll say that a deputy
2	is a good dude and that person is actually welcomed into the
3	fold.
4	MR. DEIXLER: In connection with becoming a TO, do you
5	know of examples of people who scored highly on the TO position
6	test and were passed over because of the instruction of the
7	Banditos in East LA?
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
9	MR. DEIXLER: Would you please tell the commission about
10	that experience.
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: A deputy scored very high on the
12	training officer test and was told that that deputy was BO, and
13	they would not allow that person to be a a training officer
14	at the station. So a character assassination was ordered to
15	make this person look incompetent and so they could pass that
16	person up and put either a Bandito or a Bandito associate in
17	that TO place.
18	MR. DEIXLER: Now, was there a captain in the East LA
19	Station who was responsible for the administration of that
20	of that station?
21	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
22	MR. DEIXLER: And and who was the captain in LA
23	responsible in East LA responsible for the growth or the
24	continuance of the Banditos?
25	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Rick Mejia.

1	MR. DEIXLER: Richard Mejia.
2	Is Richard Mejia still the captain in East LA?
3	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
4	MR. DEIXLER: What what rank does Captain Mejia now
5	hold, if you know?
6	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Commander.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Was Richard
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: I believe commander.
9	MR. DEIXLER: Was Richard Mejia promoted by Sheriff
10	Villanueva from captain to commander?
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
12	MR. DEIXLER: During your experience at the East LA
13	Station, are the Banditos controlled by the captain?
14	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
15	MR. DEIXLER: How how do the Banditos get to exercise
16	the control that they have in the East LA Station currently?
17	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Usually it's it's by playing
18	things like softball, or other sporting events with with the
19	Banditos or the associates. The captain, at the time, would
20	befriend the Banditos, and they would use their friendship with
21	the captain to get the things they want.
22	MR. DEIXLER: Sheriff Villanueva has spoken of his effort
23	to clean up East LA by bringing in a strong captain.
24	First, do you understand what "a strong captain"
25	means within the context
1	

1	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yeah.
2	MR. DEIXLER: of the department?
3	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
4	MR. DEIXLER: What is a strong captain?
5	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: A leader within the station. A
6	person with integrity who will lead the deputies and instruct
7	them and mentor.
8	MR. DEIXLER: Was Richard Mejia, now Commander Mejia, a
9	strong captain in the East LA Station?
10	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Was the fact that he was not a strong
12	captain widely perceived in the East LA Station?
13	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And and why was he not considered a
15	strong captain during the time he was at the East LA Station?
16	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: He was easily manipulated by the
17	Banditos and seemed focused on making friends with the
18	deputies.
19	MR. DEIXLER: I'm sorry. He befriended the deputies, and
20	what else did he do? It was a little hard to understand what
21	you said.
22	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: He befriended the Banditos, and
23	it appeared his focus was to become friends with the deputies
24	and to be a part of their of of the clique.
25	MR. DEIXLER: Are the Banditos in East LA currently

1	composed of a particular ethnic group?
2	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
3	MR. DEIXLER: And do the Banditos have a tattoo?
4	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Are there women who are members of the
6	Banditos?
7	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
8	MR. DEIXLER: What ethnic group are the Banditos,
9	currently, in East LA?
10	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Hispanic.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Are there African-American Bandito members?
12	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Have you experienced examples of the
14	Banditos, while at work, engage in conduct that you believe was
15	contrary to fundamental principles of professional policing?
16	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Could you please share with the commission
18	what you have observed that constituted what you believe were
19	those violations.
20	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: In one incident, there was a
21	deputy-involved shooting where the suspect was was caught
22	and the deputy two deputies were told to transport the
23	suspect. A use of force, I believe, happened after the person
24	was caught. Two deputies were told to transport that person to
25	the hospital after the use of force.

Τ

1	The two deputies were sidetracked while they were
2	en route to the hospital, stopped the car, physically assaulted
3	the suspect, put him back in the car, and then took him to the
4	hospital.
5	MR. DEIXLER: And was that an incident which was known or
6	should have been known by the captain and other authorities in
7	the East LA Station at the time?
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And to your knowledge, did it go to Captain
10	Mejia or others who were captains or in positions of authority
11	at the time?
12	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And do you know what actions were taken in
14	connection with this taking a prisoner out of a car, beating
15	him up, and then resuming taking him to the hospital?
16	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: I believe an internal affairs
17	investigation was opened.
18	MR. DEIXLER: And do you know whether those deputies
19	remain deputies in East LA?
20	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: They're still at East LA.
21	MR. DEIXLER: Have you had experience in which one deputy
22	pointed a gun at another deputy in a locker room?
23	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
24	
	MR. DEIXLER: Would you describe, for the commission's

1	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: It was a deputy at East LA
2	Station, which some of the deputies did not like. They
3	perceived him to be we use the term "5150."
4	They did not like this person, for whatever reason,
5	and there was an incident in the locker room the male locker
6	room where a deputy told the deputy that the the victim
7	deputy that he needed to wait his turn. And I don't know what
8	that was about.
9	An argument ensued, and one deputy pointed his gun at
10	the other deputy's head.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And that occurred in the locker room.
12	Were there other deputies who witnessed it?
13	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Was that the kind of behavior that you would
15	have expected would have been observed or reported to by the
16	captain at East LA?
17	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
18	MR. DEIXLER: Is turning a gun on a fellow deputy
19	consistent with good police practices?
20	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
21	MR. DEIXLER: Do you know whether the deputy who who
22	pointed the gun at his colleague in the locker room was
23	disciplined?
24	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
25	MR. DEIXLER: Do you know whether that deputy was a

1	Bandito?
2	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: He was a Bandito associate.
3	MR. DEIXLER: And what do you mean by "a Bandito
4	associate"?
5	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: A Bandito associate is a person
6	or a deputy deputy who is next in line to becoming a
7	Bandito.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And are Bandito associates people that can
9	be identified by those of the deputies who are in the East LA
10	Station currently; that is, do you have an idea of who these
11	associates are?
12	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And and the fact that these associates
14	are identifiable by other deputies, is that something which is
15	knowable by the captain of the station?
16	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Have you observed that people who do not do
18	as directed by Banditos are made outcasts at the station?
19	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Could you describe some of the ways that
21	these people are outcasts?
22	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Usually Banditos, the
23	associates, or even some people who are training staff
24	training deputies, they will turn their back to that person in
25	the hallway, or in the report writing room. So when that

Γ

Т

1	person walks in the room, everyone turns their back to him.
2	MR. DEIXLER: Now, is the fact of a person walking into a
3	room and having his or her colleagues turn their back something
4	that is observable by anybody who is in the hallway?
5	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Is that something that a captain of a
7	station should be aware of?
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
9	MR. DEIXLER: Is directing that sort of behavior to a
10	colleague deputy sheriff consistent with good police practices?
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Can you repeat the question,
12	please?
13	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
14	Is turning your back on a colleague in this fashion
15	to show disrespect consistent with good police practices?
16	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Have you observed that deputies refuse to
18	provide backup when called to do so by disfavored colleagues?
19	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Can you provide an example for the
21	commissioners?
22	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
23	A deputy who used used to work at the station was
24	involved in a shooting. The deputies did not like this
25	particular deputy because they believed that he was an

Т

1	undercover FBI agent. He was involved in a shooting, and the
2	deputies put the word out that it was a fake shooting and the
3	deputy was no good.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And what what followed from that
5	situation?
6	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: The word was put out not to back
7	that person up, that he was no good.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And were there incidents
9	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Stay away from that person.
10	MR. DEIXLER: I'm sorry.
11	Were there
12	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: And to stay away from that
13	person.
14	MR. DEIXLER: It's a little harder than it is when you're
15	sitting in the room.
16	Were there instances in which you were aware that the
17	deputy sought backup and it was refused?
18	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
19	MR. DEIXLER: And do you have in mind any two deputies in
20	particular who were involved in that refusal to attend to a
21	call from a colleague?
22	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: At this time, the names, no. It
23	was just station knowledge that it happened.
24	MR. DEIXLER: Have you observed that the Banditos have
25	directed work slowdowns when they were in disagreement with

1	their superiors?
2	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
3	MR. DEIXLER: And when is the last time that there was a
4	work slowdown, to your knowledge, because of a disagreement
5	with superiors in the East LA Station?
б	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Last summer.
7	MR. DEIXLER: And could you briefly describe those
8	circumstances.
9	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Deputies believed they were
10	getting disciplined unfairly by the captain or the sheriff, and
11	the Banditos called for a work slowdown.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And and was that slow down effective?
13	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: For the deputies, yes.
14	MR. DEIXLER: And did that well, how does it how did
15	it evidence itself that there was a slowdown in the East LA
16	Station last year?
17	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: The arrests at the station
18	dropped. No one was actually taking anyone to jail, and it
19	raised a lot of red flags.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you about a physical danger that
21	you may be familiar with.
22	Have you learned of a practice of Banditos loosening
23	lug nuts on cars with the goal of putting at risk the safety of
24	a disfavored deputy?
25	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: I've heard about that at the

1	station, yes.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And how recently has that information come
3	to your attention?
4	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Within the past month or so.
5	MR. WALDIE: What is a jacket within the meaning of the
6	term as it's used in the LASD?
7	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: A jacket is a false
8	(inaudible)
9	MR. DEIXLER: I'm sorry. Could you could you repeat
10	that? I didn't hear it. I didn't quite understand.
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
12	A jacket is a false report of a deputy when you go to
13	a new station. It's a reputation a false or negative
14	reputation that follows you to the next assignment. When you
15	go to the next assignment, people will believe you are no good.
16	MR. DEIXLER: And and have you heard that the Banditos
17	engage in the creation of jackets of of deputy sheriffs who
18	are transferring?
19	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Can you think of any specific examples that
21	you know about?
22	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes. A deputy was sent to
23	Compton Station. A jacket was put on that deputy at Compton
24	Station and also Century Station. The deputy was on his way
25	to work, he had a negative encounter with a Century deputy that

1	he didn't know who knew everything about him.
2	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with a deputy
3	Christina Smith?
4	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
5	MR. DEIXLER: And did Christina Smith have a jacket placed
6	upon her?
7	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And would you describe the circumstances
9	surrounding Christina Smith.
10	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Her jacket was that she was BO,
11	no good, and officer safety issues, and it was spread to her
12	new assignment. This is this is the person that they were
13	getting.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with the circumstances
15	surrounding Deputy Matthew Hernandez and his receiving a
16	jacket?
17	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
18	MR. DEIXLER: Would you describe for the commissioners,
19	please, that circumstance.
20	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: The jacket was he was 5150,
21	which means mentally ill, and he was a liar.
22	MR. DEIXLER: Are you familiar with a circumstance
23	involving Ed Hernandez?
24	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And would you share with the commissioners

1	the circumstances of Ed Hernandez getting a jacket.
2	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: His was he was no good, not a
3	team player, and you need to watch him.
4	MR. DEIXLER: How is it that in a paramilitary-structured
5	organization that deputy sheriffs get to establish the policies
6	and enforce the policies at East LA Station currently?
7	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Lack of leadership from the
8	superiors.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And who is to blame for that lack of
10	leadership?
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: The captain.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And how long
13	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: And
14	MR. DEIXLER: I'm sorry. Please continue.
15	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: The captain and whoever placed
16	the captain there.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Were you familiar with persons transferred
18	from East Los Angeles at the commencement of Sheriff
19	Villanueva's tenure as the sheriff of Los Angeles County?
20	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And and how did you obtain that
22	familiarity?
23	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Just station knowledge.
24	MR. DEIXLER: How many Banditos were transferred from the
25	sheriff, when he took office by the sheriff when he took

1	office in 2018?
2	
	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Transferred or left the station?
3	MR. DEIXLER: Well, start with transferred, and then we'll
4	talk about left the station.
5	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Zero.
6	MR. DEIXLER: And what about left the station? How many
7	left the station?
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Over 30.
9	MR. DEIXLER: And were the people who left the station
10	voluntarily or involuntarily forced to leave?
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Voluntarily.
12	MR. DEIXLER: I'm sorry. You said "Voluntarily"?
13	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Voluntarily.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
15	Was there anything about the transfer of these 30 or
16	so people which cleaned up the Banditos problem in East LA?
17	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
18	MR. DEIXLER: As a current employee of the LASD stationed
19	in East LA, what do you believe the commission should recommend
20	as the means to end the dominance of the Banditos at that
21	station?
22	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Placement of strong leadership
23	at the station and the removal of all Banditos.
24	MR. DEIXLER: Is there anything else?
25	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.

1	MR. DEIXLER: Would the strong leadership that you
2	described be entirely new leadership, or would it just be to
3	bring in another captain with the same group of people at the
4	East LA Station?
5	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: A whole new group of
6	administrative staff.
7	MR. DEIXLER: A whole new administrative staff, you said?
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
9	MR. DEIXLER: Let me close by asking you in light of what
10	you've experienced why do you wish to remain an LASD employee?
11	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: This was my call. This job is
12	what I was made to do. And I'm going to do do it no matter
13	what.
14	MR. DEIXLER: I have no further questions. I pass the
15	witness.
16	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
17	Rob?
18	COMMISSIONER BONNER: I wonder if I could ask the witness,
19	first of all, are the you you've described the term
20	"Banditos" and "Banditos associates."
21	Are the Banditos associates are they inked, or is
22	it only Banditos that are inked?
23	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Associates are not inked. Only
24	the Banditos are inked.
25	COMMISSIONER BONNER: All right.

1	So can you estimate approximately how many Bandito
2	associates there are in the East LA Station?
3	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: I would say between 10 and 15.
4	COMMISSIONER BONNER: So about the same number of
5	associates as there are Banditos currently; is that accurate?
6	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
7	COMMISSIONER BONNER: And when was the if you know
8	and I'll take hearsay here, but when was the last inking of a
9	Bandito, to your knowledge, at the East LA Station?
10	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Roughly a year-and-a-half ago,
11	18 months ago.
12	COMMISSIONER BONNER: And do you know how many deputies
13	were inked as Banditos back a year-and-a-half ago? Roughly.
14	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Ten.
15	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Ten?
16	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Ten, yes.
17	COMMISSIONER BONNER: All right. Thank you.
18	CHAIR KENNEDY: Anybody else?
19	Lael?
20	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you for your testimony.
21	If Captain, now Commander, Mejia told members of this
22	commission last year that there are no longer any Banditos at
23	East LA Station, is that not truthful?
24	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: That is not truthful.
25	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.

1	CHAIR KENNEDY: Anyone else?
2	I think that's oh, Jamon.
3	COMMISSIONER HICKS: I don't know if this was testified
4	to, but is Commander Mejia a Bandito?
5	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No.
6	COMMISSIONER HICKS: And do you know how someone becomes a
7	shot a shot caller?
8	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Every station has different ways
9	of getting a shot caller. Sometimes it's just a bad person who
10	is well-liked by the group.
11	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Is there a requirement that a shot
12	caller has been involved in an officer-involved shooting?
13	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Requirement, no.
14	COMMISSIONER HICKS: What about an expectation
15	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: But it happens often.
16	COMMISSIONER HICKS: What about an expectation that
17	they've been involved in an officer-involved shooting?
18	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER HICKS: Thank you.
20	CHAIR KENNEDY: Patti?
21	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Thank you for your testimony.
22	A technical question: How many deputies are
23	stationed at the East LA Station?
24	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: I do not know. I don't know.
25	Maybe over 100.

1	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Okay.
2	And is it can can one be a shot caller without
3	being a Bandito or another have another tattoo?
4	ANONYMOUS WITNESS NO. 3: No. A person who's is a
5	stand-alone, who is strong, is usually frowned upon, and
6	they'll get a jacket.
7	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Seeing no other questions.
9	Mr. Deixler, your next witness.
10	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
11	Thank you for your testimony, sir or ma'am.
12	Eli Vera, I believe, is in the witness room.
13	May I go find him?
14	CHAIR KENNEDY: We have someone coming.
15	MR. DEIXLER: Somebody to do it. Okay.
16	CHAIR KENNEDY: Would you please raise your right hand.
17	Do you swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
18	nothing but the truth?
19	MR. VERA: Yes, sir.
20	MR. DEIXLER: Would you state your name for the record,
21	sir, and spell your last name.
22	MR. VERA: Yes. My complete name is Eliezer Vera,
23	V-e-r-a.
24	MR. DEIXLER: And, sir, are you employed?
25	MR. VERA: I am retired as of March 30th.

Т

1	MR. DEIXLER: And until your retirement what was your
2	profession, sir?
3	MR. VERA: Thirty-three and-a-half years in the Los
4	Angeles County Sheriff's Department.
5	MR. DEIXLER: And what was the highest rank you achieved
6	in your 34 years at the LASD?
7	MR. VERA: I was a division chief.
8	MR. DEIXLER: And would you share with the commissioners,
9	briefly, your history over the 34 years at LASD.
10	MR. VERA: Yes.
11	I was hired in 1988. I initially worked at Men's
12	Central Jail. I worked our gang detail inside the jail.
13	I went on to work our special investigation unit on
14	loan to the DEA the DEA Task Force.
15	I worked patrol at Lynwood Station and at Century
16	Station from 1992 to 2001. I served as a patrol deputy,
17	training officer, and a detective at the station.
18	I was promoted to Detective Division. Worked on our
19	Cargo CATs, Criminal Apprehension Team.
20	I promoted to cap to sergeant in late 2001 where
21	I worked patrol at Lennox Station as a patrol sergeant, then
22	later as a crime impact team sergeant.
23	I worked as a crime impact team sergeant at Compton.
24	I worked in the executive office the office of the
25	sheriff for about two-plus years.

1	I was a lieutenant at Century Station as a watch
2	commander.
3	I was a SWAT commander for the sheriff's department
4	at our SEB, Special Enforcement Bureau, for a number of years.
5	I promoted to captain of South Los Angeles Station.
6	For about a year and a half I was a captain of our
7	reserve forces bureau, of our communications and fleet
8	management bureau.
9	I was a commander at court services and a chief in
10	central patrol division and technology and support division.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Well, that's a full that's a full life in
12	the department. Thank you for your service.
13	On October 7th, 2020, Sheriff Villanueva conducted a
14	social media public statement about his actions at East LA, and
15	let me play a portion of that for you. Exhibit Number 21.
16	"The 18. The very first thing I did before I even
17	sworn in publicly was I went to East LA Station, and I relieved
18	of command the captain of East LA Station for failing to do his
19	job. That was my very first act in office. And that is very
20	significant. And from there, we transferred out 36
21	individuals. They were some of them were near retirement,
22	or we convinced them to move on to greener pastures. And
23	
	but 36 people the entire leadership of East LA Station was
24	but 36 people the entire leadership of East LA Station was turned over. And that was on purpose because they had failed

1	investigation that had been started by my predecessor, I
2	continued it. And this thing was delivered to the District
3	Attorney's Office in around, I think, June or July 2019
4	2019. And we they did a good job. They interviewed all the
5	parties involved. They interviewed all the"
6	We've heard about the quality of the investigation.
7	But let me ask you about the allegation offered by the sheriff
8	that he transferred 36 people.
9	Are you familiar with the facts which underlay that
10	statement?
11	MR. VERA: I am.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And how did you gain your familiarity with
13	that?
14	MR. VERA: I actually was the person that was responsible
15	for creating a process to move forward to try try to change
16	the culture in the station.
17	It was a multifaceted approach, partially was part
18	one was transferring people from the station so we could start
19	to change the culture. It it would also include officers, so
20	you would have a different set of training officers that would
21	train the new deputies coming to the station. And then it also
22	involved changing out the supervision to bring strong
23	supervision at the station level from sergeant all the way up
24	to captain.
25	MR. DEIXLER: And did that multifaceted approach actually

Τ

1	take place?
2	MR. VERA: It did not.
3	MR. DEIXLER: What about this suggestion of the 36 people
4	who were transferred? Were those the leaders and the Banditos
5	who were occupying East LA?
6	MR. VERA: It was not.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Who were the 36 people who were transferred?
8	MR. VERA: These were a group of deputy sheriffs that
9	were some were transferred, some promoted, some retired,
10	some were you know, received other assignments in the
11	organization. None of those transfers were forceful transfers.
12	They all occurred voluntarily.
13	There's actually an email an email chain that
14	corroborates the fact that these individuals decided to leave
15	voluntarily from the station. And they they sent those
16	emails through the chain of command to to make sure that
17	everyone knew very clearly that this was as a result of
18	voluntary movement voluntarily as opposed to forced
19	transfers.
20	MR. DEIXLER: And is the statement that 36 people were
21	transferred or a statement that Banditos were transferred a
22	true or a false statement?
23	MR. VERA: That's false.
24	MR. DEIXLER: Who's who is Ernest Chavez?
25	MR. VERA: Ernest Chavez is a commander on the sheriff's

Γ

Τ

1	department and was the former captain of East LA Station.
2	MR. DEIXLER: And are you familiar with Ernest Chavez'
3	testimony under oath on March 8th, 2021, in the case of
4	Hernandez, et al. versus the County of Los Angeles, in which he
5	was questioned about a statement he had made to a reporter for
6	the Los Angeles Times?
7	Let me read it to you to see if it refreshes your
8	memory.
9	"Question: Sheriff claims he transferred from the
10	station 36 people who were associated with the Banditos or were
11	otherwise identified as problematic."
12	"Answer [sic]: You told the reporter that just as
13	you testified today that the 36 transfers were simply a general
14	group of deputies that left the station; correct?"
15	"Answer: Yes, sir."
16	Do you agree with the sworn testimony of now
17	Commander Chavez that the 36 people were not involuntarily
18	transferred and were just a group of deputies who generally
19	left the station?
20	MR. VERA: Yes, sir.
21	MR. DEIXLER: In the statement that we've heard that
22	Sheriff Villanueva made to the public, did you have the
23	opportunity to discuss with him and the undersheriff the truth
24	or falsity of that statement?
25	MR. VERA: I did. Each individually.

1	MR. DEIXLER: Would you first start by telling us what you
2	discussed with Undersheriff Murakami.
3	MR. VERA: I told the undersheriff that statements that
4	the sheriff had made on that occasion, and several other
5	occasions following that, were not accurate; they were false.
6	There had been no forceful transfers.
7	I explained to him that he had been part of the
8	process from the onset. "He" being the undersheriff, he knew
9	very well that it was part of a voluntary voluntary transfer
10	process that we were in and that the evidence would show, you
11	know, clearly that that did not occur.
12	There were no there were no grievances that were
13	filed by the employees as a result of being forcefully
14	transferred, not a single one, which would have been an
15	impossibility if you were forcefully removing people from the
16	station.
17	MR. DEIXLER: And what did the undersheriff say in
18	response?
19	MR. VERA: He acknowledged it. He knew that the sheriff
20	was using this statement to make it appear to the public that
21	he had essentially handled the issue and transferred the
22	Banditos out of the station.
23	MR. DEIXLER: Did you have the opportunity to discuss that
24	same topic with Sheriff Villanueva yourself?
25	MR. VERA: I did.

1	MR. DEIXLER: And would you share with the commissioners
2	that conversation.
3	MR. VERA: It was very similar to what we just discussed.
4	He was aware of the process from the onset that we
5	were going to start by transferring individuals from the
6	station.
7	He was aware we 'cause we had this personal
8	conversation, and and in a group as well, talking about the
9	appropriate administrative and, if necessary, criminal
10	investigations that needed to occur during the same time
11	period.
12	And I reminded him very clearly that this was a
13	voluntary process and that he was aware that it was a voluntary
14	process because, procedurally, in the sheriff's department, you
15	can't move people out of what we call "break up," which is the
16	organized transfer process without receiving approval up the
17	chain of command. And it has to be orchestrated because if
18	you're moving, let's say, six or seven individuals in a short
19	period of time, now you leave the the station in a vacuum
20	where it doesn't have enough personnel to do the job. So you
21	have to have support from the entire upper chain of command.
22	And and I I told him that I knew that he was
23	doing this because it was good for PR, but it was completely
24	false, and he needed to stop.
25	MR. DEIXLER: As of the time that the sheriff assumed his

1	position on December 3rd, 2018, was it perceived in the
2	department among its leadership that East LA was a station with
3	problems related to tattooed deputies and cultural issues?
4	MR. VERA: Yes, sir.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Was that a problem that was a secret in
6	the in the LASD?
7	MR. VERA: Absolutely not.
8	MR. DEIXLER: At the time of his inauguration, do you
9	believe the sheriff sheriff contributed to enhancing the
10	problematic East LA culture?
11	MR. VERA: I do.
12	MR. DEIXLER: Would you describe what you observed at his
13	swearing in on that occasion, on December 3rd, 2018?
14	MR. VERA: What I saw was something that I found very
15	disturbing, and not just something that I found disturbing.
16	There were several other executives in the the organization
17	that that made the same observations that I did.
18	Day one, for his swearing-in ceremony there was the
19	front of the auditorium had been reserved for the East LA
20	Station.
21	And the problem with that is you are now the sheriff
22	of Los Angeles County. So that means you are the sheriff to
23	everyone in the county of Los Angeles and to the entire
24	organization. And to section off the front of the auditorium
25	for the purposes of highlighting a particular station signals

Τ

1	to the rest of the organization, right from the onset, that
2	East LA Station is going to hold a very special place in in
3	how I conduct business, in my heart, in the organization,
4	whatever the case is. But as a professional law enforcement
5	officer that is a very, very bad signal for the for the
6	organization internally.
7	MR. DEIXLER: Do you know whether the sheriff, after that,
8	took steps to reintroduce the Fort Apache logo at the station?
9	MR. VERA: He did.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And what was your view of reintroducing the
11	Fort Apache logo at a station which was populated with
12	Banditos?
13	MR. VERA: The department was trying to move in a
14	different direction, professionalizing the organization and
15	getting rid of problematic emblems or offensive emblems, things
16	of that nature. So there were a host of issues with that.
17	Part of it was it it it said Fort Apache, you
18	know, another thing, but it was always the kick in the pants.
19	So it was it was a problematic gesture that kind
20	of re or not it re-emphasized that East LA was going to
21	be treated a little differently.
22	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you: Do you know whether this
23	decision was influenced in some way by one of the sheriff's
24	family members?
25	MR. VERA: It was.

Т

1	MR. DEIXLER: And and what family member do you believe
2	influenced that decision?
3	MR. VERA: A family member was specifically his wife,
4	Vivian Villanueva, and department member eventual department
5	member, again, was Danny Danny Batanero.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Who's Danny Batanero?
7	MR. VERA: Danny Batanero's a retired or was a retired
8	sergeant from the sheriff's department that was a friend of the
9	sheriff.
10	He's actually the person that the sheriff wanted to
11	make the captain of East LA Station when he was initially sworn
12	in. He wanted to bring back this retired sergeant from
13	that that was from East LA and make him a captain. And
14	that that's who he wanted to be the captain of the station.
15	MR. DEIXLER: So let me ask you: First, is it possible to
16	bring a retired sergeant back and make him a captain under the
17	Civil Service Rules as they then applied?
18	MR. VERA: Absolutely not.
19	MR. DEIXLER: And was there any historic baggage that
20	former Sergeant Batanero carried with him with regard to his
21	background?
22	MR. VERA: We didn't know factually, but the perception
23	that was common knowledge internally in the organization was he
24	was involved with the origination of the Banditos.
25	MR. DEIXLER: So let me see if I can understand that.

1	It was the sheriff's desire to bring back as a
2	captain a retired sergeant, which would have been contrary to
3	the Civil Service Rules, with the wide perception that he had
4	been involved in the Banditos.
5	Did I understand that correctly?
6	MR. VERA: Yes, sir.
7	As a matter of fact, there's a memorandum that was
8	authored in and signed by the sheriff that stated clearly
9	that the he he, the sheriff, had intended to bring back
10	Mr. Batanero to the sheriff's department, reinstate him, and
11	then assign him to East LA Station.
12	MR. DEIXLER: We were on the subject matter of the of
13	the sheriff's wife's involvement in at least the decision
14	regarding the Fort Apache logo.
15	How do you know about that?
16	MR. VERA: It was discussed in a meeting, at least in my
17	presence, in the sheriff's conference room where Mr. Batanero
18	and Vivian Villanueva had consulted members of the Apache
19	Nation, allegedly. And they claimed that they were not
20	offended by that title, or by by that phrase, and that was
21	the argument as to why they wanted to to keep that statement
22	on the station pin.
23	MR. DEIXLER: So it was reported that Mrs. Villanueva had
24	investigated with representatives of the Apache Nation to
25	determine whether referring to the East LA Station or using the

Т

1	Fort Apache logo including the words and the picture "kick in
2	the ass" was approved by the Apache Nation.
3	Was that the substance of it?
4	MR. VERA: Yes, sir. Essentially.
5	MR. DEIXLER: Was at the time was was the sheriff's
6	wife an employee of the LASD?
7	MR. VERA: No. She's a retired deputy.
8	MR. DEIXLER: Do you know whether Mrs. Villanueva has been
9	involved in approving or determining promotions and transfers
10	since her husband became the sheriff of the department?
11	MR. VERA: She has.
12	MR. DEIXLER: How do you know that?
13	MR. VERA: I was in the room.
14	MR. DEIXLER: Would you describe for the commissioners,
15	please, what you saw and observed on that occasion.
16	MR. VERA: So early in his administration we were
17	considering the promotions of lieutenants to captain. It was
18	in his conference room, which is adjacent to his main office.
19	And during the course of the discussion of various lieutenants
20	that were being considered for promotion, the sheriff got up
21	multiple times and stepped into his office and got on his phone
22	and was was making calls. At that point I suspected he was
23	calling his wife, but I didn't know specifically.
24	Later that same day, he was sitting at the head of
25	the table; we were on a break. We had we were still

1	discussing a particular employee, and he called her directly,
2	called her by her name, discussed the employee, and gave us a
3	thumbs down and shook his head, and he was not promoted.
4	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you. In your is it 34 years?
5	MR. VERA: Yes.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Thirty-four years of service to the LASD,
7	have you had other experiences in which non-employee spouses of
8	senior executives of the department have opined on hiring
9	decisions?
10	MR. VERA: Absolutely not.
11	MR. DEIXLER: Was this unusual, in your experience?
12	MR. VERA: Absolutely.
13	MR. DEIXLER: Why did you want to change the culture in
14	the East LA Station?
15	MR. VERA: It it wasn't just the East LA Station. It's
16	the culture across the board in the in the department.
17	In in my opinion, we can't take step one in
18	rebuilding I'm not going to say public trust because we're
19	so far away from public trust that that's not even a
20	conversation right now it's public confidence. And as long
21	as we have secret societies operating within the sheriff's
22	department station tattoos, cliques, whatever you may want
23	to call it we will never be able to take step one in trying
24	to regain the confidence of the community.
25	So the practice needs to needed to come to an end,

1	and it had to be much deeper than just policies. It's a
2	cultural change in a much deeper dive.
3	So, for me, when the sheriff came into office, I
4	found that as the most critical issue for the organization to
5	be able to start to heal and regain public trust or confidence.
6	MR. DEIXLER: Let me ask you a question cultural
7	question and some observations.
8	Is one way that the culture is expressed by the way
9	people sheriff deputies talk to each other on the radio?
10	MR. VERA: Yes.
11	MR. DEIXLER: And and would you talk a little bit about
12	what your observation was about the use of the radio in
13	connection with recent times in the department.
14	MR. VERA: In my previous assignment, I was the commanding
15	officer of our Communications and Fleet Management Bureau, so
16	that is your your dispatch center.
17	9-1-1 calls get routed to the station, then they go
18	to a central location that that were where the emergency
19	and priority calls were dispatched.
20	So I would constantly monitor the radio because of
21	the position I held, and what I heard in East LA was was
22	very troubling, is a lot of references to deputies by monikers,
23	by nicknames, things of that nature, that were very
24	unprofessional and unsettling to hear.
25	MR. DEIXLER: Monikers, like, used by gangs?

