

**THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY GOVERNMENT REFORM TASK FORCE
WEDNESDAY, JULY 22ND, 2026**

>> SPEAKER 0: MEETING LIVE. RIGHT. RIGHT. CALLING TO ORDER OUR WEDNESDAY JULY 22, 2026 MEETING AT 5:08 P.M. THIS IS THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE GOVERNMENT REFORM TASK FORCE. FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC JOINING VIRTUALLY, REAL-TIME INTERPRETATION IS AVAILABLE THROUGH THE WORDLY APP, WHICH OFFERS TRANSLATION IN MORE THAN 50 LANGUAGES. A LINK TO ACCESS THE SERVICE WILL BE PROVIDED IN THE WEBEX CHAT. FOR THOSE ATTENDING IN PERSON, THE SAME SERVICE CAN BE ACCESSED BY SCANNING THE QR CODE LOCATED ON THE SIGN-IN TABLE. THE GOVERNMENT REFORM TASK FORCE WAS ESTABLISHED TO OVERSEE THE IMPLEMENTATION OF MEASURE G, A CHARTER AMENDMENT APPROVED BY LOS ANGELES COUNTY VOTERS IN NOVEMBER 2024. MEASURE G INTRODUCES SIGNIFICANT REFORMS DESIGNED TO IMPROVE TRANSPARENCY, ACCOUNTABILITY, AND REPRESENTATION WITHIN THE COUNTY'S GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE. THE GRTF'S PRIMARY ROLE IS TO GUIDE AND SUPPORT THE TRANSITION TO THIS NEW FRAMEWORK, ENSURING THAT THE GOALS OF THE AMENDMENT ARE ACHIEVED. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION IN THESE MEETINGS IS AN ESSENTIAL PART OF THIS PROCESS. WE WELCOME YOUR COMMENTS IN PERSON, ONLINE OR IN WRITING. THERE WILL BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU TO PROVIDE

PUBLIC COMMENT ON EACH AGENDA ITEM TODAY AND AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING DURING GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. THIS MEETING IS CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RALPH M. BROWN ACT AND IS GOVERNED BY THE PROCEDURES OUTLINED IN ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDER. FOR EACH ITEM ON THE AGENDA, I WILL INTRODUCE THE ITEM AND PRESENTATIONS WILL BE HEARD. THE TASK FORCE MEMBERS WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THE ITEM AND THEN PUBLIC COMMENT WILL BE TAKEN. YOU WILL HAVE 1 MINUTE TO SPEAK ON EACH ITEM. IF YOU ARE PRESENT IN PERSON AND WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE COMPLETE THE SPEAKING CARD, INDICATE WHICH ITEM NUMBER YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON, AND WE WILL CALL ON YOU AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE JOINING US ONLINE OR OVER THE PHONE, YOU MAY USE THE RAISE YOUR HAND FEATURE ON YOUR COMPUTER OR PRESS STAR 3 ON YOUR PHONE. WE WILL CALL ON YOU BY NAME IN THE ORDER RECEIVED OR BY THE 1ST 3 DIGITS OF YOUR PHONE NUMBER AS IT APPEARS ON THE SCREEN. STAFF WILL UNMUTE YOU AND YOU WILL BE ABLE TO SPEAK OR FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS YOU HEAR. ON THAT NOTE LET'S GO TO ROLL CALL.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME PLEASE RESPOND WITH A PRESENT OR HERE. MEMBER FASANA?

>> SPEAKER 2: HERE.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER GREEN?

>> SPEAKER 3: HERE.

>> SPEAKER 4: MEMBER SCHER?

>> SPEAKER 2: HERE

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER MOCKRIDGE

>> SPEAKER 5: HERE

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER GRAY MEMBER FELPS HERE MEMBER RODARTE

>> SPEAKER 5: HERE

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER TABATABAI PRESENT MEMBER TABATA BY
PRESENT

>> SPEAKER 4: SHERPA TERMS ON

>> SPEAKER 1: THE MONEY HERE GAP

>> SPEAKER 0: HERE

>> SPEAKER 1: WE HAVE 10 MEMBERS OF THE 13 SEATS 3 OF THEM
VIRTUAL CHAIR YEAH WE DO HAVE A QUORUM

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT THANK YOU SO MUCH EVERYONE AND FOR THOSE
OF YOU THAT ARE VIRTUAL, STATE YOUR REASON FOR BEING VIRTUAL
AND CONFIRM THAT THERE'S ONLY NO 1 OVER THE AGE OF 18 IN THE
ROOM. LET'S START WITH CHAIR PRO TEM SIDWANI.

>> SPEAKER 6: YES, I'M VIRTUAL DUE TO CHILD CARE AND THERE IS
NO 1 OVER THE AGE OF 18.

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT, THANK YOU SO MUCH. MEMBER GRAY?

>> SPEAKER 7: GOOD EVENING, THERE IS NO 1 OVER THE AGE OF 18 I
AM NOT THERE BECAUSE I AM ATTENDING OTHER LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS
OUTSIDE OF THE COUNTY

>> SPEAKER 0: OKAY, THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> SPEAKER 7: OPTION 4.

>> SPEAKER 0: OPTION 4. OKAY. MEMBER TAVA TABAYI.

>> SPEAKER 8: CHILD CARE AND NO 1 ABOVE THE AGE OF 18 IS IN
THIS VERY HOT ROOM. THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: ALL RIGHT, GREAT. THANK YOU EVERYONE. NOW WE'RE
GONNA, THANK YOU MEMBER PHELPS. I'M GONNA HAND IT OVER TO YOU.

>> SPEAKER 9: THANK YOU, CHAIR YAP. I HAVE AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
AND THEN I HAVE ANOTHER ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AFTER THAT AS A
FOLLOW-UP. THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES RECOGNIZES THAT WE OCCUPY
LAND ORIGINALLY AND STILL INHABITED AND CARED FOR BY THE
TONGVA, TATAVIAN, SORINO, KEATS, AND CHUMASH PEOPLES. WE HONOR
AND PAY RESPECT TO THEIR ELDERS AND DESCENDANTS, PAST,
PRESENT, AND EMERGING, AS THEY CONTINUE THEIR STEWARDSHIP OF
THESE LANDS AND WATERS. WE ACKNOWLEDGE THAT SETTLER
COLONIZATION RESULTED IN LAND SEIZURE, DISEASE, SUBJUGATION,

SLAVERY, RELOCATION, BROKEN PROMISES, GENOCIDE, AND
MULTI-GENERATIONAL TRAUMA. THIS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT DEMONSTRATES
OUR RESPONSIBILITY AND TO TRUTH, HEALING AND RECONCILIATION
AND TO ELEVATING THE STORIES, CULTURE AND IMMUNITY OF THE
ORIGINAL INHABITANTS OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WE ARE GRATEFUL TO
HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO LIVE AND WORK ON THESE ANCESTRAL
LANDS. WE ARE DEDICATED TO GROWING AND SUSTAINING
RELATIONSHIPS WITH NATIVE PEOPLES AND LOCAL TRIBAL
GOVERNMENTS, INCLUDING, IN PARTICULAR ORDER, GABRIELINO TONGVA
INDIANS OF CALIFORNIA TRIBAL COUNCIL, GABRIELINO TONGVA SAN
GABRIEL BAND OF MISSION INDIANS, GABRIELINO BAND OF MISSION
INDIANS KEATS NATION, YUHAB VIATAM OF SAN MANUEL NATION, SAN
FERNANDO BAND OF MISSION INDIANS, COASTAL BAND OF CHUMASH
NATION, GABRIELINO TONGVA NATION, GABRIELINO TONGVA TRIBE. AND
THEN FINALLY, I WANT TO MAKE A SPECIAL ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO THE
FERNANDESÑO TATAVIAN BAND OF MISSION INDIANS. THEY ARE THE
LOCAL TRIBE HERE IN THEIR HEAD, THEIR MAIN OFFICE HERE IN THE
CITY OF SAN FERNANDO. THEY ARE A STATE RECOGNIZED TRIBE, BUT
THEY HAVE BEEN FOR MANY YEARS APPLYING FOR FEDERAL
RECOGNITION. AND ABOUT 2 WEEKS AGO, THEY PROCEEDED FORWARD
INTO THE 2ND STAGE OF FEDERAL RECOGNITION. AND SO I JUST
WANTED TO CONGRATULATE THE FERNANDES TATAVI AND BANDA MISSION

INDIANS FOR REACHING THAT NEXT STEP IN THE FEDERAL RECOGNITION PROCESS.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU, AND THANK YOU FOR THAT UPDATE ON THE TRIBES. I'M GOING TO GO TO OPENING REMARKS FROM LOCAL ELECTED OFFICIALS AND OUR COMMUNITY LEADERS AND INVITE ANYBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO DOES REPRESENT THIS AREA TO SPEAK IF THEY'RE HERE.

>> SPEAKER 7: ALL

>> SPEAKER 0: RIGHT, THANK YOU SO MUCH. WE'LL GO STRAIGHT OVER TO APPROVAL OF MINUTES THEN. SO LOOKING TO APPROVE THE JULY 8, 2026 MEETING MINUTES.

>> SPEAKER 3: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE A POINT OF ORDER BEFORE WE GET INTO THE MINUTES. SURE. I'D LIKE TO MOVE TO MOVE ITEM 7 TO IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING ITEM 4 THIS IS THE ITEM THAT'S BEEN PUSHED FOR ABOUT 3 OR 4 MEETINGS AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE TIME TO ADEQUATELY DISCUSS THAT ITEM TONIGHT SURE,

>> SPEAKER 0: I THINK THE ONLY THING FOR ME WOULD BE THAT WE

ACTUALLY HAVE ASKED ALL OF THE SUBCOMMITTEES TO UPDATE THEIR OBJECTIVES AND THEIR PROJECTED SUNSET TIME IN THEIR REPORT OUTS. AND SO WE WERE JUST TRYING TO DO THAT. AND THEN OF NOTE, I BELIEVE THAT ITEM 5 WILL BE CONTINUED BECAUSE OF THE UNFORTUNATELY THAT SOMEONE WASN'T ABLE TO COME TO PRESENT FOR EMERGENCY REASONS. WE ARE GOING TO STILL HOLD IT, BUT CURIOUS IF YOU WOULD LIKE BECAUSE ALL OF THE SUBCOMMITTEES WILL BE REPORTING OUT. IT'LL MAKE IT SO THAT IT'LL INFORM THAT CONVERSATION.

>> SPEAKER 3: I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE THE DISCUSSION TONIGHT THAT WE DON'T GET TO A POINT IN THE MEETING WHERE WE HAVE TO TABLE IT AGAIN, BECAUSE IT'S BEEN ABOUT 3 OR 4 MEETINGS. AND I THINK IT'S PERTINENT TO THE REPORT OUTS OF THE AD HOCS AS WELL.

>> SPEAKER 0: SURE. WOULD IT BE THEN? BECAUSE I THINK AS A GROUP, WE CAN AGREE THAT WE'LL HEAR IT.

>> SPEAKER 3: AS LONG AS WE AGREE COLLECTIVELY TO NOT ADJOURN UNTIL WE'VE HAD THE TIME TO DISCUSS THAT DISCUSS THAT ITEM ADEQUATELY.

>> SPEAKER 0: YEAH I THINK I FEEL THAT ANY OBJECTIONS TO THAT? OKAY GREAT BUT THANK YOU FOR BRINGING IT UP. I THINK WE WANTED TO MAKE SURE WE WERE BOTH INFORMED AND ABLE TO HAVE IT. ALL RIGHT. LET'S GO TO APPROVAL OF MINUTES. IS THERE ANY MOTION FOR OR ANY COMMENTS, QUESTIONS OR MOTIONS? MOTION TO APPROVE. 2ND. ALL RIGHT WE HAVE A MOTION AND A 2ND. ANY REVISIONS QUESTIONS? WITH NO OBJECTIONS I'M JUST GONNA GO AHEAD AND APPROVE. OH BECAUSE IT'S VIRTUAL.

>> SPEAKER 7: ALL

>> SPEAKER 0: RIGHT LET'S GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 2

>> SPEAKER 10: IN PERSON ATTENDEES WANTING TO SPEAK UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AGENDA ITEM IS THIS GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT. PLEASE COMPLETE THE SPEAKER FORM AND RETURN IT TO STAFF. FOR ATTENDEES WANTING TO SPEAK UNDER GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE USE YOUR RAISE HAND FEATURE ON YOUR COMPUTER OR PRESS STAR 3 ON YOUR PHONE. VIRTUAL SPEAKERS WILL BE CALLED BY NAME OR THE 6 PREFIX NUMBER OR THE NUMBER OF YOUR PHONE. STAFF WILL

UNMUTE

>> SPEAKER 0: YOU. JUST TO CLARIFY, IT'S PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE APPROVAL OF MINUTES, RIGHT? IS THAT RIGHT?

>> SPEAKER 7: WE'RE NOT

>> SPEAKER 10: AT GENERAL PUBLIC. OKAY, SO THAT'S WHY I WAS ASKING. YEAH, I'M SO SORRY. OKAY. NO, IT'S OKAY. OKAY, SO I'M GONNA GO BACK. OKAY. SO JUST TO CONTINUE, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE MINUTES. VIRTUALSPEAKERS WILL BE CALLED BY THE NAME OR THE 6 PREFIX NUMBER OF YOUR PHONE. STAFF WILL MEET YOU AND YOU MAY NEED TO PRESS STAR 6 TO SPEAK OR FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE SERVICE PROVIDER ONCE YOU HAVE SPOKEN. PLEASE LOWER YOUR HAND FROM YOUR COMPUTER OR PRESS STAR 3 FROM YOUR PHONE. AS A REMINDER YOU'LL HAVE,

>> SPEAKER 0: IS IT 2 MINUTES? 1 MINUTE

>> SPEAKER 10: TO ADDRESS THE MEMBERS. SO AT THIS TIME WE HAVE NO IN PERSON OR VIRTUALSPEAKERS CHAIR THE FLOOR IS YOURS

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT AND I BELIEVE WE HAVE TO GO TO ROLL CALL VOTE BECAUSE WE HAVE A FEW VIRTUAL ATTENDEES.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE, WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME, PLEASE STATE YOUR VOTE. MEMBER FASANA. YES. MEMBER GREEN. YES. MEMBER SCHER. YES. MEMBER MOCKRIDGE.

>> SPEAKER 11: YES. MEMBER GRAY. YES.

>> SPEAKER 3: MEMBER FELTZ.

>> SPEAKER 1: YES. MEMBER RODARTE. AYE. MEMBER TABATABAYI. AYE.

>> SPEAKER 4: CHAIR PRO TEMSAT-1. YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: CHAIR GAP.

>> SPEAKER 0: YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: WITH 10 AYES AND NO NOS, THE MOTION CARRIES.

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH. LET'S GO TO ITEM NUMBER 3. NOW. WE'RE DOING GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT, WHICH WILL BE 2 MINUTES EACH

>> SPEAKER 10: GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT YES, GENERAL IMPRESSANT ATTENDEES WANTING TO SPEAK UNDER GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE COMPLETE THE SPEAKER FORM AND RETURN IT TO STAFF. VIRTUAL SPEAKERS WANTING TO SPEAK UNDER GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE USE THE RAISE 0.5 FEATURE ON YOUR COMPUTER OR PRESS STAR 3 ON YOUR PHONE. VIRTUALSPEAKERS WILL BE CALLED BY NAME OR THE 6 PREFIX NUMBER OF YOUR PHONE. STAFF WILL UNMUTE YOU AND YOU MAY NEED TO PRESS STAR 6 TO SPEAK OR FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE SERVICE PROVIDER. ONCE YOU HAVE SPOKEN, PLEASE LOWER YOUR HAND FROM YOUR COMPUTER PRESS STAR 3. AND AT THIS TIME WE HAVE NO IN-PERSON OR VIRTUAL SPEAKERS SO CHAIR THE FLOOR SHORT.

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT. I'M GONNA GO TO ITEM NUMBER 4 WHICH IS PRESENTATION, DISCUSSION, AND POTENTIAL ACTION OVER THE OVERVIEW OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE. BEFORE WE START THIS, I THINK WE'RE GOING TO TRY, AND I THINK YOU ALL SEE THE AGENDA ITEM WITH THE QR CODE HERE. WE TOOK

SOME OF YOUR FEEDBACK AROUND QR CODES AND WE'RE JUST GOING TO TRY SOMETHING NEW UNTIL WE HAVE A GOOD CADENCE. JUST OF NOTE, THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE CONVERSATION, WHICH IS THIS PART OF THE PRESENTATION IS PART OF, WILL CONTINUE THROUGH MULTIPLE LONGER MEETINGS. AND SO WHAT WE'RE GONNA DO IS WE'RE GONNA TIME OUT AFTER THIS PRESENTATION, EACH MEMBER WILL HAVE ABOUT 3 MINUTES TO ASK QUESTIONS AND OR FRAME QUESTIONS YOU WANNA ASK AND SEND THROUGH THE QR CODE. SO THAT GIVES EVERYBODY 3 MINUTES. AND THEN WE REALLY ENCOURAGE IF YOU DON'T HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASK WHAT YOU WANT TO ASK, USE THE QR CODE. WE'LL MAKE SURE THE QR CODE QUESTIONS GO TO BOTH THE AD HOC AS WELL AS THE CEO'S OFFICE SO THAT WE CAN GET THE ANSWERS IN WRITING BEFORE OUR NEXT MEETING AND JUST CONTINUE THIS CONVERSATION AROUND THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE. SO WE'RE GONNA TRY THIS FORMAT OUT BUT I THINK IT'LL ALLOW ALL OF THE MEMBERS TO AT LEAST HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASK IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A QUESTION TO ASK OR WE CAN ALWAYS PUT THE TIME BACK IN THE BANK AND ASK MORE QUESTIONS FOR WHOEVER HAS THEM SO BEFORE WE GO INTO THAT, I JUST WANT TO PAUSE TO MAKE SURE THAT HOW I DESCRIBE THAT MAKES SENSE BUT LET'S GIVE IT A SHOT THANK YOU FOR THAT AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR OPENNESS TO IT. I'M GOING TO SEND IT OVER TO CHAIR PRO TEMSADWANI TO FRAME THIS PART OF THE CONVERSATION.

>> SPEAKER 6: YEAH, GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH. I'M WONDERING IF STAFF CAN PULL UP THE SLIDES THAT WERE PREPARED BY CIVITAS, I THINK THEY WOUND UP ON THE, AS AN ATTACHMENT TO THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE STAFF REPORT, AS OPPOSED TO ITEM 4. ARE WE ABLE TO PULL THOSE UP ON THE SCREEN? OKAY. WHILE THAT IS BEING PULLED UP, I THINK WE'RE REALLY EXCITED TODAY TO HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE CEO'S OFFICE JOINING US TO SHARE MORE ABOUT THE PURVIEW OF WORK THAT THEY HAVE. AS YOU ALL KNOW WE HAVE A MAJOR TASK IN FRONT OF US WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION NOT ONLY OF THE CREATION OF THE ELECTED EXECUTIVES OFFICE, WHICH WILL BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT FROM THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE, BUT ALSO WITH THE CREATION OF THE BUDGET DIRECTOR'S OFFICE. IF WE CAN GO TO THE 1ST SLIDE OR TO THE NEXT SLIDE. FOR THOSE FOLLOWING ALONG, YOU CAN NOTE THAT THEY ARE NUMBERED SLIDES. I KNOW THAT'S OFTEN A CONCERN FOR FOLKS. SO WE'RE ON SLIDE 2. JUST AS A REMINDER, WE ARE WORKING TOWARDS SEPTEMBER 9TH. THE MOST IMMEDIATE PIECES THAT WE HAVE, SOME WEEKS AGO WE LOOKED AT A LIST OF SHORT-TERM GOALS, MEDIUM-TERM GOALS, AND LONG-TERM GOALS. WITHIN OUR SHORT-TERM PRIORITIES, WE IDENTIFIED THAT WE NEEDED TO BEGIN TO GIVE SHAPE TO THE FUTURE COUNTY EXECUTIVE'S OFFICE THAT WILL BE MORE OF A POLITICAL

OFFICE IN NATURE BECAUSE WE'VE NEVER HAD AN ELECTED EXECUTIVE OF THIS TYPE. WE ALSO KNOW THAT WE NEED TO START LAYING THE GROUNDWORK FOR THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST. WE'VE HAD SOME CONVERSATIONS ALREADY LAST TIME. WE HAD THE STATE LAO AND OTHERSPEAKERS COME TO SHARE WHAT A LEGISLATIVE ANALYST DOES. AND THE 3RD PIECE THAT WE NEED TO BE THINKING ABOUT IS THE DIRECTOR OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT. AND SO AN INTERESTING PIECE KIND OF COMES OUT OF THAT. IF WE WANT TO GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, SOME OF THE THINGS JUST TO AS A WAY OF FRAMING OUT OUR CONVERSATION BEFORE OUR THE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE CEO'S OFFICE BEGIN TO THEIR PRESENTATION. WE CERTAINLY KNOW THAT WE NEED A WHOLE LOT OF INFORMATION FROM THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE THAT WILL INFORM THE TASK FORCE'S RECOMMENDATION FOR THE FUTURE GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE UNDER MEASURE G. THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC COMMITTEE HAS BEEN WORKING DILIGENTLY. WE'RE DOING 2 HOUR LONG MEETINGS EACH WEEK, REALLY DIVING DEEP INTO A BIG APPLAUSE TO ALL OF THE MEMBERS OF THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE BECAUSE WE'VE REALLY BEEN TRYING TO DO VERY INTENTIONAL, THOUGHTFUL DEEP DIVES INTO THIS WORK. AND 1 OF THE PIECES THAT WE DEFINITELY WANTED TO BRING FORTH AS WE THINK ABOUT THIS CONVERSATION OF THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE IS THINKING ABOUT WHAT IT IS THAT'S WITHIN THE CURRENT CEO'S

OFFICE AND WHAT WILL BE IN THE FUTURE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE. WHAT WE KNOW IS THAT WITHIN THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE ARE ALL OF THE BUDGETARY FUNCTIONS THAT WILL MOST LIKELY EXIST IN THE OFFICE OF A DIRECTOR OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT IN THE FUTURE. WHAT WE ALSO KNOW IS THERE'S A LOT OF OTHER WORK THAT CURRENTLY EXISTS WITHIN THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE. AND MUCH OF THAT WORK, WE NEED TO BE REALLY THOUGHTFUL OF THINKING ABOUT WHERE EXACTLY IT'S GOING TO LAND IN THIS TRANSITION PROCESS. SO AS OUR FRIENDS FROM THE CEO'S OFFICE ARE PRESENTING TODAY, I HOPE THAT WE'RE ALL THINKING THROUGH THAT LENS OF WHAT STAYS WITHIN WHAT WILL BECOME IN THE FUTURE AN OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT AND WHAT ARE SOME OF THOSE ELEMENTS OF THE CURRENT CEO'S WORK THAT MIGHT GO TO THE FUTURE COUNTY EXECUTIVE THAT MIGHT GO TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS THAT MIGHT NEED TO FIND A HOME IN OTHER PARTS OF THE COUNTY. WE DON'T HAVE ANSWERS TO ANY OF THOSE THINGS YET, BUT THIS IS REALLY THE 1ST STEP IN THAT CONVERSATION. AND AS CHAIR YAPP MENTIONED, WE HAVE IDENTIFIED A NUMBER OF DATES IN AUGUST SO THAT WE CAN HAVE ADDITIONAL MEETINGS THAT WILL BE DEEP DIVES INTO THESE CONVERSATIONS. SO THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO HEAR FROM THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE TO LEARN AS MUCH AS WE CAN BUT JUST KNOW THAT EVEN IF ALL OF

OUR QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS AND THOUGHTS THAT WE HAVE ABOUT WHAT CAN HAPPEN IN THE FUTURE AREN'T ANSWERED TODAY IF WE DON'T HAVE TIME FOR ALL OF IT TODAY. WE ARE BOOKING OUT DATES THROUGHOUT AUGUST IN WHICH WE'LL HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS. IN ADDITION, WITHIN THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE, AS WE'VE LEARNED, THERE'S ALSO A LOT OF ELEMENTS THAT MIGHT ACTUALLY BE A PART OF THE FUTURE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST'S OFFICE AS WELL. NEXT SLIDE. SO AS WE'RE HEARING FROM THE ELECTED, THE CEO'S OFFICE TODAY, DEFINITELY BE THINKING ABOUT SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT WE NEED. THINGS LIKE WHICH FUNCTIONS CURRENTLY PERFORMED BY THE CEO'S OFFICE SHOULD TRANSITION TO THE OFFICE OF BUDGET MANAGEMENT. HOW DOES THE CEO'S OFFICE COORDINATE BUDGET DEVELOPMENT AND FISCAL OVERSIGHT ACROSS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS? IS THIS THE SAME SYSTEM THAT WE'RE GOING TO WANT TO MAINTAIN AS THE COUNTY GOES THROUGH THIS TRANSITION? NEXT SLIDE. IN TERMS OF THE FUTURE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY LEGISLATIVE ANALYST, THINGS TO BE THINKING ABOUT. HOW DOES THE CEO'S OFFICE CURRENTLY SUPPORT THE BOARD'S LEGISLATIVE PROCESS? WHAT ARE SOME OF THOSE ANALYTICAL FUNCTIONS THAT CURRENTLY EXIST WITHIN THE CEO'S OFFICE THAT COULD INFORM THE DESIGN OF A FULLY INDEPENDENT LEGISLATIVE ANALYST OFFICE, ADMINISTRATIVELY INDEPENDENT LEGISLATIVE ANALYST OFFICE? AND THEN ALSO, HOW

DOES COUNTY COUNCIL SUPPORT THE CEO AND THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WITH LEGISLATIVE, LEGAL, AND POLICY ANALYSIS? HOW MIGHT SOME OF THOSE FUNCTIONS INFORM THE DESIGN OF A FULLY INDEPENDENT COUNTY LEGISLATIVE ANALYST OFFICE? NEXT SLIDE. AND I THINK THIS IS OUR LAST 1. FINALLY, AS WE'RE THINKING ABOUT THE FUTURE ELECTED EXECUTIVE, THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE OF THE FUTURE TO BE THINKING ABOUT WHICH EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT AND COUNTY COORDINATION FUNCTIONS ARE ESSENTIAL TO ADMINISTERING COUNTY GOVERNMENT. THAT'S GOING TO BE THE ROLE OF THE FUTURE COUNTY EXECUTIVE. HOW ARE THOSE FUNCTIONS COORDINATED ACROSS DEPARTMENTS TODAY? AND OF COURSE, THINKING THROUGH HOW SHOULD THEY BE COORDINATED FOR THE FUTURE? HOW DOES THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE INTERACT WITH UNINCORPORATED AREA RESIDENTS? THIS IS AN AREA THAT I KNOW THAT WE ALL CARE A LOT ABOUT. WHAT IS THE DESCRIPTION OF SOME OF THOSE RESPONSIBILITIES, THE STRUCTURE, THE OPERATIONS OF THE OFFICE OF UNINCORPORATED AREAS? WHERE SHOULD THAT WORK LAND IN THE FUTURE? AND FINALLY, WHICH REPORTING RELATIONSHIPS, CAPABILITIES AND EXECUTIVE FUNCTIONS SHOULD REMAIN WITH THE FUTURE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE? SO THIS IS JUST A BEGINNING POINT OF QUESTIONS THAT THE AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE CAN HAVE PREPARED FOR YOU TO BE THINKING ABOUT AS WE'RE HEARING THIS PRESENTATION. AND SO WITH THAT, I WANT TO

TURN IT OVER TO OUR GUESTS FROM THE CEO'S OFFICE. I KNOW THIS IS A LONG-AWAITED PRESENTATION, AND WE'RE VERY EXCITED TO HAVE YOU HERE WITH US TODAY.