1	MR. VERA: Some, yes.
2	MR. DEIXLER: I understood that under under the
3	ordinary circumstances you would have been the person
4	ultimately responsible for supervising the investigation of the
5	Kennedy Hall incident. But a physical problem prevented you
6	from doing that, I guess, an operation of some sort.
7	Did it come to your attention when you returned from
8	your surgery that the investigation had been criticized?
9	MR. VERA: Yes.
10	MR. DEIXLER: And and how did it come to your
11	attention?
12	MR. VERA: I initially heard about the inspector general's
13	report, and then I read the inspector general's report, which
14	was very concerning when I saw all the shortcomings in the
15	in the investigation and the lack of depth of the
16	investigation.
17	MR. DEIXLER: Did you yourself have the opportunity to
18	interview a deputy who described to you treatment received by
19	that deputy at the hands of the Banditos?
20	MR. VERA: Yes. Earlier earlier in my career, yes.
21	MR. DEIXLER: Would you describe that.
22	MR. VERA: That particular deputy had experienced some
23	retaliation, not being backed up on calls, being shunned
24	because they were the station was or the leadership in
25	the station was looking to make this person a training officer.

1	Just a very unprofessional, unbecoming environment of
2	harassment to this particular employee.
3	The particular employee had to leave the station and
4	at one point came to interview me with me. I I I had
5	never met the person before, but she described some very
б	troubling situations. So I had a position that I placed her on
7	to remove her from from that environment so that she could
8	work in a professional environment, in a safe environment.
9	MR. DEIXLER: That was a person who transferred because he
10	or she felt endangered and harassed in her workplace; is that
11	fair?
12	MR. VERA: That's correct.
13	MR. DEIXLER: And was it unusual for you to hear stories
14	about the East LA Station and other stations in which there
15	were patterns of harassment?
16	MR. VERA: Directly as the captain because my position
17	wasn't, you know, working in a patrol environment anymore.
18	I I wasn't hearing this directly. I would hear rumors at
19	times, but it was unusual to actually have an employee come to
20	you and tell you some circumstances that that I found very
21	concerning.
22	MR. DEIXLER: It I understand that you are a candidate
23	for sheriff of Los Angeles County.
24	Do I have that correct?
25	MR. VERA: I am, sir.
1	

Γ

Τ

1	MR. DEIXLER: And once you announced your candidacy for
2	sheriff, did you or your family face any retaliation?
3	MR. VERA: Unfortunately I did.
4	MR. DEIXLER: And what what position did you hold on
5	the day that you announced that you were candidate?
6	MR. VERA: I was a division chief.
7	MR. DEIXLER: And did that position change?
8	MR. VERA: It did.
9	MR. DEIXLER: What happened to change that position?
10	MR. VERA: I was eventually demoted to the rank of
11	commander.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And what was the explanation that was
13	offered, if any?
14	MR. VERA: The explanation was that I was running for
15	sheriff.
16	MR. DEIXLER: And have you I understand your wife is or
17	has been employed by the LASD?
18	MR. VERA: My wife, sir?
19	MR. DEIXLER: Yes.
20	MR. VERA: Yes.
21	MR. DEIXLER: And what what position does she hold in
22	the LASD?
23	MR. VERA: She's been retired for a little over a year.
24	MR. DEIXLER: When did you announce your candidacy?
25	MR. VERA: April of last year.

1	MR. DEIXLER: So a little over a year ago.
2	MR. VERA: Yeah. She had been retired for about a month
3	or so when I announced my candidacy.
4	MR. DEIXLER: Was were you or your wife subject to an
5	internal investigation after you announced your candidacy?
6	MR. VERA: Threats of internal investigations, inquiries,
7	unannounced visits by law enforcement or sheriff's department
8	investigators that that came to my my property.
9	MR. DEIXLER: You have a son who is interested in becoming
10	a deputy sheriff in the LASD?
11	MR. VERA: I do.
12	MR. DEIXLER: And was that was your son's application
13	determined that he was qualified for the position?
14	MR. VERA: He was.
15	MR. DEIXLER: And what happened to that application?
16	MR. VERA: After he received a conditional offer of
17	employment, like his brother and sister who were on the job, he
18	was bypassed a number of times for the position of deputy
19	sheriff and on several academy classes to the extent that he
20	had to apply with another agency.
21	And in speaking to the background investigator that
22	completed his background from the other agency, they read his
23	file. And clearly on his file it stated that he had been
24	cleared for academy, cleared for hire. But that did not happen
25	as a result of my announcement for my candidacy for the sheriff

Τ

1	of Los Angeles County.
2	MR. DEIXLER: I have no further questions. I pass the
3	witness.
4	CHAIR KENNEDY: Any questions?
5	COMMISSIONER BONNER: I just have it's not a question,
6	but I do want to just state for the record that we would very
7	much liked to have had Sheriff Villanueva here to testify today
8	and respond to questions. You can see why, I think, just from
9	what's happened so far.
10	The record of this hearing should reflect that on
11	behalf of the commission, the special counsel to the Civilian
12	Oversight Commission, Mr. Deixler, invited Sheriff Villanueva
13	to to to this very hearing by a letter dated May 6, 2022,
14	in which he said and I'm just quoting from the letter:
15	"On May 24th, 2022, at Loyola Law School, in the
16	Robertson Courtroom, the commission will conduct an evidentiary
17	hearing addressing certain information relating to the subjects
18	described above to include the existence, operation, and impact
19	of deputy gangs, cliques, and exclusionary subgroups within the
20	LASD. I anticipate there will be testimony about deputy
21	subgroups known as the Banditos and Executioners. Please
22	accept my invitation to to the commission's hearing on
23	May 24th."
24	This letter from Mr. Deixler was responded to on
25	May 16th, 2022, by a Lieutenant O'Donnell, who is in the office

Т

1	of the sheriff, who says and I'll just quote the pertinent
2	part of the letter of May 16th:
3	"Unfortunately Sheriff Alex Villanueva will not be
4	able to attend the hearing on May 24th, 2022."
5	And I just want to say, "Yes, Sheriff Villanueva, it
6	is unfortunate that you're not here."
7	I have no question, though, of the witness.
8	CHAIR KENNEDY: Lael?
9	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you, Chief Vera, for your
10	for your testimony.
11	You've talked about the knowledge that you have about
12	East LA Station and your proposal or your being responsible for
13	process for changing the culture of the station. We've had
14	testimony, and there have been numerous reports in the media
15	about deputy gangs at stations other than East LA.
16	Do you have any first-hand knowledge or experience
17	about deputy gangs at stations other than East LA?
18	MR. VERA: The sheriff's department has long embraced a
19	culture of and and this is the background as opposed to a
20	justification of tattoos that identify certain stations.
21	And it it my understanding from 34 years on the
22	department comes from military-type of practices. So the
23	majority of the stations in the sheriff's department, at some
24	point or currently and I don't know about currently have
25	had various tattoos that identify stations.

1	Certain stations have had individuals who have been
2	terminated, severely disciplined, and some prosecuted with, you
3	know, criminal behavior. So whether some of it has definitely
4	been consistent with that criminal behavior that we're
5	discussing, and some of it had many of them have never had
6	an issue with the department.

But I think the -- the -- the bigger issue is the fact that the practice needs to be completely terminated. And the culture has -- has to change because you -- just the existence of these, you know, secret societies, I think are -are extremely problematic not only to the department, but to the community.

And -- and like I stated earlier, you will never be 13 14 able to gain any semblance of public confidence as long as this practice is -- is occurring. And the only one that can change 15 the practice is the sheriff. The sheriff is the one that's 16 responsible for, at the end of the day, using the resources 17 that are available to him in collaboration with county counsel, 18 19 with the inspector general, with the COC to come together and figure out, okay, how do we put a permanent end to this 20 21 process.

Not just policy because the biggest fear that I have in my 33-and-a-half years is that you just change the policy. If you change the policy, you drive bad practices underground and that's something that we cannot afford in the sheriff's

1	department.
2	It has to be a much deeper look into it with the
3	policy being changed, culture being changed, and, quite
4	frankly, a look at employees that have participated in rogue
5	behavior and been terminated or prosecuted and and a look as
6	to what changed, what caused this type of behavior so we can
7	have a permanent end to the practice and then with a strong
8	leadership that doesn't allow for it 'cause you get what you
9	what you allow for.
10	And one of the things I'm most proud of when I was a
11	captain of South Los Angeles, there's a tattoo associated with
12	the station, but there wasn't a single lawsuit or incident that
13	was problematic that came up during that time period because we
14	just didn't allow for bad behavior. But it it it can't
15	be dealt with at that level. It has to be dealt with at a much
16	higher level at the top of the organization in a collaborative
17	manner.
18	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you very much.
19	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Could I just add, though, with
20	respect to station tattoos as opposed to deputy gangs and
21	cliques and subgroups exclusionary subgroups but a station
22	tattoo, would would that exclude people deputies from
23	having that tattoo? I mean I mean, in other words, were
24	those exclusionary tattoos, or could you just get one because
25	you you were a deputy assigned to that station?

1	MR. VERA: The majority of the time it it they
2	you have to be asked by those involved in that group if they
3	want to participate, or whatever
4	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Why would that be, sir? I would
5	just want to I want to know why would that be that if I'm a
6	member of a certain station that's identified by a tattoo, I've
7	got to get permission from other line deputies to be able to
8	to have a station tattoo? Why would that be?
9	MR. VERA: Yeah
10	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Please explain it to me.
11	MR. VERA: Sure. That's because whoever is responsible
12	for those station tattoos as a group of individuals that have
13	received those tattoos who've created their own guidelines as
14	to why they believe that, you know, a particular deputy sheriff
15	should be allowed to be part of that group, and amongst
16	themselves, they determine who fits that criteria or does not
17	and then allows them, you know, to participate.
18	The majority I can tell you this. The majority
19	you know, to qualify it. I worked Lynwood Station, I worked
20	Century Station, I worked Compton Station, I worked Lennox
21	Station, I worked South LA, and I worked SCB. I don't have a
22	tattoo on my body.
23	The majority of the people that work those stations
24	do not have tattoos but the the great majority. But there
25	is a group of people that that run those particular cliques

1	or subgroups, whatever the case is, and we have seen the
2	behavior of some of those groups become abusive and, at some
3	cases, criminal.
4	COMMISSIONER BONNER: But let's let's not call them
5	station tattoos then. We'll because they're not available
6	to everybody at the station. Let's call them deputy gang or
7	clique tattoos, but let's not call them station tattoos because
8	they are not that.
9	You know, what disturbs me a little bit too, I I
10	must say, Commander, is that is the temporizing view you
11	have of deputy cliques and gangs. Whatever you call these,
12	that you have these exclusionary subgroups and you're you're
13	excusing them by oh, well, it could be okay to have this where
14	there's a shot caller or a small group of deputies within a
15	station who controls who can be in and who's out, who gets
16	the the TOs, the training officer assignments, who gets the
17	plum assignments.
18	I mean, this is, to me, it's it's total anathema,
19	and it's a cancer on the sheriff's department that this
20	continues to exist. And it's going to take some really, really
21	strong leadership to change it, and I don't I don't hear
22	that from you, sir.
23	MR. VERA: I I think you misunderstood
24	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: You did.
25	MR. VERA: my answer. You were asking me, sir, a

1	question as to how it operates. I wasn't telling you that I
2	stand with that. I actually
3	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Okay.
4	MR. VERA: as a matter of fact, I was the person that
5	volunteered to take on this issue when the sheriff was elected
6	to put a permanent end to it.
7	My response to your question, it was simply, you
8	know, how does this operate. And that was my explanation, not
9	a condoning of it, and I haven't condoned it and I've been very
10	public in my position throughout. So I I believe you
11	misunderstood.
12	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Okay. By okay. By whatever
13	name, deputy cliques, gangs, exclusionary subgroups, do you
14	think that it would be a good thing if the sheriff's department
15	at long last got rid of them?
16	MR. VERA: Absolutely. I'm the only executive in the
17	sheriff's department has spoken to over 500 deputy sheriffs
18	personally in formalized training as before we even started
19	to address it on how we're going to put a an end. We
20	need to put an end to this practice because of the the
21	the the the problem and the distrust that it's causing in
22	the community.
23	So my position has been very, very pointed, and I
24	I don't know how you misunderstood.
25	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Okay. Well, I'm not I haven't

	Γ
1	been following your campaign, sir, but but how would you end
2	it? So how would you end deputy cliques?
3	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: It's a campaign.
4	COMMISSIONER BONNER: How are you going to end it? Let's
5	say you're
6	MR. VERA: How am I going to end it?
7	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Yeah.
8	MR. VERA: Very simple. And I I spoke just a little
9	bit earlier.
10	Number one, we're going to create a policy, day one,
11	that puts an end to the practice altogether. I have my
12	intentions would be to bring in representatives from the COC,
13	the inspector general, county counsel, and even the unions with
14	a very clear statement as to what the end game will be. The
15	end game will be we'll put an end to the practice.
16	Number two, we'll put an end to the culture, and the
17	culture will start from how we hire individuals, how we train
18	individuals, what what we what you know, the
19	explanation as to this is what this whole tattoo culture has
20	evolved to, what it's cost the organization. We don't stand
21	with it. It's a complete change of culture.
22	And then the deeper dive that I discussed earlier is
23	creating, whether it's a task force or a blue-ribbon committee
24	that, at the same time, does the deeper dive because what I
25	really want to get down to is that rogue behavior. So the

1	rogue behavior from deputies that are hired to have a a
2	a you know, a background that they clear backgrounds,
3	clearly, now they end up becoming involved in either criminal
4	activity or in abusive activity that leads to high-level
5	discipline.
6	And if you can get an entity of stakeholders,
7	educators, things of that nature, to to do the deeper dive
8	into what has caused these issues, I think now you've attacked
9	the issue from multi different levels, and you can put a
10	permanent end to the process. But it's much greater than just
11	creating a policy.
12	COMMISSIONER BONNER: But you have a commission whether
13	it's a blue-ribbon one or not, but you have a commission that
14	is right now this commission is doing a deeper dive. We're
15	going to do that much.
16	But thank you. Thank you, Mr. Vera.
17	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
18	We do need to take public comments. Are there any
19	other questions?
20	Yes, Patti.
21	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Mr. Vera, thanks for being here
22	today.
23	What in your over 30 years with the department,
24	have you ever heard of anyone any deputy who had a tattoo
25	change their mind or decided to have the tattoo removed? Has

1	there ever been any scenario like that?
2	MR. VERA: Yes.
3	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Once? Twice? What's what's
4	your sense?
5	MR. VERA: I have a sense that there has been a good
6	amount of older deputies who have looked back and realized
7	that that may not have been the best decision. Maybe, at the
8	time, things weren't the same as they were today, and some of
9	them have gotten them lasered off, some of them have covered
10	them over. Most of them are retired, quite frankly.
11	COMMISSIONER GIGGANS: Okay. Thank you.
12	CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER COOPER: Also, I want to say thank you for
14	being here.
15	You mentioned that you spoke with the sheriff
16	MR. VERA: Yes.
17	COMMISSIONER COOPER: about the misleading of the
18	information about the transfers being voluntary. But how did
19	he respond to you in that meeting? What did he say to you
20	about it?
21	MR. VERA: So if if you know I've been around him
22	long enough. His his responses are are are kind of
23	unique. There's not a whole lot of back and forth. There's a
24	lot of hand wringing, there's a whole lot of shaking back and
25	forth, and a whole lot of "uh-huh, uh-hmm," that kind of thing,
L	

Т

1	and no real response.
2	So when I actually I was forceful with him on
3	very on quite a few issues right from the onset that that
4	I found problematic. And you you never got a consistent
5	answer from him. It was more of of just him kind of
6	grinding his teeth and listening to what you have to say, and
7	then we would hear about it later through an undersheriff or an
8	assistant sheriff. But you didn't get a whole lot out of him.
9	COMMISSIONER COOPER: Okay. Thank you.
10	MR. VERA: And I told him point blank "That's not true.
11	It's a false statement."
12	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Seeing no other questions, I think
13	we'll move over to public comments.
14	Thank you very much.
15	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Mr. Chair, without objection, may
16	the two letters I referred to be made part of the record of
17	hearing?
18	CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
19	COMMISSIONER BONNER: They'll be Exhibits 24, 25, next in
20	order.
21	CHAIR KENNEDY: So, normally, we would take commissioner
22	comments before public comment, but our investigation is
23	ongoing, and since we are continuing this investigation, I
24	think we'll move directly to public comment. Many members of
25	the public have requested comment.

Τ

 one minute. I promise you next time I will allot for more time so that I do not cut you off, but this time I have to. So and if we could begin with one-minute intervals of public comment. MS. P. PERELLO: When your name is called, please go to the middle of the room. I will be calling the names three at a time. Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris here? MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, East LA. I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's Department at East LA. I have information from two separate instances two 	1	I am so sorry to do this, but because we have this
 so that I do not cut you off, but this time I have to. So and if we could begin with one-minute intervals of public comment. MS. P. PERELLO: When your name is called, please go to the middle of the room. I will be calling the names three at a time. Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris here? MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, East LA. I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's Department at East LA. 	2	room until 1:00 o'clock, I will need to limit public comment to
5 So and if we could begin with one-minute intervals 6 of public comment. 7 MS. P. PERELLO: When your name is called, please go to 8 the middle of the room. I will be calling the names three at a 9 time. 10 Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by 11 Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. 12 Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris 13 here? 14 MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the 15 Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, 16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	3	one minute. I promise you next time I will allot for more time
 of public comment. MS. P. PERELLO: When your name is called, please go to the middle of the room. I will be calling the names three at a time. Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris here? MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, East LA. I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's Department at East LA. I have information from two separate instances two 	4	so that I do not cut you off, but this time I have to.
 MS. P. PERELLO: When your name is called, please go to the middle of the room. I will be calling the names three at a time. Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris here? MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, East LA. I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's Department at East LA. I have information from two separate instances two 	5	So and if we could begin with one-minute intervals
8 the middle of the room. I will be calling the names three at a 9 time. 10 Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by 11 Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. 12 Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris 13 here? 14 MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the 15 Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, 16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA.	6	of public comment.
9 time. 10 Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by 11 Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. 12 Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris 13 here? 14 MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the 15 Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, 16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	7	MS. P. PERELLO: When your name is called, please go to
 10 Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by 11 Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. 12 Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris 13 here? 14 MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the 15 Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, 16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two 	8	the middle of the room. I will be calling the names three at a
11 Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez. 12 Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris 13 here? 14 MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the 15 Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, 16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	9	time.
12 Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris 13 here? 14 MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the 15 Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, 16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	10	Our first speaker will be Riley Paris followed by
13 here? 14 MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the 15 Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, 16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	11	Carlos Montez followed by Leticia Vasquez.
14MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the15Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights,16East LA.17I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and18brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people19in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have20friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's21Department at East LA.22I have information from two separate instances two	12	Is Riley Paris here with us still? Is Riley Paris
 15 Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights, 16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two 	13	here?
16 East LA. 17 I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and 18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	14	MR. C. MONTES: My name is Carlos Montes. I'm with the
17I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and18brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people19in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have20friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's21Department at East LA.22I have information from two separate instances two	15	Check the Sheriff Coalition and Centro CSO in Boyle Heights,
18 brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people 19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	16	East LA.
19 in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have 20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	17	I grew up in East LA getting harassed, arrested, and
20 friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's 21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	18	brutalized by the East LA Sheriff Station. I know many people
21 Department at East LA. 22 I have information from two separate instances two	19	in East LA. I graduated from Garfield High School. I have
22 I have information from two separate instances two	20	friends, acquaintances that have members of the LA Sheriff's
	21	Department at East LA.
23 separate conversations that Villanueva joined the Banditos when	22	I have information from two separate instances two
25 Separate conversations that viriandeva jorned the bandros when	23	separate conversations that Villanueva joined the Banditos when
24 he was at the East LA Sheriff's Station.	24	he was at the East LA Sheriff's Station.
25 These are folks that have friends, relatives within	25	These are folks that have friends, relatives within

1	the East LA Sheriff's Station that have given me this
2	information. They're afraid to come forward. They're afraid,
3	obviously, to publicly testify to that because of the terror of
4	East LA Banditos.
5	I'm here to, first of all, thank you for last week,
6	passing motion asking the Board of Supervisors to put a charter
7	amendment to strengthen oversight over the LA Sheriff's
8	Department.
9	I'm here to say, "Adios, Villanueva. Puto Bandito."
10	We got to get rid of this sheriff; right? He's a
11	Bandito, but we need systemic change to the system.
12	Thank you very much.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
14	MS. P. PERELLO: Leticia Vasquez followed by
15	Christina E. Vasquez followed by Jacqueline Ventures.
16	MS. L. VAZQUEZ: I'm (inaudible) mom. It's good to be
17	here in presence, not just a phone call.
18	Unfortunately, under the current sheriff, officers
19	are protected and shielded from the process of wrongful
20	misconduct and accountability. The the actual it's
21	actually rare during the current regime.
22	You can't continue killing our people and always
23	claiming that you fear for your life. You can't generalize it,
24	and you can't say that all are suspects. You can't and you
25	should not be okay with a sheriff dismissing and mocking their

1	victims and think a badge gives you the license to be corrupt.
2	Enough is enough when no improvement is I'm sorry,
3	I'm nervous is seen, a change is needed in the in the
4	leadership. A charter amendment will give the people the power
5	and the strength to be able to change that.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
8	COMMISSIONER BONNER: Thank you.
9	CHAIR KENNEDY: Next.
10	MS. P. PERELLO: Christina Vazquez followed by
11	Jacqueline Ventures followed by Julie Martinez.
12	MS. C. VAZQUEZ: I am one of the many impacted families
13	represented here this morning.
14	We are and we have been, month after month, here to
15	demand change, to challenge a flawed system, to push for a
16	charter amendment so that the sheriff in office will be held
17	accountable.
18	I am here to be the voice of my husband whose life
19	was brutally taken by negligent and incompetent officers on
20	October 6th of 2019 on our driveway. I am here to remind you
21	of the blood on their hands.
22	The sheriff in office sets the tone for the entire
23	department. If the person in office acts above the law, is it
24	any surprise that officers act the same? Is it shocking the
25	deputy-involved shootings continue to increase? Is it shocking

Τ

1	that corruption has leaked into this department?
2	But, I mean, this isn't something that is new. This
3	has been going on for generations. It's only being brought to
4	life because people continue to talk about it despite
5	retaliation and harassment so many of us as impacted families
6	have had to endure.
7	How many more lives need to be taken to bring change?
8	MS. P. PERELLO: Jacqueline Venters followed by
9	Julie Martinez followed by Tamera.
10	MS. J. VENTERS: Good morning. I want to thank Wald
11	Lieutenant Waldie and Commander Vera for coming here brave
12	enough to share. I you guys know my son was shot five times
13	in the back by Deputy Gonzalez who's and a gun planted. So
14	many things. That was April 4th, 2011.
15	We got this far to the Department of Justice, Gascòn,
16	District Attorney, Federal Bureau of Investigation. But our
17	Department of Justice has dropped the ball. They have he
18	called me Investigator Steve Phan called me and told me a
19	lie. I don't know why, but we got to take it further. And
20	this just don't start with the sheriff's department. We have
21	people in other departments that's not doing their job.
22	He told me that they couldn't investigate this deputy
23	anymore because they didn't have enough evidence saying that he
24	planted a gun. My son was shot five times in the back. I got
25	a wagon back there that I brought with all the evidence that

1	got us this far with his case showing that this deputy is
2	corrupt. He's a Jump Out Boy from the Century Station.
3	The Asbury and
4	MS. P. PERELLO: Julie Martinez
5	MS. J. VENTERS: and Mr what's-his-name left, and
6	they gave me their minutes before they left. So I'll come back
7	and finish.
8	MS. P. PERELLO: Followed by Tamera followed by
9	Larry Stewart.
10	MS. J. MARTINEZ: I don't know how I'm going to do this in
11	a minute; I'll try.
12	In February 2020, I read a personal letter to the
13	family from Villanueva in which he claimed that the sheriff's
14	department does not compile any data on deputy gangs in 2020.
15	Within 12 hours of my grandson Paul Rea's shooting
16	and murder by a deputy in East LA, several community members
17	approached me at an impromptu memorial and told me that
18	Deputy Saavedra was a member of the Bandito gang. I was
19	incredulous; had no proof. Since then, several news agencies,
20	national and international, have exposed Deputy Saavedra as a
21	member of the Banditos.
22	Our communities our kids are black, brown,
23	indigenous, Asian youth are simply fodder for deputy gangs
24	to earn their position in these deputy gangs.
25	At what point will the COC and Board of Supervisors

ſ

Τ

2 amendment. 3 It is painful and disheartening to learn that my 4 grandson's death was simply an activity, an activity 5 orchestrated for this deputy to earn a Ban his Bandito 6 badge and and tattoo. 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. 9 Really want to have everyone to be able to have time 10 to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep 11 going. 12 MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. 13 MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. 14 Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a 15 name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, 16 "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. 17 These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, 18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They 'ne paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected offic	1	hold Villanueva accountable? We need the part the charter
4 grandson's death was simply an activity, an activity 5 orchestrated for this deputy to earn a Ban his Bandito 6 badge and and tattoo. 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. 9 Really want to have everyone to be able to have time 10 to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep 11 going. 12 MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. 13 MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. 14 Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a 15 name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, 16 "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. 17 These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, 18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	2	amendment.
 orchestrated for this deputy to earn a Ban his Bandito badge and and tattoo. Thank you. CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. Really want to have everyone to be able to have time to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep going. MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really unfortunate. The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which 	3	It is painful and disheartening to learn that my
 badge and and tattoo. Thank you. CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. Really want to have everyone to be able to have time to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep going. MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really unfortunate. The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which 	4	grandson's death was simply an activity, an activity
 Thank you. CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. Really want to have everyone to be able to have time to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep going. MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really unfortunate. The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which 	5	orchestrated for this deputy to earn a Ban his Bandito
 8 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. 9 Really want to have everyone to be able to have time 10 to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep 11 going. 12 MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. 13 MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. 14 Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a 15 name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, 16 "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. 17 These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, 18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which 	6	badge and and tattoo.
 Really want to have everyone to be able to have time to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep going. MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really unfortunate. The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which 	7	Thank you.
10 to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep 11 going. 12 MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. 13 MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. 14 Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a 15 name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, 16 "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. 17 These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, 18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	8	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
<pre>11 going. 12 MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. 13 MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. 14 Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a 15 name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, 16 "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. 17 These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, 18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which</pre>	9	Really want to have everyone to be able to have time
 MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez. MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really unfortunate. The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which 	10	to comment. So if we could not applaud, if we can just keep
 MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you. Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really unfortunate. The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which 	11	going.
14Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a15name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish,16"Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot.17These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet,18they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges.19They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really20unfortunate.21The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of22Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it23stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no24accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	12	MS. TAMERA: I yield my time to Ms. Martinez.
15 name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish, 16 "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. 17 These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, 18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	13	MS. J. MARTINEZ: Thank you.
16 "Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot. 17 These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, 18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	14	Since then we've learned that Deputy Saavedra has a
17 These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet, 18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	15	name, "Listos." For those of you who don't speak Spanish,
18 they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges. 19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	16	"Listos" means "ready." It means ready to shoot.
19 They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really 20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	17	These deputy gangs operate as street gangs, and yet,
20 unfortunate. 21 The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of 22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	18	they're not criminalized. These deputy gangs wear badges.
The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	19	They're paid by my taxpayer dollars, which is really, really
22 Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it 23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	20	unfortunate.
<pre>23 stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no 24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which</pre>	21	The COC, as I said earlier, and the Board of
24 accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which	22	Supervisors need to push for the charter amendment as it
	23	stands. Villanueva, as an elected official, there is no
25 the the Board of Supervisors will be granted a form of	24	accountability. Only through a charter amendment, in which
	25	the the Board of Supervisors will be granted a form of

Т

1	impeachment power will dep will any sheriff, including
2	Villanueva, any future sheriff be held accountable for criminal
3	gang activity.
4	Let's talk about this criminal gang activity who wear
5	badges and who are paid by the taxpayers of the county.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
8	MS. P. PERELLO: Larry Steward followed by
9	Cynthia Anderson-Barker followed by Latice Serjanko.
10	MR. L. STEWARD: My name is Larry Steward.
11	I just got out of prison for doing 20 years, and I
12	thank God that I'm here today to speak my truth.
13	I got two officers out of Compton Court lied and said
14	I threw a weapon, when a weapon was found 500 yards away from
15	me by the helicopter.
16	And I'm just going to say to y'all up here sitting
17	here, y'all could look, but I'm a different breed. When I say
18	I'm a different breed, if change don't come, fire is going to
19	blaze here in these states 'cause people was tired. I'm not
20	going to put my life on the line. They lying on me, and they
21	won't give me a dea they forced me to take a deal.
22	So I'm telling y'all to y'all face I'm looking at
23	y'all I just got out from doing 20 years, and I've been
24	doing good. If this don't change the fire is already set.
25	Y'all better change it 'cause ain't nothing going to be at

1	peace. It's real out here. They're trying to wipe me out with
2	three strikes for something I didn't do.
3	I'm done.
4	MS. C. ANDERSON-BARKER: My name is Cynthia Anderson-
5	Barker. I'm an attorney with the National Lawyers Guild, but
6	also part of this coalition called Check the Sheriff.
7	And to hear the families here today, after hearing
8	the testimony about the sheriff's conduct and the deputy gangs,
9	and here are the victims. They're right here in this room. So
10	my concern is, number one, my colleagues have sued the
11	sheriff's department, as was mentioned earlier, \$50 million
12	involved in deputy gang-related settlements.
13	So what are we going to do? And I love the fact that
14	you're asking "All right. What is the solution?"
15	Well, the solution isn't any more blue-ribbon
16	commissions. The solution is the discipline and firing and
17	taking out that authority from the sheriff's department to a
18	separate entity and also, of course, impeaching and the
19	authority to impeach and recall for cause.
20	So before we start anymore blue-ribbon commissions
21	and five more years pass and more people die, let's take action
22	now.
23	Thank you very much.
24	MS. P. PERELLO: Ricci Sergienko followed by
25	Raquel Durfee followed by Hal Steinberg.