>> SPEAKER 5: TESTING TESTING OKAY THAT'S BETTER. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE INTRODUCTION. I THINK THAT PROBABLY FRAMES THE PRESENTATION VERY, VERY WELL. MY NAME IS JEREMY GRAY. I AM THE ACTING CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER FOR THE COUNTY OF LA. I WILL INTRODUCE OR HAVE MY COLLEAGUES INTRODUCE THEMSELVES. I JUST WANTED TO OPEN UP AND SAY THAT MR. NIKITA, OUR CEO, SENDS HIS REGRETS. HE GOT PULLED ON ANOTHER CRITICAL MATTER, REALLY WANTED TO BE HERE TODAY, SENT ME IN HIS STEAD. I JUST WANT TO OPEN BY SAYING THAT, NUMBER 1, THANK YOU TO THIS BODY FOR THE OPPORTUNITY OF COMING OUT AND PRESENTING TODAY. I THINK THERE HAS BEEN A DIRECTION THAT JOE HAS GIVEN ALL OF US TO ENSURE THAT WE SUPPORT THIS BODY, THE PUBLIC, AND THE BOARD AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE AS IT RELATES TO MEASURE G. SO WE WANT TO DO EVERYTHING POSSIBLE TO PROVIDE YOU THE NECESSARY INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED TO INFORM YOUR RECOMMENDATIONS TO MOVE THIS FORWARD AND WE DO SO IN FULL TRANSPARENCY. WE ALL UNDERSTAND THE GRAVITY OF THIS MOMENT. AND IN MY 30 YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE, PROBABLY 1 OF THE MOST SIGNIFICANT CHANGES THAT I'VE

SEEN OF THE COUNTY. AND I'VE SEEN A FEW, BUT THIS IS VERY SIGNIFICANT. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THE BOTTOM LINE IS TO IMPROVE SERVICES THAT WE DELIVER TO CONSTITUENTS AND THAT IS THE PRIMARY FOCUS. THOUGH THE CEO IS FOCUSED AND HAS BEEN SOMEWHAT BEHIND THE CURTAIN, IF YOU WILL, IN OUR CURRENT GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE, WHAT WE REALIZE IS OUR FOCUS AND PRIORITY REMAINS ON THE CONSTITUENTS OF THE COUNTY. BEFORE I INTRODUCE THE PANEL, I WANTED TO PROVIDE YOU GUYS WITH A FUN FACT THAT COLLECTIVELY ON THIS PANEL, THERE IS OVER 100 YEARS OF PUBLIC SERVICE. I WON'T DATE MY COLLEAGUES, BUT I JUST WANTED TO PROVIDE THAT FUN FACT. NONE OF US BEGAN OUR CAREERS WITH THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE. WE'VE ALL WORKED IN MULTIPLE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS. AND I THINK WE ALL BRING A VERY COMPREHENSIVE PERSPECTIVE TO THIS TRANSITION AND SOME OF THE DETAILS INVOLVED IN THAT TRANSITION. I JUST WANTED TO LEVEL SET SO THAT THE BODY AND THE PUBLIC REALLY UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF INTELLECTUAL CAPITAL THAT COMES ALONG WITH THIS PRESENTATION TO MY LEFT.

>> SPEAKER 12: GOOD AFTERNOON, MATTHEW OFFICER.

>> SPEAKER 13: HI GOOD AFTERNOON MY NAME IS AMELIE CLARK I AM

THE ADMINISTRATIVE DEPUTY FOR THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

>> SPEAKER 14: HELLO. MY NAME IS MAGGIE CARTER, AND I'M THE ACTING CHIEF OF STRATEGIC INITIATIVES IN THE CEO.

>> SPEAKER 5: SO AS WE GET STARTED TODAY I WANTED TO GROUND US IN THE ROLE OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE AND THE WORK THAT WE DO ACROSS THE COUNTY. OBVIOUSLY IN ALIGNMENT WITH THE OPENING REMARKS, MY FOCUS IS TO GIVE YOU A CLEAR AND ACCESSIBLE OVERVIEW OF HOW THE OFFICE OPERATES AND HOW WE SUPPORT THE PUBLIC AND THE BOARD AND COORDINATE COUNTYWIDE INITIATIVES. SLIDE 2, PLEASE. OKAY, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE CEO'S OFFICE, WE'RE TALKING ABOUT GENERALLY THE CENTRAL HUB FOR SOME OF THE COUNTY'S MOST CRITICAL FUNCTIONS. WE MANAGE THE COUNTY'S BUDGET. OBVIOUSLY, WE OVERSEE LABOR RELATIONS. WE COORDINATE LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS. WE ALSO TRANSLATE BOARD POLICY ACROSS MANY OF THE PROCESSES AND PRACTICES ACROSS 39 DEPARTMENTS. AND WE GUIDE VERY COMPLEX INITIATIVES THAT REQUIRE COLLABORATION ACROSS MULTIPLE AGENCIES. IN SHORT, WE ENSURE ALIGNMENT, COORDINATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD. AND THAT INCLUDES MANAGEMENT OF OUR \$50.3 BILLION BUDGET TO SUPPORT THAT WORK. NEXT SLIDE. OUR OFFICE ITSELF IS LEAN. IF

YOU LOOK AT THE OFFICE COMPARED TO THE SCALE SCOPE AND RESPONSIBILITY THERE ARE 12 DIVISIONS AND 6 STRATEGIC INITIATIVES WITH NEARLY 560 BUDGETED POSITIONS AND A BUDGET OF JUST UNDER 200 MILLION. EACH DIVISION PLAYS A DISTINCT

>> SPEAKER 7: ROLE, BUT COLLECTIVELY WE FUNCTION AS

>> SPEAKER 5: 1 ENTERPRISE TEAM DRIVING COUNTYWIDE IMPACT. NEXT SLIDE. 1 MAJOR AREA OF OUR WORK IS MANAGING, I'M SORRY, I'M GONNA WAIT ON THAT SLIDE JUST SO THAT MEMBERS ONLINE AND THE PUBLIC ARE FOLLOWING ALONG. NO, NEXT. NO, NO PROBLEM. NO, NEXT. NO, NO PROBLEM. SO I WON'T WAIT ON THE SLIDES, BUT I JUST WANT TO BRIEFLY TALK ABOUT OUR RISK MANAGEMENT AREA. 1 OF THE MAJOR AREAS IS MANAGING RISK ACROSS THE COUNTY TO PROTECT THOSE IN OUR CARE, OUR WORKFORCE, AND OUR RESIDENTS. THIS MEANS TO IDENTIFY WHERE WE AS A COUNTY ARE VULNERABLE, BOTH FINANCIALLY AND OPERATIONALLY, AND ADDRESSING ISSUES BEFORE THEY GROW. AND COORDINATING CLOSELY WITH DEPARTMENTS AND OUR COUNTY ATTORNEYS, OUR RISK MANAGEMENT AND CLAIMS TEAM EVALUATES EXPOSURE, ANALYZES TRENDS, AND HELPS DETERMINE THE RIGHT RESPONSE, WHETHER THAT'S A SETTLEMENT, LEGAL DEFENSE, OR DENIAL WHEN APPROPRIATE. AND THEY ALSO WORK WITH DEPARTMENTS

TO DEVELOP PLANS. MY APOLOGIES FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS ONLINE. OUR NEXT AREA IS OUR OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT. THIS IS ANOTHER CORE AREA FOR THOSE MEMBERS THAT FOLLOW THE NEWS. OUR OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT IS ALWAYS AT THE FRONT LINES OF SUPPORT. THE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT LEADS COUNTYWIDE PLANNING AND RESPONSE FROM EVERYTHING FROM WILDFIRES TO MUDSLIDES, EARTHQUAKES, AND ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENTS. THEY BRING PARTNERS TOGETHER FOR PLANNING, TRAINING EXERCISES, AND THEY COORDINATE RESPONSES. WHEN THE COUNTY'S EMERGENCY OPERATIONS CENTER ACTIVATES, OEM ENSURES RESOURCES, COMMUNICATION, AND SERVICES FLOW WHERE THEY'RE NEEDED. AND AFTER EACH EVENT, THEY DRIVE RECOVERY AND IMPROVEMENT EFFORTS. OKAY, I AM ON, IF THE NUMBERING IS RIGHT I AM ON SLIDE 6 WHICH IS A LABOR AND COMPENSATION. THAT'S OKAY THAT'S ALL RIGHT. THE MISNOMER AND BY THE WAY IS MY FAULT MY STAFF SENT ME THE SLIDES. I DID NOT ORDER ANY OF THE SLIDES. I REFRAMED THOSE. I SENT THEM TO MR. KREIMAN, AND AS SOON AS I SENT THEM THE STAFF SAID JEREMY THEY'RE MISNUMBERED NOW SO THAT IS RIGHT ALL RIGHT GOOD VERY GOOD OKAY LABOR AND COMPENSATION I THINK WE'RE CAUGHT UP FOR THOSE MEMBERS ONLINE OUR LABOR AND COMPENSATION TEAMS NEGOTIATE WITH 64 BARGAINING UNITS REPRESENTING MORE THAN 83, 000 EMPLOYEES. THEY BALANCE OPERATIONAL NEEDS WITH

FISCAL REALITIES, WORKING CLOSELY WITH DEPARTMENTS AND THE BOARD. THIS GROUP ALSO MANAGES BENEFIT PROGRAMS AND ENSURES THAT CLASSIFICATION AND COMPENSATION STRUCTURES REFLECT EQUITABLY ACROSS OUR COUNTY SYSTEM. THEY ALSO INDIRECTLY SUPPORT WITH OUR DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO ENSURE THE APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION BASED ON THOSE COMPENSATION POLICIES. AND ALIGNED WITH BROADER ORGANIZATIONAL PRIORITIES, THEIR WORK TOUCHES EVERY COUNTY EMPLOYEE.

>> SPEAKER 11: NEXT SLIDE.

>> SPEAKER 5: THAT'S OKAY. SO THE NEXT SLIDE IS OUR ASSET MANAGEMENT AREA. SO WE ALSO OVERSEE REAL ESTATE AND CAPITAL PROGRAMS, EVERYTHING FROM MAJOR CONSTRUCTION AND RENOVATION PROJECTS TO MAXIMIZING THE VALUE OF OUR PROPERTIES. THIS INCLUDES MANAGING APPROXIMATELY \$6.5 BILLION OF PHYSICAL CAPITAL ACROSS ALMOST 8, 000 PROPERTIES AND ANNUAL LEASE ACTIVITY. AND IN 1ST, ARE DEVELOPING LONG-TERM MASTER PLANS, ARE COORDINATING COUNTY INVOLVEMENT IN GLOBAL EVENTS LIKE THE WORLD CUP, THE OLYMPICS. THIS TEAM ENSURES OUR PHYSICAL FOOTPRINT SUPPORTS SERVICES DELIVERED BY THE COUNTY AND REFLECTS BOARD PRIORITIES. THE NEXT SLIDE TOUCHES ON OUR

LEGISLATIVE AND PUBLIC AFFAIRS, OUR COUNTY YCOMS. WE GUIDE THE COUNTY'S COMMUNICATION AND LEGISLATION LEGISLATIVE STRATEGY. OUR COMMUNICATIONS TEAMS ENSURES CONSISTENT, ACCESSIBLE MESSAGING, ESPECIALLY WHEN ISSUES ARE CROSS-DEPARTMENTAL OR RELATES TO EMERGENCIES. OUR LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS TEAMS DEVELOPS LEGISLATIVE PRIORITIES, ANALYZE BILLS AND BUDGETS, AND THEY ACT AS THE COUNTY'S LIAISON TO STATE AND FEDERAL PARTNERS THROUGH OUR OFFICES IN SACRAMENTO AND DC, AS WELL AS CONTRACTED ADVOCATES THAT WE WORK WITH. WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO PASS, I BELIEVE THE NEXT SLIDE IS OUR BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT SLIDE AND I'M GOING TO PASS THAT OVER TO OUR CHIEF BUDGET OFFICER MASON MATTHEWS.

>> SPEAKER 12: ALL RIGHT, GOOD AFTERNOON AGAIN. MY AREA, SO I DIRECTLY SUPERVISE THE BUDGET AND OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT BRANCH IN THE CEO. WHEN I INTRODUCED MYSELF, I CALLED MYSELF THE COUNTY'S BUDGET OFFICER. I WANT TO MAKE CLEAR, AMY HERE HANDLES THE CEO DEPARTMENTAL BUDGET, NOT ME. I HANDLE AND REPRESENT THE COUNTY'S \$50 BILLION BUDGET IN THE WORK THAT GOES TO PREPARING THAT, MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD, COLLECTING BUDGETS FROM THE DEPARTMENTS, EVALUATING THEIR REQUESTS, LOOKING AT THE LIMITED RESOURCES WE HAVE TO FUND

THOSE REQUESTS, AND THEN ONCE AGAIN PACKAGING THAT UP FOR OUR CEO TO DECIDE WHAT WANTS TO RECOMMEND TO THE BOARD. THEY ALSO DO FINANCIAL MONITORING VIA THE DEPARTMENTS AND ENSURE THE COUNTY'S FINANCIAL VIABILITY AND MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ON TRACK AND WE DON'T GO ASTRAY OR GO BANKRUPT OR RUN OUT OF MONEY. AND I DO THAT WITH 3 DIFFERENT AREAS UNDER MY PURVIEW. THE 1ST IS THE BUDGET. SO I'VE GOT A TEAM OF FOLKS THAT WORK WITH THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS. AS YOU PROBABLY ALL KNOW, THEY ALL HAVE THEIR OWN FINANCE AND BUDGET DEPARTMENTS. SO THEY DO THAT WORK. THEY PREPARE IT. WE BRING IT IN-HOUSE. WE STANDARDIZE ALL THAT, PUT IT TOGETHER IN THE STATE REQUIRED FORMAT. WE DO THIS PHASES A YEAR. I LIKE TO SAY THAT THE BUDGET IS A YEAR-ROUND EXERCISE. OUR ANNUAL BUDGET, WE START IN OCTOBER, WE END IN SEPTEMBER, AND WE START THE NEXT YEAR THE FOLLOWING OCTOBER. SO WE'RE CONSTANTLY WORKING ON THE ANNUAL BUDGET. SO MY BUDGET DOES ALL THAT BUDGET WORK. I HAVE ANOTHER AREA, A FINANCE TEAM. THEY HANDLE OUR LOCALLY GENERATED REVENUES AND SOME OF THE COUNTYWIDE CENTRAL REVENUES THAT DON'T DEAL WITH SPECIFIC COUNTY DEPARTMENTS. SO PROPERTY TAX, CERTAIN SALES TAX REVENUES, USER UTILITY TAXES, THOSE THINGS THAT COME IN CENTRALLY. I HAVE A TEAM THAT HANDLES ALL THOSE AND WE DO THOSE PROJECTIONS AND MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE COLLECTING REVENUES

AS WE NEED TO AND THAT'S WHAT FINANCES OUR LOCALLY GENERATED REVENUE. IT PAYS FOR THE THINGS THAT WE AS A COUNTY HAVE TO PAY FOR OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND. WE REFER TO THAT AS NET COUNTY COST. SO THAT'S ANOTHER VERY IMPORTANT COMPONENT. AND THEN I HAVE A VERY SMALL TEAM OF FOLKS THAT HANDLE ANNEXATIONS. SO TRANSFERS OF PROPERTY FROM THE COUNTY COULD BE TO THE COUNTY. THE REASON THAT'S WITH MY TEAM IS IT DEALS WITH THE TRANSFER OF PROPERTY TAX FOR THOSE PIECES OF LAND THAT MIGHT COME IN OR OUT OF THE COUNTY. I ALSO HAVE A TEAM THAT DEALS WITH THE FINANCING DISTRICTS. SO AGAIN, IF WE WANT TO GO OUT AND DO THIS, KIND OF REPLACE THE OLD COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT ACT DOLLARS THAT WERE HAPPENING, WE REPLACE THOSE WITH ENHANCED INCREMENTAL FINANCING DISTRICTS. AND SO I HAVE A TEAM THAT EVALUATES THOSE AND THEIR IMPACT ON THE COUNTY GENERAL FUND AND OUR PROPERTY TAX AGAIN BECAUSE THAT'S A LOCALLY GENERATED REVENUE. IT'S A CENTRALIZED FUNCTION THAT WE HAVE TO MAINTAIN AND ENSURE COMPLIANCE WITH BOARD POLICIES AND SO FORTH. IN ADDITION, SO I THINK WITH ALL THESE DUTIES, I ALSO ACT AS A FISCAL POLICY ADVISOR TO THE CEO, RECOMMEND STRATEGIC ALLOCATIONS OF THE LIMITED RESOURCES, AS I SAID, AND HELP MAINTAIN THE BALANCE BETWEEN SERVICE DELIVERY AND FISCAL SUSTAINABILITY. I THINK YOU ALL UNDERSTAND THERE'S NEVER

ENOUGH RESOURCES TO DO ALL THE THINGS THAT WE WANT TO DO TO MEET THE BOARD PRIORITIES TO MEET DEPARTMENTAL REQUESTS SO WE'RE ALWAYS BALANCING THOSE 2. IN ADDITION LIKE I SAID WE ALSO MAINTAIN OR MONITOR THE COUNTY'S FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENTS AND ENSURE WE ARE MEETING OUR GOALS AND ARE ABLE TO CLOSE OUR BOOKS IN A POSITIVE POSITION AND ALLOCATE THAT ONE-TIME MONEY INTO FUTURE BUDGET PHASES. 1 OTHER AREA THAT I'M GOING TO ADDRESS, IT DOESN'T DIRECTLY REPORT TO ME, BUT IT'S IN A SIMILAR VEIN, IS OUR OFFICE OF BUDGET POLICY AND REVENUE ALIGNMENT. THIS IS A RELATIVELY NEWER SECTION OF THE CEO. AND I LIKE TO THINK OF IT AS A, LIKE THE SMART TEAM OF FOLKS, AN ACADEMIC THINK TANK, IF YOU WILL, THAT ALLOWS US TO EVALUATE VARIOUS THINGS FROM A COUNTY-WIDE PERSPECTIVE. SO KIND OF INDEPENDENT OF THE DEPARTMENTS THAT LOOKS AT VARIOUS REVENUE SOURCES AND ENSURES THAT THE COUNTY IS MAXIMIZING THOSE, UTILIZING THOSE TO THE BEST OF OUR ABILITIES. THEY BRING IN SOME NEW IDEAS. THEY HAVE BEEN INCREDIBLY HELPFUL AS THE FEDS HAVE CHANGED SOME OF THEIR FUNDING POLICIES AND AS WE LOOK AT THINGS LIKE THE HR 1 BILL THAT IS IMPACTING THE COUNTY TO A GREAT DEGREE THEY HAVE BEEN KIND OF OUR IN-HOUSE EXPERT INTO HELPING US TRACK THE IMPACT OF THAT THEY DO A LOT OF ANALYSIS A LOT OF RESEARCH THEY HAVE

SOME LEGAL EXPERTISE SO WE'RE ABLE TO TAP INTO THEM AND REALLY HELP US NAVIGATE SOME OF THOSE FINANCIAL FORECASTS AND IMPACTS ON THE COUNTY. AND THEY'RE LOOKING TO IDENTIFY, AGAIN, FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL STRATEGIES TO MAXIMIZE OUR REVENUE AND COST RECOVERY AND THEY DO A LOT OF MODELING ON DIFFERENT THINGS TO HELP US EVALUATE SOME OF THE PROS AND CONS OF VARIOUS IDEAS AND HELP US WITH THAT SHORT AND LONG-TERM PLANNING. LONG-TERM PLANNING.

>> SPEAKER 13: HI, SO AS MASON SAID, YOU KNOW MASON IS THE OVERSIGHT OF 50 BILLION DOLLARS. AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE DEPUTY FOR THE CEO'S OFFICE, I ONLY HAVE 200 MILLION TO HIS 50 BILLION. SO IN MY ROLE AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE DEPUTY, EVERY OPERATING DEPARTMENT IN LA COUNTY HAS AN ADMINISTRATIVE DEPUTY. SO PART OF OUR RESPONSIBILITY IS OVERSIGHT OF THE OPERATIONS AND THE DAY-TO-DAY GRIND OF OUR DEPARTMENTS. SO IN MY TEAM WE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR PUTTING OUR BUDGET TOGETHER. WE NEED TO OVERSEE. WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE MEETING THE BUDGET REQUIREMENTS JUST LIKE EVERY OTHER DEPARTMENT BASED ON ALL PROTOCOLS BASED ON POLICIES THAT ARE COMING OUT OF THE CEO'S OFFICE, MEANING BOM, MEANING MASON'S OFFICE. WE ACTUALLY MONITOR OUR FINANCIAL STATUS ON A REGULAR BASIS, ENSURING THAT

WE ARE MEETING THOSE REQUIREMENTS AS WE MOVE THROUGH THE FISCAL YEAR. WE ARE THE DESIGNATED ADMINISTRATOR FOR THE STATE HOMELAND SECURITY PROGRAM, WHICH IS UNIQUE TO OUR ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE. WE ACTUALLY PROVIDE GRANTS TO DIFFERENT CITIES AND SOME DEPARTMENTS WHO ACTUALLY APPLY FOR AND MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THAT STATE GRANT FOR THAT PARTICULAR YEAR. WE ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE PROCUREMENT OFF AND THE CONTRACTING OF ALL GOODS AND SERVICES, THE DIFFERENT VARIOUS LINES OF FUNCTIONS THAT WAS ON 1 OF THOSE EARLIER SLIDES REQUIRE ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS. SO WE SUPPORT THEM FROM THAT PERSPECTIVE. MY TEAM IS ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR THE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT. WE ARE PART, WE ARE THE HUMAN RESOURCES OF THE CEO'S OFFICE. WE SUBSCRIBE AND WE NEED TO MEET ALL REQUIREMENTS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES PUSHES OUT TO ALL THE OTHER OPERATING DEPARTMENTS. SO WE HAVE TO ENSURE THAT WE'RE MEETING THOSE REQUIREMENTS. SO WE DO RECRUITMENT, WE DO WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT, WE DO TRAINING FOR THOSE LINES OF SERVICES AND ENSURE THAT THEY ARE ACTUALLY DEVELOPING IN A CERTAIN WAY TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE LEADERSHIP FOR EACH OF THOSE FUNCTIONS. WE ALSO PROVIDE TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT SERVICES TO THOSE DIFFERENT LINES OF SERVICES. SO FOR EXAMPLE, RISK MANAGEMENT IS WITHIN THE CEO'S OFFICE. THEY HAVE A SPECIFIC

SYSTEM THAT WE NEED TO MAINTAIN. OUR BUDGET TEAM HAS A BUDGET SYSTEM THAT WE ALSO NEED TO SUPPORT AND MAINTAIN. SO THAT IS GENERALLY WHAT WE DO AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE FOR THE CEO.

>> SPEAKER 11: SO

>> SPEAKER 14: AS I SAID, I'M MAGGIE CARTER. I'M THE ACTING CHIEF OF STRATEGIC INITIATIVES, WHICH MEANS THAT I OVERSEE 6 STRATEGIC INITIATIVES IN CEO, AND I ALSO WORK VERY CLOSELY WITH THE CEO'S POLICY IMPLEMENTATION AND ALIGNMENT BRANCH. I AM ALSO AN INITIATIVE LEADER. I AM THE LEADER OF 1 OF THE 6 INITIATIVES. I'M THE DOJ, THE CHIEF DOJ COMPLIANCE OFFICER FOR THE DOJ COMPLIANCE OFFICE AND CEO WHICH DRIVES COMPLIANCE ACROSS DEPARTMENTS ON SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS AND COURT ORDERS RELATED TO JAIL CONDITIONS. SO I'LL GO AHEAD AND TAKE THE PRIOR SLIDE 1ST, THE POLICY IMPLEMENTATION AND ALIGNMENT SLIDE. IT'S THAT 1. PERFECT. THANK YOU. SO THE POLICY IMPLEMENTATION AND ALIGNMENT IS A BRANCH WITHIN CEO THAT WORKS ACROSS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO COORDINATE SERVICE DELIVERY AND MANAGE MISSION CRITICAL COMPLEX, BUT OFTEN TIME LIMITED POLICIES THAT REQUIRE CROSS DEPARTMENTAL ALIGNMENT OR MANAGEMENT. I WAS READING IN ADVANCE OF THIS SESSION SOME OF

THE WORK PUT TOGETHER BY POSTSCRIPT STRATEGY THAT TALKED ABOUT PROBLEMS THAT NO SINGLE DEPARTMENT CAN CARRY ALONE AND KIND OF A FLUIDITY TO PROBLEM-SOLVING THAT MAY REQUIRE CEO ASSISTANCE OR THAT MAY BENEFIT FROM ALIGNMENT ACROSS DEPARTMENTS. THAT'S OFTEN WHAT THIS BRANCH AND ALSO THE STRATEGIC INITIATIVES ARE HANDLING. 1 OF THE FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE POLICY IMPLEMENTATION AND ALIGNMENT BRANCH INCLUDES THE CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICE. THE CIO ESTABLISHES COUNTYWIDE IT DIRECTIVES TO SUPPORT AN ENTERPRISE APPROACH TO DATA COLLECTION, MANAGEMENT, AND SECURITY IN SUPPORT OF ALL OF THE COUNTY SERVICE DELIVERY THAT IS GOING ON IN THE DEPARTMENTS. HOUSING CIO AND CEO ENSURES ALIGNMENT OF THE COUNTY'S DATA STRATEGY ACROSS DEPARTMENTS, FACILITATES DATA SHARING AND INTEGRATION ACROSS DEPARTMENTS. IT REALLY HAS BEEN KEY TOWARDS SOME OF THE DATA SHARING AGREEMENTS THAT WE HAVE ENTERED INTO. THE SERVICE INTEGRATION FUNCTION WITHIN POLICY, IMPLEMENTATION AND ALIGNMENT PROVIDES CENTRAL LEADERSHIP AND COLLABORATION ACROSS DEPARTMENTS AND ALSO ACROSS EXTERNAL AGENCIES AND STAKEHOLDERS IN SUPPORT OF THE COUNTY'S STRATEGIC PLAN AND OTHER INITIATIVES. FOR EXAMPLE PIA IS CURRENTLY WORKING ACROSS A VARIETY OF COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO PREPARE THE COUNTY TO DO A LIMITED GO LIVE OF THE STATE'S CALAIM JUSTICE INVOLVED REENTRY INITIATIVE IN LOS

ANGELES COUNTY. PIA'S WORK ON THIS PROJECT REQUIRES COORDINATION AND ALIGNMENT BETWEEN SEVERAL COUNTY DEPARTMENTS, LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, LOCAL AREA HEALTH PLANS, AND MANY OTHERS, ALONG WITH NEGOTIATING CONVERSATIONS THAT ARE CONSTANTLY ONGOING WITH THE STATE. POLICY IMPLEMENTATION AND ALIGNMENT ALSO INCLUDES MUNICIPAL AND UNINCORPORATED AREA SERVICES. THIS FUNCTION COORDINATES WITH DEPARTMENTS TO ENSURE THAT BASIC MUNICIPAL SERVICE DELIVERY AND QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES ARE ADDRESSED FOR MORE THAN 1 MILLION RESIDENTS IN MORE THAN 120 INCORPORATED AREAS.

>> SPEAKER 10: AND

>> SPEAKER 7: THESE CAN INCLUDE BASIC MUNICIPAL SERVICES LIKE

>> SPEAKER 14: STREET MAINTENANCE, TREE MAINTENANCE, TRASH SERVICES. IT ALSO CAN INCLUDE QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES LIKE REDUCING ILLEGAL STREET TAKEOVERS, PARKING ENFORCEMENT AND EMERGENCY SUPPORTS AS WERE PROVIDED IN THE 2020 WILDFIRES AND THE RECENT LINEAGE FIRE. I'LL GO AHEAD AND TAKE THE NEXT SLIDE AND TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE STRATEGIC INITIATIVES AND CEO. THE POSITION OF THE CHIEF OF STRATEGIC INITIATIVES WAS CREATED

IN CEO FAIRLY RECENTLY IN LATE 2024 TO REFLECT THE FACT THAT WE NOW HAVE 6 MAJOR STRATEGIC INITIATIVE EFFORTS ASSIGNED BY THE BOARD TO CEO. EACH OF THESE IS VERY SIGNIFICANT EFFORT DIRECTLY TIED TO A BOARD POLICY IMPERATIVE AND REQUIRING EXTENSIVE COORDINATION AND ALIGNMENT ACROSS COUNTY DEPARTMENTS TO ENSURE SUCCESS. OFTEN THEY ALSO REQUIRE ALIGNMENT AND COORDINATION ACROSS OTHER BRANCHES WITHIN CEO. THE ANTI-RACISM DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION INITIATIVE, ARTI, WAS CREATED BY BOARD MOTION IN 2020. IT DRIVES AN ANTI-RACISM AGENDA TO GUIDE, ALIGN, AND INCREASE THE COUNTY'S COMMITMENT TO FIGHTING SYSTEMIC RACISM THROUGH EQUITABLE POLICY, WORKFORCE CULTURE, DATA ANALYSIS, AND RESOURCE DISTRIBUTION. JAIL CLOSURE IMPLEMENTATION TEAM WAS MOVED BACK TO THE CEO IN 2020-04 VIA BOARD MOTION AND LEADS PLANNING TO CLOSE MEN'S CENTRAL JAIL WHILE ENSURING THAT PEOPLE ARE CONNECTED TO CRITICAL COMMUNITY-BASED SUPPORTS AND PATHWAYS TO EARLY RELEASE FROM CUSTODY THAT CAN HELP RELEASE JAIL POPULATION. THE CENTER FOR STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS WAS CREATED IN

>> SPEAKER 7: 2016 AND MOVED TO THE CEO

>> SPEAKER 14: IN 2018. IT BUILDS PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS

TO DRIVE SYSTEMS CHANGE IN HOW COUNTY SERVICES ARE DELIVERED WITH A FOCUS ON CHILDREN AND FAMILIES. ITS MISSION HAS ALSO EVOLVED OVER TIME TO INCLUDE SUPPORT FOR CRITICAL EMERGENCIES AND OTHER PARTNERSHIPS TO SERVE THE COUNTY'S MOST VULNERABLE RESIDENTS. THE COUNTY'S DOJ COMPLIANCE OFFICE WAS ESTABLISHED IN CEO IN 2023. IT DRIVES COMPLIANCE WITH THE 2015 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND OTHER JAIL RELATED LITIGATION ORDERS AND AGREEMENTS THAT FOCUS ON IMPROVING JAIL CONDITIONS, REDUCING USES OF FORCE AND IMPROVING ACCESS TO MENTAL HEALTH TREATMENT AND APPROPRIATE MENTAL HEALTH HOUSING. THE PROBATION COMPLIANCE OFFICE WAS ESTABLISHED IN 2024 BY BOARD AND BRING THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT INTO COMPLIANCE WITH STATE REGULATIONS AND A CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. OUR NEWEST STRATEGIC INITIATIVE IS THE DISASTER RECOVERY COORDINATION TEAM. IT WAS CREATED JUST LAST MONTH IN RESPONSE TO A BOARD MOTION THAT ALSO ESTABLISHED A REBUILD AUTHORITY. ITS MISSION IS TO MANAGE A UNIFIED RESPONSE TO SUPPORT POST-DISASTER RECOVERY EFFORTS IN ALTADENA AND THE PALISADES FOLLOWING THE DEVASTATING EATON AND PALISADES FIRES. ITS WORK WILL SUPPORT ACCOUNTABILITY, COORDINATION, AND MOMENTUM FOR THE ENTIRE COUNTY RECOVERY STRATEGY ACROSS ALL OF THE

DEPARTMENT'S WORK. I THINK THAT MAY NOW BRING US TO QUESTIONS.