1	MR. RICCI SERGIENKO: How's it going, Commission?
2	So I'm Ricci Sergienko. I'm an organizer with the
3	People City Council and also a member of the Check the Sheriff
4	Coalition.
5	I've been at the Vargas family house when the
6	deputies have gone come over and harassed and assaulted
7	family members. That's a daily occurrence for the Vargas
8	family, much like the (inaudible) family, and and Marco
9	(inaudible) family. He had people in this room, and I know
10	commissioners yourself, and and I know Max Huntsman has been
11	subjected to harassment and retaliation from LASD.
12	Myself Alex Villanueva is running a Facebook
13	campaign ad against me, and I'm a private citizen. I'm not
14	running for office, and he's mad because of (inaudible)
15	families and the Check the Sheriff Coalition is daring to
16	expose LASD.
17	I'm here to recommend the charter amendment but LASD
18	won't stop killing black and brown people until they are
19	abolished. Dismantle and disband LASD.
20	MS. P. PERELLO: Raquel Durfler followed by
21	Hal Steinberg followed by Michelle Enfante.
22	MS. R. DURFLER: Good afternoon. My name is
23	Raquel Durfler, and I'm here today on behalf of Cancel the
24	Contract AV.
25	The existence of deputy gangs coupled with the fact

1	that the Palmdale and Lancaster sheriff stations have been
2	under a consent decree with the Department of Justice since
3	2015 has led to untold suffering for our brown and black
4	communities. Villanueva and LSA the sheriff's department,
5	which includes the two deputy gangs, the Cowboys and the
6	Rattlesnakes, are the single greatest threat to the civil
7	liberties, lives, and safety to the communities of color in the
8	Antelope Valley.
9	I'm here today to ask that this body meets with
10	Cancel the Contract to discuss really how do we make sure that
11	the sheriff comes into compliance with that consent decree.
12	Thank you.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
14	MS. P. PERELLO: Hal Steinberg followed by
15	Michelle Infante.
16	MR. H. STEINBERG: Hi, my name is Hal Steinberg. I'm also
17	with Cancel the Contract in the Antelope Valley.
18	You know, I think our community in the Antelope
19	Valley is often forgotten about also, and the county is one of
20	the most difficult places in in the area.
21	So there are three deputies who I know of who are on
22	the streets right now who have issues.
23	One is deputy who did not respond to a life-and-death
24	issue and let let one of our members die. Another deputy
25	who has also a civil rights lawsuit against him, currently on

Γ

1	the streets. And a third deputy who body slammed the student
2	at Lancaster High School that we all know about.
3	This is a problem of impunity that goes beyond just
4	the hiring and firing of deputy gangs. It goes to the roots of
5	this department. And it's been said before, commissions,
6	investigations, longstanding things that have been known for 50
7	years, as the inspector general himself stated, go far beyond
8	any of those things. So actions need to be taken, and due
9	process blocks the process constantly of these people on our
10	streets. They need to be taken off of our streets immediately.
11	MS. P. PERELLO: Michelle Infante followed by Ron Dahl
12	followed by Isaac Asbury.
13	MS. INFANTE: Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is
14	Michelle Infante. I'm with Dignity and Power Now. I've been
15	working for eight years directly with directly impacted
16	families.
17	It's not just that we have someone who has deputy
18	gangs here, and that's what they are, they're deputy gangs;
19	they're not subgroups. What we have here is someone who who
20	lies on on an investigation report, and then that lie is
21	perpetuated by the Coroner's Office and then it continues down
22	the line.
23	And every family that's here deserves millions of
24	dollars, millions, not two, not three, not five, millions of
25	dollars.

1	This lady over here, she's trying to fight for her
2	son. What she has on paper is probably all false accusations,
3	character assassination, and she has to take that to a court of
4	law and try to explain the lies that are on here. This is
5	crazy nonsense.
6	Our organization is saving money and trying to fund
7	to help these families with mental health services.
8	The least you can do is take the charter amendment
9	and push it through with the County Board of Supervisors at the
10	very least.
11	MS. P. PERELLO: Ron Dahl followed by Isaac Asbury
12	followed by Andres Kwon.
13	MS. J. VENTERS: Okay. Ron Dahl and Isaac Asbury gave me
14	their time.
15	But Mr. Bonner and Mr. Huntsman, thank you, guys.
16	Mr. Bonner, I remember when you first submitted the
17	resolution for the recall, a resignation of the sheriff, and
18	you got the ball rolling.
19	We are here now, but it's not stopping right here
20	because I'm here with the Department of Justice, and this
21	investigator just did something that's illegal. He lied to me.
22	He lied to me and told me that these deputies had to have
23	tell on their fellow deputies saying that they seen him with a
24	gun, or they had to have a witness.
25	I've been in court from the beginning April 4th

1	to I'm standing here right now. I never stop fighting for
2	my son. But what he did was untrue, and he didn't know the
3	next day I met with Gascòn, and he said that wasn't true
4	either.
5	I requested the letter and told him that he wanted me
6	to he wanted the letter that they sent. They have failed to
7	send me anything stating that they have to tell on somebody.
8	All these deputies got up there and testified that
9	they never seen a gun. One of them even say he don't even know
10	why this deputy shoot shot.
11	These things have to stop because we, as civilians,
12	we seen what he did to Mr. Huntsman over here. Disrespected
13	the subpoenas.
14	Mr. Huntsman, you sent three letters over to the
15	sheriff's department for my son for investigation. They have
16	failed to do anything.
17	One of the sergeants over there told me he wasn't
18	giving me nothing, they wasn't investigating anything, to go to
19	the Department of Justice.
20	Look what the Department of Justice just did. This
21	is a lie. This is not true.
22	We have seen people go to jail for no weapons been
23	found. But my son was shot five times in the back.
24	You guys I don't know if y'all got that video, but
25	I got a video that LA Times and KPCC sued the the sheriff

1	department in court because
2	I have Mr. Isaac's time. Yeah. I I have their
3	time.
4	They sued in court
5	MS. P. PERELLO: You were given two minutes.
6	CHAIR KENNEDY: I'm sorry.
7	MS. J. VENTERS: I have another minute
8	MS. P. PERELLO: Yes. You were given both both of the
9	minutes, ma'am.
10	MS. J. VENTERS: Are they up?
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: We gave you two for your for your
12	absent friends.
13	MS. P. PERELLO: Andres Kwon followed by Valerie Vargas
14	followed by Stephanie Luna.
15	MR. A. KWON: Andres Kwon, ACLU, Check the Sheriff.
16	Today, more information kept in the dark by the
17	sheriff and county counsel came to light. We heard about
18	exclusion, retaliation, shot callers, beatings, shootings,
19	rampant civil rights violations, 9-9-8, inking parties, work
20	slowdowns, abuse of power, coverups and secrecy, impunity.
21	To sum it up, as the lieutenant said, the sheriff has
22	sacrificed public safety for power. And here's the thing:
23	We've known of all this.
24	How many more investigations with blue-ribbon
25	commissions before the powers that be do something about it?

1	No. It's past time for us to radically overhaul the
2	department, as the LA Times editorial board put it. And I'm
3	not talking about just policy banning deputy gangs, which will
4	be meaningless when it has to be implemented by a sheriff who
5	gaslights the public and issue and minimizes it.
6	We thank the commission for just voting to support
7	our community recommendation for a charter amendment to finally
8	establish meaningful checks and balances, and we urge the
9	supervisor to take this important step.
10	Our community, especially families devastated by
11	sheriff violence, are here. Can you please stand?
12	Please stand.
13	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Andres.
14	MR. KWON: This is just a glance. All the families and
15	communities, all the types of shared violence.
16	MS. P. PERELLO: Valerie Vargas followed by Stephanie Luna
17	followed by our last speaker, Janay Rea.
18	MS. V. VARGAS: Good morning, y'all.
19	Waited a long time to be here in person, about two
20	years.
21	Going to take a lot to process what we heard today
22	in during the testimonies, but like this gentleman had said
23	over here, I think he had just came out of prison from 20
24	years, where he has said that the fire has been lit. The
25	fire's been lit for about the past four years, and it just

Τ

1	seems like every every year I get angrier and angrier.
2	So I wanted to say thank you for moving getting
3	the ball rolling on the charter amendment, but we really do
4	need it to be pushed to the Board of Supervisors.
5	I really don't want to be here. I waste my time by
6	calling in from work and complaining to you guys about what the
7	LASD does. And it's not just LASD, but it's the sheriff
8	himself who keeps antagonizing my family.
9	The only thing I can think of is right now is just,
10	you know, implementing the charter amendment and somehow
11	finding some way to protect the families because you have
12	whistleblowers here who are protected by the law. We are
13	civilians, and there's no protection for us.
14	Thank you.
15	MS. P. PERELLO: Stephanie Luna followed by Janay Rea.
16	MS. S. LUNA: Yeah, hello. Following what my sister said,
17	we're the family of Anthony Vargas. I mean, you guys already
18	know the story that happened with my nephew. He was killed by
19	two Bandito prospects.
20	You know, just sitting here listening to the
21	testimony of the officer and hearing how he said that he feared
22	for the life of him and his family, I can tell you that that's
23	something that we deal with on a daily basis. And that's
24	because we face harassment and intimidation from not just the
25	sheriff's department, not just the sheriffs involved in my

1	nephew's murder, but from Alex Villanueva himself.
2	You know, it's a big deal being here today having an
3	entire discussion on deputy gangs because a few years ago,
4	nobody wanted to talk about this. This was something that
5	everybody stood away from. So thank you to the commission for,
6	you know, being so willing and so ready and so able to
7	challenge Alex because, let me tell you, he doesn't like to be
8	challenged, and we're here for it.
9	The fact that my nephew's not here no more means that
10	we're going to be here for the rest of our life fighting not
11	just Villanueva himself but the sheriff's department. And
12	that's why it's important to get the charter amendment on the
13	ballot because we know that this isn't just about Villanueva.
14	It's going to be about anyone that comes in after his
15	leadership.
16	Thank you.
17	MS. JANAE REA: Hello. I'm the sister of Paul Rea. He
18	was 18 years old when he was murdered in 2019 by an active
19	deputy gang member, Hector Saavedra, (inaudible) East Los
20	Angeles Sheriff's Station. They are still on duty.
21	I want to take a moment and say how big the deputy
22	gangs take part in the officer-involved killings within the Los
23	Angeles County Sheriff's Department. Alex Villanueva is the
24	head of it all. One moment, there's no gangs, and then the
25	next, he's removing them from the stations. There's Banditos,

1	Compton Executors, Jump Out Boys, 3000 Boys, Grim Reapers,
2	Regulators, and plenty more deputy gangs that are only out to
3	kill and harass our (inaudible). Other than protecting
4	sorry.
5	If we take part and try to fight for our loved ones
6	against these deputy gangs, but it feels as if we get no
7	progress with Alex Villanueva being sheriff and making it
8	worse, not helping, rather to harass families in different
9	aspects and making us more of a target than we already are.
10	These deputies get tattoos as as an
11	accomplishment, other than getting any discipline. There's
12	proof and facts. Alex can hide it all he wants, but the whole
13	LASD are active deputy gang members.
14	Thank you.
15	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. I really appreciate the impacted
16	families making statements, and I want to thank you for your
17	patience. I'll make sure there's plenty of time to speak next
18	special hearing.
19	This concludes the COC's first special hearings on
20	alleged deputy gangs.
21	This presentation, Mr. Deixler, gives everybody in
22	this room, and I hope the sheriff's department, a lot to think
23	about.
24	I want to thank Loyola Law School for donating this

Т

1	happen. And I also want to thank our executive director and
2	our great COC staff for attending to every detail. You can't
3	imagine how much went into this hearing to make sure this
4	actually happened.
5	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.
6	CHAIR KENNEDY: After we consult with Mr. Deixler,
7	the COC will release additional information about the next
8	special hearing on deputy gangs. So look for it on our
9	website.
10	COMMISSIONER RUBIN: Thank you.
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you.
12	(The hearing was concluded.)
13	-000-
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss.
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)
4	
5	I, ANN BONNETTE, California CSR No. 6108, Louisiana Court
6	Reporter No. 85135, Registered Professional Reporter, Certified
7	Manager of Reporting Services, AAERT CERT D-368, do hereby
8	certify:
9	That said digitally recorded audio of the Civilian
10	Oversight Commission Hearing, May 24, 2022, was transcribed
11	into computer-generated text under my direction and
12	supervision, and I hereby certify the foregoing transcript to
13	the best of my ability.
14	I further certify that I am neither counsel for nor
15	related to any party to said action nor in any way interested
16	in the outcome thereof.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name
18	this 12th day July, 2022.
19	$\int p$
20	Unn Bomatte
21	ANN BONNETTE, CSR 6108
22	AAERT CERT D-368
23	
24	
25	

WORD INDEX	162 5:7	15 85:12 92:12	25 116:4, 7, 11, 19,	142:13
WORD INDEA	162 5:7 163 5:8	95:14 126:3, 4	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6108 172:5, 21
< \$ >	164 4:24	155:20 169:18	20, 24 118:2, 7, 11,	64 3:11
\$50 24:12 160:11	166 5:9	2020 4:9 16:23	13, 15, 20, 23	65 3:12
\$7-million 95:25	167 5:10	26:20 49:5 88:20	119:2, 5, 8, 11, 13,	6th 155:20
	168 5:11	125:13 157:12, 14	17, 22, 25 120:5, 8,	
< 0 >	169 5:12	2021 128: <i>3</i>	11, 23 121:3, 6, 10,	<7>
0900 30:4	16th 142:25 143:2	2022 1:7 3:6, 8	14, 16, 24 122:5, 8,	7 3:10 4:9 42:15
	17 4: <i>3</i> 85: <i>19</i>	4:11, 15, 18 32:3	13, 15, 18, 24	71 4:5
<1>	18 2:24 58:18	41:8 42:1 92:12	123:4	73 3:13
1 2:21 3:18 4:7	121:11 125:16	142:13, 15, 25	30 100:7 119:8,	76 3:15
12:13, 15, 18, 22	169:18	143:4 172:10, 18	15 150:23	78 3:17
1:00 153:2	19 3:6 4:5 71:22	20th 84:21	3000 17:16, 21	79 3:19
10 3:8 55:1, 2	1971 13:7 1973 12:25 13:6	21 4:8 39:15, 23	67:8, 11, 12, 17 88:17 170:1	7th 30:2, 4, 12, 16 125:13
62:7 63:19 70:6, 14 91:20 121:3	1973 12:23 13:0 1988 124:11	40: <i>12</i> 54: <i>12</i> 55:9 125: <i>15</i>	30th 123:25	125:15
100 23:20 74:12	1900 124.11 1991 11:23	21st 32:3 42:13	31 3:14 4:6, 7	< 8 >
122:25	1991 11:25 1992 13:15	43:11	31st 72:3, 4 74:2	8 3:11, 20 64:3
102 2:18	124:16	22 4:10 57:23	33 31:12	94:5
10th 42:1, 14	19th 41:8	84:18, 19	33-and-a-half	82 3:21
11 2:16 3:13	1st 72:3 78:24	22-year 84:7	144:23	83 3:23
39:12 73:25		89:16	34 4: <i>12</i> 124:6, 9	84 4:10
11:00 101:12, 15	< 2 >	23 4:12 34:8, 23	136:4 143:21	85 4: <i>3</i>
11:16 101:14, 15	2 2:22 6:11	39:12 40:16	36 125:20, 23	85135 172:6
12 2:21 3:15	14:12, 19 31:13	24 1:7 4:14, 15	126:8 127:3, 7, 20	8th 79:24 128:3
63:19 76:2 105:3	58:1,6 85:4	152:19 172:10	128:10, 13, 17	
157:15	20 4:10 51:12	24th 142:15, 23	38 13:3	<9>
123 2:19 125 4:8	159:11, 23 167:23 2000 17:15, 21	143:4 25 4:17 39:24	3rd 27:11 80:10 131:1,13	9 3:12 64:19 94:4, 6
125 4:8 12th 172:18	58:23	152:19	151.1, 15	94 :4, 0 90 26:13, 16 32:7
13 2:22 3:17	2001 124:16, 20	26 3:4 53:14	<4>	9.0 20.13, 10 32.7 9-1-1 137:17
78:24 79:20	2001 124:10, 20 2004 2:22 14:12,	26th 27:6 35:21	4 3:4 6:17 26:3,	94 3:17
94:15	21 15:3, 14 51:12	27 29:15	6 27:18 35:4	9-9-8 51:10, 12, 17
1300 28:23	58:8, 11	27th 27:4, 15	40:16	52:4, 8 85:14
13670 2:24 18:16	2005 59:4, 24	28:20, 23 30:12	41 3:6 54:25	86:3 93:18
20:1, 4 40:17, 21,	2006 84:2	36:1	415 76:13	166:19
23 41:12 43:21	2007 59:5 60:23	28 94:8, <i>13</i>	42 3:8, 10	9-9-8s 68:19
44:17 83:16	2009 59:4, 5, 7, 25	28th 21:3 26:9	45 76:22	9th 27:19, 22
13th 14:12 58:8	2011 156:14		47 13: <i>11</i>	
14 2:22 3:19	2013 59:22	<3>	4th 80:15 156:14	<a>
31:17 79:24	2015 50:23 84:2	3 2:18, 24 6:13	164:25	a.m 101:15
81: <i>17</i> 142 4: <i>14</i>	162: <i>3</i> 2016 95: <i>4</i> , 23	18: <i>16</i> 31: <i>13</i> 101:23 102:4, 7, 9,	<5>	AAERT 172:7, 22 ability 60:18, 19
142 4.14 144 4:17	2010 95.4, 25 2017 4:6 59:19	12, 15, 19, 23	5 3:6 6:19 35:19	68:21 82:11
15 3:21 62:7	72:2	103:1, 4, 8, 11, 13,	37:12, 13 41:6, 7	172:13
82:18 105:3	2018 3:13, 14, 16	15, 18, 23 104:2, 9,	42:24 58:1,6	able 7:17 101:12
121:3	4:6, 7 21:3 26:9,	11, 13, 15, 17, 21,	50 23:19, 21 37:4	136:23 137:5
152 4:14	24 27:11, 15, 19,	25 105:3, 5, 8, 12,	50:17 100:7	143: <i>4</i> 144: <i>14</i>
153 4:21	22 28:20, 23 30:2,	14, 17, 20, 23	163:6	146:7 155:5
154 4:22	4, 12 35:21 36:1	106:8, 11, 21, 25	500 148: <i>17</i>	158:9 169:6
155 4:23	49:17 65:11	107:3, 6, 8, 11, 14,	159:14	abolished 161:19
156 4:24	67:23 72:3, 4	17 108:1, 3, 5, 10,	5150 111:3	absent 166:12
157 4:25 158 4:25	73:9 74:1,2 79:16 80:10 81:3	13, 16, 22 109:2, 4, 7, 10, 12, 16, 20	117:20 518 46:12	absolute 47:9
158 4:23 159 5:3, 4	119:1 131:1, 13	110:8, 12, 16, 20	518 40:12 57 2:17	Absolutely 12:11 31:1 32:2 40:14
159 5.5, 4 15-minute 101: <i>13</i>	2019 3:14, 18, 20	<i>23</i> 111: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>17</i> ,	58 2:22	131:7 133:18
16 3:23 4:18	4:7 65:12 67:20,	20, 24 112:2, 5, 12,	5th 12:25	136:10, 12 148:16
83:18	21, 24 71:14, 17	16, 19, 22 113:5, 8,		abuse 166:20
160 5:5	72:4, 24 73:8, 17	11, 16, 19, 22	< 6 >	abusive 147:2
161 5:6	74:2, 5, 6, 7 78:12,	114:6, 9, 12, 18, 22	6 3:8, 10 41:25	150:4
	24 79:24 80:11,	115:2, 6, 9, 13, 17,		
	I	1	I	1

academy 58:24	additional 19:8	allegation 18:7	11:19 12:10	Anthony 62:11
141: <i>19</i> , <i>24</i>	27:24, 25 171:7	51:25 52:10	14:23 15:16	168:17
accept 142:22	address 148:19	126:7	16:22 22:22 24:3	anticipate 142:20
acceptable 51:1	addressing 58:9	allegations 7:3, 10	27:5 33:1 38:19	anti-discrimination
accompanied	142:17	17:2 23:2, 8	44:15 46:6 53:14	19:22
45:17	Adios 154:9	51:20, 23 52:12	54:2 57:16 71:6,	anybody 38:13
accomplishment	adjacent 135:18	alleged 6:5 7:20	24 89:3 118:18,	46:17 87:24
170:11	administration	14:23 15:16	19 124:4 125:5	100:3 113:4
accountability	68:18 100:25	21:11, 13 24:14	128:4, 6 131:22,	121:18
154:20 158:24	106:19 135:16	25:18, 23 35:10	23 139:23 142:1	anymore 139:17
accountable 98:3	administrative	43:9 50:23, 25	145:11 169:20, 23	156:23 160:20
155:17 158:1	120:6, 7 130:9	52:15 53:19	172:3	Apache 132:8, <i>11</i> ,
159:2	admiration 8:18	74:10 96:11	angrier 168:1	17 134:14, 18, 24
accumulation	advancement	170:20	ANN 172:5, 21	135:1, 2
77:15	15:19	allegedly 21:9	announce 140:24	apologize 76:8
accurate 64:25	advise 69:24 97:5	134:19	announced 56:7	apparently 100:7
121:5 129:5	advised 27:23	allot 153:3	69:20 140:1, 5	appear 43:25
accurately 34:6	58:7	allow 81:3	141:3, 5	44:12 45:3, 14
38:17, 19, 23	Affairs 54:11, 14,	106: <i>13</i> 145:8, <i>9</i> ,	announcement	83:24 129:20
accusations 164:2	<i>19</i> 110: <i>16</i>	14	46:2 141:25	appeared 14:25
accuse 46:6	affiliate 18:8	allowed 91:20	Anonymous 2:18	44:23 108:23
achieved 124:5	affiliation 25:22	98:6 146:15	14:5 101:23	appears 25:11
acknowledged	70:17 82:10	allowing 71:13	102:1, 4, 7, 9, 12,	26:5, 6 34:9
129: <i>19</i>	afford 144:25	allows 44:19	15, 19, 23 103:1, 4,	54:10 64:10, 13
ACLU 22:25	afraid 154:2	146: <i>17</i>	8, 11, 13, 15, 18, 23	65:5 74:8 84:3
166:15	African-American 18:12 20:3	aloud 28:19 30:3 35:10	104:2, 9, 11, 13, 15,	94:7
acquaintances 153:20	109:11	altogether 149:11	<i>17, 21, 25</i> 105: <i>3, 5,</i> <i>8, 12, 14, 17, 20, 23</i>	applaud 158:10 application
acquire 65:20	afternoon 47:23	Amendment	106:8, 11, 21, 25	141: <i>12</i> , <i>15</i>
acquired 19:9	161:22 163: <i>13</i>	39:22 40: <i>3</i> , 8	100.8, 11, 21, 25	applications 59:2
act 7:7 28:14	after-work 21:6	154:7 155:4, 16	107.5, 0, 0, 11, 14, 17 $17 \ 108:1, 3, 5, 10,$	applied 133:17
125:19 155:24	aged 35:9	158:2, 22, 24	13, 16, 22 109:2, 4,	apply 45:5 141:20
acting 67:25	agencies 157:19	161:17 164:8	7, 10, 12, 16, 20	appointed 56:8
69:24 80:11 87:2	agency 20:19	167:7 168:3, 10	110:8, 12, 16, 20,	Appreciate 7:24
93:6	32:13 34:15	169:12	23 111:1, 13, 17,	78:4 95:17 99:3
action 9:19 15:2	54:18 141:20, 22	amount 63:12	20, 24 112:2, 5, 12,	170:15
37:25 44:8 49:8,	agent 20:16	66:20 96:25	16, 19, 22 113:5, 8,	appreciated 70:15
12 53:9 160:21	32:13 114:1	103:5 151:6	11, 16, 19, 22	Apprehension
172:15	ago 37:4 84:21	amounted 36:25	114:6, 9, 12, 18, 22	124:19
actions 45:7	121:10, 11, 13	amounts 40:23	115:2, 6, 9, 13, 17,	approach 126:17,
110:13 125:14	141: <i>1</i> 169: <i>3</i>	ample 38:5	25 116:4, 7, 11, 19,	25
163:8	agree 43:21, 24	analysis 13:20	22 117:4, 7, 10, 17,	approached 36:3
active 169:18	98:15 128:16	24:13 72:17	20, 24 118:2, 7, 11,	68:12 75:11
170:13	agreed 18:15	83:25 93:5, 11	13, 15, 20, 23	157:17
actively 12:7 14:7, 9	101:18 agreeing 10:4	analyze 59:10 analyzing 59:15	119:2, 5, 8, 11, 13, 17, 22, 25 120:5, 8,	appropriate 39:22 47:4 130:9
activities 25:7, 9	ahead 102:5	72:11, 15 74:18	17, 22, 25 120.5, 8, 11, 23 121:3, 6, 10,	approval 130:16
59:8	ain't 159:25	anathema 100:2	11, 25 $121.3, 0, 10, 14, 16, 24$ $122:5, 8, 10, 10, 122:5, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10$	approved 135:2
Activity 3:4	AK-47 87:12, 18,	147:18	13, 15, 18, 24	approving 135:9
15:14 26:3 150:4	21	and-a-half 124:3	123:4	approximately
158:4 159:3, 4	al 33:1 128:4	Anderson 160:4	anonymously 9:9,	24:9, 12 33:9
acts 155:23	alcohol 21:7	Anderson-Barker	15 101:18 102:14	59:4, 22 72:15, 22
actual 27:16	Aldama 95:22	5:4 159:9 160:4	answer 40:5, 11	95: <i>13</i> 121: <i>1</i>
46:18 53:9	Aldama's 99:11	Andres 5:9 88:19	53:23 55:10 57:4	April 3:18, 20
101:19 154:20	Aldana 64:20	96:10 164:12	93:4 128:12, 15	32:3 73:12 78:24
Ad 46:22 47:22	81:2	166: <i>13</i> , <i>15</i> 167: <i>13</i>	147:25 152:5	79:10, 24 82:3
90:9 161: <i>13</i>	Alex 27:4, 13	Andrew 2:17	answered 45:16	140:25 156:14
add 9:14 47:25	143:3 161:12	57:13	antagonizing	164:25
100:20 145:19	169: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>23</i> 170: <i>7</i> ,	Angel 16:10	168:8	area 12:5 35:22
addition 33:6	12	ANGELES 1:5	Antelope 162:8,	65:22 71:18
39:19 63:15		6:3 7:5 8:15	17, 18	

104:2 162:20	120:20, 21, 23	113:7 114:16	40:20 43:7 47:7	believe 13:7, 10
areas 103:21	121:2, 5	130:4, 7, 13	53:20, 21 54:16,	17:14, 16, 18 20:2,
argument 44:20	association 52:19	a-year-and-a-half	21 55:2 56:8	5 21:16 27:2
45:1,7 111:9	assume 68:24	92:2	104:16, 20, 23	34:6 35:19 36:19,
134:21	assumed 70:11		105:1, 6, 22 106:7,	24 37:4 39:1
arrest 75:13	130:25	< B >	24 107:13, 15, 19,	40:1, 22, 23 44:22
79:15 99:17	assumption 40:7	Baca 2:23 14:13,	20 108:17, 22, 25	45:20 46:11
arrested 153:17	attached 14:15	21	109:3, 6, 8, 14	51:10, 13 53:4
arrests 3:13 74:1,	attack 32:9	back 44:24 59:18	112:18, 22 114:24	56:1 60:23 63:5,
4, 8, 12, 16 75:14	attacked 150:8	64:7 68:21, 25	115:11, 22 116:16	17 76:22 81:3
115: <i>17</i>	attain 88:25	69: <i>18</i> 81: <i>17</i>	118:24 119:16, 20,	89:7 91:8 94:17
arrived 60:10, 25	attempt 46:12	88: <i>17</i> 91: <i>12</i> 92: <i>1</i> ,	23 120:20, 21, 22,	95:4 96:11 98:8,
arriving 93:14	attempting 82:21	3, 12 99:13 110:3	24 121:5, 13, 22	9 99:6, 10, 18
Art 32:24	attempts 48:22	112:24 113: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> ,	127:4, 21 128:10	101:4 102:19
artist 50:4	attend 4:16	<i>14</i> 114:6 121: <i>13</i>	129:22 132:12	107:8 109:14, 18,
Asbury 157: <i>3</i>	114:20 143:4	133:12, 16 134:1,	133:24 134:4	23 110:16 116:15
163: <i>12</i> 164: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i>	ATTENDEES 2:2	9 151:6, 23, 24	138:19 142:21	119:19 123:12
Asian 157:23	attending 171:2	156:13, 24, 25	153:23 154:4	131:9 133:1
asked 25:19 28:1	attention 15:23	157:6 165:23	157:21 169:25	146:14 148:10
60:1 68:22 75:15	18:4 20:10 21:2	backed 138:23	banning 167: <i>3</i>	believed 33:24
83:2, 4 146:2	24:16 33:14, 18 35:4 67:20 87:24	background 13:4	Bar 86:25	113:25 115:9 bolong 61:18
asking 34:15 76:12, 18 77:11,	116:3 138:7, 11	133:21 141:21, 22 143:19 150:2	Barajas 62:13 86:3, 11	belong 61: <i>18</i> benefit 110:25
15, 24 86:7 120:9	Attorney 4:12	backgrounds	barbecue 35:22	benefits 15:17
147:25 154:6	20:18 22:25 30:9	150:2	Barker 160:5	Bert 2:13 7:18, 21
160:14	33:24 34:20	backseat 87:17	barred 10:6	best 56:2 63:19
aspects 170:9	38:24 39:5, 6	backup 113:18	based 19:3, 21	151:7 172:13
ass 135:2	49:8 65:3 156:16	114:17	25:2, 12 39:5	bet 42:15
assassination	160:5	bad 122:9 132:5	53:18 70:6 74:17,	better 13:25
106: <i>14</i> 164: <i>3</i>	attorney-client	144:24 145:14	18 85:2	47:18 82:16
assault 21:12	48:18	badge 155:1	Basically 76:12	159:25
37:9 53:20	Attorney's 11:24	158:6	basis 168:23	beyond 163:3, 7
assaulted 21:11	34:13 36:20, 22	badges 158:18	Batanero 133:5, 6,	Big 22:8 33:2
110:2 161:6	126:3	159:5	20 134:10, 17	34:22 169:2, 21
assaultive 49:17	audio 172:9	baggage 133:19	Batanero's 133:7	bigger 144:7
assert 40:8 44:19	auditorium	balances 167:8	Bautista 62:11	biggest 144:22
asserted 40:3	131:19, 24	ball 156:17	beatdown 34:1	bit 53:14 137:11
assertion 45:2	August 4:5, 6	164:18 168:3	beating 110:14	147:9 149:9
assertions 23:3 assign 104:8	72:2, 3	ballot 169: <i>13</i> Bam 22: <i>14</i> , <i>19</i>	beatings 166: <i>18</i> becoming 106: <i>4</i>	black 157:22 161:18 162:3
134:11	Austreberto 88:24 96:7	33:4 34:20	112:6 141:9	blacks 62:25
assigned 82:9	author 42:7	Ban 158:5	150:3	blame 118:9
145:25	authored 134:8	Bandito 23:17	befriend 107:20	blank 152:10
assignment	authorities 110:6	34:5 55:23	befriended 108:19,	blaze 159:19
116:14, 15 117:12	authority 27:13,	104:10, 12, 14	22	Block 95:24
137:14	17 46:18 103:17	106:16 109:11	began 21:9 26:8	blocked 89:7
assignments 100:1	110:10 160:17, 19	112:1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8	27:8 74:9	blocks 87:11
127:10 147:16, 17	authorize 42:4, 7	121:1, 9 122:4	beginning 164:25	163:9
assist 9:12	authorized 20:19	123:3 154:9, 11	begins 14:14	blood 155:21
assistance 76:18	32:13	157:18 158:5	behalf 24:20	blue 64:11
170:25	AV 161:24	168:19	41:19 47:20, 21	blue-ribbon
assistant 152:8	available 47:12	Bandito-related	142:11 161:23	149:23 150:13
associate 106:16	48:4 144:18	24:10 53:17 Bandita 17:18	behavior 18:23	160:15, 20 166:24
112:2, 4, 5	147:5 avoid 9:10 28:14	Banditos 17:18	25:20 37:25 49:17 53:6 58:9	BO 105:24
associated 8:24 23:23 37:19	avoid 9:10 28:14 awaiting 92:19	18:7, 9, 10 21:9, 11 23:15, 23 24:2,	49:17 53:0 58:9 80:25 88:3 89:20	106:12 117:10 board 12:14
52:18 60:14, 15,	aware 18:5, 7, 9	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	111:14 113:9	136:16 154:6
<i>32:18</i> 60: <i>14</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>17</i> 128: <i>10</i> 145: <i>11</i>	19:8 49:11 53:24	29:21, 23 31:3	144:3, 4 145:5, 6,	157:25 158:21, 25
associates 107:19	54:4 56:18 80:22	33:20 35:1 36:14	<i>144.3, 4 143.3, 0,</i> <i>14 147:2 149:25</i>	164:9 167:2
112:8, <i>11</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>23</i>	95:20 104:19	37:15, 18, 22 38:1,	150:1	168:4
,, -0, 20		6, 7, 9, 12, 20	belief 18:12 89:10	bodily 23:9
	 	0,7,7,12,20		

٦

	1	1	1	1
body 52:14 55:12	bunch 19:12	candidacy 10:15	cases 24:6, 8	121:18 122:1,20
64:6 90:16 91:24	48:17	140:1, 24 141:3, 5,	147:3	123:8, 14, 16
146:22 162:9	Bureau 24:17	25	category 19:21	142:4 143:8
163:1	59:6 63:9 125:4,	candidate 10:13,	Catholic 89:24	150:17 151:12
boils 97:24 101:2	7,8 137:15	21, 24 139:22	CATs 124:19	152:12, 15, 18, 21
BONNER 1:13	156:16	140:5	caught 109:21, 24	154:13 155:7,9
2:5 31:22, 25	Burling 8:4	candidates 10:16	cause 43:15	158:8 159:7
32:5 46:25 47:9,	Burson 27:25	85:2	48:20 52:9 60:3	162:13 166:6, 11
-			48.20 52.9 60.3 89:22 92:3 99:19	-
15, 24 48:5 49:15	28:25 29:10, 14,	candor 60:11		167:13 170:15
55:7, 15 56:14, 23	15 30:7, 11 37:6	101:8	130:7 145:8	171:6, 11
71:2 98:14 99:21	38:16 41:1 47:3,	cap 124:20	159:19, 25 160:19	challenge 155:15
100:22 101:6	5, 11 56:6, 15	capacity 57:17	caused 12:18	169:7
120:18, 25 121:4,	business 76:13, 22	Captain 3:17, 19	145:6 150:8	challenged 169:8
7, 12, 15, 17 142:5	132:3	27:25 29:9, 14, 15	causing 148:21	chance 45:22
145:19 146:4, 10	bust 53:21	30:11, 12 41:1	CCJV 67:11	change 50:17
147:4 148:3, 12,	bypassed 141:18	53:15 60:2, 8, 10	CCJV's 59:9	51:1, 3, 5 126:15,
25 149:4, 7	bystander 87:21	67:24, 25 69:24	Cedar 95:24	19 136:13 137:2
150:12 152:15, 19		78:25 79:25 80:2,	celebrated 85:10	140:7, 9 144:9, 15,
155:8 164:15, 16	< C >	<i>6</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>17</i> 81: <i>1</i> ,	93:24	23, 24 147:21
BONNETTE	calf 13:2	8, 12 82:22 87:2	celebrating 21:8	149:21 150:25
172:5, 21	CALIFORNIA	88:2, 7 91:20	87:20 94:2	154:11 155:3, 5,
bono 10:9	172:1, 5	93:6 95:2, 4, 9	celebration 85:18	15 156:7 159:18,
book 12:12, 13	call 9:16 11:5	98:21 99:7	86:9, 22 87:3, 23	24, 25
58:5	35:4 76:13, 16, 18	106:18, 22 107:2,	center 66:7, 8	changed 145:3, 6
bottom 60:6	97:5 99:25	4, 10, 13, 19, 21, 23,	137:16	changing 126:22
Boy 157:2	101:17 114:21	24 108:4, 9, 12, 15	Central 67:3, 8,	143: <i>13</i>
Boyle 153:15	120:11 130:15		<i>12</i> 124: <i>12</i> 125: <i>10</i>	character 106:14
		110:6, 9 111:16		
Boys 17:16, 22	136:23 147:4, 6, 7,	112:15 113:6	137:18 Control 152:15	164:3
43:8 67:11, 17	<i>11</i> 154:17	115:10 118:11, 15,	Centro 153:15	characterize 36:13
170:1	called 13:1 15:24	<i>16</i> 120: <i>3</i> 121:2 <i>1</i>	Century 14:24	characterized
brave 156:11	30:17 36:3 61:24	125:5, 6, 18	15:9 16:22 58:10,	32:18
break 98:20	63:4 67:25 81:19	126:24 128:1	<i>13</i> 59: <i>1</i> 116:24,	charge 34:16 60:8
101:13 130:15	113:18 115:11	133:11, 13, 14, 16	25 124:15 125:1	charged 10:5
135:25	136:1,2 153:7	134:2 135:17	146:20 157:2	49:20
breath 55:3	156:18 160:6	139:16 145:11	ceremony 131:18	charges 34:15
breed 159:17, 18	caller 16:11, 14	Captain/Chief	CERT 172:7, 22	charter 154:6
brief 7:1	18:4, 6, 8, 12 61:5,	28:25	certain 10:2 63:8	155:4, 16 158:1,
briefly 21:4	9, 14, 16 62:1	Captain/Command	73:21 77:16, 19	22, 24 161:17
31:12 58:21	63:4 79:22	er/Chief 47:3	103:5 142:17	164:8 167:7
115:7 124:9	102:21 103:2, 14,	captains 110:10	143:20 144:1	168:3, 10 169:12
Brill 2:13	17 105:19 122:7,	car 104: <i>3</i> , <i>6</i>	146:6	Chavez 127:24, 25
bring 44:8, 14	9, 12 123:2	110:2, 3, 14	certainly 19:7	128:2, 17
45:19 75:14	147: <i>14</i>	care 53:5 58:25	23:21 40:22	check 21:17, 18
87:23 120:3	callers 16:19	91:24 99:7	50:19 55:5	31:18 153:15
126:22 133:12, 16	17:22 98:20	Career 8:22 9:3	Certified 172:6	160:6 161:3, 15
134:1,9 149:12	103:6, 9 166:18	58:21 60:3 72:9	certify 172:8, 12,	166:15
156:7	calling 10:14, 21	78:1 84:7 138:20	14	checking 30:22
bringing 107:23	89:22 90:5	Cargo 124:19	cetera 53:6	checks 167:8
broken 67:18	102:19 135:23	Carlos 4:21	chain 68:24	Chevalier 27:23
brother 141:17	153:8 168:6	153:11, 14	98:20 127:13, 16	28:17
brought 13:19	calls 61:11 71:9,	carried 133:20	130:17, 21	chief 10:12 29:10
22:22, 24 75:13	10, 11, 12 96:22,	cars 104:5 115:23	CHAIR 1:12 2:3	30:7, 13, 17 47:5,
156:3, 25	24 97:1, 2 135:22	Case 3:4 9:24	6:2, 9, 25 11:7, 10	11, 14 56:6, 15
brown 157:22	137:17, 19 138:23	14:24 24:3 25:22	46:21 48:6, 25	58:6 60:2 69:18,
161: <i>18</i> 162: <i>3</i>	campaign 46:16	26:3, 20 29:3	52:24 54:5 55:17	19 82:20, 25
brutalized 153:18	149:1, 3 161:13	30:7 32:23 33:22,	56:5, 13 57:1, 5, 9	19 82.20, 25
brutally 155:19	Cancel 161:23	25 34:5, 10 36:23,	90:8, 12 91:14	125:9 140:6
built 72:13	162:10, 17	23 54:3, 10 50:23, 24 54:2, 17 85:13	92:8 94:25 96:18	123.9 140.0
bull 100:8	Canceled 28:25	91:2 94:3 99:6		Chief/Captain
			97:16 98:5, 13	_
bullet 16:9 50:24, 25	cancer 147:19	128: <i>3</i> 132: <i>4</i> 147: <i>1</i> 157: <i>1</i>	101:11, 16, 24 102:5 120:16	37:6 38:16
23		14/.1 13/.1	102.3 120.10	

		1	1	1
children 9:2	10 56:16 90:10	80:4, 7, 17, 21	143:9 145:18, 19	144:8
Choi 8: <i>3</i>	92:20 99:24	81:1, 13, 18, 21	146:4, 10 147:4,	compliance 162:11
choice 91:23	100:9 136:22	82:14, 19 83:14	24 148:3, 12, 25	composed 109:1
choose 49:12	142:19 145:21	86:1 88:1, 8 92:4,	149:3, 4, 7 150:12,	comprehensive
chosen 91:21	146:25 147:11	7 95:3, 12 99:6	21 151:3, 11, 13,	56:9
Chow 24:22 26:7	148:13 149:2	107:6, 8, 10 108:8	17 152:9, 15, 19,	
				Compton 4:5
29:14 31:6, 14, 21	close 120:9	121:21 122:4	21 155:8 171:5,	16:22 59:4, 5, 19,
32:4 33:23	closer 91:1	125:2, 3, 9 127:25	10	24 60:7, 16, 24, 25
Chow's 28:4 41:2	Coalition 153:15	128:17 140:11	COMMISSIONER	61:13, 25 62:5
Christina 4:23	160:6 161:4, 15	147:10 156:11	S 2:4 7:24 9:11	63:3, 23 64:14, 21
117:3, 5, 9 154:15	coat 16:7	commanders	43:12 46:23	65:11, 23 66:3, 22
155:10	COC 7:13	59:16	48:25 113:21	67:13, 21, 25 68:2,
circumstance	144:19 149:12	commanding	117:18, 25 124:8	15, 17 69:10 70:1
117:19, 22	157:25 158:21	137:14	130:1 135:14	71:18 72:2 73:14
circumstances	171:2, 7	commencement	161:10 163:13	78:12 80:6, 7, 22
47:11 59:23	COC's 170:19	118: <i>18</i>	commissions 7:6	81:5 82:22 83:3
115:8 117:8, 14	Code 2:24 18:16,	Comment 5:2	160:16, 20 163:5	85:9 88:9, 11, 14
118:1 138:3	18, 25 19:6, 18, 19	152:22, 24, 25	166:25	89:1 92:4, 18, 19
139:20	20:6, 15 23:10	153:2, 6 158:10	commission's 7:15	94:13 95:8 96:24
citizen 161:13	40:17, 21 41:11	Comments 4:20	11:2 110:24	116:23 124:23
Citizens 16:24	43:20 44:17, 18,	150:18 152:13, 22	142:22	146:20 159:13
17:6, 8 67:16	23 46:12	Commerce 104:4	committed 8:18	170:1
96:22, 24	coerce 82:11	commercial 66:7	73:13	compulsion 11:4
city 72:2 73:13	coincidence 9:18	COMMISSION	Committee 46:22	computer-
83:5 97:10 99:16	42:18	1:6, 12 2:3 6:4	47:23 83:25 90:9	generated 172:11
161:3	collaboration	8:2, 12 10:5 11:6	93:6, 11 149:23	conceded 27:7
civil 22:21 23:5	144:18	16:24 17:6, 8	Common 104:17	28:21
65:4 99:12	collaborative	46:24 47:5, 17, 21	105:5 133:23	conceive 99:22
133:17 134:3	145:16	48:4 55:12 67:16		
			commonly 34:17	concern 49:2
162:6, 25 166:19	colleague 8:5	75:10 106:9	communicating	87:3 160:10
CIVILIAN 1:6	111:22 113:10, 14	109:17 119:19	77:9, 10 80:3	concerning 87:15,
6:3 87:21 142:11	114:21	121:22 142:11, 12,	communications	<i>19</i> 138: <i>14</i> 139:2 <i>1</i>
172:9	colleagues 46:24	16 150:12, 13, 14	75:23 125:7	concerns 7:6
civilians 165:11	113:3, 18 160:10	161: <i>1</i> 167:6	137:15	48:22 90:17
168:13	collection 83:20	169:5 172:10	communities 7:12	conclude 14:1
claim 32:8 42:11	collusion 82:14	COMMISSIONER	157:22 162:4, 7	25:13
45:3	color 162:7	1:13, 14, 15, 16, 17,	167:15	concluded 14:6
claimed 134:19	come 8:6 16:18	18, 19 6:8, 10, 11,	community 8:18	18:1 171:12
157:13	18:4 20:10 22:5	12, 13, 14, 15, 16,	60:18 70:18	concludes 170:19
claiming 35:1	24:16 33:14, 18	17, 18, 19, 20, 21	71:13 74:25 98:6	conclusion 23:13
154:23	41:21 61:22 64:5	31:22, 25 32:5, 20,	136:24 144:12	25:6 34:16
claims 128:9	65:19 67:8 68:1	25 33:5 46:25	148:22 157:16	conclusions 25:3, 9
clarification 95:1	79:10 116:2	47:15, 24 48:5, 7,	162:18 167:7, 10	conditional 141:16
classes 141:19	136:25 138:7, 10	24 49:2, 14, 15, 21	compare 73:6, 8	conditioned 10:3
clean 107:23	139:19 144:19	50:11 51:9, 14	compass 66:6	conditions 19:14
			compel 36:14	
cleaned 119:16	154:2 157:6	52:3, 23 53:1	-	condoned 148:9
clear 44:23	159:18 161:6	54:6, 8 55:6, 7, 15,	40:11 54:15	condoning 148:9
149:14 150:2	comes 32:21, 23	18, 19 56:4, 14, 23	compelled 40:4	conduct 7:13
cleared 141:24	66:3 143:22	57:2 71:2, 3	54:13, 21 96:3	15:13 19:14
clearly 31:25	162:11 169:14	90:11, 13 91:5, 13,	compile 157:14	25:18 32:14 35:5,
127:17 129:11	comfortable 35:24	15 92:9, 24 93:15,	complaining 168:6	10 52:16 56:9
130:12 134:8	coming 16:8 29:3	18 94:4, 8, 13, 15,	complaint 23:2, 8	74:20 109:14
141:23 150:3	49:22 72:14	20, 22, 24 95:1, 6,	55:23 90:18, 20	132:3 142:16
clerk 11:24	96:19 123:14	8, 11, 15, 19 96:4,	complaints 23:7	160:8
clique 61:19, 21,	126:21 156:11	13, 17, 19 98:14	89:6	conducted 7:17
22 81:5 89:1	command 68:24	99:21 100:22	complete 123:22	24:18 27:15 37:7
96:3 108:24	89:11 98:20	101:6 120:18, 25	149:21	54:24 125:13
147:7	100:14 125:18	121:4, 7, 12, 15, 17,	completed 141:22	conducting 48:2
cliques 7:8 10:6	127:16 130:17, 21	20, 25 122:3, 6, 11,	completely 83:1	conference 56:7
12:5, 9 13:5 17:9	Commander 4:3	14, 16, 19, 21	99:5 130:2 <i>3</i>	134:17 135:18
29:6 46:22 53:6,	14:14 59:10 61:2	123:1, 7 142:5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	151.17 155.10
27.0 +0.22 33.0,	11.17 57.10 01.2	120.1,7 172.0		

confidence 136:20,	134:2	144: <i>18</i> 149: <i>13</i>	Criminal 24:17	danger 115:20
24 137:5 144:14	contrast 73:4	166:17 172:14	25:18 33:22, 25	dangerous 67:18
confidential 9:21	contributed 131:9	count 17:4	34:5, 15 37:23	Danny 133:5, 6, 7
confidentiality	control 100:1	COUNTY 1:5	46:1 54:20 96:13	daring 161:15
76:2	107:16	6:3 7:5 9:20, 22,	124:19 125:25	dark 166:16
confirmed 27:25	controlled 99:9	25 10:10 11:19	130:9 144:3, 4	DA's 26:22 37:11
52:11	107:13	22:22 24:2, 5, 9,	147:3 150:3	38:15 49:10
confronted 35:22	controls 65:16	13 27:5 33:1	159:2, 4	data 72:12, 15, 18
36:1	147:15	35:1 44:7, 15	criminalized	73:20 157:14
connection 16:17	conversation 68:8	46:6 57:16 71:6	158:18	database 59:2
17:21 24:9 26:14	130:2, 8 136:20	89: <i>3</i> 96:2 118: <i>1</i> 9	criteria 19:10, 11	databases 72:13
28:6 29:15 33:20	conversations	124:4 128:4	146:16	dataset 9:7
106:4 110:14	28:9 153:23	131:22, 23 139:23	critic 46:17	datasets 72:9
137:13	convey 48:20	142:1 144:18	critical 9:14	date 29:3 31:23
consent 162:2, 11	convinced 125:22	149: <i>13</i> 159:5	137:4	dated 2:22 3:6, 8,
Consequently 7:12	COOPER 1:16	162:19 164:9	criticize 46:19	18, 20 4:15, 18
consider 41:20	2:8 49:2, 14	166:17 169:23	criticized 138:8	12:24 28:23 30:4
47:5 55:10, 11, 16	96: <i>19</i> 151: <i>13</i> , <i>17</i>	172:3	criticizing 35:23	41:8, 25 58:8
consideration 98:5	152:9	County's 17:5	CSO 153:15	78:24 142:13
considered 66:22	cooperate 20:17	couple 54:24	CSR 172:5, 21	David 22:3, 12, 15
103:19 105:24 108:14 135:20	33:11 43:22	59:14 66:2 67:5 69:20 72:14	Cudahy 104:4	33:3 34:21 62:12 day 19:13 28:20
considering 135:17	cooperating 8:25 44:16 45:6	80:13 83:9 87:11	Cuevas 62:12 cultural 131:3	day 19:13 28:20 87:9 88:18
consisted 21:4	cooperation 20:20	92:16 104:22	137:2, 6	131:18 135:24
consistent 26:23	32:17 36:11	coupled 161:25	culture 7:7 78:11	140:5 144:17
32:11 39:8 50:22	40:15, 20 41:5	courage 101:8	98:1 100:6, 18	149:10 165:3
74:21 77:2 78:16,	Cooper's 54:8	course 9:23	126:16, 19 131:10	172:18
20 111:19 113:10,	cop 66:9	13:11 26:15 43:4	136:13, 16 137:8	days 30:13 63:7
15 144:4 152:4	copy 18:16 78:3	55:16 99:8, 18, 19	143:13, 19 144:9	82:15 84:20
constantly 137:20	86:19	135:19 160:18	145:3 149:16, 17,	dayshift 92:7
163:9	core 48:20	court 44:24 45:4	19, 21	de 67:24
constitute 20:1	corners 38:14	125:9 159: <i>13</i>	current 9:8 83:3	DEA 124:14
constituted 109:18	Coroner's 163:21	164:3, 25 166:1, 4	100:25 102:6	159:21
consult 171:6	Correct 19:23	172:5	103:9 119:18	deal 17:11 97:12
consulted 134:18	22:20 23:1, 14	Courtroom 142:16	154:18, 21	100:24 159:21
consumed 21:8 contact 53:3 69:7	26:10 27:10, 12 34:24 35:2, 3	coverage 104: <i>1</i> covered 65:22	currently 57:18 58:16 96:9	168:23 169:2 dealt 145:15
Cont'd 3:1, 3 4:1	39:17 40:1 49:15,	151:9	100:15 103:6, 16	dear 9:4
5:1	18 58:11, 14	coverup 36:25	104:23 105:2, 7,	death 158:4
contemplate 10:20	64:17 75:20	coverups 166:20	22 107:16 108:25	debrief 85:14
content 9:23 10:4	80:18 81:15	coverups 100.20 covered 105:14	109:9 112:10	86: <i>3</i> , 7
context 29:20	83:19 94:6	Covington 8:4	118:6 121:5	debriefings 93:18,
52:22 61:8 71:6	105:17 128:14	cowboy 16:5	143:24 162:25	19
107:25	139:12, 24	Cowboys 162:5	custody 17:15, 16,	decades 7:3
continuance	correction 49:4	crazy 164:5	20 59:16 60:3	December 12:25
106:24	Correctional 59:14	create 14:8 17:6	cut 153:4	27:11 30:2, 4, 12,
continue 37:10	correctly 35:7	149:10	Cynthia 5:4	16 80:10, 12
98: <i>11</i> 118: <i>14</i>	36:7 69:11 70:2	created 146:13	159:9 160:4	131: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i>
154:22 155:25	81:14 86:13 89:4	creating 126:15		decide 105:23
156:4	134:5	149:23 150:11	<d></d>	decided 91:24
continued 26:19	corroborates	creation 72:9	D-368 172:7, 22	102:17 127:14
126:2 continues 147:20	127:14 corrupt 155:1	116: <i>17</i> crime 4:5 28: <i>13</i>	DA 34:4, 9, 11 49:3, 6	150:25 decision 132:23
163:2 <i>1</i>	157:2	38:24 66:5, 21	dad 58:19	133:2 134: <i>13</i>
continuing 152:23	corruption 156:1	71:13, 18 72:1, 7	Dahl 163:11	151:7
Contract 161:24	cost 149:20	73:2, 18 74:16	164: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i>	decisions 136:9
162:10, 17	Council 161:3	75:1 83:3 124:22,	daily 161:7	declared 53:4
contracts 59:3	Counsel 2:13	23	168:23	declination 37:11
contradict 32:8	7:18 9:20, 25	crimes 49:19	Dalvin 92:18	decline 39:23
contrary 109:15	10:10 13:15 44:7	73:4, 11, 13	damaging 58:9	
	101:12 142:11			

declined 30:10	<i>18</i> 59:20, 23 60:7,	131:5, 8, 12 132:7,	168:25 169: <i>11</i> , 23	14, 16, 25 69:4, 8,
33:7 36:15 39:16,	11, 14, 21, 25 61:4,	10, 22 133:1, 6, 15,	170:22	9, 16, 22, 25 70:4,
19 40:4 55:9	7, 13, 16, 18, 21, 25	19, 25 134:12, 23	departments	5, 17 75:11, 12, 13,
declining 74:16	62:4, 8, 13, 17, 20	135:5, 8, 12, 14	156:21	15, 16, 19 79:21
decree 162:2, 11	63:2, 11, 15, 21, 25	136:4, 6, 11, 13	department's 7:6	81:2 82:8, 10
deep 17:7	64:2, 7, 12, 15, 18,	137:6, 11, 25	94:11	83:21 84:5, 11
deeper 137:1, 2	23, 25 65:5, 8, 14,	138:2, 10, 17, 21	depending 16:8	90:10 92:20
145:2 149:22, 24	22, 25 66:9, 11, 14,	139: <i>9</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>22</i>	97:5	93:13 95:22 96:4
150:7, 14	17, 22, 24 67:2, 7,	140: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>12</i> ,	Depiction 3:11, 12	97:18 99:11
deeply 100:6, 18	10, 16, 20 68:1, 5,	16, 19, 21, 24	64:25	100:9 103:4
defamation 42:11	8, 10, 13 69:1, 8,	141:1, 4, 9, 12, 15	deponent 32:1	104:1, 7, 24
defendants 34:25	14, 22 70:4, 9, 13,	142:2, 12, 24	deposed 65:3	105:15,24 106:1,
defined 18:20	23 71:5, 14, 17, 21	170:21 171:6	deposition 9:23	11, 12 109:22
23:10	72:1, 6, 11, 17, 20,	del 92:7	31:23 32:3, 21, 23	110:21, 22 111:1,
Definitely 66:23	24 73:1, 8, 12, 16, 24 74:4 14 20 25	delay 97:3,6	44:1, 3 88:24	6, 7, 9, 18, 21, 25
74:2 <i>3</i> 77:4 91:4 144: <i>3</i>	24 74:4, 14, 20, 25	delivered 126:2	96:7	112:6 113:10, 23, 25 114:2 17
definition 19:6	75:3, 6, 10, 19, 22	demand 155:15 demolished 106:1	depositions 9:21 10:3, 4	25 114:3, 17 115:24 116:12, 17,
43:20 66:19	76:1, 5, 8, 17, 20, 24 77:2, 5, 15, 18,	demonstrates	depth 138:15	22, 23, 24, 25
definitively 37:17	<i>24</i> 77.2, <i>5</i> , <i>15</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>23</i> 78: <i>11</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>19</i> ,	34:2 <i>3</i>	deputies 16:18	117:2, 15 118:5
Deixler 2:13 4:14	<i>23</i> 79:3, <i>14</i> , <i>23</i>	demonstrating	17:3 21:7, 9, 10	124:16 127:8
7:18, 23 11:8, 15,	80:9, 16, 20, 24	81:4	25:15, 18, 19 35:6	135:7 138:18, 19,
18, 21 12:1, 4, 8,	81:7, 11, 16, 24	demoted 140:10	37:19, 20 38:6	22 141:10, 18
12, 16, 18, 21, 24	82:2, 5, 17, 24	demotion 92:5	39:15 40:11, 12	142:19, 20 143:15,
13:8, 14, 23 14:1,	83:12, 17, 22 84:1,	denier 45:23 46:7	52:12 55:9 60:15	17 145:20, 25
11, 17 15:3, 8, 13,	4, 7, 11, 14, 17, 24	dent 66:5	65:16,20 66:25	146:14 147:6, 11
23 16:2, 9, 13, 17,	85:3, 8, 14, 19, 22	dep 159:1	71:19 75:6 76:24	148:13, 17 149:2
21, 23 17:8, 12, 21,	86:2, 10, 15 87:2,	DEPARTMENT	86:11, 22 87:7	150:24 156:13, 22
24 18:3, 11, 14, 18,	5, 23 88:2, 6, 9, 13,	1:9 7:5, 11, 15	90:2 92:6 94:17	157:1, 14, 16, 18,
20 19:3, 11, 17, 24	21 89:2, 6, 10, 13,	8:15 9:1, 6, 8, 22	98:3, 4 103:19, 20	20, 23, 24 158:5,
20:3, 6, 15, 23	<i>16</i> 90:6 101: <i>16</i> ,	10:1 12:10 13:6	104:2, 4, 8 105:23	14, 17, 18 160:8,
21:2, 13, 18, 23	17 102:6, 8, 10, 13,	14:2, 7, 10 16:15	108:6, 18, 19, 23	12 161:25 162:5,
22:5, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 24 23:2, 7, 14,	17, 21, 24 103:2, 6, 9, 12, 14, 16, 21, 25	23:12 28:10 38:20, 23 48:15	109:22, 24 110:1, 18, 19 111:2, 12	23, 24 163:1, 4, 17, 18 165:10 167:3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9, 12, 14, 10, 21, 25 104:7, 10, 12, 14,	53:3 54:2, 16, 19	112:9, 14, 24	169:3, 19, 21
16, 20, 23 25:2, 6,	<i>16</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>23</i> 105: <i>1</i> , <i>4</i> ,	55:4, 24 56:1, 10	113:17, 24 114:2,	170:2, 6, 13, 20
10, 20, 25, 25, 25, 20, 20, 17, 26; 2, 8, 12, 19,	6, 10, 13, 16, 18, 21	59:3 61:8 71:6,	19 115:9, 13	170:2, 0, 13, 20
24 27:1, 4, 10, 13,	106:4, 9, 18, 22	24 74:1 77:24	121:12 122:22	deputy-involved
18, 22 28:4, 8, 16,	107:1, 4, 7, 9, 12,	84:23 89:17, 18	126:21 128:14, 18	51:18 52:4 68:19
19 29:4, 8, 13, 20,	15, 22 108:2, 4, 8,	90:3, 25 91:17	131:3 137:9, 22	85:12 109:21
23 30:1, 11, 21	11, 14, 19, 25	92:15, 23 97:17	145:22 146:7	155:25
31:2, 5, 10, 12, 22,	109:3, 5, 8, 11, 13,	98:1, 4, 19 99:1	147: <i>14</i> 150: <i>1</i>	deputy's 111:10
24 32:2, 6, 13, 16,	<i>17</i> 110:5, <i>9</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>18</i> ,	100:7, 10, 16, 19,	151:6 161:6	describe 15:7
20, 23 33:1, 6, 10,	21, 24 111:11, 14,	23 102:24 108:2	162:2 <i>1</i> 164:22, 23	19:19 21:4 71:8
14, 18, 23 34:3, 8,	<i>18</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>25</i> , <i>112:3</i> , <i>8</i> ,	124:4 125:3, 12	165:8 170: <i>10</i>	75:3 79:4 110:24
11, 19, 25 35:4, 9,	<i>13</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>20</i> 113:2, <i>6</i> , 0, <i>12</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>20</i> , 114:4	128:1 130:14	DEPUTY 1:9	112:20 115:7
<i>13</i> 36:5, 9 37:2, 10 24 38:3 22	9, 13, 17, 20 114:4,	131:2 132:13	3:25 6:5 7:4, 8,	117:8, 18 131:12
10, 24 38:3, 22	8, 10, 14, 19, 24 115:3 7 12 14 20	133:4, 8 134:10 135:10 136:8, 16,	10, 14, 20 10:6, 19 12:5 8 13:5 14:2	135:14 138:21 described 14:7
39:3, 8, 11, 15, 18, 24 40:2, 10, 13, 15,	115: <i>3</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>20</i> 116: <i>2</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>20</i>	22 137:13 141:7	12:5, 8 13:5 14:2 15:12, 14 17:9	15:1 16:10 19:7
19, 25 41:5, 8, 11,	110.2, <i>9</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>20</i> 117:2, <i>5</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>18</i> ,	143:18, 22, 23	18:15 19:4, 6, 19,	25:15 38:10
14, 18, 24 42:4, 7,	22, 25 118:4, 9, 12,	144:6, 11 145:1	25 20:11 24:14	53:16 65:2 120:2,
10, 13, 18, 20, 24	14, 17, 21, 24	147:19 148:14, 17	29:6 35:6, 17, 24	19 138:18 139:5
43:1, 11, 16, 20, 25	119:3, 6, 9, 12, 14,	150:23 153:21	36:5, 6 38:6	142:18
44:4, 7, 11, 14	18, 24 120:1, 7, 9,	154:8 155:2 <i>3</i>	40:20 41:15	describes 34:6
45:10, 13, 17, 19,	14 123:9, 10, 15,	156:1, 15, 17, 20	46:22 56:11, 16	description 19:4
21, 25 46:5, 10, 20	20, 24 124:1, 5, 8	157: <i>14</i> 160: <i>11</i> , <i>17</i>	57:17 58:23 59:4,	38:12 49:6 87:10
47:1, 8, 9, 22	125:11 126:12, 25	162:2, 4 163:5	7 61:18 62:2, 13,	deserves 101:12
55:13, 14 56:13,	127:3, 7, 20, 24	164:20 165:15, 19,	17 63:12 64:16,	163:23
23, 24 57:2, 10, 15,	128:2, 21 129:1, 17 22 120:1 25	20 166:1 167:2	20, 21 65:6, 10, 11	
18, 21, 24 58:3, 15,	17, 23 130:1, 25		66: <i>3</i> 68: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> , <i>5</i> , <i>6</i> ,	