>> SPEAKER 7: YEAH,

>> SPEAKER 5: WE REALLY APPRECIATE YOU HEARING THAT RATHER LONG PRESENTATION. IT'S A LOT. WE HAD TO WORK TO EVEN CONDENSE THAT, BELIEVE IT OR NOT. BUT WITH THAT, WE WELCOME ANY QUESTIONS.

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT, BEFORE WE MOVE ON, I JUST WANNA ACKNOWLEDGE MEMBER HERONIS HAS BEEN HERE SINCE 5.40. CAN YOU CONFIRM THAT YOU ARE YOUR REASON FOR BEING VIRTUAL AND THAT THERE'S NO 1 OVER 18 IN THE ROOM

>> SPEAKER 15: THERE IS NO 1 OVER 18 IN THE ROOM AND I AM NOT FEELING WELL AND I BELIEVE I'VE BEEN ON ACTUALLY SINCE 520 OR SO I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S IMPORTANT BUT JUST HEADS UP

>> SPEAKER 0: HAPPY TO MARKET, SO WE'LL DO 520. THANK YOU. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> SPEAKER 15: JUST STAY IN THE FACTS.

>> SPEAKER 16: THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: WOW, I APPRECIATE THAT. SO WE'LL ACKNOWLEDGE IT AT 520. AND THEN FOR US, AND THEN JUST FOR YOU, REMEMBER WHO ON US, WE'RE GOING TO DO 3 MINUTES EACH JUST SO THAT WE ALL HAVE A CHANCE TO ASK THE CEOS SOME QUESTIONS. IF THERE'S ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS THAT YOU HAVE YOU CAN ASK THEM ON THE QR CODE AND IF ANYONE GIVES THEIR TIME BACK WE'LL JUST KEEP ON GOING. SO WE'RE TRYING THIS OUT BUT THE QR CODE SHOULD ALSO BE AVAILABLE TO OUR VIRTUAL MEMBERS IS THAT CORRECT? OKAY GREAT OR I'M GONNA JUST KEEP GOING BUT YES THANK YOU MEMBER RODARTE WHY DON'T YOU GO AHEAD KICK US OFF? ARE YOU GOING TO CLAIM YOUR CAP? YEAH, WHY DON'T YOU GO AHEAD?

>> SPEAKER 11: I'M GOING

>> SPEAKER 3: TO BE REALLY BRIEF BECAUSE I DON'T THINK YOU'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO ANSWER MY QUESTION TONIGHT, BUT MY QUESTION IS, SO AS I'M LOOKING THROUGH ALL THE DEPARTMENTS, YOU'RE A VERY HARDY DEPARTMENT IN THE COUNTY, RIGHT, WITH A BIG BUDGET, SO I'M GOING TO ASK THAT YOU GO BACK AND DO SOME

RESEARCH AND SEE IF YOU CAN IDENTIFY ANY DUPLICATIVE WORK IN THE CEO'S OFFICE THAT MIGHT OCCUR IN OTHER DEPARTMENTS WITHIN THE COUNTY. AND I SPECIFICALLY WROTE DOWN RISK MANAGEMENT. IF EACH DEPARTMENT HAS ITS OWN RISK MANAGER, WOULD THAT GIVE YOU AN OPPORTUNITY TO POTENTIALLY REDUCE YOUR RISK MANAGEMENT STAFF TO SORT OF SPREAD THAT WORK THROUGHOUT THE COUNTIES TO GIVE YOU SAY AN EXPERT IN EACH OF THE DEPARTMENTS AND THEN SORT OF A SMALL TEAM TO OVERSEE THAT AS A COUNTY WHOLE. IT'S AN INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT TASK AND I DON'T WANNA MINIMIZE IT, BUT I THINK PART OF OUR WORK HERE TO WORK WITHIN, YOU KNOW, A 0 SUM GAME IS TO FIND THOSE TRADE-OFFS, RIGHT? IF WE'RE ABLE TO MOVE FOLKS LIKE THAT. SO I WOULD ASK THAT YOU DO THAT RESEARCH TO IDENTIFY ANY POTENTIAL DUPLICATIVE WORK THAT EXISTS WITHIN THE CEO'S OFFICE THAT ALSO EXISTS WITHIN THE COUNTY'S, I THINK IT'S 39 DEPARTMENTS. SO IF YOU CAN REPORT BACK TO, I MEAN, IF WRITING IS FINE, ISN'T IT?

>> SPEAKER 5: DEFINITELY, JUST TO CLARIFY, I DON'T KNOW THAT, AND I WANNA GO BACK AND TAKE, BECAUSE IT MAY BE A NUANCE. I DON'T KNOW THAT EACH COUNTY DEPARTMENT HAS A RISK MANAGER. I DON'T KNOW THAT THEY HAVE, THERE'S DEFINITELY NOT A CLASSIFICATION CALLED RISK MANAGER, BUT I THINK THERE ARE RISK

MANAGEMENT FUNCTIONS THAT EACH DEPARTMENT IS RESPONSIBLE FOR.
BUT I BELIEVE THE CEO IS THE ONLY ORGANIZATION WITHIN THE
COUNTY THAT HAS A FUNCTIONAL TITLE OF RISK MANAGER.

>> SPEAKER 3: OKAY, I JUST USE THAT AS AN EXAMPLE. SO THERE
ARE OTHER AREAS. AND SO AGAIN, TO THAT POINT, IF THERE ARE
SIMILAR FUNCTIONS, THAT CAN FULFILL THE NEED FOR EACH OF THE
DEPARTMENT'S RISK MANAGEMENT AND THEN HAVE SOME COORDINATION
AT THE COUNTY LEVEL. YOU MAY NEED A BIGGER TEAM BECAUSE
THERE'S STUFF WITHIN THE CEO'S OFFICE THAT REQUIRES RISK
MANAGEMENT. BUT THAT'S WHAT I'M LOOKING FOR IS WHAT ARE THE
POTENTIAL TRADE-OFFS TO MOVE FOLKS AROUND SO WE CAN STAY AT
THE 0 SUM GAME?

>> SPEAKER 14: SO I UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION, BUT MAY ALSO BE
HELPFUL TO ADD A COUPLE OF THINGS ABOUT THE RISK MANAGEMENT
BRANCH.

>> SPEAKER 3: I'LL STOP YOU. I DON'T WANT TO GET STUCK ON
THAT. THAT'S JUST AN EXAMPLE THAT I USE, RIGHT? SO I
UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE NUANCES INTO WHAT THE DEPARTMENTS
MIGHT DO VERSUS WHAT THE OFFICE MIGHT DO. I'M JUST SAYING GIVE

US AN ANALYSIS OF THOSE POTENTIALS, RIGHT? BECAUSE THAT'S THE WORK THAT WE NEED TO DO NEEDS TO IDENTIFY THOSE TYPES OF THE ABILITY TO TRADE OFF. SO I'M NOT, I DON'T WANT TO STICK TO, I DON'T WANT TO STICK IT TO THE RISK MANAGEMENT TEAM, RIGHT? THIS ISN'T GENERAL. OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT, THANK YOU. SO WE'LL TRACK THAT TOO AND LOOK FORWARD TO THE RESPONSE THERE. I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER SHER.

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH, THANK YOU. JEREMY, GOOD TO SEE YOU AGAIN.

>> SPEAKER 5: GOOD TO SEE YOU AGAIN.

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH. QUICK QUESTION OF THE 560, I THINK IT'S BUDGETED POSITIONS THAT THE CEO HAS. WHAT PERCENTAGE OR WHAT NUMBER IS FILLED?

>> SPEAKER 13: I'M SORRY.

>> SPEAKER 5: HE SAID, IS FILLED.

>> SPEAKER 13: OH, IS FILLED. SO WE DO ONLY HAVE ABOUT A 7% VACANCY RATE IN OUR DEPARTMENT. SO THAT EQUATES TO ABOUT 40. SO IN THE JUNE ACTIONS FROM THE BOARD, THEY APPROVED A NUMBER OF POSITIONS FOR OPM. AND SO THOSE ARE REMAINING VACANT, THE RECRUITMENT STARTS. SO AS WE STAND TODAY, THERE'S ABOUT 80 POSITIONS VACANT, BUT GENERALLY WE HAVE ABOUT A 7% VACANCY RATE, SO ABOUT 40 OUT OF THE 560.

>> SPEAKER 5: HOW DOES THAT COMPARE TO THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS IN THE COUNTY? YOU KNOW, THAT, I THINK THAT EBBS AND FLOWS TO DEREK. I JUST HAD A CONVERSATION ABOUT THIS LAST WEEK. AND EVERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT HAS A CERTAIN ATTRITION RATE. SO PEOPLE RETIRE, THEY LEAVE COUNTY SERVICE, ET CETERA. AND THEN YOU HAVE A CERTAIN RATE OF HIRING. I DON'T KNOW THE COUNTY-WIDE AVERAGE. WE CAN DEFINITELY GET THAT NUMBER FOR YOU, BUT IT IS A MOVING TARGET BECAUSE I RECALL IN OTHER LARGER ORGANIZATIONS THAT I'VE MANAGED, IT SEEMED AS MANY PEOPLE THAT I HIRE, I ALWAYS HOVERED AROUND, AND I WON'T NAME THE DEPARTMENT, I'VE WORKED FOR 7 DEPARTMENTS. I ALWAYS HOVERED AROUND ABOUT 7% AT THAT DEPARTMENT. AND IT PERPLEXED ME WHY IT ALWAYS HOVERED AROUND THAT RATE AND I STARTED TO LOOK AT, OH WELL I'M LOSING EMPLOYEES JUST LIKE I'M GAINING

EMPLOYEES. SO IT WAS A BIT OF A MOVING TARGET BUT WE CAN GET YOU THAT.

>> SPEAKER 17: ALL RIGHT WELL I APPRECIATE I THINK A RELATIVELY PRECISE ANSWER OF APPROXIMATELY 40 TO 80 DEPENDING ON HOW SLICED AND THEN THE 7% FIGURE AND THEN FOLLOW UP ON THE RISK MANAGEMENT I DIDN'T REALIZE THE CEO HAD RISK MANAGEMENT AS WELL AS I DON'T KNOW IF THE DEPARTMENTS ALL DO OR NOT. WHAT'S THE FEEDBACK LOOP TO MANAGE AND I'M THINKING TORT AND VEHICULAR STUFF. WHAT'S THE FEEDBACK LOOP FROM THE CEO'S TEAM ON RISK MANAGEMENT FOR INITIATIVES TO REDUCE RISK EITHER IN DEPARTMENTS OR ON A COUNTYWIDE BASIS? AND ARE THERE SOME EXAMPLES OF SUCCESS ON THAT?

>> SPEAKER 5: AGAIN, WE'LL TAKE THAT ACTION ITEM AWAY. I KNOW DESTINY CASTRO, OUR RISK MANAGER, IS HEAVILY INVOLVED WITH, SPECIFICALLY WITH VEHICULAR ISSUES. AND SHE WORKS VERY CLOSELY WITH, IN PARTICULAR, OUR PUBLIC SAFETY ORGANIZATIONS TO MAKE SURE THAT, YOU KNOW, WE HAVE THE APPROPRIATE PROTOCOLS. I KNOW THAT NUMBER AS WELL TENDS TO FLUCTUATE. BUT, WE'LL ALSO TRACK THAT AND TAKE THAT ACTION OUT OF BAD.

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH, I DIDN'T MEAN TO SPEAK TO PUBLIC SAFETY UNIQUELY AND I APPRECIATE ACKNOWLEDGING WHERE I COME FROM IN THE CASE THAT I'M HERE. I'M ACTUALLY REPRESENTING THE CCU, WHICH IS A LARGE, YOU KNOW, A DIFFERENT BODY, A BROADER BODY OF EMPLOYEES, BUT I JUST SEE THAT RISK MANAGEMENT'S IDENTIFIED AS A STRATEGIC COUNTYWIDE OPERATION FOR THE CEO'S OFFICE. SO I THOUGHT THAT IT BEING A STRATEGIC POINT, MAYBE THERE ARE SOME TALKING POINTS YOU COULD SHARE, BUT IF NOT, I'LL WAIT TO HEAR BACK.

>> SPEAKER 5: YEAH, I ONLY MENTIONED, I'M SORRY, I ONLY MENTIONED PUBLIC SAFETY BECAUSE THAT THAT I KNOW IS THE PRIMARY AREA WHERE WE HAVE THE VEHICULAR YEAH

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH AND I WAS I JUST USE VEHICULAR AS AN EXAMPLE I KNOW THE COUNTY-WIDE WORKFORCES OPERATING VEHICLES ACROSS THE FULL SPECTRUM OF OPERATIONS. SO I WAS JUST WONDERING WHAT THE FEEDBACK LOOP IS. IF WE HAVE RISK, AND I'LL JUST GENERALIZE AND USE THE PAYOUT THING, MAYBE 1 YEAR REAR-ENDING OR WHATEVER IT IS, I DON'T KNOW, SPEEDING, THAT THERE WOULD BE A SYSTEM IN PLACE THAT NEXT YEAR WE WOULD ATTEMPT TO REDUCE THAT. AND HAVING A LOT OF THE TECHNICAL

PEOPLE IN CEO'S OFFICE AND IT BEING A PRIORITY THERE WOULD BE SOME MECHANISM TO MEASURE SUCCESS OF TRAINING OR SOME OTHER OPERATIONAL MODIFICATION. THAT'S ALL. YEAH.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. THANK YOU. AND I'M HEARING THAT YOU'LL COME BACK WITH MORE RESPONSES

>> SPEAKER 7: ON THAT.