desirable 65:23	disagreement	71:23 72:1, 18	Early 48:7 61:2	eligible 85:1
66:1 103:22, 25	114:25 115:4	73:25	73:12 74:10	Ellen 8:3
		documentation		email 78:24 79:5,
104:5, 8 105:10	disband 161:19		135:16	
desire 134:1	discipline 150:5	79:6, 11	earn 157:24	6, 24 81:17, 21
despite 156:4	160:16 170:11	documented	158:5	82:14, 18, 25
detail 25:1	disciplined 111:23	12:25 40:15	easily 108:16	94:16 127:13
124:12 171:2	115:10 144:2	documents 3:23	East 14:23 15:16	emails 77:6
detailing 14:4	discovered 12:9	20:21 22:6 41:15	16:22 21:7 27:24	92:11, 25 127:16
details 9:10	discriminating	42:8 43:14, 19	29:2 30:8, 23	embarrassing
detainee 87:16, 17	19:20	83:19	47:8 53:14, 15	97:11
detaining 87:13	discrimination	doing 36:16	55:21, 24 56:1	embarrassment
detective 15:11	23:4	53:24 54:7 71:8	102:9 103:2, 6, 10,	28:14
63:9 84:23 105:8	discuss 128:23	78:9 91:19 98:10	14, 16, 21, 24	embedded 85:25
124:17, 18	129:23 162:10	130:23 138:6	104:1, 2, 24 105:2,	100:18
Detention 59:1	discussed 10:13	150:14 156:21	7, 11, 19, 22 106:7,	emblems 132:15
Determination	38:9 129:2 130:3	159:11, 23, 24	18, 23 107:2, 12,	embraced 143:18
4:12 39:4 105:18	134:16 136:2	dollars 158:19	16, 23 108:9, 12,	emergency 137:18
determine 13:5	149:22	163:24, 25	15, 25 109:9	employed 11:18
134:25 146:16	discussing 58:19	dominance 119:20	110:7, 19, 20	13:1 57:15, 16
determined 37:25	78:7 136:1 144:5	donated 7:19	111:1, 16 112:9	123:24 140:17
141:13	discussion 68:5	donating 170:24	115:5, 15 118:6,	employee 20:11
determining	135:19 169:3	Donta 81:6 95:24	18 119:16, 19	102:6, 10 119:18
28:13 135:9	disfavored 113:18	doubled 73:7	120:4 121:2, 9, 23	120:10 135:6
devastated 167:10	115:24	downtown 87:1	122:23 125:14, 17,	136:1, 2 139:2, 3,
develop 62:23	disheartened	dozen 8:12 14:4	18, 23 127:5	19
devil 13:2	89:22	33:9	128:1 131:2, 10,	employees 15:18
Devils 13:1, 11	disheartening	dozens 8:13 9:21	19 132:2, 20	129:13 145:4
devoted 89:17	158:3	dramatically 73:15	133:11, 13 134:11,	employment 38:8
dictates 10:23	dislike 35:18	draw 25:3	25 136:14, 15	141:17
die 160:21 162:24	Dismantle 161:19	drew 25:7	137:21 139:14	emulates 16:5
difference 66:4, 5	dismissing 154:25	Drexler 4:17	143:12, 15, 17	en 110:2
92:6	disparaged 99:15	drive 144:24	153:16, 17, 18, 19,	enact 91:10
different 7:4	Dispatch 97:2	drive-by 87:11	21, 24 154:1, 4	encounter 116:25
17:19 50:20, 21	137:16	driveway 155:20	157:16 169:19	encouraged 15:20
51:6 122:8	dispatched 137:19	driving 87:17	easy 90:16	endangered
126:20 132:14	displayed 51:21	drop 74:11, 12	Ed 117:23 118:1	139:10
150:9 159:17, 18	disrespect 113:15	dropped 115:18	Edgar 62:11	ended 73:12 82:3
170:8	Disrespected	156:17	editorial 167:2	endorsement
differently 132:21	165: <i>12</i>	dropping 58:4	educators 150:7	10:14, 15
difficult 90:15	disrespecting	dude 106:2	effect 29:19 66:9	endure 156:6
162:20	25:24	due 28:25 29:3	74:25	Enfante 161:21
digitally 172:9	distinctions 43:7	55:16 163:8	effective 115:12	enforce 44:9
Dignity 163:14	distorter 101:20	DUI 99:17	effectively 98:24	47:10, 17 118:6
diminished 71:11	District 4:12	Durfee 5:6	effort 107:22	enforced 48:3
direct 33:10	11:24 33:24	160:25	efforts 58:8 79:7	enforcement
directed 25:11	34:13, 20 36:20,	Durfler 161:20,	eight 163:15	11:22 13: <i>12</i> , 22
37:6 112:18	22 38:24 39:5, 6	22, 23	either 10:24	11.22 15.12, 22 18:20 19:15 20:8,
114:25	49:8 126:2	<i>duties</i> 61: <i>1</i>	54:14 106:16	16 25:10 34:14,
directing 113:9	49:8 120:2 156: <i>16</i>	duties 61:1 duty 9:17 96:9	150:3 165:4	10 25:10 34:14, 18 39:8 42:21
direction 25:1		169:20		43:5, 10 44:8
28:5 33:15, 19	distrust 148:21		ELA 35:22 37:15	
	disturbance 76:21	dwindling 81:20	elected 7:7 30:20	52:7 54:18 57:17
38:15 77:20	disturbing 131:15	82:5, 6	46:16 99:13	59:6 64:10 97:25
132:14 172:11	disturbs 147:9		101:3 148:5	98:17 99:15, 23
directions 27:14	dive 17:7 137:2	< E >	158:23	100:4 125:4
directly 11:1	149:22, 24 150:7,	ear 77:7	election 26:24	132:4 141:7
36:8 80:7 136:1		Earlier 36:10	27:1,8	engage 18:22
139:16, 18 152:24	division 124:7, 18	56:20 75:19	element 15:21	19:15 109:14
163:15	125:10 140:6	138:20 144:13	Eli 123:12	116:17
director 171:1	Document 2:21	149:9, 22 158:21	Eliezer 2:19	engaged 8:11
disagreed 45:4	3:13 4:5 12:18	160:11	123:22	46:16
	37:11 38:14			

enhancing 131:9	exactly 69:19	83:18 84:17, 18,	fact 48:9 62:16	fashion 9:12
enlightening 48:8	71:7	19 85:19 86:16	64:6 73:22 75:23	113:14
ensued 111:9	examination 9:9	94:4, 5, 6, 15	81:7 82:8 87:23	fast 66:14, 17, 19,
ensure 8:1 18:14	58:4	125:15	99: <i>10</i> 101: <i>3</i>	22 67:3 69:9
70:16 71:10 93:9	examine 19:17	Exhibits 2:20 3:3	104:19 108:11	father 58:16
ensuring 99:8	example 19:24	4:2 8:6 152:19	112:13 113:2	89:23 100:23
enterprise 37:23	65:25 113:20	exist 7:4 18:1	127:14 134:7	FBI 114: <i>1</i>
entire 12:7	examples 106:5	92:13 147:20	144:8 148:4	fear 8:22, 24 9:3,
125:23 130:21	109:13 116:20	existed 50:17	160:13 161:25	6, 9, 15 78:15, 19
131:23 155:22	exceed 97:4	existence 10:5, 18	169:9	90:19, 24 91:5, 7,
169:3	exception 44:18	12:7 56:16 99:24	facto 67:24	12 102:15 144:22
entirely 120:2	exchange 77:6	142:18 144:10	facts 38:24 126:9	154:23
entirety 56:10	78:6	161:25	170:12	feared 168:21
entitled 39:12	exchanged 3:15	existing 37:15	factually 133:22	fearful 78:7, 8
entity 50:19	exclude 145:22	expect 56:21	failed 43:22	fears 9:13
150:6 160:18	excluding 19:20	expectation	125:24 165:6, 16	Feb 79:17
entry 27:19 29:15	exclusion 166:18	122:14, 16	failing 125:18	February 3:8
environment	exclusionary	expected 25:17	failure 36:11, 13	26:20 42:1, 14
139:1, 7, 8, 17	56:17 99:24	111:15 avmosting 27:6	40:20 foir 27:24 28:2	73:3 74:5, 6, 9, 11 79:18 157:12
episode 33:21	142:19 145:21,24 147:12 148:13	expecting 37:6	fair 37:24 38:3,	federal 19:22
equipment 92:22 equivalent 37:22	excuse 17:25	experience 52:17 53:2 65:14 74:18	22 39:1, 3 67:12 72:18 82:2	23:5 99:23
eradicate 7:8	32:20	84:4, 6 98:16	139:11	156:16
Ernest 127:24, 25	excusing 147:13	106:10 107:12	fairly 98:16	feeder 67:13
128:2	Executioner 3:11,	110:21 136:11	Fairness 10:23	feel 83:7, 12
especially 167:10	<i>12</i> 62: <i>14</i> , <i>18</i> 64: <i>4</i> ,	143:16	70:18, 19	89:18 91:7
essentially 36:25	19 65:1 75:20	experienced 10:8	fake 114:2	feeling 100:25
58:24 60:18	85:5,9	109:13 120:10	false 116:7, 12, 13	feels 170:6
61:11 65:16, 19	Executioner-	138:22	127:22, 23 129:5	fellow 35:6
76:16 79:6 80:5	involved 88:14	experiences 136:7	130:24 152:11	111:18 164:23
83:15 88:4 89:25	Executioners 43:5	expertise 7:19	164:2	felt 37:20 82:7,
92:5 97:15 98:2	56:9 61:24 62:2,	explain 47:11, 14	falsity 128:24	13 83:14 89:25
101:5 129:21	4, 8, 16, 21 63:2,	86:9 99:2 146:10	familiar 18:18, 25	139:10
135:4	25 64:23 65:9	164:4	29:13, 18 31:5	female 18:10 82:9
establish 118:5	67:7, 13 70:10	explained 69:17	32:6 61:4 66:14	field 15:12 60:5
167:8	74:15 76:14	85:16 129:7	72:17 102:21	68:17 69:18
estimate 121:1	80:22, 25 81:12	explanation 79:9	103:12 115:21	Fifth 39:22 40:3,
et 33:1 53:6	86:11, 23 87:24	140:12, 14 148:8	117:2, 14, 22	8
128:4	88:10, 16 89:8, 10	149:19	118:17 126:9	fight 164: <i>1</i> 170:5
ethic 35:18	92:13 94:20	expose 161: <i>16</i> exposed 157:20	128:2 fomiliority 118:22	fighting 165:1 169:10
ethnic 109:1, 8 evaluations 34:16	95:21 96:5 97:19 142:21	exposed 157:20 expressed 9:6	familiarity 118:22 126:12	figure 24:11
event 21:4, 6	executive 83:7, 13	28:12 35:17	families 9:1	144:20
24:18	124:24 148:16	137:8	155:12 156:5	file 34:4, 17
events 107:18	171:1	expressing 81:4	160:7 161:15	141:23
eventual 133:4	executives 131:16	extent 141:19	163:16 164:7	filed 33:25 89:2
eventually 21:11	136:8	external 90:25	167:10, 14 168:11	90:18 99:15
51:6 140:10	Executors 170:1	extortion 46:12	170:8, 16	129:13
everybody 147:6	exercise 63:3	extreme 61:11	family 89:24	filing 34:15 90:20
169:5 170:2 <i>1</i>	107:15	extremely 144:11	90:19 91:4, 6, 10	filled 68: <i>3</i>
evidence 9:5 32:8	Exhibit 3:10	eye 77:7	96:20 132:24	final 14:3
37:5, 8 38:5, 18	12:13, 15, 18	eyes 35:9	133:1, 3 140:2	Finally 10:11
40:10, 14 53:8	14:12, 18 18:16		157:13 161:5, 7, 8,	167:7
54:4 115:15	26:2, 5 27:18	< F >	9 163:23 168:8,	financially 63:22
129:10 156:23, 25	34:8, 23 36:19	face 40:23 90:1,	17, 22	find 86:17, 18, 21
evident 90:15	39:12 40:16 41:6,	4 101:19 140:2	far 8:14 10:24	123:13
103:20	7, 25 42:15 49:7	159:22 168:24	39:23 62:22	finding 23:9 49:2
evidentiary 142:16	58:1, 5, 6 64:2, 19	Facebook 161:12	69:11 82:4 93:1 126:10 142:0	168:11
evolved 149:20 exact 50:13, 15	71:21 73:24 76:1 78:23 79:20, 23	facilitating 50:10 facilities 59:17	136: <i>19</i> 142:9 156: <i>15</i> 157: <i>1</i>	finish 157:7 finished 35:24
CAUL 50.15, 15	81:17 82:17	Facility 59:1, 14	163:7	11111511CU 33.24
		Lucinty 57.1, 17	105.7	

fire 87:21 159:18,	follows 30:2	full-scale 7:13	169:3, 22, 24	Gladiators 43:5, 8
24 167:24	37:13 116:14	fund 164:6	170:2, 6, 20 171:8	60:17
fired 99:12	followup 95:19	fundamental	gang-specific 52:1	glance 167:14
fire's 167:25	follow-up 92:10	18:23 74:21 77:2	GARCIA 1:18	go 8:13 12:1
firing 160:16	footnote 12:21	78:16,20 109:15	2:10 6:14, 15	19:13 44:24
163:4	35:19 37:11, 13	fundamentals	Garfield 153:19	45:10 58:20 60:5
firm 8:3, 4, 5	force 44:15 59:10,	74:24	Gascòn 156:15	64:7 68:21, 25
first 7:15 8:15	11 93:2, 13	Further 3:12	165:3	69:18 91:8 92:3
11:5 12:21 13:14	109:23, 25 124:14	56:24 69:2 79:12	gaslights 167:5	95:8 102:5 110:9
30:11 43:4 44:4,	149:23	97:13 120:14	gather 8:11 37:8	116:12, 15 123:13
20, 23 59:20	forced 24:5 44:8	142:2 156:19	66:12	137:17 153:7
		142.2 130.19		
65:10 68:22 72:8,	45:3 119:10		gender 62:21	163:7 165: <i>18</i> , 22
20 79:14 82:2	127:18 159:21	Furthermore	General 2:16	go-ahead 30:6
86:6 90:13	forceful 127:11	37:18	11:20, 21 12:4	goal 58:4 115:23
102:17 107:24	129:6 152:2	fusion 91:24 92:1	16:18 18:3, 11	goals 98:25
120:19 125:16, 19	forcefully 129:13,	future 159:2	20:18, 25 25:6	God 159:12
129:1 133:15	15	FYI 79:14	43:23 44:22	goes 13:3 19:19
153:10 154:5	forces 125:7		45:13 46:6 47:1	20:6, 15 86:9
164: <i>16</i> 170: <i>19</i>	foregoing 172:12	< G >	48:1, 13 53:23	163:3, 4
first-hand 143:16	forget 69:19	gain 126:12	66:25 128: <i>13</i>	going 6:5 7:21
fits 146:16	forgiven 98:11	144:14	144:19 149:13	18:15 27:8 46:21
five 102:11	forgotten 162:19	game 149:14, 15	163:7	47:19 50:11
156:12, 24 160:21	form 158:25	gang 7:6 15:10,	generalize 154:23	57:23 64:3 66:24
163:24 165:23	formal 79:11	<i>14, 17, 24</i> 16:6	Generally 50:1, 3	68:2 70:16 71:1
flag 64:11 65:6	formalized 148:18	18:15, 20 19:6, 16	51:8 67:7 128:18	75:22 80:14 86:8,
94:5	former 10:12	20:4, 8, 12 25:10,	general's 138:12,	25 97:3 99:19
flags 64:8, 15	58:17 128:1	20, 21, 22, 23, 24	<i>13</i>	101:13 120:12
97:12 115:19	133:20	37:8, 22 38:13	generation 35:17	130:5 132:2, 20
flashed 106:1	Fort 132:8, <i>11</i> , <i>17</i>	41:15 43:6, 10	generation 55.17 generations 156:3	136:18 147:20
flawed 155:15			gentleman 167:22	
	134:14 135:1 for the 25:5 151:22	51:16, 21 52:11,	0	148:19 149:4, 6,
fleet 125:7 137:15	forth 35:5 151:23,	19,21 53:6 54:25	genuine 8:19	10 150:15 156:3
floor 67:8, 12	25	58:12 59:5, 6	gesture 132:19	157:10 158:11
88:17	forward 13:19	61:10, 12 89:20	getting 68:15	159:16, 18, 20, 25
floors 67:6	18:15 126:15	97:18 124:12	115:10 117:13	160: <i>13</i> 161: <i>1</i>
flow 30:14	154:2	147:6 157:18	118:1 122:9	167:21 169:10, 14
focus 12:5 37:16	forwarded 51:24	159:3, 4 169:19	132:15 153:17	Gonzales 35:18,
65: <i>10</i> 108:2 <i>3</i>	found 8:21 32:7	170:13	168:2 170: <i>11</i>	22, 25 36:1, 3
focused 15:16	51:1 62:15 85:13	gang-like 14:25	GIGGANS 1:14	Gonzalez 36:2
72:6 108:17	86:24 87:19	15:21 25:14 38:9,	2:6 53:1 57:2	88:24 96:8
focusing 17:15	131:14, 15 137:4	10 80:25	91:15 122:21	156: <i>13</i>
fodder 157:23	139:20 152:4	gang-related 54:1	123:1,7 150:21	Good 6:2 7:23
fold 106:3	159:14 165:23	160:12	151:3, 11	36:2 39:8 48:20
folks 153:25	four 3:24 30:12	GANGS 1:9 6:6	Giggans's 55:20	57:10 90:3 106:2
follow 31:15	38:14 39:19, 21	7:4, 8, 10, 14, 20	gigs 59:14	111:19 113:10, 15
56:14 70:12, 25	40:2 72:22 83:20	10:6, 19 12:5, 9	give 8:8, 10 23:8	114:3, 7 116:15
91:9 98:14	84:1 85:6 167:25	13:5, 13 14:2	24:11 27:14	117:11 118:2
followed 54:19	fourth 16:9	17:9, 12 18:6	31:23 41:21	126:4 130:23
114:4 153:10, 11	frankly 145:4	19:20, 25 20:17	45:22 83:22	148:14 151:5
154:14, 15 155:10,	151:10	24:14 29:6 32:14	97:17 155:4	154:16 156:10
11 156:8, 9 157:8	Friday 84:25	41:16 42:21 43:1,	159:21	159:24 161:22
159:8, 9 160:24,	friend 133:8	5, 7, 23 46:22	given 29:9 36:19	163:13 167:18
25 161:20, 21	friends 108:17, 23	52:18 53:10	45:8 47:3, 16	gotten 151:9
162:14 163:11, 12	153:20, 25 166:12	56:11, 16 90:10	49:3 61:10 70:13	graduated 11:23
164:11, 12 166:13,	friendship 107:20	99:24 100:9	86:24 96:23	153:19
	-			
14 167:16, 17	front 79:1 131:19,	137:25 142:19	154:1 166:5, 8	grandson 157:15
168:15	24	143:15, 17 145:20	gives 31:15 155:1	grandson's 158:4
following 55:19	frowned 123:5	147:11 148:13	170:21	granted 68:21
129:5 149:1	Fujii 8:4	157:14, 23, 24	giving 40:16	158:25
168:16	full 125:11	158:17, 18 160:8	165:18	gratitude 8:19
follow-on 54:7	Fullerton 87:1	161:25 162:5	Gladiator 60:22	graveyard 61:3
55:7		163: <i>4</i> , <i>18</i> 167: <i>3</i>		

great 23:9 25:1	Hall 3:5 21:3,6	80:13 81:19	highlighted 35:11,	8, 21 26:5, 11, 15,
60:4 65:18	24:18 26:4 29:20,	88:16 93:15 96:7	<i>12</i>	21, 25, 27:2, 6, 12,
146:24 171:2	24 33:21 34:1	115:25 116:16	highlighting	16, 21, 28, 27, 22, 0, 12, 16, 21, 28:3, 7, 9,
greater 150:10	138:5	126:6 128:21	131:25	10, 21 $20.5, 7, 9,18, 23$ $29:7, 11, 18,$
greatest 162:6	hallway 112:25	137:21 138:12	highly 7:18 106:5	22, 25 30:4, 16, 24
greener 125:22	113:4	150:24 166:17	high-risk 59:12	31:4, 8, 11, 19
Gregory 22:3, 9	hampered 9:19	167:21	hire 141:24	32:10, 15, 18 33:9,
33:2 34:20	hand 11:11 57:5	HEARING 1:9	149:17	12, 17, 22 34:2, 6,
grew 153:17	102:1 123:16	4:16 6:3, 5 7:16,	hired 124:11	9, 13, 24 35:3, 8,
grievance 89:3	151:24	17 10:25 11:4	150:1	11, 16 36:8, 18
grievances 129:12	handing 92:21	49:11 55:11	hiring 136:8	37:4, 13 38:2, 4
Grill 86:25	handle 76:16	81:20 99:12	163:4	39:1, 7, 10, 14, 17,
Grim 43:8 170:1	handled 129:21	139:18 142:10, 13,	Hispanic 109:10	21 40:1, 5, 12, 14,
grinding 152:6	hands 138:19	17, 22 143:4	historic 133:19	18, 22 41:4, 7, 10,
G-Rod 22:11	155:21	152:17 160:7	historical 16:5	13, 17, 20 42:3, 6,
33:3 34:21	happen 52:21	168:21 170:18, 25	history 124:9	9, 12, 15, 19, 23, 25
grounds 20:8	53:18 85:17	171:3, 8, 12	Hoc 46:22 47:22	43:3, 15, 17, 24
group 12:25	93:19 141:24	172:10	90:9	44:2, 6, 10, 13, 18
16:25 17:1, 22	171:1	hearings 45:10	hold 55:3 57:18	45:12, 15, 18, 20,
18:20 21:9, 10	happened 53:19	170:19	67:21 103:17	24 46:4, 9, 11
23:15, 17 25:14	55:22 73:13	hearsay 121:8	105:6 107:5	47:25 48:5, 8, 13
37:19 38:7 43:9	74:19 88:7	heart 132:3	132:2 140:4, 21	49:5, 16, 18, 21
48:14 50:9 51:7,	109:23 114:23	Hector 169:19	158:1 holding 08:2	50:1, 15 51:11, 19
13, 17 60:15 62:24 64:13	140:9 141:15 142:9 168:18	Heights 153:15 held 63:8 137:21	holding 98: <i>3</i> hole 50:25	52:6 53:12 54:7, 17 55:19,25
70:14 78:8 109:1,	142.9 108.78	155:16 159:2	Holocaust 45:23	56:12, 18 60:16
8 120:3, 5 122:10	happening 17:7	helicopter 159:15	46:7	63:5 67:10
127:8 128:14, 18	50:10 85:17	he'll 50:3	Homace 16:10	161:10 164:15
130:8 146:2, 12,	101:5	hello 168:16	hone 64:19	165:12, 14
15, 25 147:14	happens 122:15	169:17	honest 83:1	H-u-n-t-s-m-a-n
groups 14:8, 25	harass 21:10	help 7:20 21:16	Honor 47:25	11:17
15:7 17:9, 17	46:17 170:3, 8	102:20 164:7	hope 10:9 12:12	hurt 7:11
19:4, 10 29:2, 5	harassed 75:7	helping 170:8	170:22	husband 135:10
30:8, 23 31:2, 7	139:10 153:17	hereunto 172:17	hoped 8:7	155:18
50:21 51:9 147:2	161:6	Hernandez 22:4,	horn 100:8	
grow 98:4	harassing 19:20	19 24:2 32:24	hospital 26:10	<i></i>
growth 106:23	harassment 23:4	33:4 34:20 35:14	109:25 110:2, 4,	ICIB 3:4 24:18,
Guardado 88:19	139:2, 15 156:5	36: <i>3</i> 96:5 117: <i>15</i> ,	15	21, 23 25:8 26:3
96:10	161:11 168:24	23 118:1 128:4	host 132:16	27:14, 23 28:20
guarding 66:7	hard 60:18	heroes 8:16 86:25 Hi 162:16	hours 28:24 30:4	32:13 49:9 54:13
guess 46:25 47:8 62:6 72:21 74:5	108:20 harder 114:14	HICKS 1:19	35:23 157:15 house 87:12	idea 92:20 112:10 identifiable 112:14
81:18 101:24	HARRIS 1:17	2:11 6:20, 21	161:5	identification 9:12
138:6	2:9 54:6 55:6	49:21 50:11 51:9,	How's 161:1	49:24
guidelines 146:13	95:1, 6, 8, 11, 15	14 52:3, 23 92:9,	Huntsman 2:16,	identified 13:3, 8,
Guild 160:5	head 62:6 100:3	24 93:15, 18 94:4,	21 3:7, 9, 10 11:8,	10, 12 15:11, 14,
gun 52:20 75:13,	111:10 135:24	8, 13, 15, 20, 22, 24	14, 17, 18, 19, 23	24 16:19 17:14
14 110:22 111:9,	136:3 169:24	95:19 96:4, 13, 17	12:3, 6, 11, 15, 17,	18:21 37:17
18, 22 156:13, 24	heading 98:16	122:3, 6, 11, 14, 16,	20, 23 13:7, 10, 17,	51:17 54:21, 25
164:24 165:9	heal 137:5	19	24 14:3, 14, 22	55:1 67:17 76:14
guns 16:7 23:25	health 164:7	hide 170:12	15:6, 11, 15 16:1,	86:10 112:9
51:21	hear 8:17 45:11	high 66:20 74:8	4, 12, 16, 20, 22	128:11 146:6
guy 82:16	51:8 62:25	106: <i>11</i> 153: <i>19</i> 163:2	17:1, 10, 13, 23, 25	identify 15:8
guys 70:24 156:12 164:15	101:22 116:10 137:24 139:13, 18	higher 73:10	18:5, <i>13</i> , <i>17</i> , <i>19</i> 19:2, <i>7</i> , <i>12</i> , <i>23</i>	17:9, 22, 25 18:21 31:25 32:21 37:3
165:24 168:6, 17	137.24 139.13, 18	145:16	20:2, 5, 13, 22	42:20 143:20, 25
105.27 100.0, 1/		highest 124:5	20.2, 5, 15, 22 21:1, 6, 15, 20	identifying 9:10
	160:7			
< H >	160:7 heard 8:23 22:17			
<h> Hal 5:7 160:25</h>	160:7 heard 8:23 22:17 48:15 51:23, 25	highest-scoring 84:22	$\begin{array}{c} 2111, 0, 10, 20\\ 22:1, 8, 11, 14, 17,\\ 20, 23 23:1, 6, 11, \end{array}$	16:3 35:6 ill 117:21
	heard 8:23 22:17	highest-scoring	22:1, 8, 11, 14, 17,	16:3 35:6
Hal 5:7 160:25	heard8:2322:1748:1551:23, 25	highest-scoring 84:22	22:1, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 23 23:1, 6, 11,	16: <i>3</i> 35:6 ill 117:2 <i>1</i>

imagine 25:21, 25	including 46:13	12, 16 49:3 53:18	intend 10:11, 17	29:21 30:25
46:5 171: <i>3</i>	48:18 70:18	54:9, <i>23</i> 60: <i>19</i>	11:2	32:12, 17 33:20
immediate 90:19	135: <i>1</i> 159: <i>1</i>	77:13, 19, 24	intended 68:16	34:19 36:14, 22
immediately 30:2,	inclusion 62:24	78:15, 20 86:24	134:9	37:7, 16, 21, 25
19 95:8 163:10	incompetent	96:23 97:6 116:2	intention 47:9	40:7 43:22 46:1,
impact 38:8	106:15 155:19	142:17 151:18	intentionally 18:23	3, 8 47:20 48:2
96:20 124:22, 23	incongruent 74:23	153:22 154:2	intentions 149:12	49:23 54:10, 11,
142:18	increase 63:20	166: <i>16</i> 171:7	interest 83:11	14, 15, 19, 20 55:5,
impacted 155:12	71:18 105:15, 16	informed 30:7	interested 141:9	23 56:10, 19 91:3
156:5 163: <i>15</i>	155:25	Ingersoll 62:17	172:15	92:19 96:14
170: <i>15</i>	increased 63:12	75:11, 19 86:3, 11	Interesting 101:6	99: <i>16</i> 110: <i>17</i>
impartial 82:11	72:7 75:1 79:16	initially 84:11	interfere 30:25	124:13 126:1,6
impeach 160:19	incredible 48:8	85:11 124:11	interference 54:10	138:4, 8, 15, 16
impeaching 160:18	incredulous	133:11 138:12	interim 56:19	141:5 152:22, 23
impeachment	157:19	initiate 71:1, 2	internal 12:24	156:16 163:20
159: <i>1</i>	independent 7:14	initiated 68:10	23:11 24:17	165:15
implement 98:24	52:11	74:14 87:15	54:11, 14, 19, 20	investigations
implemented	in-depth 13:20	initiating 91:3	55:4 56:19 91:1	32:14 33:11 53:3
167:4	Indersoll 75:15	injuries 23:8	96:15 110:16	54:25 130:10
implementing	indicate 37:21	injury 23:9	141:5, 6	141:6 163:6
168: <i>10</i> important 167:9	48:11 101:21 indicated 55:21	ink 43:6 51:2	internally 97: <i>12</i> 132:6 133:23	166:24 investigative 56:15
169:12	indicated 55:27 indicator 52:16	inked 120:21, 22, 23, 24 121:13	international	investigator 24:20
importantly 24:25	indicator 52:10	inking 50:7, 11,	157:20	28:4 29:14 31:6
importantiy 24.23 impose 20:15	indigenous 157:23	<i>13</i> 93:16, 19	intervals 153:5	33:23 41:2
impose 20.15	individual 9:4	121:8 166:19	interview 10:17	141:21 156:18
129:15	10:1 19:21 50:2	inmates 58:25	40:7 82:23 83:4	164:21
impression 44:20	76:10, 12 77:11	inquiries 141:6	91:19, 20 138:18	104.21
impromptu 157:17	78:8 84:22, 23	inquiry 79:12	139:4	Investigator/deputy
improve 54:1	85:16 86:7 87:13	97:13	interviewed 8:12	4:10 84:20
improvement	individually	inside 124:12	10:16 26:14	investigators 141:8
155:2	128:25	insight 97:17, 21	30:10 33:7 39:16,	investigator's
impunity 163:3	individuals 22:22	insightful 90:14	20, 25 40:4 54:13	32:6 34:3 40:11
166:20	25:24 70:6 73:21	insignia 64:6	55:9 126:4, 5	investigatory 29:8,
inaction 9:20	79:13 83:15	insisted 9:20	interviewees 26:13	11 30:21
inappropriate	88:17, 25 90:3, 23	Inspector 2:16	interviewing 26:9	invitation 142:22
52:16 58:9	91:8 92:14, 18	11:20, 21 12:4	30:6 32:7 82:22	invite 11:3 46:21,
Inaudible 6:10, 12,	99:7, 20 125:21	16:18 18:3, 11	interviews 26:16	24
16, 18, 22, 24 22:2	127:14 130:5, 18	20:18, 24 31:14	28:25	invited 10:20
76:5 116:8	144: <i>1</i> 146: <i>12</i>	43:23 44:22	intimidated 70:12	50:3 86:3 142:12
154: <i>16</i> 161:8, 9,	149: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>	45:13 46:6 47:1	intimidation	inviting 4:15
<i>14</i> 169: <i>19</i> 170: <i>3</i>	indoors 93:10	48:1 49:21 52:23	168:24	involuntarily
inauguration	Infante 5:8	67: <i>10</i> 138: <i>12</i> , <i>13</i>	introduced 36:18	119:10 128:17
131:8	162: <i>15</i> 163: <i>11</i> , <i>13</i> ,	144: <i>19</i> 149: <i>13</i>	investigate 7:10,	involve 69:4
incidence 38:5	14	163:7	20 14:9 25:11	involved 11:22
incident 21:2	inferred 10:15	instance 44:4	28:12 36:11	29:23 51:18, 22
29:24 38:4 50:23	in-field 59:8	53:15 87:7	48:23 56:8	52:2, 4, 12, 13
75:9 96:9 109:20	influence 14:9	instances 51:3	156:22	68:18 69:4 76:24
110:5, 25 111:5	15:9, 17 25:14	52:11 114:16	investigated 36:25	77:21 81:5 84:9
138:5 145:12	63:3, 6 103:18	153:22	38:25 58:19	85:6 87:8 92:17
incidents 59:11	105:21	instilled 89:23	134:24	93:3, 14, 22, 25
92: <i>17</i> 114:8 include 19:20	influenced 132:23 133:2	instituted 89:23 instruct 108:6	investigating 10:5 12:6 25:10 28:13	95:21, 23, 25 113:24 114:1, 20
20:20, 23 23:3, 8	influential 63:9	instructed 33:19	58:12 165:18	122:12, 17 126:5,
26:17 89:13	inform 79:7	instruction 29:9	investigation 3:5	22 133:24 134:4
126:19 142:18	informally 10:24	32:16 36:16	7:14 8:25 9:19	135:9 146:2
included 43:4	information 8:12	40:16, 19 41:2, 4	10:8 12:25 20:17	150:3 160:12
63: <i>1</i>	9:7 10:12 19:9	47:11 106:6	23:12 24:17, 18,	168:25
includes 41:14	20:24 36:21 39:5	instructions 47:3,	24 25:8, 17, 21	involvement
162:5	41:12, 14 42:22	6	26:1, 4, 8, 12, 15,	33:20 72:8 81:12
	43:2, 13 48:3, 9,	integrity 108:6	17, 19 27:14 28:5	85:9 134:13
		· - •	1	1

Γ

	105 05 100 11	151 10 150 10 10	1 60 10 10 00	
involving 3:23	105:25 120:11	151:12 152:12, 18,	168:10, 18, 20	LAEL 1:15 2:7
24:1 51:12 80:25	125:19, 25 126:4	21 154:13 155:7,	169:2, 6, 13	48:6 55:17 90:12
83:20 88:10	130:20 141:17	9 158:8 159:7	knowable 112:15	121:19 143:8
117:23	156:21	162: <i>13</i> 166:6, <i>11</i>	knowing 53:8	Lancaster 162:1
IRMA 1:16 2:8	John 56:20	167:13 170:15	99:14	163:2
49:1 96:18	joined 21:12	171:6, 11	knowledge 12:8	language 90:16
Isaac 163:12	153:23	kept 166:16	18:5, 13 51:19	large 23:17 26:18
164:11, 13	joint 48:2	kick 132:18 135:1	63:19 73:22 81:8,	68:19 98:16
Isaac's 166:2	JP 1:17 2:9 54:5	kidnapped 96:11	11 85:10 87:9	Larry 2:17 5:3
issue 10:13 12:8	94:25	kids 157:22	93:21 96:16	57:13 58:7, 12, 15,
47:18 53:10 54:1	Juarez 3:25	kill 170: <i>3</i>	104:17 105:5	16 157:9 159:8,
129:21 137:4	61:17, 18 62:1, 2,	killed 87:21	110:9 114:23	10
144:6, 7 148:5	11 63:4 64:16	168:18	115:4 118:23	LASC 3:13
150:9 162:24	65:6, 13 68:1, 6,	killing 154:22	121:9 133:23	LASD 3:4 10:12
167:5	14, 16 69:16, 22	161:18	143:11, 16	12:24 13:20, 24
issues 59:12	70:4, 5 82:1, 15	killings 169:22	known 8:2 9:23	26:3 39:13 57:19,
117:11 131:3	83:21 84:11, 21	kind 8:8 78:14	17:17 23:15 33:2,	22, 25 58:22
132:16 150:8	85:5 86:3, 7	111:14 132:19	3, 4 36:22 52:9	
				66:18, 25 69:8
152:3 162:22	92:16, 21 93:1, 13	151:22, 25 152:5	61:22 100:3, 4	84:7, 25 87:25
items 65:19	94:5 95:20 99:20	knew 38:20, 24	110:5, 6 142:21	89:11 97:18
its 40:22 47:17	Judge 13:16, 17,	62:7 67:7 87:13	163:6 166:23	102:6, 10, 18
131:2	18, 19, 22 47:9	117:1 127:17	Kolts 13:15, 16, 17	116:6 119:18
	Julie 4:25 155:11	129:8, 19 130:22	KPCC 165:25	120:10 124:6,9
< J >	156:9 157:4	knock 82:16	Kwon 5:9 164:12	131:6 135:6
jacket 116:5, 7, 12,	July 4:6, 7 72:3,	know 21:13, 16	166:13, 15 167:14	136:6 140:17, 22
23 117:5, 10, 16,	4 85:12 126:3	22:21 23:22 24:8		141:10 142:20
20 118:1 123:6	172:18	27:20 28:18	< L >	161:11, 16, 17, 19
jackets 116:17	Jump 43:8 157:2	30:18 39:23 40:2,	LA 13:6 16:15	168:7 170: <i>13</i>
Jacqueline 4:24	170:1	5 42:25 43:6	21:7 27:24 29:2	lasered 151:9
154:15 155:11	June 88:20 126:3	50:16 53:19	30:8, 23 47:8	lasted 72:21, 22
156:8	justice 37:1, 2	54:16, 24 61:23	53:15 55:21, 24	late 124:20
Jail 16:24 17:6, 8	40:24, 25 156:15,	62:24 65:17 66:3	56:1 74:1 81:3	Latice 159:9
67:3, 8, 13, 17	17 162:2 164:20	67:16 74:15,17	102:9 103:2, 6, 10,	Laura 60:2
115:18 124:12	165:19, 20	75:6, 9 79:9 83:2,	14, 16, 21, 24	Lauren 8:3
165:22	justification	8 85:11 86:18	104:1, 2, 24 105:2,	law 11:22, 23, 24
jails 17:3 58:24	143:20	87:6 88:13, 15	7, 11, 19, 22 106:7,	12:2, 3 13:12, 21
66:25		91:7 92: <i>11</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>23</i> ,	18, 22, 23 107:2,	18:20, 23 19:15,
Jaime 61:17, 18	< K >	24 93:4, 13, 18, 24	12, 16, 23 108:9,	22 20:7, 16 25:10
62:1,11 63:4	keep 77:7 134:21	94:1, 9, 16 95:2	12, 15, 25 109:9	34:14, 17 38:11
64:16 65:13	158:10	96:4, 13, 15, 20	110:7, 19, 20	39:8 42:21 43:4,
79:17, 19 82:1, 15	keeping 8:6 98:10	100:25 101:1	111:1, 16 112:9	10 52:6 54:18
84:21 85:5 92:16,	keeps 168:8	104:16 105:4	115:5, 15 118:6	57:17 64:10
21 93:1 99:20	Kelly 2:13	106:5 107:5	119:16, 19 120:4	97:24, 25 98:16
James 13:15	Kendall 2:13	110:13, 18 111:7,	121:2, 9, 23	99:15, 22 100:4
JAMON 1:19	KENNEDY 1:12	21, 25 116:21	122:23 125:14, 17,	132:4 141:7
2:11 92:8 122:2	2:3 3:5 6:2, 8, 9,	117:1 121:7, 12	18, 23 127:5	142:15 155:23
Janae 5:12	25 11:7, 10 21:3,	122:3, 6, 24	128:1 131:2, 10,	164:4 168:12
169:17	6 24:18 26:4	127:10 129:11	19 132:2, 20	170:24
Janay 167:17	29:20, 24 33:21	132:7, 18, 22	133:11, 13 134:11,	lawful 44:19
168:15	34:1 46:21 48:6,	133:22 134:15	25 136:14, 15	Lawrence 30:9
January 3:6, 13,	25 52:24 54:5	135:8, 12, 23	137:21 139:14	laws 23:5
<i>14</i> 41:8 67:24	55:17 56:5, 13	139:17 143:24	143:12, 15, 17	lawsuit 22:21
74:1, 2, 5, 6, 11	57:1, 5, 9 90:8, 12	144:3, 10 146:5,	146:21 153:16, 17,	23:11, 15 35:1
80:11, 15 95:14	91:14 92:8 94:25	144.5, 10 140.5, 14, 17, 19 147:9	140.21 133.10, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24	65:4 89:2 95:25
Jefferson 32:4	96:18 97:16 98:5,	148:8, 24 149:18	154:1, 4, 7 157:16	99:14 145:12
Jeffrey 24:22	<i>13</i> 101: <i>11</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>24</i>	148.8, 24 149.78	165:25 167:2	162:25
26:7	102:5 120:16	150.2 151.21	lack 32:17 35:23	lawsuits 24:1
jeopardized 75:5	121:18 122:1, 20	157:10 161:9, 10	40:15 41:5 61:22	lawyer 56:2
Jim 27:7			77:12 118:7, 9	lawyers 7:19 8:1,
job 60:4 66:9	123:8, 14, 16	162:18, 21 163:2 165:2 0 24	138:15	
JOD 60:4 66:9 76:25 99:13	138:5 142:4	165:2, 9, 24		11 9:24 10:8
10.23 99.13	143:8 150:17		lady 164:1	
1				

	45:17, 19, 20	lexicon 66:18	132:21 137:11	24 89:3 118:18,	manipulated
				-	-
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
155:4169:1557:2059:13, 15, 17, 19, 21104:8Louisiana172:5marking9:20leaked156:161:172:1582:21111:5, 11, 22160:13155:11156:9learn33:2334:383:988:1189:2Log3:426:3, 6,lowe92:599:4Martinez4:25learn31:2334:383:988:1189:2Log3:426:3, 6,lower170:5157:4, 10158:12learned19:599:3101:8125:128:2036:1849:9lower 79:15, 1713learned19:599:3101:8125:1Logistics92:21lower 79:15, 17matching18:2248:2150:180:9142:25156:11Logistics92:21lower 79:15, 17matching18:22158:1/4lieutenants83:10134:1/4135:1Loyola142:15matching18:22168:2, 15119:10life89:18100:1272:21104:19LSLS12:24matterial10:7139:3154:23155:18143:18144:14LUIS1:182:10120:12134:7, 12139:3154:23155:18143:18144:14LUIS1:182:10120:12120:12120:1214:1173:17life-and-deathlonger11:11166:17Matthew56:6135:11104:10148:4157:1l	131:2 138:24				Marco 161:8
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	145:8 147:21	28:17 56:25	locations 17:13	170:22	Marina 92:7
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	155:4 169:15	57:20 59:1 <i>3</i> , 15,	104:8	Louisiana 172:5	marking 9:20
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	leads 150:4	17, 19, 21 60:5	locker 110:22	love 92:5 99:4	Martinez 4:25
60:4158:390:1492:998:21 $11, 23$ $27:23$ lower $79:15, 17$ 13 learned19:599:3101:8125:1 $28:20$ $36:18$ $49:9$ 100 100 $132:2$ 100 100 $132:2$ 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1000 $102:20$ $102:10$ 1000 $102:20$ $102:10$ 1000 $102:20$ $102:10$ $102:20$ $102:10$ 1000 $112:2$ $57:21$ 1000 $102:20$ $125:17$ 1000 $112:2$ $57:21$ 1000 $142:2$ $25:1/2$ 1000 $142:22102:10142:22:10:7142:22:1/2142:1/2142:22:1/2142:1/2142:22:1/2142:1/2142:2/2142:1/2142:1/2142:1/2110:1/1<$	leaked 156:1	61: <i>1</i> 72: <i>15</i> 82:2 <i>1</i>	111:5, 11, 22	160:13	155: <i>11</i> 156:9
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	learn 33:23 34:3	83:9 88:11 89:2	Log 3:4 26:3, 6,	loved 170:5	157:4, 10 158:12,
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	60: <i>4</i> 158: <i>3</i>	90:14 92:9 98:21	11,23 27:23	lower 79:15, 17	13
89:23, 24115:22166:21logo132:8, 11Loyola142:15material10:1158:14lieutenants83:10134:14135:1170:24material10:7leave15:2057:2135:17, 19long11:2257:21LS12:24matter7:16, 2068:2, 15119:10life89:18100:1272:21104:19LSA162:414:225:1228:60127:14130:19102:20125:11118:12136:20Lus118:12136:20120:12134:7, 12139:3154:23155:18143:18144:14LUIS1:182:10120:12134:7, 12Lecrivain3:22156:4159:20148:15151:22103:11104:10, 20148:460:282:20, 25168:22169:10167:19Luna5:11matters48:20led18:1173:17life-and-deathlonger71:11166:14167:16Matthew56:685:5162:3162:23121:22lying159:20Max2:16118:851:764:9, 10light17:4120:9longstanding124:15146:19Maywood104:419:2, 4, 6, 7, 9liked142:7longtime97:16124:15146:19Maywood104:419:2, 14, 19157:5,like85:15look12:12, 22ma'am90:22101:1128:14, 19153:2					
158:14lieutenants83:10134:14135:1170:24materials10:7leave15:2057:2135:17, 19long11:2257:21LS12:24matter7:16, 20 $68:2, 15$ 119:10life89:18100:1272:21104:19LSA162:414:225:1228:6127:14130:19102:20125:11118:12136:20LSA162:414:225:1228:6139:3154:23155:18143:18144:14LUIS1:182:10120:12134:7, 12Leerivain3:22156:4159:20148:15151:22103:11104:10, 20148:460:282:20, 25168:22169:10167:19Luna5:11matters48:20led18:1173:17life-and-deathlonger71:11166:14167:16Matthew56:685:5162:3162:23121:22longstanding199:20Max2:1611:851:764:9,10light17:4120:9longstanding124:15146:19Maywood104:419:2,4,6,7,9liked142:7loogtime97:16124:15146:19Maywood104:419:2,4,6,7,9liken85:15look12:12,22ma'am90:22101:1128:14,19157:5,limit153:214:1122:626:2ma'am90:22101:1128:14,19153:214:1122:6			0		
leave $15:20$ $57:2$ $135:17, 19$ long $11:22$ $57:21$ LS $12:24$ matter $7:16, 20$ $68:2, 15$ $119:10$ life $89:18$ $100:12$ $72:21$ $104:19$ LSA $162:4$ $14:2$ $25:12$ $28:6$ $127:14$ $130:19$ $102:20$ $125:11$ $118:12$ $136:20$ lug $115:23$ $44:20$ $58:19$ $139:3$ $154:23$ $155:18$ $143:18$ $144:14$ LUIS $1:18$ $2:10$ $120:12$ $134:7, 12$ Lecrivain $3:22$ $156:4$ $159:20$ $148:15$ $151:22$ $103:11$ $104:10, 20$ $148:4$ $60:2$ $82:20, 25$ $168:22$ $169:10$ $167:19$ Luna $5:11$ matters $48:20$ led $18:11$ $73:17$ life-and-deathlonger $71:11$ $166:14$ $167:16$ Matthew $56:6$ $85:5$ $162:3$ $162:23$ $72:23$ $82:8$ $96:22$ $168:15, 16$ $117:15$ left $13:2$ $46:13$ life-or-death $52:13$ $121:22$ lying $159:20$ Max $2:16$ $85:9, 11, 14$ $92:17$ $166:17$ $163:6$ $124:15$ $146:19$ Maywood $104:4$ $119:2, 4, 6, 7, 9$ liked $142:7$ longtime $97:16$ McDonnell $27:7$ $128:14, 19$ $157:5$ liken $85:15$ look $12:12, 22$ $$ $28:21$ $95:5, 7$ 6 limit $153:2$ $14:11$ $22:6$, ·		0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
Lecrivain $3:22$ $156:4$ $159:20$ $148:15$ $151:22$ $103:11$ $104:10, 20$ $148:4$ $60:2$ $82:20, 25$ $168:22$ $169:10$ $167:19$ Luna $5:11$ matters $48:20$ led $18:11$ $73:17$ life-and-deathlonger $71:11$ $166:14$ $167:16$ Matthew $56:6$ $85:5$ $162:3$ $162:23$ $72:23$ $82:8$ $96:22$ $168:15, 16$ $117:15$ left $13:2$ $46:13$ life-or-death $52:13$ $121:22$ lying $159:20$ Max $2:16$ $118:1$ $51:7$ $64:9, 10$ light $17:4$ $120:9$ longstandingLynwood $59:1$ $53:1$ $56:6$ $161:1$ $88:9, 11, 14$ $92:17$ $166:17$ $163:6$ $124:15$ $146:19$ Maywood $104:4$ $119:2, 4, 6, 7, 9$ liked $142:7$ loog $14:11$ $22:6$ $26:2$ $a'am$ $90:22$ $101:1$ $128:14, 19$ $157:5$,liken $85:15$ look $12:12, 22$ $a'am$ $90:22$ $101:1$ legal $45:7$ $46:18$ limitations $49:16$ $27:18$ $28:19$ $30:1$ $123:11$ $166:9$ mean $18:1$ $29:6$ legitimate $44:21$ limited $9:3$ $34:8$ $37:11$ $39:11$ mad $161:14$ 21 $34:11$ $61:7$ $74:15$ line $64:11$ $65:17$ $41:6, 25$ $42:24$ Mafia $15:4, 10$ $66:18$				0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-	-			
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			longtime 97:16		McDonnell 27:7
legal 45:7 46:18limitations 49:1627:18 28:19 30:1123:11 166:9mean 18:1 29:6legitimate 44:21limited 9:334:8 37:11 39:11mad 161:1421 34:11 61:774:15line 64:11 65:1741:6, 25 42:24Mafia 15:4, 1066:18 70:9 71:5	128:14, 19 157:5,	liken 85:15		< M >	28:21 95:5, 7
legitimate 44:21 limited 9:3 34:8 37:11 39:11 mad 161:14 21 34:11 61:7 74:15 line 64:11 65:17 41:6, 25 42:24 Mafia 15:4, 10 66:18 70:9 71:5	6	limit 153:2	14:11 22:6 26:2	ma'am 90:22	101:1
74:15 line 64:11 65:17 41:6, 25 42:24 Mafia 15:4, 10 66:18 70:9 71:5	U	limitations 49:16		123:11 166:9	mean 18:1 29:6,
	legitimate 44:21				
length 47:16 68:25 70:24 50:18 57:25 64:2, 19:4 82:6 83:13 86:5					
	5				
	5				88:22 91: <i>11</i> 92:5,
					22 98:22 99:2, 10
Lennox 59:8 159:20 163:22 76:1 77:5 78:23 maintaining 20:7 100:2 112:3 124.24 146.20 145.22 163:22 76:1 77:5 78:23 maintaining 20:7 100:2 112:3				-	
124:21 146:20 lines 31:13 79:23 82:17 major 73:11 145:23 147:18 Leticia 4:22 list 4:10 19:14 83:17 84:17 major 73:11 145:23 147:18					
Leticia 4:22 list 4:10 19:14 83:17 84:17 majority 90:2 156:2 168:17 153:11 154:14 26:13 70:5 84:20, 85:19 106:15 143:23 146:1, 18, meaning 116:5					
					meaning 110.5 meaningful 167:8
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	meaningless 167:4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
20, 24 43:11 listening 47:2 65:6 151:6 170:7, 9, 16 52:10 105:24					
142:13, 14, 24 152:6 168:20 looking 138:25 male 111:5 107:25 117:21		0			
143:2 157:12 Listo 22:8 33:2 159:22 Mail 66:9 119:20 131:22			159:22		
165:5,6 34:22 looks 65:1 man 89:17 158:16 169:9	165:5, 6		looks 65:1	man 89:17	158:16 169:9
					Media 4:8 61:23
152:16 165:14 lists 84:21 LOS 1:5 6:3 7:4 59:10, 12 69:7 125:14 143:14					
	-				meet 10:24 19:10,
level 59:12 83:7, litigate 24:5 14:23 15:16 137:15 11, 16					
13 97:7 105:21 litigation 9:22 16:22 22:22 24:3 Manager 172:7 meeting 10:20 10 22 145 15 16 57 22 16:22 22:22 24:3		-		-	-
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	-			0	
levels 150:9 59:18 72:23 44:15 46:6 53:14 managing 91:19 151:19 108:20 114:14 54:2 57:16 71:6, mandated 97:4 meets 162:9	ieveis 150:9			0 0	
100.20 117.17 54.2 57.10 71.0, manualcu 77.4 meets 102.9		100.20 114.14	JT.2 J1.10 /1.0,		102.7

٦

N 106.25		1 52.25	110 2 140 20	20.10.27.12
Mejia 106:25	Miguel 103:11	movement 53:25	118:3 148:20	30:19 37:12
107:1, 2, 4, 9	104:12, 20	127:18	150:18 153:2	41:25 51:5 58:1,
108:8 110:10	military 64:11	moving 130:18	154:11 156:7	6 64:3, 19 68:19
121:21 122:4	military-type	168:2	158:1, 22 163:8,	73:10, 13 74:4
member 9:6, 8	143:22	multi 150:9	10 168:4	76:13 82:18 84:5,
18:9, 10 19:25	Miller 14:15	multifaceted	needed 27:25	18, 19, 22 85:4, 19
20:3, 11 57:21, 24	22:25 56:2	126:17, 25	67:18 68:3 99:9	91:22, 23 94:10,
62:16 64:23	million 24:12	multiple 19:14, 16	111:7 130:10, 24	12 121:4 125:4,
77:23 95:24	160:11	48:15 87:12	136:25 155:3	15 141:18 149:10,
97:16 133:1, 3, 4,	millions 163:23, 24	135:21	needs 28:6	<i>16</i> 160: <i>10</i>
5 146:6 157:18,	Mind 9:23 19:3	Munoz 22:3, 6	136:25 144:8	numbered 12:22
21 161:3 169:19	79: <i>13</i> 91: <i>12</i>	33:2 34:22 35:14,	negative 116:13,	13:2 14: <i>12</i> , <i>19</i>
			25	
MEMBERS 1:11	114:19 150:25	21 103:12, 14		26:3 31:17 58:6
9:1, 22 10:1 13:8,	minimizes 167:5	104:14	negligent 155:19	71:22 73:25 76:2
11, 12 38:6 41:15	minor 59:2	Murakami 3:9	neither 172:14	78:24 79:24
50:9 52:1 55:1	minute 153:3	41:19,23 68:20	nephew 168:18	83:18 84:17
70:9 109:5, 11	157:11 166:7	89:14 91:22	nephew's 169:1, 9	94:11
121:21 132:24	minutes 76:23	100:15 129:2	nervous 155:3	numbers 9:25
134:18 152:24	157:6 166:5, 9	murder 157:16	never 46:4 51:25	73:4,6 74:18
153:20 157:16	misconduct 54:12	169: <i>1</i>	93:22, 23 136:23	79:10, 15
161:7 162:24	154:20	murdered 169:18	139:5 144:5, 13	numerous 143:14
170:13	misleading 151:17	mutiny 97:15	152:4 165:1,9	nuts 115:23
membership	missed 91:16	v	new 43:6 51:2,7	
15: <i>17</i> 51:24	misunderstood	< N >	54:9, <i>15</i> 116: <i>13</i>	< 0 >
62:20	147:23 148:11, 24	name 11:16 13:3	117:12 120:2, 5, 7	o0o 171: <i>13</i>
memo 12:24 13:7	mocking 154:25	15:6 18:2, 21	126:21 156:2	oath 31:21 33:24
		-		
14:12, 14, 15 15:1,	mom 154:16	42:20 57:11, 12,	newer 35:24	53:16 128:3
3 19:7 51:11	moment 169:21,	13, 14 60:9, 17	news 157:19	obey 44:4 70:25
60:16	24	61:17, 23 62:10	nickname 22:7, 10,	objection 152:15
Memorandum	money 24:9	65:13 76:4,9	13, 14, 15, 19	objective 9:7
2:22 58:8 134:7	63:12 164:6	78:1 123:20, 21,	nicknames 137:23	obligation 20:16,
memorial 157:17	monikers 137:22,	22 136:2 148:13	nine 94:17	21, 23
memorialized	25	153:7, 14 158:15	noble 89:22	oblivious 54:3
75:23	monitor 11:2	159:10 160:4	non-emergent	observable 113:4
memory 21:15, 19,	137:20	161:22 162:16	71:12	observation 47:16
22, 23 128:8	Montes 4:21	163:13 172:17	non-employee	73:16 137:12
Men's 67:3, 8, 12	153:14	names 18:2	136:7	observations
124:11	Montez 153:11	21:13 41:14 42:5	non-field 69:6	131:17 137:7
mental 164:7	month 63:20	43:1 55:8, 14	non-priority 71:11	observe 79:3
mentally 117:21	71:14, 17 72:24	62:8 76:3 79:3	Non-Prosecution	observed 109:18
	· · ·			
mention 37:14	73:3, 5 74:12	96:3 114:22	4:13 39:4	111:15 112:17
mentioned 17:18	79:16 116:4	153:8	non-response 42:2,	113:17 114:24
37:18 51:9, 11	141:2 155: <i>14</i>	narrative 69:15	3	131:12 135:15
52:19 63:5 65:18	months 91:20	Nation 134:19, 24	nonsense 164:5	obstruction 37:1,
74:9 78:1 90:2	121:11	135:2	normal 93:8	2 40:24, 25
93:22 96:6, 21	moral 66:6	national 157:20	normally 97:1	obtain 43:2
151:15 160:11	morale 70:19	160:5	152:21	60:21 118:21
mentor 108:7	Moran 35:14, 21,	nature 132:16	North 59:14	obtained 71:24
message 76:7, 10	24	137:23 150:7	103:23, 25	obtaining 49:10
met 8:19 139:5	morning 6:2 7:23	Navarrete 62:12	notes 21:17, 18, 21	obviously 154:3
165:3	11:15 57:10 61:2	NCCF 59:14	notify 79:11	occasion 68:14
Mexican 15:4, 10	155:13 156:10	NDB 65:20	97:10	69:16 129:4
19:4	167:18	near 9:4 79:18	notwithstanding	131:13 135:15
Michael 22:4, 19	motion 44:11	125:21	81:7, <i>11</i>	occasions 129:5
	ARVING INII		November 7:13	occupied 15:9
33.4 34.20 60.0	154.6	nearby x/·//		
33:4 34:20 60:9 Michelle 5:8	154:6	nearby 87:11		-
Michelle 5:8	motions 44:15	near-death 52:17	27:2, 4, 6, 15, 19,	65:9
Michelle 5:8 161:21 162:15	motions 44:15 motive 25:25	near-death 52:17 necessarily 97:6	27:2, 4, 6, 15, 19, 22 28:20, 23	65:9 occupying 127:5
Michelle 5:8 161:21 162:15 163:11, 14	motions 44:15 motive 25:25 28:12 37:8	near-death 52:17 necessarily 97:6 necessary 47:10,	27:2, 4, 6, 15, 19, 22 28:20, 23 29:15 30:12	65:9 occupying 127:5 occur 129:11
Michelle 5:8 161:21 162:15 163:11, 14 microphone 52:24	motions 44:15 motive 25:25 28:12 37:8 move 125:22	near-death 52:17 necessarily 97:6 necessary 47:10, 19 130:9	27:2, 4, 6, 15, 19, 22 28:20, 23 29:15 30:12 number 8:14	65:9 occupying 127:5
Michelle 5:8 161:21 162:15 163:11, 14 microphone 52:24 middle 153:8	motions 44:15 motive 25:25 28:12 37:8 move 125:22 126:15 130:15	near-death 52:17 necessarily 97:6 necessary 47:10, 19 130:9 need 6:6 21:24	27:2, 4, 6, 15, 19, 22 28:20, 23 29:15 30:12 number 8:14 13:8 17:5, 19	65:9 occupying 127:5 occur 129:11
Michelle 5:8 161:21 162:15 163:11, 14 microphone 52:24	motions 44:15 motive 25:25 28:12 37:8 move 125:22	near-death 52:17 necessarily 97:6 necessary 47:10, 19 130:9	27:2, 4, 6, 15, 19, 22 28:20, 23 29:15 30:12 number 8:14	65:9 occupying 127:5 occur 129:11

occurred 26:12	122:2 147: <i>13</i>	orchestrated	painful 158:3	patrol 35:25 60:5
49:17 73:23 96:1	OIG 27:23 28:10	130:17 158:5	Palmdale 162:1	66:24 68:21 69:3
111:11 127:12	Okay 22:5, 18	order 15:17 31:6,	palpable 8:21	72:14 76:18
occurrence 161:7	29:4 32:25 59:20	15 38:7 40:20	pants 132:18	84:12 103:21
occurring 58:10	66:11 69:8, 14	43:25 47:6 76:25	paper 45:21	124:15, 16, 21
73:23 144:15	73:1 80:16 81:16	152:20	164:2	125:10 139:17
o'clock 101:12	94:24 95:6, 11	ordered 33:15	paragraph 31:17	patrolled 71:18
153:2	96:17 98:11	44:24 45:5 96:2	35:5, 12, 20	pattern 18:22
October 4:9	123:1, 7, 8, 15	106:14	paramilitary 31:15	patterns 139:15
16:23 125:13	144:20 147:13	ordering 10:21	paramilitary-	PATTI 1:14 2:6
155:20	148:3, 12, 25	orders 70:12 91:9	structured 118:4	122:20 150:20
O'Donnell 4:17	150:17 151:11	ordinary 138:3	Paris 153:10, 12	Paul 157:15
142:25	152:9, 12 154:25	organization 8:16,	part 13:4 35:16	169:17
offended 134:20	164:13 170:15	21 9:2 31:15	37:17 48:23 51:4	pay 63:20 105:15,
offensive 132:15	old 43:6 51:2	89:19 99:23	55:25 67:15 72:2	16
offer 10:14	169:18	100:4 118:5	96:5 108:24	peace 18:21 160:1
141:16	older 35:17	127:11 131:16, 24	126:17 129:7, 9	Penal 2:24 18:16,
offered 10:2, 17,	37:19 151:6	132:1, 3, 6, 14	132:17 143:2	18, 25 19:6, 18, 19
<i>19</i> 51:24 69:23	once 35:10 140:1	132:1, 5, 6, 14	146:15 152:16	20:6, 15 23:10
126:7 140:13	151:3	145:16 149:20	158:1 160:6	40:17, 21 41:11
office 11:1, 25	on-duty 18:22	164:6	169:22 170:5	43:20 44:17, 18,
12:7 26:22 28:5,	one-minute 153:5	organizations	partially 126:17	23 46:12
22 34:13 36:20,	ones 13:20 19:16	98:17	participant 76:3	pending 9:22
23 38:15 44:1,7	43:3, 18 92:16	organized 130:16	participate 146:3,	24:7
48:1 49:10 68:9	170:5	organizer 161:2	<i>17</i>	people 8:17 21:8
69:16 80:5 84:15	ongoing 152:23	organizing 86:8	participated 8:2	26:13 44:19 50:4
88:7 93:8 118:25	onset 129:8	origination 133:24	145:4	51:24 53:7, 8, 24
119:1 124:24	130:4 132: <i>1</i>	Orrego 95:22	participating 10:8	54:12, 21 62:21
125:19 126:3	152:3	99:11	participation 20:7	68: <i>13</i> 80:2 <i>1</i> 91:9
135:18, 21 137:3	open 77:7	Orrego's 99:11	particular 17:3	96:3 98:9 100:15,
142:25 155:16, 22,	opened 62:21	Ortiz 103:11	50:21 67:2 87:6	24 102:20 105:25
23 161:14 163:21	110: <i>17</i>	104:12, 20, 24	99:6 109:1	106:5 112:8, 17,
officer 15:12	opening 7:2, 22	ostracized 37:20	113:25 114:20	21, 23 116:15
25:11 57:17	8:9, 10 14:7	outcasts 112:18, 21	131:25 136:1	119:9, 16 120:3
63:13, 16, 18, 21	25:15	outcome 172:16	138:22 139:2, 3	125:23 126:8, 18
84:8 96:25	operate 75:17	outlaws 16:6	138.22 139.2, 5	127:3, 7, 20
105:10 106:12, 13	83:14 98:6 148:8	outside 9:25	particularly 46:18	127.3, 7, 20 128:10, 17 129:15
117:11 124:17	158:17	17:20 52:21	54:18 67:18	130:15 137:9
132:5 137:15	operated 14:5	69:13 93:3 95:20	parties 50:7, 12,	145:22 146:23, 25
138:25 147:16	15:1 25:4 38:9	overhaul 167:1	<i>13</i> 93:16, 19	143.22 140.23, 23
168:21	operates 148:1	oversaw 80:7	126:5 166:19	155:4 156:4, 21
	-			,
officer-involved	operating 82:9 136:21	OVERSIGHT 1:6	partly 13:20	159:19 160:21
3:24 83:21 84:8		6:4 46:15 47:4, 21 55:12 142:12	partner 95:23	161: <i>3</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>18</i> 163: <i>9</i>
85:6 88:10 93:1	Operation 59:6		99:11 104:3,6	165:22
95:21 122:12, 17 160:22	77:21 138:6	154:7 172:10	partners 93:24	perceived 108:12 111:3 131:1
169:22	142:18	overtime 15:18	parts 65:22	
officers 18:21	operations 17:15	63:7 65:18	party 21:7, 8	percent 63:19
52:13 63:10, 11	59:17 83:9, 10		36:2 39:13	perception 133:22
74:21 88:10	opined 136:8	< P > Page 2:15 20 2:2	172:15	134:3
96:22 99:16	opinion 97:24	Page 2:15, 20 3:3	pass 45:8 46:20	percipient 8:13
105:9 126:19, 20	136:17	4:2, 20 5:2 12:22	90:7 106:15	39:24 Borollo 2:14
154:18 155:19, 24	opportunities	13:14 15:3, 23	120:14 142:2	Perello 2:14
159:13	15:19 38:8	31:12, 17 35:4	160:21	153:7 154:14
official 73:25	opportunity 7:24	39:12 42:24 58:1,	passed 87:14	155:10 156:8
158:23	8:9 10:2 69:24	6 77:5 85:25	106:6	157:4, 8 159:8
officially 16:14	91:18 128:23	86:16	passing 154:6	160:24 161:20
30:16 67:24	129:23 138:17	pages 14:4, 15	pastures 125:22	162:14 163:11
80:14	opposed 127:18	paid 24:9, 12	path 67:3	164:11 166:5, 8,
officials 46:13	143:19 145:20	63:11 158:19	patience 170:17	13 167:16 168:15
oh 42:25 94:4	Orange 99:16	159:5	Patricia 2:14 8:5	Perellò 8:5
1	1	1	1	1