>> SPEAKER 11: YEAH, YEAH.

>> SPEAKER 7: THANK YOU

>> SPEAKER 0: SO MUCH.

>> SPEAKER 6: YOU WERE GREAT.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. I'M ALSO GONNA ACKNOWLEDGE THAT MEMBER STEELE HAS ARRIVED AT 6 P.M. FEEL GOOD? ALL RIGHT. I'M GONNA GO OVER TO MEMBER MOCKRIDGE

>> SPEAKER 18: CHAIR YEAH JUST FOR CLARIFICATION ARE YOU

PLANNING ON GOING I DO HAVE A QUESTION BUT ASIDE OUTSIDE OF MY 3 MINUTES ARE YOU GONNA GO LIKE TO THE TABLE EACH PERSON AND JUST SAY DO YOU HAVE COMMENTS OR SHOULD WE STILL USE OUR CARDS?

>> SPEAKER 0: I WOULD STILL USE YOUR CARDS JUST SO THAT WHEN YOU HAVE IT AND THEN IF I'M TRACKING WHO'S SPOKEN OKAY AND IF SOMEONE HASN'T SPOKEN WE'LL GO TO THEM BEFORE WE GO TO REPEAT TO 2ND QUESTION.

>> SPEAKER 18: OKAY I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT THAT WAS FOR MY 3 MINUTES. NO, THANK YOU. SO THANK YOU FOR FUTURE. SO THANK YOU TO THE PRESENTERS AND FOR THE INFORMATION AS A MEMBER OF UNINCORPORATED LOS ANGELES COUNTY. I'M ACTUALLY VERY SURPRISED TO LEARN AND THAT CEO HAS A TEAM IS MY GUESS THAT IT DEALS WITH UNINCORPORATED AREAS. AND I ASKED THE QUESTION IN THE QR CODE FOR MORE OF A DESCRIPTION ON THE RESPONSIBILITIES. I SEE THE SENTENCE HERE, BUT I FEEL LIKE THIS IS VERY VAGUE. AS A RESIDENT OF UNINCORPORATED EAST LA, I WOULD NOT HAVE EVER THOUGHT TO GO TO THE CEO'S OFFICE FOR HELP WITH MY SERVICES. I'M CONNECTED TO EVERY POSSIBLE DEPARTMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT I HAVE THOSE QUALITY OF LIFE CONCERNS THAT CAN BE SHARED WITH A

PARTICULAR DEPARTMENT, BUT I NEVER REALIZED THAT THE CEO HAS CONNECTION TO THAT WORK AND IT'S NOT EVEN LISTED IN YOUR ORG CHART WHATEVER IT WHATEVER THAT TEAM IS COMPOSED OF IT'S NOT LISTED IN YOUR FTE'S OR YOUR ORG CHART. IT'S I'M LOOKING AT YOUR WEBSITE THERE'S NOTHING ON HERE THAT MENTIONS UNINCORPORATED RATED UNINCORPORATED AREAS A LOT OF THE INITIATIVES AND THINGS LIKE THAT. I EVEN WENT INTO THE COUNTY'S UNINCORPORATED WEBSITE AND THERE'S NOTHING THAT DENOTES THAT CEO IS SOME PLACE I COULD GO TO AS A RESIDENT FOR HELP WITH SERVICES. SO I WOULD JUST APPRECIATE CLARIFICATION ON WHAT RESIDENTS CAN EXPECT THE CEO'S OFFICE OR THE CEO'S TEAM TO HELP THEM WITH WHEN IT COMES TO UNINCORPORATED AREA AND THE SERVICES THAT WE DESERVE AS PROVIDED BY COUNTY.

>> SPEAKER 5: YEAH, I APPRECIATE THAT QUESTION. SO THE CEO'S ROLE IS STRATEGIC COORDINATION ACROSS ALL DEPARTMENTS TO ENSURE THAT SERVICES THEY PROVIDE IN THOSE UNINCORPORATED AREAS ARE COORDINATED EFFECTIVELY. SO YOU WOULDN'T NECESSARILY SEE THE CEO AS THE PUBLIC FACING, PREVAILING SERVICE PROVIDER OF THAT SERVICE. BUT WE ARE TYPICALLY BEHIND THE SCENES COORDINATING WITH VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS ACROSS MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS TO ENSURE THAT SERVICES ARE IN FACT DELIVERED. I'M

SORRY I CUT MS. CARTER OFF.

>> SPEAKER 18: WHAT IF THEY'RE NOT DELIVERED EFFECTIVELY THEN? LIKE FOR US RIGHT IF I'M HAVING AN ISSUE WITH THE CLEANLINESS OF MY STREETS I KNOW I'M SUPPOSED TO GO TO DPW. I KNOW I'M SUPPOSED TO REPORT IT ON THE WORKS APP. BUT IF THAT'S NOT BEING DONE EFFECTIVELY, I DIDN'T KNOW THAT THERE WAS ANOTHER AVENUE FOR ME TO SAY, HEY, THIS WORK IS NOT GETTING DONE. I WOULD JUST BE LIKE, WELL, I'M CONNECTED WITH THE DEPARTMENT. I KNOW THE LIAISON FROM MY COMMUNITY. I'VE EMAILED HER. I'VE CALLED HIM. I THINK THAT THAT'S AN IMPORTANT PIECE TO KNOW.

>> SPEAKER 14: SO I WOULD JUST ADD A COUPLE OF THINGS TO JEREMY'S REMARKS. I THINK NUMBER 1, I THINK 1 OF THE, WHERE I THINK OF IT AS LOCATED IN THE ORG CHART IS IT IS LOCATED WITHIN THE POLICY IMPLEMENTATION AND ALIGNMENT BRANCH. SO THAT BRANCH INCLUDES THE MUNICIPAL SERVICES ALIGNMENT WORK. THERE ARE WEBSITES THAT HAVE ACTUALLY A GUIDE TO SERVICES IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS FOR EACH SUPERVISORY DISTRICT THAT IS ON THE COUNTY'S WEBSITE. THE CEO BRANDING IS NOT HEAVY, I AGREE WITH THAT, BUT IT IS THERE AND I THINK THOSE GUYS ARE DESIGNED TO BE SORT OF AN EASY ROAD MAP FOR RESIDENTS TO FIND THE RIGHT

DOOR TO KNOCK ON. BUT I THINK THAT WHAT THE PIA BRANCH IS ALSO DOING IS TRY TO ASSIST IN THE ALIGNMENT WHEN THERE ARE ISSUES THAT ARE HAPPENING ACROSS THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS TO IMPROVE SERVICE DELIVERY AND HELP SUPPORT THE DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE DOING IT. AND ALSO MAKE SURE THAT THINGS ARE ALIGNED. SO THAT GROUP IS WORKING ACROSS DEPARTMENTS AND WITH BOARD OFFICES TO TRY TO ADDRESS CONSTITUENT CONCERNS. AND AGAIN I THINK THERE IS SOME, THERE IS A WEBSITE AND THERE IS A WEB PAGE WITH EACH OF THOSE GUIDES FOR EACH SUPERVISORY DISTRICT. BUT I THINK WHEN I LOOK AT IT, I USUALLY SEE THAT MY EYE AT LEAST GOES TO THE GUIDES AS OPPOSED TO ANYTHING THAT SPECIFICALLY CALLS OUT THE CEO'S OFFICE. AND PERHAPS FOR SOME OF THE REASONS THAT JEREMY SAID THAT THE CEO IS TRYING TO ALIGN THOSE SERVICES AND MAKE SURE THAT THEY THAT THERE IS SMOOTH AND IMPROVING DELIVERY. BUT THERE ARE OTHER DEPARTMENTS THAT ARE DIRECTLY PROVIDING SERVICES.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU FOR THAT. AND I THINK STILL HELPFUL TO SEE IN DETAIL WHAT THOSE SERVICES ARE WHEN WE REPORT BACK OUT. SO THANK YOU SO MUCH. I'M GOING TO GO OVER TO MEMBER FAZZANA.

>> SPEAKER 2: YES, THANK YOU. AND I ALSO SUBMITTED THIS ON THE

OR SO YOU CAN PASS ON IT THERE. I KNOW THAT WE'VE BEEN PLOWING THROUGH THE ITEMS THAT WE HAVE TO GET DONE BY 2026, BUT IT'S BEEN 18 OR 19 MONTHS SINCE G-PASS. WE GOT A LITTLE OVER 2 YEARS BEFORE COUNTY EXECUTIVE AND THE DIRECTOR OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT AND COUNTY LEGISLATIVE ANALYST POSITIONS BE FILLED. SO I GUESS MY QUESTION IS, HAS YOUR OFFICE PREPARED ANY PRELIMINARY VISION OF WHAT THAT WOULD LOOK LIKE? DO YOU HAVE A DOCUMENT THAT SAYS THIS IS HAPPENING IN 2 YEARS, AT LEAST INITIALLY? HOW DOES THE CEO'S OFFICE FIT INTO THE SCHEME OF THE OTHER REQUIREMENTS OF MEASURE G AND HAS IT BEEN SHARED WITH THE COUNTY ELECTED EXECUTIVE TASK FORCE?

>> SPEAKER 5: I THINK NUMBER 1, THIS PRESENTATION KIND OF REPRESENTS A MOVE IN THAT DIRECTION. I KNOW OUR CEO IS SCHEDULED TO FOLLOW UP WITH YOUR SUBCOMMITTEE AS WELL. WE ARE AWAITING DIRECTION FROM AND RECOMMENDATIONS RATHER FROM THIS BODY AS IT AS IT GOES TO THE BOARD AND THE ASSESSMENT THAT YOU DO ALONG WITH THE PUBLIC TO TAKE WHATEVER DIRECTION THAT THAT MAY COME FROM THAT. WE ARE NIMBLE AND WE'RE READY TO MOVE IN THE DIRECTION THAT COMES FROM THIS, BUT ULTIMATELY WE ARE NOT TRYING TO GET AHEAD OR PRESCRIBE ANY SPECIFIC DIRECTION ON

>> SPEAKER 2: THIS. WHILE RESPECTING THAT, I GUESS I WOULD JUST SAY THAT AT THE SAME TIME, YOUR DEPARTMENT HAS MORE KNOWLEDGE THAN MOST OF US WILL HAVE IN TERMS OF HOW THESE INTERSECTIONS DO OCCUR. SO I KNOW FROM WHAT WE DID THROUGH ETHICS, WE WILL GET SOMESPEAKERS THAT COME BEFORE US AND THEY HAVE

>> SPEAKER 11: DEFINITE OPINIONS. YOU MIGHT WANT

>> SPEAKER 2: TO LOOK AT THIS. YOU MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT THAT. SOME OF WHICH WERE ADOPTED, SOME OF WHICH WERE NOT. FROM GUIDEPOSTS, ARE THERE IDEAS THAT YOU HAVE RATHER THAN WAITING US FOR US TO TRY AND FIGURE OUT BY 2028 WHERE TO FIND WHAT SHOULD BE DONE. DO YOU HAVE SOME GUIDEPOSTS THAT WE COULD AT LEAST LOOK AT? AND THEN MAYBE WE COULD DETERMINE AS A GROUP WHETHER WE AGREE OR DISAGREE, BUT AT LEAST IT MIGHT GIVE US A LITTLE DIRECTION.

>> SPEAKER 5: I THINK IF IT IS THE DIRECTION OF THIS BODY THAT WE SET, OR WE PUT A SET OF RECOMMENDATIONS TOGETHER AND BRING IT BACK TO THIS BODY, WE CAN BY ALL MEANS DO THAT. I WILL SAY PERSONALLY, YOU KNOW, HAVE SOME IDEAS ON THAT, BUT AS THE

CURRENT CEO OF OUR OFFICE, WE CAN DEFINITELY BRING BACK IF THAT IS THE WILL OR REQUEST OF THIS BODY, WE CAN DO THAT. THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: I THINK WHAT I'M HEARING, SINCE IT'S PART OF THE QUESTIONS, I THINK IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO ANSWER THAT WHEN YOU REPORT OUT BACK TO US, I THINK WE WOULD WELCOME HEARING THE OPINION. SO, MEMBER GREEN.

>> SPEAKER 19: GREAT. THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 5: THANKS FOR BEING HERE.

>> SPEAKER 19: WE REALLY APPRECIATE IT. GET TO INTERACT WITH A LOT OF YOU IN ALL SORTS OF DIFFERENT WAYS. SO I APPRECIATE YOUR PRESENTATION. I LEARNED A LOT. AND I THINK, YOU KNOW, I'M A 26-YEAR COUNTY EMPLOYEE AS OF JUNE 2ND, AND I'VE BEEN TASKED WITH REPRESENTING 55, 000 OF THOSE EMPLOYEES THAT YOU TALKED ABOUT IN YOUR PRESENTATION. I'M 1 OF THEM. IT'S FRUSTRATING TO ME THAT THE PUBLIC DOESN'T UNDERSTAND THE GREAT WORK THAT THE COUNTY DOES AND YOU KNOW THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS AND ALL THE SERVICES THEY PROVIDE AND THIS GROUP HAS BEEN TASKED WITH

REALLY REMAKING AND REVAMPING AND RECREATING THE WAY THAT THE COUNTY DOES BUSINESS RIGHT. SO A LOT OF THE CONVERSATIONS WE'VE HAD IS HOW DO WE DO THINGS BETTER? HOW DO WE BE ASPIRATIONAL? SO I WON'T PUT YOU ON THE SPOT AND ASK YOU IF YOU COULD WAVE THE MAGIC WAND, HOW WOULD THE COUNTY GET BETTER? MAYBE THAT'S A GET BACK TO US ON THAT. WE'VE SPENT A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT THE WAY THAT THE CEO INTERACTS WITH THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYSIS AND ANALYSTS. IF YOU COULD TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT, I'D APPRECIATE IT. DEFINITELY. LEGISLATIVE PROCESS?

>> SPEAKER 5: YEAH. WE HAVE A GREAT TEAM WORKING WITHIN OUR INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS AND LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS. TYPICALLY THE INDIVIDUALS THAT WE WORK WITH IN SACRAMENTO AND IN DC, HAVE A GREAT DEAL OF INTERACTION AND RELATIONSHIPS WITH OUR VARIOUS LEGISLATORS. AND THOSE LEGISLATORS OFTEN WILL SEEK FEEDBACK FROM INDIVIDUALS THAT WORK ALONG WITH THE COUNTY ON OUR POSITION ON VARIOUS PIECES OF LEGISLATION OR LEGISLATION MAY BE IN DRAFT AND OUR BOARD WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A POSITION ON THAT LEGISLATION. SO TYPICALLY OUR GOVERNMENTAL AND LEGISLATOR AFFAIRS WORKS TO COORDINATE THOSE EFFORTS. I KNOW ANGELA CAME OUT TO THIS BODY I THINK A FEW WEEKS AGO TO KIND OF PRESENT AN

OVERVIEW OF THAT PROCESS. SO EVERYTHING FROM ANALYZING
LEGISLATION AND UNDERSTANDING THE IMPACT ON THE COUNTY FROM A
PROGRAMMATIC POLICY AND BUDGETARY, OBVIOUSLY THAT INCLUDES
MASON'S GROUP, BUT THAT GROUP DOES A LOT TO ENSURE THAT WE
REALLY UNDERSTAND THE NATURE OF THE LEGISLATION, HOW IT MAY
IMPACT THE COUNTY, AND WHAT POSITION OUR BOARD MAY TAKE ON
THAT LEGISLATION.

>> SPEAKER 7: DO YOU

>> SPEAKER 19: MIND IF I ASK SOMETHING REAL QUICK? SURE. 1 OF
THE THINGS THAT I THINK IS ON, THANK YOU FOR THAT BY THE WAY.
YES.

>> SPEAKER 7: 1 OF

>> SPEAKER 19: THE THINGS THAT'S KIND OF ON MY WISH LIST IS
THAT IN THE FUTURE THERE'S BETTER COORDINATION BETWEEN
COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS, LABOR GROUPS ON SPECIFIC PIECES OF
LEGISLATION. I KNOW IT'S NOT UNCOMMON TO BE IN SACRAMENTO AND
BE TALKING TO LEGISLATORS IN THE COUNTY WAS THERE AN HOUR
BEFORE OR THE DAY BEFORE. SO I WOULD LOVE YOU, YOU KNOW, YOU

AND YOUR TEAM TO MAYBE BRING BACK SOME RECOMMENDATIONS ON HOW WE CAN BETTER ENGAGE THESE COMMUNITY GROUPS. SO WE'RE ALIGNED BECAUSE A LOT OF TIMES WE ARE ALIGNED. SO I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL. YEAH.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. OTHER MEMBERS STILL.

>> SPEAKER 20: WHAT'S GOING ON, EVERYBODY? HOW'S EVERYONE DOING TODAY? ANOTHER BRAVING OF THE TRAFFIC COMING OUT TO SAN FERNANDO. I LOVE IT OUT HERE. I REALLY DO IT'S BEAUTIFUL EVERY TIME I GET UP HERE TO THE POOL IS LIKE I MEAN, THIS IS AMAZING. I WANTED TO ASK I'M GONNA START WITH MY QUESTIONS AROUND THE STRATEGIC INITIATIVES QUICKLY. CAN YOU LET US KNOW IF AND HOW THE STRATEGIC INITIATIVES ARE BAKED INTO THE PRESENCE OF THE CEO'S OFFICE? OR IS THERE THE ABILITY TO DE-EMPHASIZE THEM BY THE CEO? WHAT MECHANISMS KEEP THEM A PRIORITY?

>> SPEAKER 5: THANK YOU FOR THAT, DEREK. I'M GONNA OPEN UP AND I'M GONNA HAVE MAGGIE ROUND US OFF. I WILL SAY THAT I THINK IT CAN BE ARGUED THAT SOME OF OUR STRATEGIC INITIATIVES DOESN'T NECESSARILY HAVE TO BE IN THE CEO. BUT I WILL SAY THIS, THAT I

HAVE WITNESSED OUR CURRENT CEO AND OUR PREVIOUS CEO GET BEHIND AND SUPPORT COMPLEX POLICY ISSUES THAT THEY USED THE POSITION AND INERTIA OF THE CEO TO ADVANCE VERY BROAD AND COMPLEX POLICY, TO SPONSOR AND SUPPORT VARIOUS INITIATIVES THROUGH THE ROLE OF THE CEO.

>> SPEAKER 11: GOT IT.

>> SPEAKER 5: SO I COULD DEFINITELY ARGUE BOTH SIDES OF THAT, BUT I WOULD SAY AS IT STANDS NOW, I DEFINITELY SEE UP CLOSE THE VALUE OF THE CEO BEING INTIMATELY INVOLVED IN THE STRATEGIC INITIATIVES AREA BECAUSE IT SPANS ACROSS SO MANY OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENTS AND IT REQUIRES AN EXECUTIVE SPONSOR AT THE HIGHEST LEVEL. MAGGIE.

>> SPEAKER 14: I MEAN, I THINK 1 OF THE THINGS THAT WE'RE MINDFUL OF IS THAT EACH OF THE STRATEGIC INITIATIVES WAS GIVEN TO US BY THE BOARD. AND SO WE ARE TASKED WITH DOING THE FUNCTION AND DRIVING THE PROGRESS ON THOSE INITIATIVES. AND WE TAKE THAT VERY SERIOUSLY BECAUSE IF THE BOARD HAS TASKED US WITH IT, THEN IT'S USUALLY FOR A REASON THAT IT'S A LITTLE DIFFERENT FOR EACH OF THE 6 STRATEGIC INITIATIVES WHY I THINK

BUT I THINK SOME OF THE SOME OF THE WHY FOR SOME OF THE INITIATIVE FOR MANY OF THE INITIATIVES IT IS BECAUSE IT'S HELPFUL TO HAVE THAT COORDINATING FUNCTION. AND IT'S ALSO VERY HELPFUL TO HAVE THAT HOLISTIC COUNTYWIDE LOOK THAT CAN BRING IN THE BUDGET PERSPECTIVE, THAT CAN BRING IN, THAT CAN BRING THE DEPARTMENTS TOGETHER, THAT MAYBE CAN BRING A BROADER DATA STRATEGY TO A VERY COMPLEX PROBLEM. AND SO, I MEAN, I THINK, I THINK THE REASON IT KEEPS HAPPENING IS BECAUSE THERE IS A VIEW AT THE BOARD THAT THAT WILL BE HELPFUL AND NECESSARY TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM. AND I THINK WE ARE TRYING TO DO IT IN EACH OF THE 6 AREAS.

>> SPEAKER 20: WELL, LET ME CLARIFY WHY I'M ASKING THIS QUESTION. CAUSE WHAT YOU ARE SAYING, COMPLETELY VALID, AGREED UPON AS WELL. I'D VENTURE TO SAY THOUGH, THAT THE OPPOSITE ALSO JEREMY, THAT COULD ALSO BE THE CASE. AND THEN THAT'S WHY THAT'S WHY I'M ASKING WHEN I'M ASKING LIKE, YOU KNOW, TO WHAT YOU MENTIONED, MISS CARTER, WHAT ARE THE MECHANISMS THAT KEEP THESE INITIATIVES ACTUALLY ALIVE? RIGHT? BECAUSE WITH AN ELECTED CEO, COULD THERE NOT BE A SITUATION WHERE IF THEY DON'T BELIEVE IN THESE INITIATIVES, THEN THEY CAN BE DELEGITIMIZED AND THEN NOT WORK ON IT ALL? RIGHT? LIKE, I

THINK THE PASSING OF THESE INITIATIVES, PARTICULARLY LIKE THE ANTI-RACISM DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION OFFICE, THE ANTI-RACISM WORK, OR EVEN THE JUSTICE COMPLIANCE OFFICE, RIGHT, LIKE THE JAIL CLOSURE, THE JACEIT, RIGHT, LIKE THESE INITIATIVES KIND OF COME OUT OF THE COUNTY'S STRATEGIC PLANNING PROCESS THAT IS CENTERED AROUND THE CARE 1ST AGENDA, WHICH DOESN'T COME ABOUT WITHOUT THE ADVOCACY FROM COMMUNITY MEMBERS AS WELL. AND SO I'M ASKING FROM A STANDPOINT OF THE NEED TO GET TO THE END GAME OF SUPPORT FOR THESE PROGRAMS AND THESE INITIATIVES, DO THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO LIVE ON REGARDLESS OF THE POLITICS OF AN ELECTED OFFICIAL WHO MAY NOT BELIEVE IN IT. SO I'M ASKING SPECIFICALLY LIKE, WHAT ARE THE MECHANISMS THAT PROTECT THE INITIATIVES? AND DO WE AS A BODY NEED TO BE RETHINKING ABOUT WHERE THESE INITIATIVES ACTUALLY LIVE SO THAT THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO LIVE ON? RATHER THAN THE CEO'S OFFICE

>> SPEAKER 5: THAT IT MIGHT BE. YOU KNOW, I APOLOGIZE. THANK YOU FOR THAT. I THINK THAT'S A VERY GOOD QUESTION. I, AND I APOLOGIZE FOR A VERY VAGUE AND BROAD RESPONSE.

>> SPEAKER 20: THAT'S ALL GOOD. I GAVE A VERY GOOD WAY THAT WAS KIND OF LEFT IT FOR YOUR INTERPRETATION

>> SPEAKER 5: OF THE QUESTION. I APPRECIATE IT. I THINK NUMBER 1, THE VOICE OF THE COMMUNITY, THAT WILL ENSURE THAT THESE SURE THAT THESE INITIATIVES CONTINUE TO LIVE ON. I THINK AS WE TRANSITION FROM A CEO TO AN ELECTED EXECUTIVE, I HAVE TO IMAGINE THAT THAT ELECTED OFFICIAL IS GOING TO RESPOND FROM WHAT THEY HEAR FROM THE ELECTORATE. AND I WOULD VENTURE TO SAY, AND THIS ALIGNS WITH MAGGIE'S RESPONSE, OUR BOARD SAW THE PRIORITY AND SAW THE VALUE OF THE CEO SUPPORTING THESE INITIATIVES BY WAY OF A... SO I WOULD SAY, I DON'T SEE THAT PROCESS STOPPING BECAUSE THAT COMMUNITY VOICE, I DON'T SEE GOING AWAY. I THINK A LOT OF BAD THINGS WILL HAPPEN IF THAT COMMUNITY VOICE CEASES TO BE HEARD.

>> SPEAKER 0: AND I THINK I'M GONNA JUMP IN HERE BECAUSE I KNOW MEMBER SAID, WANI, YOU HAVE A QUESTION, BUT I THINK WHAT I'M ALSO HEARING IS IT WOULD BE GREAT IF GIVEN A LITTLE TIME, ARE THERE OTHER ANSWERS TO THIS QUESTION THAT WOULD ALLOW FOR EITHER THE PROTECTION OF OR NO PROTECTION OF AND JUST COMMUNITY VOICE? I THINK THAT'D BE HELPFUL BECAUSE I THINK.

>> SPEAKER 6: IS IT IS IT JUST ME OR IS SHE FROZEN?

>> SPEAKER 15: NO, IT'S NOT JUST YOU. I'LL TEXT HER.

>> SPEAKER 17: WE LOST HER.

>> SPEAKER 11: HOW'S EVERYONE DOING? HI.

>> SPEAKER 20: BECAUSE THE BOARD DIDN'T ENROLL AND INSTRUCTED CEO TO DO IT, THEN DOES THAT MEAN THAT REGARDLESS OF THE POLITICS OF AN ELECTED CEO, DO THESE INITIATIVES STILL LIVE ON REGARDLESS? YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING? SO, AND IF NOT, BECAUSE IN MY MIND I'M THINKING ABOUT, OKAY, WELL HOW DO WE MAKE SURE WE PROTECT THESE INITIATIVES?

>> SPEAKER 14: SO 11I MEAN, I THINK THERE IS PROTECTION THAT COMES FROM THE BOARD MOTIONS THAT SHOWS, YOU KNOW, THE BOARD'S CLEAR DIRECTION THAT I THINK IS IMPORTANT AND VERY HELPFUL. I THINK WITH THESE STRATEGIC INITIATIVES TOO, THOUGH, IT IS AN ONGOING CONVERSATION. WE HAVE SEEN THE BOARD ADJUST AND MOVE THINGS IN AND OUT OF CEO INITIATIVES DO SOMETIMES ROLL. THEY, YOU KNOW, WE JUST ROLLED THE HOMELESSNESS INITIATIVE OUT TO A SEPARATE COUNTY DEPARTMENT.

>> SPEAKER 20: YOU KNOW, WE JACOB FOR A 2ND.