perfect 21:15	117:5 118:15	131:1 137:21	68:18 137:14	77:3 78:16, 21
perfectly 39:22	139:6	139:6, 16 140:4, 7,	previously 86:10	89:18 109:15
period 81:9 84:2	Placement 119:22	9, 21 141:13, 18	Price 8:3, 5 92:18	132:4 139:8
130:11, 19 145:13	places 162:20	148:10, 23 157:24	primarily 15:16	172:6
permanent 144:20	plaintiffs 9:24	positions 15:9, 12	17:14	Professionalism
145:7 148:6	planning 82:21	28:10 63:8 65:8	primary 25:8	13:24
150:10	planted 156:13, 24	105:6 110:10	principal 40:2	professionalizing
permeates 100:6	play 22:5 125:15	possibility 91:11	principles 18:24	132:14
permission 8:8	player 118:3	possible 23:9	74:21 77:3 78:16,	professionals 8:16
11:3 146:7	playing 107:17	31:23 40:8 50:7	21 109:15	progress 170:7
permits 10:11	please 11:8 14:11	54:11 133:15	prior 7:5 11:21	prohibits 20:7
perpetrators	30:1 41:6 69:15	possibly 37:6	38:5, 10 48:21	prominent 10:7
21:14 33:25 34:5	77:25 78:1 85:20	potential 13:12	72:14 74:7 79:16	promise 56:20
perpetuated	106:9 109:17	25:10 41:15	82:15 87:9 93:7,	153:3
163:21	113: <i>12</i> 117: <i>19</i> 118: <i>14</i> 123: <i>16</i>	42:21 43:4, 10 49:19 52:21	14 96:10	promising 60:3
person 46:2 50:8 56:2, 7 77:8, 10,	135:15 142:21	54:25	priority 137:19 prison 159:11	promoted 59:7, 13 80:2, 4, 14, 18
23 78:6 106:2, 13, 10	146:10 153:7	potentially 58:9	167:23	81:1, 13 88:8
15, 16 108:6	167:11, 12	78:10	prisoner 110:14	95:2, 4, 12 107:9
109:23, 24 111:4	plenty 170:2, 17	power 33:10	private 22:24	124:18, 20 125:5
112:5, 24 113:1, 2	plum 100:1	61: <i>11</i> 63: <i>3</i> 68:20,	161: <i>13</i>	127:9 136:3
114:7, 9, 13	147:17	23 69:9 101:4	privately 27:7	promotion 30:15,
117:12 122:9	point 16:9 37:21	103:5 104:7	privilege 48:18	18 37:6 80:20
123:4 126:14	47:19 98:22	155:4 159: <i>1</i>	privileged 48:17	85:2 89:7 135:20
133:10 138:3, 25	101:6 135:22	163:14 166:20, 22	privileges 48:18	promotions 30:19
139:5, 9 148:4	139:4 143:24	powerful 63:22	pro 10:8 64:10,	84:25 135:9, 17
155:23 167:19	152:10 157:25	65:9, 15 95:17	11	promulgated 4:10
personal 8:18	pointed 54:24	powers 166:25	proactive 75:12	84:20
84:6 97:24 130:7	110:22 111:9, 22	PR 130:23	proactively 71:9	proof 10:22
157:12 Borronally, 07:11	148:23	practice 39:9	probably 62:7	77:12 157:19
Personally 97:11 148:18	police 46: <i>3</i> 51: <i>12</i> , 23 52:2, 7 71:8,	40:6 46:3 66:25 115:22 136:25	164:2	170:12 proper 26:1 20:8
Personnel 39:13	12 75:1 100:3	113.22 130.25	problem 12:9 15:2 54:3, 4 55:4	proper 26:1 29:8, 11 30:21, 24 45:2
130:20	111:19 113:10, 15	144.8, 15, 10	88:5 119:16	77:13 98:3
persons 34:19	policed 98:1	149:11, 15	131:5, 21 138:5	property 141:8
118:17	policies 14:8	practices 111:19	148:21 163:3	proposal 10:10
pertinent 73:21	98:24 118:5, 6	113:10, 15 143:22	problematic	143:12
143:1	137:1	144:24	128:11 131:10	prosecuted 144:2
Phan 156:18	policing 18:24	preceding 73:3	132:15, 19 144:11	145:5
phenomenon	74:22, 24 77:3	precisely 30:18	145: <i>13</i> 152: <i>4</i>	prosecution 39:4
50:17	78:17, 21 109:15	predecessor 126:1	problems 131:3	prosecutor 13:18
philosophy 98:9	policy 20:7, 8	predicate 11:5	procedural 8:9	prospect 96:8
phone 96:24	33:13 97:3	prepare 34:15	23:21	prospects 88:17,
135:21 154:17 phonetic 103:20	144:22, 23, 24 145:3 149:10	prepared 2:21 12:19	procedurally 130:14	21 96:8 168:19 protect 168:11
photo 64:20	150:11 167:3	presence 71:12	proceed 11:6	protected 19:21
photograph 50:24	political 10:22	134:17 154:17	proceeding 79:12	89:11 97:22
photograph 30.27 phrase 134:20	pop 91:4	Present 6:21	proceedings 11:2	154:19 168:12
physical 8:24	populated 132:11	17:19 47:22	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-	protecting 170:3
115:20 138:5	portion 14:18	presentation	N-G-S 6:1	protection 168:13
physically 110:2	18:25 24:23	170:21	process 27:8	protective 97:18
pickup 94:5	35:11, 12 77:7	presented 26:6	82:23 93:8	proud 145:10
picture 135:1	86:12 125:15	34:14 36:19, 25	126:15 129:8, 10	provide 20:21
pin 134:22	portions 104:1	press 56:7	130:4, 13, 14, 16	48:3 77:24 78:14
Piru 95:24	pose 98:6	pressured 75:7	143:13 144:21	113:18, 20
place 17:1 52:14	position 16:13, 14	pretty 50:15	150:10 154:19	provided 50:4
64:6 68:8, 9 106:17 127:1	63:22 65:10, 15	53:20 63:18	163:9 167:21	providing 9:7
106: <i>17</i> 127: <i>1</i> 132:2	66:12 67:21 68:2 69:23 84:23	prevent 14:8 prevented 138:5	productivity 35:23 profession 124:2	43:19 78:19 provision 20:24
placed 12:14	91:19 92:12	previous 61:10	professional 8:22	Public 3:23 4:8,
51:5, 22 60:16	105:11 106:5	Provious 01.10	18:24 74:22, 24	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
,	1	1	1	

16.2 12 10.11	142.5 142.7	mail 9.20 72.16		main at 24.0 11 12
46:2, 13 49:11	142:5 143:7	real 8:20 73:16	reference 13:15	reject 34:9, 11, 12,
55:11 58:22 69:7	148:1,7	75:23 85:17	15:4, 5 16:10, 11	18
70:19, 20 75:4	questioned 128:5	152:1 160:1	17:17 27:22	rejected 10:9
81:11 83:19	questions 9:11	realized 151:6	28:20 29:5, 21	36:23
101:4 125:14	25:19, 22 27:24,	really 38:13 99:3	30:1 41:11 48:9	relate 79:5
128:22 129:20	25 29:1, 16 40:11	100:10 147:20	51:12 79:19	related 20:24
136:18, 19, 20	45:16 46:23 47:7	149:25 158:9, 19	81:24	53:10 77:13
137:5 144:14	48:25 56:24 90:9	162:10 168:3, 5	references 48:15	131:3 172:15
148:10 150:18	92:10 101:11	170:15	137:22	relates 23:15
152:13, 22, 24, 25	120:14 123:8	Reapers 43:8	referred 14:16	relating 3:4 26:3
153:2, 6 166:22	142:2, 4, 8 150:19	170:1	24:17 34:17 50:7	142:17
167:5	152:12	Rea's 157:15	152:16	relationship 7:11
publicized 50:24	quick 83:17	reason 29:9, 12	referring 48:16	relatively 24:13
publicly 46:7	quickly 82:16	30:22, 24 31:1	79:21 81:25 82:1	relatives 153:25
53:13 64:20	83:23	46:10 74:15, 17	134:25	release 42:4, 8
90:24 125:17	quiet 98:10	91:3 111:4	refers 16:23	96:3 171:7
154:3	quite 48:7 53:13	reasons 19:12	28:15 35:18	released 68:17
published 49:5	116:10 145:3	23:21 76:2	reflect 28:4, 8	relegated 69:6
64:20 81:4 84:24	151:10 152:3	rebuffed 79:7, 8	38:17, 19 83:20	relevant 10:12
purpose 10:22	quote 20:16	rebuilding 136:18	84:1 92:11	relied 100:24
82:24 83:6	29:16 143:1	recalcitrant 33:15	142:10	relieved 69:3
125:24	quoting 142:14	recall 17:13	reflecting 3:23	96:9 97:14
purposes 60:11	. D.	43:17 62:8	4:5	125:17
131:25	< R >	160: <i>19</i> 164: <i>17</i>	reflection 78:11	reluctance 78:14
pursuing 29:16	race 62:21	receipt 28:5	reflects 28:9 72:1	remain 110:19
pursuit 87:16, 18	radically 167:1	receive 41:18, 22	76:11	120:10
push 155:15	radio 76:18	76:24 96:24 97:1,	refresh 21:16, 19	remained 69:8
158:22 164:9	137:9, 12, 20 Defeel 22.2	2	31:9	remarks 7:2, 22
pushed 168:4	Rafael 22:3, 6	received 14:5	refreshed 21:22	remember 31:20
pussy 36:3	33:2 Deire 11:11 57:5	30:6, 17 43:13, 15,	refreshes 21:23	52:14 164:16
put 17:1 38:11	Raise 11:11 57:5	16, 17 48:9, 11 72:20 127:10	128:7	remind 155:20
47:8 50:5 52:8	97:11 102:1	73:20 127:10	refreshing 31:19	reminded 130:12
59:9 60:5 106:16	123:16 raised 115:19	138:18 141:16	refusal 114:20	remnants 53:5
110:3 114:2,6		146: <i>13</i> receiver 50:9	refuse 113:17	removal 119:23
116:23 144:20	rampant 17:2 166:19		refused 7:9 10:24	remove 14:9 139:7
148:6, 19, 20	Rand 14:5 48:22,	receiving 117:15 130:16	54: <i>12</i> 75: <i>12</i> 114: <i>17</i>	removed 69:23
149: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i> 150:9 154:6 159:20	Kanu 14:5 48:22, 23	Recess 101:15		
	rank 57:18 107:4		regain 136:24 137:5	84:11 150:25 removing 54:4
167:2 Puto 154:9	124:5 140:10	recollection 21:25		5
puts 149:11		22:1 31:9, 20 recommend	regard 44:14 133:20	129:15 169:25
-	Raphael 34:21	119: <i>19</i> 161: <i>17</i>		rendering 9:17 Rene 33:2 103:12,
putting 91:2 115:23	Raquel 5:6 160:25 161:20, 23		regarded 67:3 regarding 3:15	<i>Kelle</i> 55.2 105.12, 14 104:14
puzzling 98:15	rare 154:21	recommendation 14:6 59:9 167:7	10:18 23:8 24:14	reopened 54:14
puzzing 90.15	rat 36:4	recommendations	25:9 37:8 38:20,	repeat 113:11
< Q >	Rattlesnakes 162:6	17:11 65:18	24 41:15 43:2, 13	116:9
qualifications	Rea 5:12 167:17	recommended	59:3 65:3 84:25	repeatedly 8:23
53: <i>13</i> 62:23	168:15 169:17	15:2	92:20 134:14	replacement 69:21
qualified 141:13	reach 59:12 91:1	record 25:12, 13	regime 154:21	report 13:17, 23
qualify 146:19	97:6	32:12 57:11	Regional 59:1	14:3 16:23, 24
quality 126:6	read 21:21, 24	123:20 142:6, 10	Registered 172:6	38:23 56:15, 19,
quarters 7:4	22:1 28:19 30:3	152:16	Regulators 15:24	22 92:25 112:25
quash 44:12	31:12 35:10	Recorded 4:8	16:2, 4, 5 19:5, 8	116:12 138:13
question 10:11	45:21 128:7	21:25 22:2 172:9	43:8 48:10, 14	163:20
19:3 44:21 46:25	138:13 141:22	Red 13:11 115:19	170:2	reported 23:17,
49:23 53:2 54:8	157:12	redacted 26:6	rein 88:3	<i>19, 20</i> 73:4
55:8, 20 56:6	reads 28:24 30:5	30:10 76:3 79:3	reinstate 134:10	111:15 134:23
57:4 80:21 92:8	35:20 37:13	94:16, 18	reintroduce 132:8	reporter 128:5, 12
96:21 97:16	ready 11:5 68:15	re-emphasized	reintroducing	172:6
113:11 122:22	101:16 158:16	132:20	132:10	reporting 3:13
128:9 137:6, 7	169:6	refer 14:18 67:10		59:16 74:1 172:7
			1	

Γ

		101.17 102.16	D -lag 122.17	Section 2:24
reports 3:23	result 49:7 51:1,	121:17 123:16	Rules 133:17	Section 2:24
28:11 34:14	25 90:20 127:17	132:1 136:20	134:3	18:16 19:18 20:6
83:20, 24 93:1	129:13 141:25	150:14 152:3	rumors 139:18	39:15 40:21
143:14	resulted 87:11	154:10 160:9, 14	run 49:16, 19	41:12 131:24
represent 38:14	95:25	162:22 164:19	100:16 146:25	see 9:5 15:5, 25
94:8	resume 101:14	165:1 168:9	running 100:15	16:11 21:18, 21
representatives	resuming 110:15	Rightly 90:22	140:14 161:12, 14	26:5 28:2, 16
134:24 149:12	retaining 9:21	rights 23:5 44:19	runoff 27:3	36:16 39:14 41:7
represented	retaliated 78:10	162:25 166:19		62:11, 12 64:7
155:13	90:24	Riley 153:10, 12	< S >	70:6 85:25 86:17
representing 9:25	retaliation 9:9, 16	rise 23:8 71:13	Saavedra 157:18,	95: <i>13</i> 101: <i>19</i>
30:9	23:3 25:23 78:15	73:18	20 158:14 169:19	128:7 133:25
represents 64:3	90:19 91:2	risk 8:18 98:5	sacrificed 166:22	142:8
Republican 13:21	102:16 138:23	115:23	sacrificing 101:4	Seeing 101:11
reputation 106:1	140:2 156:5	risking 78:4	Safe 59:6 139:8	123:8 152:12
116:13, 14	161: <i>11</i> 166: <i>18</i>	Risk-Management	safety 8:18, 24	seek 9:10
request 41:12	retired 13:18	59:15 72:15	70:20 75:4 91:5,	seen 53:19 56:15
70:25	58:17 123:25	Rob 120:17	6 101:4 115:23	90:23 92:11, 24,
requested 20:21	127:9 133:7, 12,	ROBERT 1:13	117:11 162:7	25 147:1 155:3
41:14 43:14, 19	16 134:2 135:7	2:5	166:22	164:23 165:9, 12,
78:15, 20 102:13	140:23 141:2	Robertson 142:16	sanctioned 16:14	22
152:25 165:5	151:10	Rodriguez 21:16	sane 8:6	sees 66:20
require 20:6	retirement 124:1	22:3, 9 33:3	Saturday 9:15	semblance 144:14
required 33:12	125:21	34:21 35:13, 21	saving 164:6	send 165:7
44:16	retribution 8:22	rogue 145:4	saw 83:24 131:14	sending 4:4
requirement	9:3, 13 102:15	149:25 150:1	135:15 138:14	82:24 86:1
122:11, 13	retroactive 59:3	role 11:21 12:4	saying 7:23	senior 11:24
research 13:4	return 69:15	80:22 91:16	17:25 58:11	35:17 37:19
reserve 8:9 125:7	returned 69:19,	roll 6:6	99:22 100: <i>13</i>	63:17 136:8
reserved 131:19	23 84:14 138:7	rolling 164:18	156:23 164:23	sense 97:20
resignation 164:17	returning 59:24	168:3	says 31:18 58:6	151:4, 5
resisted 51:4	reveal 10:23	Ron 163:11	99:14 143:1	sent 11:1 43:11
resolution 164:17	revealed 8:24	164:11, 13	scared 25:16	68:24 81:21
resources 144:17	reverse 99:19	Ronnie 58:7	scattered 92:19	116:22 127:15
respect 56:16	reversed 33:15, 19	room 110:22	SCB 146:21	165:6, 14
145:20	review 10:2, 3	111:5, 6, 11, 22	scenario 97:7	separate 3:24
respond 15:2	24:23 25:2 32:11	112:25 113:1, 3	151:1	83:20 93:20
42:13 78:3 96:22	93:8	114:15 123:12	schedule 103:19	153:22, 23 160:18
142:8 151:19	reviewed 93:5, 7,	134:17 135:13, 18	scheduled 9:14, 16	
162:23	11	153:2, 8 160:9	scheduling 9:18	<i>11</i> 49:25
responded 142:24	rewards 89:19	161:9 170:22	15:12, 18 63:7	September 2:22
responding 76:14	Rey 92:7	root 14:8 98:23	65:10, 11 68:3, 6,	14:12 21:3 26:9
response 3:10	Ricci 5:5 160:24	roots 163:4	23 69:9, 25 70:17	35:21 36:1 58:8,
17:1, 5 41:18, 20	161:1,2 Diaband 107:1.2	ropes 60:4	79:21 82:8, 10	11 accuration 51.5
42:2, 3 43:16	Richard 107:1, 2,	Roughly 121:10,	104:7	sequential 51:5
52:7 70:14, 23	7,9 108:8 Biok 106:25	13 rounds 97:12 18	school 11:23 12:2,	sequentially 13:2
71:11 76:20, 22	Rick 106:25	rounds 87:12, 18	3 142:15 153:19	Sergeant 29:2
77:13 97:4	Ricky 87:20	route 110:2 routed 137:17	163:2 170:24	32:4 59:7 124:20,
129:18 148:7 152:1	rid 100:9, 17	routed 137:17 rowdy 88:5	scored 106:5, 11	21, 22, 23 126:23
	132:15 148:15 154:10	· ·	Scott 56:20 screenshot 4:4	133:8, <i>12</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>20</i> 134:2
responses 17:5 151:22	right 7:14 11:11	royalty 57:25 RUBIN 1:15 2:7	85:25 86:1	sergeants 98:21
responsibility	21:17 26:21	32:20, 25 33:5	SEAN 1:12 2:3	165:17
69: <i>3</i> 103: <i>17</i>	39:22 40:3, 8	48:7, 24 55:18	SEAN 1.12 2.5 SEB 125:4	Sergienko 5:5
responsible 46:14	44:21 45:2 46:14	56:4 71:3 90:11,	second 15:3 72:3	160:24 161:1, 2
58:25 69:9	55:10 57:5 60:20	<i>13</i> 91:5, <i>13</i>	83:22 84:22	serious 32:19
106:19, 23 126:14	64:12 76:4, 21	121:20, 25 143:9	secrecy 166:20	serious 32.19 seriously 100:10
138:4 143:12	77:18, 20 78:9	145:18 147:24	secret 10:1 80:24	Serjanko 159:9
144:17 146:11	86:19 90:3 91:16	149:3 171:5, 10	81:2 131:5	serve 7:12 9:2
rest 132:1 169:10	95:15 97:8 101:2	ruin 77:25	136:21 144:10	44:1, 22 47:10
rests 41:1	102:1 120:25	- uni 11.25	150.21 177.10	TI.1, 22 TI.10
			I	I

ſ

1 10 10	10 101 0 0			1 1 1 0
served 13:18	10 134:8,9	83:21 84:1, 5, 8	64:22, 24 65:2, 7,	smoke 16:8
67:13 124:16	135:10, 20 137:3,	85:6 88:10, 14	24 66:10, 13, 16	51:22 52:20
serves 11:1	9 139:23 140:2,	93:2, 3, 7, 8, 12, 13,	67:1, 5, 9, 19 68:4,	Social 4:8 125:14
service 8:20	15 141:10, 19, 25	25 95:21 155:25	7, 12 69:12 70:3,	societies 136:21
58:22 71:9, 10	142:7, <i>12</i> 143: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> ,	166:18	14 71:16, 20, 25	144:10
99:12 125:12	5 144: <i>16</i> 146: <i>14</i>	shopping 66:8	72:5, 10, 19, 25	softball 107:18
133:17 134:3	148:5 151:15	short 130:18	73:19 74:3 75:2,	solemnly 11:12
136:6	152:8 153:15, 18	shortcomings	9 76:4, 19 77:1	solution 160:14,
services 125:9	154:10, 18, 25	138:14	78:13, 22 79:2	15,16
164:7 172:7	155:16, 22 159:1,	shortly 69:19	80:15, 19 81:10,	solutions 10:18
serving 60:18	2 160:6 161:3, 15	shot 16:10, 14, 19	15, 23 83:22 84:3,	solve 55:4
session 47:13	162:1, 11 164:17	17:22 18:4, 6, 8,	13, 16 85:2, 7, 21	sombrero 23:24
set 34:14 35:14	165:25 166:15, 17,	12 61:4, 9, 14, 16	86:14 87:4 88:1,	somebody 15:19
58:4 99:8, 18	21 167:4, 11	62:1 63:4 79:22	12 89:5, 9, 12, 15	68: <i>3</i> 123: <i>15</i>
126:20 159:24	168:7 170:7	87:21 98:19	90:2 93:17 94:14,	165:7
sets 35:5 72:16	sheriffs 35:17	102:21 103:2, 6, 9,	19 99:5 100:20	someone's 94:2
155:22			19 99.5 100.20	97:8
	101:3 116:17	14, 17 105:18		
settle 24:6	118:5 127:8	122:7, 9, 11 123:2	21, 24 124:2	son 89:17 141:9
settled 24:8 96:11	148:17 168:25	147:14 156:12, 24	128:15, 20 131:4	156:12, 24 164:2
settlement 96:1	SHERIFF'S 1:9	165:10, 23 166:18	134:6 135:4	165:2, 15, 23
settlements 160:12	7:5 8:15 12:10	shots 61:12	139:25 140:18	son's 141:12
seven 82:15	13:6 14:2, 6, 10	show 113:15	146:4 147:22, 25	soon 29:10 80:5
130:18	16:15, 18 23:12	129:10	149:1	sooner 47:18
severely 144:2	28:10 33:13 36:5,	showing 157:1	sister 141:17	soon-to-be 29:10
shaking 151:24	6 38:19, 23 48:14	shunned 103:20	168: <i>16</i> 169: <i>17</i>	80:17
share 10:4 43:12	54:2, 18 55:4	105:25 138:23	sitting 40:6 44:15	sorry 77:6 78:18
48:17 60:19	71:6, 24 74:1	siblings 9:2	46:13, 14 114:15	94:4 108:19
75:10 109:17	98: <i>1</i> , <i>18</i> 100: <i>6</i> , <i>19</i>	sic 128:12	135:24 159:16	114:10 116:9
117:25 124:8	124:4 125:3	sidetracked 110:1	168:20	118:14 119:12
130:1 156:12	127:25 130:14	signal 132:5	situation 14:22	153:1 155:2
shared 49:6	132:23 133:8	signals 131:25	52:13 98:19	166:6 170:4
167:15	134:1, 10, 13, 17	signed 134:8	114:5	sort 113:9 138:6
167: <i>15</i> sharing 10:6	134: <i>1</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>17</i> 135:5 136:2 <i>1</i>	signed 134:8 significant 35:19	114:5 situations 139:6	sort 113:9 138:6 sought 42:21
sharing 10:6	135:5 136:21	significant 35:19	situations 139:6	sought 42:21
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5	135:5 136:2 <i>1</i> 141:7 143: <i>18</i> , 2 <i>3</i>	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12	situations 139:6 six 130: <i>18</i>	sought 42:21 114:17
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20	situations 139:6 six 130:78 skateboarder	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20,	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20,	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24,	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7,
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18,
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12,	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14,	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23,	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7,12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1,3,4,5,15 72:6,21 73:3,12, 17,22 74:10,14, 20 75:1,16,22,24 77:14,22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8,	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7 98:25 100:14	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18 86:22 87:3, 8, 11,	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12 162:6	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23 97:8 115:4, 11, 15	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9 2:13 6:3 7:16, 18
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7 98:25 100:14 107:9, 22 113:10	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18 86:22 87:3, 8, 11, 20 88:19 93:5, 23	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12 162:6 single-man 104:5	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23 97:8 115:4, 11, 15 slowdowns 114:25	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9 2:13 6:3 7:16, 18 13:15 101:12
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7 98:25 100:14 107:9, 22 113:10 115:10 118:18, 19,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18 86:22 87:3, 8, 11, 20 88:19 93:5, 23 94:2 95:24 96:10	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12 162:6 single-man 104:5 sir 11:15 15:5	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23 97:8 115:4, 11, 15 slowdowns 114:25 166:20	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9 2:13 6:3 7:16, 18 13:15 101:12 124:13 125:4
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7 98:25 100:14 107:9, 22 113:10 115:10 118:18, 19, 25 124:25 125:13	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18 86:22 87:3, 8, 11, 20 88:19 93:5, 23 94:2 95:24 96:10 109:21 113:24	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12 162:6 single-man 104:5 sir 11:15 15:5 16:21 19:1 22:16	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23 97:8 115:4, 11, 15 slowdowns 114:25 166:20 slowed 97:13	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9 2:13 6:3 7:16, 18 13:15 101:12 124:13 125:4 132:2 142:11
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7 98:25 100:14 107:9, 22 107:9, 22 113:10 115:10 115:10 118:18, 19, 25 25 124:25 125:13 126:7 128:9, 22	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18 86:22 87:3, 8, 11, 20 88:19 93:5, 23 94:2 95:24 96:10 109:21 113:24 114:1, 2 122:12,	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12 162:6 single-man 104:5 sir 11:15 15:5 16:21 19:1 22:16 31:14 57:10, 15,	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23 97:8 115:4, 11, 15 slowdowns 114:25 166:20 slowed 97:13 small 147:14	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9 2:13 6:3 7:16, 18 13:15 101:12 124:13 125:4 132:2 142:11 170:18, 19 171:8
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7 98:25 100:14 107:9, 22 113:10 115:10 118:18, 19, 25 124:25 125:13 126:7 128:9, 22 129:4, 19, 24	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18 86:22 87:3, 8, 11, 20 88:19 93:5, 23 94:2 95:24 96:10 109:21 113:24 114:1, 2 122:12, 17 157:15	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12 162:6 single-man 104:5 sir 11:15 15:5 16:21 19:1 22:16 31:14 57:10, 15, 23, 24 58:2, 14	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23 97:8 115:4, 11, 15 slowdowns 114:25 166:20 slowed 97:13 small 147:14 smaller 21:10	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9 2:13 6:3 7:16, 18 13:15 101:12 124:13 125:4 132:2 142:11 170:18, 19 171:8 specialized 63:7
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7 98:25 100:14 107:9, 22 113:10 115:10 118:18, 19, 25 124:25 125:13 126:7 128:9, 22 129:4, 19, 24 130:25 131:9, 21,	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18 86:22 87:3, 8, 11, 20 88:19 93:5, 23 94:2 95:24 96:10 109:21 113:24 114:1, 2 122:12, 17 157:15 shootings 3:24	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12 162:6 single-man 104:5 sir 11:15 15:5 16:21 19:1 22:16 31:14 57:10, 15, 23, 24 58:2, 14 60:7, 13 61:6, 20	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23 97:8 115:4, 11, 15 slowdowns 114:25 166:20 slowed 97:13 small 147:14	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9 2:13 6:3 7:16, 18 13:15 101:12 124:13 125:4 132:2 142:11 170:18, 19 171:8
sharing 10:6 SHERIFF 1:5 2:23 3:6 4:9, 14 6:3 7:7, 9 10:14, 17, 25 11:3 14:13, 21 20:10 25:18, 19 26:24 27:5, 9, 10 28:21 29:16 30:13, 22 31:18 33:10, 15, 19 36:17 40:10 41:1, 4, 9, 19, 21 42:4, 7 43:13, 18, 21, 22, 25 44:4, 8, 11, 15, 21 45:2, 14, 25 46:5, 15 53:4, 11, 24 54:3, 23 56:8, 19 57:17 58:24 68:20 80:5, 9, 10, 18 81:1, 13 84:14 88:6 89:14 95:7 98:25 100:14 107:9, 22 113:10 115:10 118:18, 19, 25 124:25 125:13 126:7 128:9, 22 129:4, 19, 24	135:5 136:21 141:7 143:18, 23 144:25 147:19 148:14, 17 153:20, 24 154:1, 7 156:20 157:13 160:8, 11, 17 162:4 165:15 168:25 169:11, 20, 23 170:22 Sherry 30:9 shielded 154:19 shift 61:3 shocking 155:24, 25 shook 136:3 shoot 158:16 165:10 shooting 51:18, 23, 25 52:2, 5, 7 81:6 83:25 85:9, 12, 18 86:22 87:3, 8, 11, 20 88:19 93:5, 23 94:2 95:24 96:10 109:21 113:24 114:1, 2 122:12, 17 157:15	significant 35:19 59:11 74:12 125:20 significantly 73:10 Silver 22:15 33:4 34:21 Silverio 22:3, 12 33:3 34:21 35:14 36:1 Silverio's 22:15 similar 14:5, 23 15:15 50:14 56:20 130:3 similarly 17:10 simple 149:8 simply 9:6 25:12 37:18, 20 128:13 148:7 157:23 158:4 single 50:19 129:14 145:12 162:6 single-man 104:5 sir 11:15 15:5 16:21 19:1 22:16 31:14 57:10, 15, 23, 24 58:2, 14	situations 139:6 six 130:18 skateboarder 96:12 skeleton 16:6 skilled 7:18 skills 65:21 skipping 35:20 skull 23:24 slammed 163:1 slap 90:4 slow 75:7, 12 115:12 slowdown 3:15 71:1, 3, 4, 5, 15 72:6, 21 73:3, 12, 17, 22 74:10, 14, 20 75:1, 16, 22, 24 77:14, 22 79:8 81:19 82:3 83:8, 9 85:5 96:21, 23 97:8 115:4, 11, 15 slowdowns 114:25 166:20 slowed 97:13 small 147:14 smaller 21:10	sought 42:21 114:17 source 25:9 48:12 54:22 85:24 South 104:4 125:5 145:11 146:21 Spanish 158:15 sparse 25:12 speak 7:24 29:16 158:15 159:12 170:17 SPEAKER 6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24 153:10 167:17 speaking 36:5, 8 41:5 101:20 141:21 SPECIAL 1:9 2:13 6:3 7:16, 18 13:15 101:12 124:13 125:4 132:2 142:11 170:18, 19 171:8 specialized 63:7

	-4-4- 11.15 10.22	22 120 6 10	Streets 50.6	70.12
specific 10:12	state 11:15 19:22	22 130:6, 19	Streets 59:6	suggestion 70:13,
20:13 38:4 46:2	23:4 38:19 46:7	131:2, 20, 25	84:14 162:22	16 127:3
48:16 61:12 63:7	57:11 83:3 99:23	132:2, 8, 11	163:1, 10	suggestions 10:18
75:9 89:1 93:6	123:20 142:6	133:11, 14 134:11,	strength 155:5	69:21
116:20	172:1	22, 25 136:14, 15,	strengthen 154:7	suggests 70:5
specifically 24:15	stated 45:25	22 137:17 138:24,	strikes 160:2	suicide 8:22
25:19 31:9 37:8	134:8 141:23	25 139:3, 14	strong 107:23, 24	sum 166:21
43:3 53:9 54:24	144:13 163:7	143:12, 13 145:12,	108:4, 9, 11, 15	summarize 58:21
62:25 67:17	Statement 4:8	20, 21, 25 146:6, 8,	119:22 120:1	summarizes 39:15
75:13 88:16	8:9, 10 14:8	12, 19, 20, 21	123:5 126:22	summary 23:14
92:17 133:3	25:15 39:2	147:5, 6, 7, 15	145:7 147:21	37:24 38:22
135:23	125:14 126:10	153:18, 24 154:1	struck 8:13	summer 115:6
spell 11:15 57:11	127:20, 21, 22	157:2 169:20	structured 36:21	superior 9:7
123:21	128:5, 21, 24	stationed 102:8	student 163:1	78:15 87:24
spelled 57:14	129:20 134:21	119:18 122:23	study 48:22, 23	superiors 115:1, 5
spend 72:11	149:14 152:11	stations 17:20	studying 43:21	118:8
spitting 90:1	statements 31:20	53:25 94:11	subculture 29:2, 5	supervising 138:4
Spoke 30:9 149:8	39:13 55:20	139:14 143:15, 17,	30:8, 23 31:2, 7	supervision
151:15	129: <i>3</i> 170: <i>16</i>	20, 23, 25 144:1	37:14, 17 51:16	126:22, 23 172:12
spoken 9:4	states 35:16	146:2 <i>3</i> 162: <i>1</i>	subcultures 27:24	supervisor 167:9
107:22 148:17	159:19	169:25	47:7	Supervisors 154:6
sponsoring 99:20	stating 165:7	statistics 73:3	subgroup 29:23	157:25 158:22, 25
sporting 107:18	Station 14:24	77:16, 19	subgroups 29:17,	164:9 168:4
Sports 86:25	15:9, 18, 20 16:21	stats 79:15 83:2,	21 32:17 40:17	support 81:20, 25
spot 66:1, 6 69:6	25:14 29:2 30:9,	4	56:17 99:25	82:5, 6 125:10
105:14	23 35:22, 25 36:2	status 91:17	142:19, 21 145:21	130:21 167:6
	37:15 47:8 53:7	statute 29:3	147:1, 12 148:13	
spots 65:18				supported 53:22
103:19	55:21 58:10, 13	49: <i>16</i> , <i>18</i>	163: <i>19</i>	83:7, 12
spouses 9:2 136:7	59:4, 5, 8, 24	staunch 13:21	subject 13:23	supporting 83:15
sprayed 87:12	60:16, 24, 25	stay 60:1 68:17,	14:2, 4 46:1	supports 23:12
spread 117:11	61:14 62:1,5	23 114:9, 12	58:19 134:12	suppose 45:1
squashed 91:22	63: <i>3</i> , <i>8</i> , <i>23</i> 64: <i>14</i> ,	stayed 93:10	141:4	supposed 7:12
ss 172:2	21 65:23 66:3, 15,	Steinberg 5:7	subjected 161:11	sure 8:6 24:15
staff 24:25 25:3	17, 20, 22, 24 67:4,	160:25 161:21	subjects 142:17	26:16 29:1 47:1
89:11 100:14	14, 21, 22, 25 68:2,	162:14, 16	submitted 26:22	49:10 53:20 58:3
112:23 120:6, 7	15, 17 69:9, 13	step 63:18	38:15 164:16	69: <i>1</i> 85: <i>3</i> 101:6
171:2	70:16, 19 73:14,	136:17, 23 167:9	subpoena 44:1, 5,	127:16 146:11
stage 35:15	21 75:14, 15	Stephanie 5:11	9, 22 47:5, 10, 22,	162:10 170:17
stakeholders 83:4,	78:12 80:6, 8	166:14 167:16	23	171:3
5 150:6	81:5 82:22 85:8	168:15	subpoenaed 48:1	surgery 91:25
stand 148:2	89:1 94:11, 13	stepped 135:21	subpoenaing 55:11	92:1 138:8
149:20 167:11, 12	95:9 99:9 100:1	steps 132:8	subpoenas 47:18	surmise 46:10
stand-alone 123:5	102:9 103:3, 5, 7,	Steve 156:18	165:13	surprise 9:16
standard 40:6	102.9 103.3, 3, 7, 10, 16 104:1, 18,	Steward 5:3	subscribed 172:17	41:24 155:24
46: <i>3</i>		159:8, <i>10</i>	subsequent 13:20	
	24 105:5, 7, 9, 11,		-	surprised 34:4
standing 165:1	19 106:14, 19, 20	Stewart 157:9	26: <i>17</i>	surprising 10:9
stands 158:23	107:13, 16 108:5,	Stonich 2:23	subsequently	surrounding
Starks 87:20	9, 12, 15 110:7	14:13, 20 15:8	13:11	117:9, 15
start 30:6 60:6	111:2 112:10, 15,	63:6	subset 61:12	suspect 109:21, 23
74:5 119:3	<i>18</i> 113:7, <i>23</i>	stood 89:7 169:5	substance 14:1, 20	110:3
126:18 129:1	114:23 115:5, 16,	stop 130:24	135:3	suspected 135:22
130:5 137:5	<i>17</i> 116: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>23</i> ,	161:18 165:1,11	substantial 170:25	suspects 37:16
149:17 156:20	24 118:6, 23	stopped 97:15	substantive 8:10	39:19, 21 154:24
160:20	119:2, 4, 6, 7, 9, 21,	110:2	successor 68:6	SWAT 125:3
started 21:11	23 120:4 121:2, 9,	stopping 164:19	sued 160:10	swear 11:12
37:4 51:7 54:15	23 122:8, 23	stories 139:13	165:25 166:4	44:24 57:6 102:2
74:11 126:1	124:15, 16, 17, 21	story 81:4 168:18	suffering 162:3	123:17
148:18	125:1, 5, 17, 18, 23,	streaming 52:25	sufficient 19:25	swearing 131:13
starting 67:23	25 126:16, 18, 21,	street 87:14	suggest 70:4	swearing-in
starts 37:3	23 120.10, 18, 21, 23 127:15 128:1,	158:17	suggested 36:10	131: <i>1</i> 8
starts 31.3	10, 14, 19 129:16,	130.17	suggesten 30.10	swiftly 7:7
	10, 17, 19 129.10,			Swiitty 7.7
L				

ſ

sworn 11:9 27:10	88:25 92:22	testified 32:11	79:25 80:1, 13, 18,	15:10 17:19 27:9
29:13 30:13 31:5	93:16, 23 94:6	33:6, 24 45:16	21 81:8, 12, 18	38:21 44:24
34:3 74:21	109:3 123:3	75:19 122:3	83:14 86:1 88:1,	47:17 49:9 50:8,
125:17 128:16	145:11, 22, 23	128:13 165:8	7 94:16 95:2	18 53:15 56:3
133:11	146:6, 8, 22	testify 9:8, 15	99:7, 18, 22 100:5,	58:20 60:10
system 154:11	149:19 150:24, 25	10:19, 25 11:3	13	61:13, 25 62:5, 9
155:15	149.19 150.24, 25	33:16 36:15	Thatcher's 88:2	63:23 68:1 72:11
				73:16 75:23
systemic 154:11	tattooed 97:18	44:16 45:14	thereof 172:16	
	131:3	101:18 102:13	thin 64:10	76:14 80:2, 20, 25
< T >	tattoos 18:22	142:7 154:3	thing 45:21	82:21 85:8, 17
table 135:25	49:24, 25 50:18,	testifying 49:22	50:19 60:20 78:9	95:23 96:25
tackle 100:17	20 52:3 63:25	90:21	90:3 97:8 98:10	107:19 108:15
tag 76:13	136:22 143:20, 25	testimonies 167:22	100:5 101:2	110:7, 11 114:22
take 6:6 12:22	145:20, 24 146:12,	testimony 14:4	125:16 126:2	115:3 130:10, 19,
14:11 19:13 26:2	13, 24 147:5, 7	29:13, 18 31:5	132:18 148:14	25 131:8 135:5
28:11 34:8 39:11	170:10	32:7 34:4, 7 41:3	151:25 166:22	145: <i>13</i> 146: <i>1</i>
41:25 49:12	taxpayer 158:19	44:3 47:2 48:8	168:9	149:24 151:8
57:25 64:2, 18	taxpayers 159:5	61:10 86:12 90:6,	things 8:14 15:20	153:3, 4, 9 158:9,
68:8 71:10, 21	Taylor 81:6 95:24	15 92:10 93:15	23:3 41:17 45:8	12 164:14 166:2,
73:2, 24 76:1	teach 60:19	95:16 101:8	48:19 107:18, 21	3 167:1, 19 168:5
78:23 79:23	team 7:18 118:3	121:20 122:21	132:15 137:23	170:17
82:17 83:10, 17	124:19, 22, 23	123:11 128:3, 16	145:10 150:7	times 43:18 50:2
84:17 85:19	tech 170:25	142:20 143:10, 14	151:8 156:14	71:11 81:3 97:4
91:22, 24 101:13	technical 122:22	160:8 168:21	163:6, 8 165:11	128:6 135:21
121:8 127:1	technology 125:10	testing 105:14	think 6:6 26:21	137:13 139:19
	teeth 152:6	text 76:6, 10, 25	28:15 29:11 31:1	
136:17, 23 147:20				141:18 156:12, 24
148:5 150:18	tell 11:12 24:12	172:11	32:18 38:12, 17	165:23, 25 167:2
152:21 156:19	35:13 44:25 45:4	texted 76:15, 16	45:6 47:19 56:13,	tired 159:19
159:21 160:21	48:13, 19 54:15	85:25	14 90:4 91:1	title 134:20
164:3, 8 167:9, 21	57:3, 6 68:13	Texts 3:15 4:3	97:23, 24 98:4, 12	TMZ 92:17
169:21, 22 170:5	72:20 76:10	77:6 85:22	100:24 101:1, 2,	Today 7:15, 25
taken 9:21 50:3	89:21 97:9, 14	Thank 6:7, 25	12 116:20 122:2	8:3 9:5, 15, 17
53:5, 11 80:13	99:4 100:20	21:20 31:11, 19	126:3 142:8	10:13, 21 17:7
99:7 100:8, 10	102:2, 17 106:9	32:5 33:5 42:19	144:7, 10 147:23	43:12 47:12 57:3
101:15 110:13	123:17 139:20	47:24 48:5, 24	148: <i>14</i> 150:8	90:15 91:16
155:19 156:7	146:18 164:23	49:14, 21 52:23	152:12, 24 155:1	128: <i>13</i> 142:7
163:8, 10	165:7 168:22	53:1 54:6 55:6,	162:18 167:23	150:22 151:8
talk 45:22 119:4	169:7	15 56:4 57:1,9	168:9 170:22	159:12 160:7
137:9, 11 156:4	telling 35:25	70:22 90:6, 8, 13	third 35:5, 12	161:23 162:9
159:4 169:4	70:24 82:15	91:13, 15 92:9	77:5 163:1	166:16 167:21
talked 53:13 65:8	85:16 129:1	94:24 95:15, 16,	Thirty-four 136:6	169:2
143:11	148:1 159:22	18 96:17, 19	Thirty-three 124:3	today's 10:25
talking 14:24	template 50:4	101:7, 10 120:16	thought 45:22	told 10:25 29:14
17:4 31:3 50:16	temporizing	121:17, 20, 25	69:25 76:8 83:10	36:2 50:1 54:23
99:21 130:8	147:10	122:19, 21 123:7,	87:15	69:12 70:15, 21,
167:3	Ten 121:14, 15, 16	<i>11</i> 125: <i>12</i> 143:9	threat 162:6	22 73:20 75:11,
Tamera 156:9	tends 50:15	145:18 150:16	threaten 42:10	13 79:8 88:4
157:8 158:12	tenure 118:19	151:11, 13 152:9,	46:17	91:22 106:12
target 170:9	term 8:23 13:25	131.11, 15 152.9, 14 154:5, 12, 13	threatening 38:7	109:22, 24 111:6
targets 40:3	18:15 23:9 43:6	155:6, 7, 8 156:10	Threats 141:6	109.22, 24 111.0
- U			three 21:12 35:6	
Task 59:10 69:25	61:4, 7, 9 66:14,	158:7, 8, 13 159:6, 7, 12, 160:22		129:3 130:22
124:14 149:23	17 102:21 111:3	7, 12 160:23	45:20 80:6 95:13	152:10 156:18, 22
tasked 9:6	116:6 120:19	162:12, 13 164:15	153:8 160:2	157:17 164:22
Tattoo 3:11, 12	terminated 20:11	167:6, 13 168:2,	162:21 163:24	165:5, <i>17</i>
13:2 16:4, 7	99:12 144:2, 8	14 169:5, 16	165:14	tolerate 98:19
23:22 50:4, 5, 8, 9,	145:5	170:14, 16, 24	threw 83:6	99:24 100:24
13, 14, 24 51:1, 6,	termination 20:9	171:1, 5, 10, 11	159:14	tolerates 89:19
7, 21 52:7, 8, 14,	terms 99:8	Thanks 78: <i>3</i>	throwing 87:16	tone 155:22
20 60:12, 14, 21	terror 154:3	150:21	thumbs 136:3	top 13:14 62:6
64:4 65:1, 2, 5	test 85:2 106:6,	Thatcher 3:18, 20	time 7:19 8:10	63:18 72:2 77:7
70:17 81:5 82:10	12	4:4 60:9 78:25	10:11 13:10, 17	
	1	1	'	1

ſ

91:9 145:16	true 81:22	unannounced	Unit 59:6, 16	155:11
topic 129:24	127:22 152:10	141:7	66: <i>3</i> 76: <i>1</i> 8 91:2 <i>1</i> ,	venue 170:25
topped 23:20	165: <i>3</i> , <i>21</i>	unavailable 9:17	24 124:13	Vera 2:19 69:19
top-tier 10:7	trust 136:18, 19	unbecoming 139:1	universally 52:9	123:12, 19, 22, 25
TOs 105:9 147:16	137:5	unchecked 98:6	unlawful 89: <i>1</i>	124:3, 7, 10
total 100:2	truth 11:12, 13	uncovered 78:9	Unofficially 80:12	126:11, 14 127:2,
147:18	38:20 44:25 45:4	undercover 114:1	unprofessional	6, 8, 23, 25 128:20,
Towers 59:18	57:6, 7 97:14	underground	137:24 139:1	25 129:3, 19, 25
town 66:7 86:17	102:2, 3 123:17,	144:24	unprofessionalism	130:3 131:4, 7, 11,
tracked 56:3	18 128:23 159:12	underlay 126:9	17:2	14 132:9, 13, 25
traffic 66:2, 4	truthful 121:23, 24	undermining	unprovoked 32:9	133:3, 7, 18, 22
train 126:21	try 54:1 126:15	83:15	unresisted 32:9	134:6, 16 135:4, 7,
149:17	157:11 164:4	Undersheriff 2:23	unsettling 137:24	11, 13, 16 136:5,
training 15:12	170:5	3:9 14:20 15:8	untold 162:3	10, 12, 15 137:10,
63:10, 11, 12, 16,	trying 51:14	41:19, 22 43:18	untrue 165:2	10, 12, 13, 137, 10, 14, 138:1, 9, 12, 20,
17, 18, 21 65:20	86:19 88:25 97:8,	58:17 68:20	unusual 52:10	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
105:9, 10, 15	12 132:13 136:23	89:13 128:23	136:11 139:13, 19	140:3, 6, 8, 10, 14,
106:12, 13 112:23,	160: <i>1</i> 164: <i>1</i> , 6	129:2, 3, 8, 17	upper 130:21	18, 20, 23, 25
24 124:17 126:20	turn 7:21 15:23	152:7	urge 167:8	141:2, 6, 11, 14, 16
138:25 147:16	21:2 67:20 86:15	understand 20:20,	urged 7:7	143:9, 18 146:1, 9,
148:18	111:7 112:24	23 29:5 30:14	urgent 86:2	11 147:23, 25
transcribed 172:10	113:3	36:7 41:2 47:6	use 15:17 16:4	148:4, 16 149:6, 8
transcript 172:12	turned 125:24	51:15 57:24	18:14 43:5 52:24	150:16, 21 151:2,
transfer 35:25	turning 111:18	62:23 69:1, 11	93:13 107:20	5, 16, 21 152:10
60:5 119:15	113:14	70:2 76:17 78:4,	109:23, 25 111:3	156: <i>11</i>
129:9 130:16	turns 35:23 113:1	6 81:14 83:2	137:12	V-e-r-a 123:23
transferred 53:7,	Twenty-eight	86:5, 6, 12 87:22	uses 93:2	verbally 21:10
9, 21 92:3 118:17,	94:10	89:4 96:2, 7 99:5	usual 84:4	verify 83:23
24 119:2, 3	Twenty-one 73:11	100:13, 14 107:24	usually 54:17	version 26:6
125:20 126:8	Twenty-three 34:9	108:20 116:10	93:9 105:8	versus 24:2 51:2
127:4, 7, 9, 21	Twice 151: <i>3</i>	133:25 134:5	107:17 112:22	128:4
128: <i>9</i> , <i>18</i> 129: <i>14</i> ,	Twin 59:18	139:22 140:16	123:5	veteran 89:16
21 139:9	Two 14:14, 25	understanding		victim 26:9 46:11
transferring	33:9 53:12 57:3	20:13 32:10 35:7	< V >	111:6
116:18 126:18	60:23 64:8 70:7	39:18, 25 64:5, 15	vacuum 130:19	victims 32:8, 9
130:5	84:22 86:22	90:18 92:15	Valerie 5:10	53:20 55:22
transfers 53:14,	91:23 109:22, 24	143:21	166: <i>13</i> 167: <i>16</i>	155:1 160:9
17 55:21 127:11,	110: <i>1</i> 114: <i>19</i>	understood 31:6	Valle 103:11	video 92:18
<i>19</i> 128: <i>13</i> 129:6	149:16 152:16	62:20, 22 75:16	104:10, 20, 24	165:24, 25
135:9 151:18	153:22 159: <i>13</i>	82:4 88:23 95:10	Valley 162:8, 17,	view 45:6 98:22
transition 27:8	162:5 163:24	102:22 138:2	19	132:10 147:10
transport 109:22,	166:5, 11 167:19	undertaken 28:6	valuable 63:22	Vikings 43:9
24	168:19	undesirable 66:12	values 89:23	Villanueva 4:9, 15
treated 132:21	two-man 104:3	104:8	Vargas 5:10	10:25 11:3 27:4,
treatment 138:18	two-plus 124:25	unethical 15:14	161:5, 7 166:13	9,13 28:21 30:19
trench 16:7	two-to-four-minute	unfairly 115:10	167:16, 18 168:17	41:19, 21 80:5, 9,
trend 74:7	87:17	unfortunate 143:6	various 135:19	18 81:1 84:15
trending 79:17	type 37:22 77:19	158:20	143:25	88:6 89:14 93:7
trends 59:15	91:2 97:5 98:9	Unfortunately 7:9	Vasquez 153:11	99:13 100:14
72:16	145:6	49:7 140:3 143:3	154:14, 15	107:10, 22 125:13
Trent 8:4	types 167:15	154:18	Vazquez 4:22, 23	128:22 129:24
tribe/absolution	typically 97:25	unheard 52:6	154:16 155:10, 12	133:4 134:18, 23
98:8	-JP	UNIDENTIFIED	Vedder 8:3, 4	135:8 142:7, 12
trickle 70:20	<u></u>	6:7, 10, 12, 14, 16,	Vega 96:4	143:3, 5 153:23
tried 40:10 99:13	uh-hmm 151:25	18, 20, 22, 23, 24	vehicle 64:16	154:9 157:13
triggered 48:23	Uh-huh 94:23	85:24	87:7, 10, 14, 16	158:1, 23 159:2
troubling 8:23	151:25	uniform 69:13	Venters 4:24	161:12 162:4
137:22 139:6	Ultimately 45:15	uninvolved 87:20		
	•		156:8, 10 157:5	169:1, 11, 13, 23
truck 94:5	62:15 85:12 87:8	unions 149:13	164:13 166:7, 10	170:7 Villemuevola
	138:4	unique 151:23	Ventures 154:15	Villanueva's
	unanimously 7:13			118:19

٢

Vincent 22.25	17 10 70.0 12 10	website 40.5 52.5	wife 122.2 125.6	
Vincent 22:25 35:14 56:2	17, 19 78:8, 13, 18,	website 49:5 53:5	wife 133: <i>3</i> 135:6, 23 140:16, 18	women 62:25 109:5
violate 40:20	22 79:2, 6, 21 80:4, 12, 19, 23	171:9	25 140:10, 18 141:4	
violates 18:23		week 82:2 154:5 weeks 69:20	wife's 134:13	wonder 120:18 wondering 31:22
40:23	81:2, 10, 15, 23 82:1, 4, 7, 20 83:1,	72:22 80:6, 13	William 14:13	47:4 55:8 86:2
violating 83:16	14, 22 84:3, 6, 10,	Welcome 6:2	Williams 58:7	97:21
violation 20:1, 4,	13, 16, 19 85:1, 7,	welcomed 106:2	willing 169:6	word 18:14
8 23:4 40:17	11, 15, 21, 24 86:6,	welconica 100.2 welfare 58:25	willingness 88:2	114:2, 6
violations 109:19	14, 24 87:4, 6	well 9:5, 24	Wilonsky 29:3	words 135:1
166:19	88:1, 4, 8, 11, 15,	19:12 21:15, 23	win 27:8	145:23
Violence 16:24	23 89:5, 9, 12, 15,	23:19 41:24	wind 53:25	work 3:15 11:19
17:3, 6, 9 67:17	21 90:14, 22 91:7,	42:15 46:24 47:6	wipe 160:1	16:17 17:24 18:3,
91:10 167:11, 15	18 92:14 93:4, 17,	51:14 58:20 60:1	wish 9:11 75:6	11 35:18, 23
violent 66:20	21 94:7, 10, 14, 19,	63:4, 8, 22 64:7,	120:10	60:18 66:4, 25
visits 141:7	21, 23 95:4, 7, 10,	11 66:19 70:21	wished 43:2	68:25 71: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> , <i>4</i> , <i>5</i> ,
Vivian 133:4	13, 18, 22 96:6, 15	71:7, 12 73:7	withdrew 9:15	8 73:17, 22 74:10,
134:18	97: <i>1</i> , <i>23</i> 98:8	76:8 77:12 82:7,	withheld 39:6	14, 20 75:7, 16
voice 90:16	99:3, 4 100:20, 23	21 83:1 84:7, 22	Witness 2:18 9:5,	77:14, 21 79:8
101:19, 20 155:18	101:9, 10 116:5	85:1 87:6 88:15	12, 14, 16, 17	81:19 83:8 90:4
voiced 7:6	156:11	89:21 95:22	10:13, 14 11:6	94:1 96:21, 23
voluntarily 11:4	W-a-l-d-i-e 57:14	97:23 98:14 99:4	32:3 46:20 47:19	97:8 102:18
92:3 119:10, 11,	walking 113:2	115:14 119:3	56:23, 25 90:7	103:19 104:3, 4
12, 13 127:12, 15,	walks 113:1	125:11 129:9	101:17, 20, 21, 23	109:14 113:23
18	want 8:1 13:24	130:8 147:13	102:1, 4, 7, 9, 12,	114:25 115:4, 11
voluntary 127:18 129:9 130:13	31:9 35:11 64:7 66:4 70:20 78:1	148:25 160:15	15, 19, 23 103:1, 4,	116:25 124: <i>13</i> 139:8 146:23
129:9 150:15	90:13 92:3 95:16	well-known 48:14 well-liked 122:10	8, 11, 13, 15, 18, 23	166:19 168:6
volunteered 8:17	97:11, 25 98:19,	went 59:2 73:15	104:2, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 21, 25 105:3, 5,	Worked 29:2
148:5	23 99:25 107:21	99:10, 12 124:13	8, 12, 14, 17, 20, 23	58:24 88:17
voted 7:13	136:13, 22 142:6	125:17 171:3	106:8, 11, 21, 25	124:11, 12, 15, 18,
voting 167:6	143:5 146:3, 5	We're 6:5 11:5	107:3, 6, 8, 11, 14,	21, 23, 24 146:19,
	149:25 151:13	17:4 31:2 50:16	17 108:1, 3, 5, 10,	20, 21
< W >	156:10 158:9	52:25 72:6 81:17	13, 16, 22 109:2, 4,	working 59:5, 7
wagon 156:25	168:5 169:21	89:24 97:24	7, 10, 12, 16, 20	60:24 65:17
wait 79:9 111:7	170:16, 24 171:1	101:13 136:18	110:8, 12, 16, 20,	89:19 139:17
Waited 167:19	wanted 7:1 27:24	144:4 148:19	<i>23</i> 111: <i>1</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>17</i> ,	163:15
waiting 55:3	29:1 50:18 54:1,	149:10 150:14	20, 24 112:2, 5, 12,	workplace 23:4
Wald 156:10	23 60:4 68:23	168:17 169:8, 10	16, 19, 22 113:5, 8,	139:10
Waldie 2:17 3:17,	101:2 133:10, 12,	Wertheimer 8:3	11, 16, 19, 22	works 50:2 93:21
19, 21 4:3 56:25	14 134:21 165:5,	We've 8:13, 19, 23	114:6, 9, 12, 18, 22	worksheet 34:16
57:8, 13, 16, 20, 23	6 168:2 169:4	19:5 30:11 38:8 43:20 79:3 92:11,	115:2, 6, 9, 13, 17,	worry 86:20
58:2, 7, 12, 14, 15, 16, 23 59:22 60:1,	wants 66:4 170:12	43.20 79.3 92.11, 24, 25 100:8	25 116:4, 7, 11, 19, 22 117:4, 7, 10, 17,	worse 170:8 would've 39:21
9, 13, 15, 23 61:2,	waste 168:5	126:6 128:21	20, 24 118:2, 7, 11,	wound ve 39.21 wound 50:25
6, 9, 15, 17, 20, 22	waste 100.5 watch 15:12 61:2	143:13 158:14	13, 15, 20, 23	wringing 151:24
62:3, 6, 10, 15, 19,	92:4, 7 118:3	166:23	119:2, 5, 8, 11, 13,	writes 77:25
22 63:4, 14, 17, 24	125:1	what's-his-name	17, 22, 25 120:5, 8,	writing 14:21, 22
64:1, 5, 10, 13, 17,	wave 74:16	157:5	11, 15, 18, 23	112:25
22, 24 65:2, 7, 13,	way 14:5 15:1	WHEREOF	121:3, 6, 10, 14, 16,	written 9:5 34:14
16, 24 66:2, 10, 13,	25:14 36:21 38:9	172:17	24 122:5, 8, 13, 15,	63:6 77:8
16, 19, 23 67:1, 5,	51:19 63:11 71:7	whistleblowers	18, 24 123:4, 9, 12	wrongful 154:19
9, 15, 19, 23 68:4,	99:19 101:7, 25	168:12	142:3 143:7	wrote 54:22
7, 9, 12, 16 69:6,	116:24 126:23	wholeheartedly	164:24 172:17	
12, 17, 70:3, 5, 11,	132:23 137:8	43:24 57:8	witnessed 111:12 Witnesses 2:15	$\langle Y \rangle$
15, 24 71:4, 7, 16, 20, 25 72:5, 10, 13,	168:11 172:15 ways 14:5 50:2	who've 52:2 146: <i>13</i>	Witnesses 2:15 8:13 30:7 32:7	Yale 12:3 y'all 159:16, 17,
19, 22, 25, 72.5, 10, 13, 19, 22, 25, 73.7, 10, 13, 19, 22, 25, 73.7, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10	112:20 122:8	wide 134:3	33:6, 7, 11, 15	y an 139:10, 17, 22, 23, 25 165:24
19, 22, 25 73.7, 10, 15, 19, 74:3, 8, 17,	weapon 159:14	widely 108:12	36:15 37:21	167:18
23 75:2, 4, 9, 11,	weapons 165:22	widespread 61:23	39:23, 24 57:3	yards 159:14
21, 25 76:4, 6, 12,	wear 69:12	wields 61:11	woman 18:4, 6, 8	Yeah 31:18 91:4
19, 21 77:1, 4, 10,	158:18 159:4		19:24	95:1 100:22
	1	1	1	1

108:1 141:2	
146.9 149.7	
108: <i>1</i> 141:2 146:9 149:7 166:2 168: <i>16</i>	
166:2 168:16	
year 38:10 59:18	
63:18 72:15	
79:17 115:16	
121:22 125:6	
140:23, 25 141:1	
168: <i>1</i>	
year-and-a-half	
70:7 121:10, 13	
years 13:18, 19	
37:4 50:17 51:20	
56:20 57:23	
56:20 57:23 58:18 60:24 70:7	
72:14 95:13	
12:14 95:13	
100:7 102:11	
104:22 124:3, 6, 9,	
25 125:4 136:4,6	
143:21 144:23	
150:23 159:11, 23	
160:21 163:7, 15	
167:20, 24, 25	
169:3, 18	
year's 79:15	
yield 158:12	
younger 37:20	
youth 157:23	
youth 157.25	
< Z >	
< Z > Zero 119:5	