>> SPEAKER 14: YEAH, AND THEN CAME BACK TO CEO THE CENTER FOR STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIPS WAS NOT INITIALLY IN CEO. SO I IN SOME WAYS I THINK HAVING THAT THE BOARD MOTION PROCESS OR BOARD ACTION PROCESS, WHETHER IT'S A MOTION OR A BOARD LETTER, IT IS A HELPFUL WAY TO DO IT. BUT YOU DON'T WANT TO CODIFY IT TOO MUCH EITHER, I THINK, BECAUSE YOU WANT TO BE ABLE TO HAVE THE INITIATIVE CHANGE TO MEET THE MOMENT AND I THINK AS LONG AS THERE'S STILL VERY CLEAR DIRECTION SETTING BY THE BOARD INFORMED OF COURSE BY THE PUBLIC AND THE COMMUNITY I WOULD THINK THAT IT WOULD CONTINUE. BUYING IS ALWAYS AN ISSUE THOUGH, EVEN NOW, YOU KNOW, WITH EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDERS AND EVERYBODY AND THIS IS DIFFICULT WORK AND INVOLVES A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT THE BOARD DOESN'T DIRECTLY CONTROL SOMETIMES AND SO I THINK THAT WOULD BE OKAY

>> SPEAKER 6: THANK YOU

>> SPEAKER 4: JUST SINCE WE'RE ON THIS QUESTION LET ME JUST SAY TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION THANK YOU MR. MEMBER STEEL

>> SPEAKER 0: YOU ACTUALLY A LITTLE BIT LOUDER ON

>> SPEAKER 4: THE MIC. I'M SORRY. IT'S THAT VOICE AGAIN, RIGHT? SO I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE GUARDRAILS. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE GUARDRAILS ARE IN PLACE NOW. SO I THINK THAT EVERY PERSON THAT'S SITTING ON THAT SIDE OF THE TABLE THAT'S REPRESENTING THE CEO, EVERYBODY THAT MEMBER GREEN REPRESENTS AND MEMBER SHEA, THEIR DNA IS ABOUT PUBLIC SERVICE. AND THEIR ENTIRE COUNTY CAREER HAS BEEN ABOUT MAKING SURE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ONCE A MOTION GETS PASSED, IS TO BE IMPLEMENTED. SO THOSE ARE THE GUARDRAILS IN ADDITION TO THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT VOICE HER OPINIONS, INDIVIDUALS, COMMUNITIES, NONPROFITS, AND SO ON AND SO FORTH THAT ARE TRYING TO SHAPE POLICY. AND SO THOSE ARE THE GUARDRAILS THAT ARE IN PLACE TODAY. IN ADDITION TO THAT, THE GUARDRAIL TODAY, AS WELL AS THE MOST IMPORTANT GUARDRAIL THAT YOU'RE GOING TO SEE WITH THE NEW ELECTED, IS THE FACT THAT THE SUPERVISORS STILL HAVE THE POWER OF THE PURSE. AND THEY'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DIRECT FUNDING FOR SPECIFIC AREAS. SO FOR EXAMPLE, THERE'S A HISTORY IN THE COUNTY WHERE WHEN THE SHERIFF, FOR EXAMPLE, WAS MAYBE IN THE OPINIONS OR THE EYES OF SOME OF THE

SUPERVISORS WERE NOT DOING WHAT THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO BE DOING IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS. SO THE BUDGET TACTIC THAT THEY USED, AND MASON MAY BE ABLE TO REMEMBER THIS, THEY WERE SPECIFIC DOLLARS THAT WERE DESIGNATED FOR SPECIFIC PROGRAMS IN THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, AND THEY COULD NOT SPEND IT UNLESS THEY DID EXACTLY WHAT THE SUPERVISOR SAID. AND THE SHERIFF WOULD ACTUALLY HAVE TO COME TO THE CEO'S OFFICE AND SAY, HEY, WE'RE READY TO GO AHEAD AND DO THIS. AND THE CEO WOULD DO AN EVALUATION AND SAY, YES, YOU CAN HAVE THE MONEY. AND SO REALLY, THE GUARDRAIL ULTIMATELY IS NOT ONLY THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND NONPROFITS, BUT IT IS ALSO THE POWER OF THE PURSE AND HOW THE SUPERVISORS APPROVE THE BUDGET ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. AND SO REMEMBER THAT THE BUDGET IS ALWAYS THE DOCUMENT THAT TELLS YOU WHAT THE PRIORITIES ARE. AND SO, BUT YOU'RE ABSOLUTELY RIGHT. THIS IS A BRAND NEW BALLGAME WHEN THERE'S AN ELECTED CEO AND SO THAT'S GOING TO HAVE TO BE SHAKEN OUT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. YEAH,

>> SPEAKER 11: THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: I'M GOING TO GO TO MEMBER SIDWANI AND THEN MEMBER PHELPS. MEMBER SIDWANI?

>> SPEAKER 6: YEAH, THANKS SO MUCH. THANK YOU FOR THIS
CONVERSATION AND FOR ALL OF YOU FOR BEING HERE. CAN YOU HEAR
ME?

>> SPEAKER 20: WE CAN'T HEAR YOU,

>> SPEAKER 0: SARAH. WE CAN'T HEAR YOU, SARAH.

>> SPEAKER 6: OH, I'M NOT ON MUTE.

>> SPEAKER 5: NOT YET.

>> SPEAKER 6: WE CAN HEAR YOU. YEAH. I THINK EVERYONE IS IN

>> SPEAKER 11: THE ROOM. WE CAN'T HEAR

>> SPEAKER 18: YOU.

>> SPEAKER 6: THERE SEEMS TO BE

>> SPEAKER 0: A LOT

>> SPEAKER 11: OF IT.

>> SPEAKER 7: CAN YOU HEAR ALL OF US?

>> SPEAKER 0: YEAH, ONLINE

>> SPEAKER 11: WE CAN

>> SPEAKER 0: HEAR EACH OTHER.

>> SPEAKER 6: YEAH. CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW?

>> SPEAKER 0: CAN WE TEST OUT IF SOMEONE

>> SPEAKER 14: ELSE VIRTUALLY

>> SPEAKER 0: SPEAKS IF WE

>> SPEAKER 15: CAN HEAR EACH OTHER?

>> SPEAKER 6: EVERYONE IS SPEAKING.

>> SPEAKER 3: WE ALL

>> SPEAKER 20: HEAR EACH OTHER. THERE WE GO.

>> SPEAKER 6: OKAY. CAN YOU GUYS HEAR

>> SPEAKER 3: ME NOW?

>> SPEAKER 7: YES.

>> SPEAKER 6: WE ACTUALLY LOST YOU ALL IN THE MIDDLE OF THAT LAST CONVERSATION AS WELL. SO I THINK THERE'S SOME TECHNICAL DIFFICULTIES. THANK YOU. MY QUESTION IS ACTUALLY GOING TO BE AROUND THE BUDGETARY FUNCTIONS OF THE CEO, BUT I THINK OF THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE. BUT NOTABLY, I KEEP SAYING CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE. AND I JUST WANT TO REITERATE THAT. I THINK EVEN IN THE LAST CONVERSATION, WE'RE USING THE TERM CEO, I THINK TALKING ABOUT THE CURRENT OFFICE, BUT THE FUTURE COUNTY EXECUTIVE, THAT OFFICE MAY LOOK A LOT LIKE THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE, IT MIGHT LOOK NOTHING LIKE THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE. SO I JUST WANNA REITERATE THAT, THAT IS A PART OF OUR TASK HERE, BUT

THAT IN THE FUTURE THAT ELECTED EXECUTIVE WILL BE ABLE TO ESTABLISH THE OFFICE THE WAY THEY SEE FIT. AND SO I JUST CAUTION US ALL IN TERMS OF SEMANTICS, BECAUSE I THINK IT ALLOWS US TO BEGIN TO THINK THAT WHAT EXISTS TODAY WILL EXIST IN 2029. AND I DON'T THINK THAT'S ACCURATE. MY QUESTION, HOWEVER, HAS TO DO WITH THE CURRENT CEO'S OFFICE ROLE IN COORDINATING BUDGET DEVELOPMENT AND FISCAL OVERSIGHT ACROSS THE COUNTY DEPARTMENTS. I THINK FOR MYSELF, THE WAY THAT I'M SEEING IT IS ALL OF THE BUDGETARY FUNCTIONS THAT WILL IN THE FUTURE BE A PART OF THE OFFICE OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT ALREADY EXIST FOR THE MOST PART. PERHAPS WE NEED TO IDENTIFY SOME ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONS OR ROLES. BUT MY SENSE IS ALL OF THAT WORK IS ALREADY BEING DONE AND VERY WELL BY ALL OF YOU SITTING AT THIS TABLE. BUT I'M CURIOUS IF YOU CAN LAY OUT IN A LITTLE BIT OF GREATER DETAIL WHAT FUNCTIONS CURRENTLY PERFORMED BY THE CEO'S OFFICE IN YOUR ESTIMATION SHOULD TRANSITION OVER TO THE FUTURE OFFICE OF BUDGET AND MANAGEMENT.

>> SPEAKER 12: THANKS FOR THE QUESTION. I DON'T WANT TO SOUND NAIVE OR ILL PREPARED, BUT IT'S NOT SOMETHING I HAVE SO MUCH THOUGHT ABOUT IN THE SENSE OF WHEN I THINK OF THE CHARTER AMENDMENT, IT TALKS ABOUT THE APPOINTMENT OF A NEW POSITION

THAT DOES SOUND LIKE IT WOULD DO A LOT OF THE WORK THAT CURRENTLY HAPPENS. I'M NOT SURE THE CHARTER AMENDMENT LAYS OUT ANYTHING DIFFERENT. SO I THINK IT WOULD EXIST IN SOME SIMILAR KIND OF FASHION. AND GIVEN THAT IT'S APPOINTED BY THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AND I THINK AGREED TO BY THE BOARD, I DON'T KNOW IF OPERATION PER SE, SO SOMETHING, YOU KNOW, IN THE SENSE THAT SOMETHING WOULD MOVE OUT OF WHAT WE'RE CALLING THE EXISTING OR CURRENT CEO AND EXIST IN A DIFFERENT PLACE SEPARATE FROM THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE. SO NOW I'M GONNA GO HOME AND PONDER THAT WHEN I CAN'T SLEEP AT NIGHT. BUT I THINK YOUR OVERALL POINT IS, YEAH, I DON'T SEE MUCH CHANGING IN HOW AND IF WE WERE TO KIND OF PICK UP WHAT I DO AND MOVE IT SOMEWHERE. AND MAYBE SOMEONE ELSE WOULD DO THAT WORK. I DON'T MEAN TO INSINUATE I WOULD BE THERE. BUT I THINK IT WOULD FUNCTION A LOT OF THE SAME WAY. I THINK THERE'S MAYBE SMALL BITS OF THINGS THAT WE DO THAT MAYBE WOULD NOT TRANSFER AND IT'S SOME OF THE THINGS THAT ARE LESS APPARENT EVEN IN HOW I EXPLAIN WHAT WE DO. A LOT OF MY TEAM WE OFTEN GET INVOLVED IN ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS THAT GO FAR BEYOND THE BUDGET SO CONTRACTS THAT GO TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL, IMPLEMENTATION OF IT PROJECTS, THINGS LIKE THAT. THERE'S USUALLY A TOUCH ON THE MONEY, BUT IF SOMEONE WERE GOING TO ENVISION SPLITTING THIS AND SOME OF THOSE

FUNCTIONS, THEY MIGHT THINK, WELL, WHY IS THAT OFFICE OF BUDGET MANAGEMENT DOING THIS? CAUSE THEY SHOULD BE BUDGET ONLY. SO I WOULD TRY TO THINK ABOUT IT A LITTLE MORE. MAYBE WE CAN REPORT BACK ON SOME OF THE AREAS THAT MAYBE WOULDN'T RIGHT TO MOVE. I DO THINK A LOT OF MY MAJOR FUNCTIONS THOUGH, ALL OF THAT DEVELOPMENT, THE BUDGET DEVELOPMENT, THE FINANCIAL MONITORING, ENSURING THE VIABILITY OF THE COUNTY, THOSE REALLY MAJOR IMPORTANT ROLES WOULD MOVE OVER. AGAIN, IF I USE THAT TERM, KIND OF MOVE OVER, I THINK IT WOULD EXIST IN THAT OFFICE AND SHOULD BE THERE. BUT I'D PROBABLY HAVE TO THINK ABOUT IT IF THERE'S SOMETHING I'M MISSING. MY TEAM DOES HAVE A PRETTY WIDE SCOPE OF WORK THAT WE DO BEYOND JUST THE STRAIGHT BUDGET AND SO SOMEONE AGAIN COULD DEBATE CERTAIN FUNCTIONS OR COMPONENTS MAYBE SHOULD NOT MOVE INTO THAT NEW AREA.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 5: LET ME JUST SUPPLEMENT THAT BECAUSE I THINK FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PUBLIC I'M PRETTY SURE ALL OF YOU KNOW BUT FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE PUBLIC, IT'S VERY IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT THE BUDGET PROCESS IS MORE THAN JUST NUMBERS. IT HAS A LOT TO DO WITH POLICY AND EVEN LAW. SO THE WAY THE

WAY WE BUDGET REALLY HAS TO ALIGN WITH SO MANY VARIOUS RULES AROUND FEDERAL AND STATE LAW AND SO INVENTION AND REVENUE STREAM SO IT'S VERY WHEN WE USE THE TERM BUDGET. THERE IS A LOT OF INTERDEPENDENCY WITH OUR VARIOUS FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE COUNTY AND HOW THAT INTERACTS AND FUNCTIONS WITH VARIOUS PROGRAMS AND SERVICES.

>> SPEAKER 6: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THAT.

>> SPEAKER 9: OKAY I HAVE 3 QUESTIONS THAT 2 OF THEM SHOULD BE RELATIVELY NOT LONG ANSWERS. I'M HOPING 1 MAY BE LONGER. THE 1ST HAS TO DO WITH, AND THIS MAY HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED IN THE PRIOR QUESTION THAT SARAH ASKED, BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ON THE SAME PAGE HERE. THE 1ST QUESTION IS, WHAT ROLE DO YOU SEE FOR THE COUNTY LEGISLATIVE ANALYSTS IN THE ANNUAL BUDGET PROCESS AND WHAT INFORMATION WOULD THE CEO'S OFFICE AND LATER THE OFFICE OF BUDGET MANAGEMENT NEED TO PROVIDE IT AS A PART OF THAT PROCESS. THAT'S MY 1ST QUESTION. I GUESS A FOLLOW-UP TO THAT WOULD BE, IN PRACTICE, WHAT BUDGET DECISIONS CAN A DEPARTMENT HEAD MAKE WITHOUT THIS AND WHAT REQUIRES ITS SIGN OFF? I THINK I'LL STOP THERE, BUT I DO HAVE 1 MORE QUESTION AFTER THAT.

>> SPEAKER 5: 2 VERY GOOD QUESTIONS. I THINK THAT'S GOING TO TAKE THE FULL COMPLEMENT OF THIS PANEL TO ADEQUATELY RESPOND TO THAT. THE WAY I UNDERSTAND, I'LL TAKE THE 1ST PART IN RELATION TO THE LAO. THE WAY I UNDERSTAND MEASURE G AND THE EXPLANATION OF THE LAO, THAT FUNCTION DOES NOT CURRENTLY EXIST IN ANY WAY, SHAPE, OR FORM WITHIN CEO. SO I THINK THAT, YOU KNOW, HAVING A SEPARATE INDEPENDENT LEGISLATIVE ANALYST TO LOOK AT THE BUDGET PROCESS AND THEN MAKE AN INDEPENDENT ANALYSIS ON THE IMPACT OF THAT BUDGET RECOMMENDATION AND TO HAVE THAT GO BACK TO THE ELECTED OFFICIALS, TO HAVE THEM MAKE A DETERMINATION ON MOVING FORWARD OR NOT, THAT IS NOT, WHEN WE REFER TO LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS WITHIN THE CEO, THEY DO NOTHING OF THE SORTS. SO I THINK THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT CLARIFICATION. AND YOUR 2ND QUESTION WAS?

>> SPEAKER 11: AND YOUR 2ND QUESTION

>> SPEAKER 9: WAS WHAT BUDGETARY DECISIONS CAN DEPARTMENT HEADS MAKE ON THEIR OWN INDEPENDENTLY VERSUS REQUIRING CEO SIGN OFF? YEAH, DEFINITELY.

>> SPEAKER 12: YEAH, SO GENERALLY, I THINK THE EASIEST WAY I COULD SAY IT IS, SO DEPARTMENT HEADS DON'T REPORT TO THE CEO, SO WE AREN'T MANAGING THEIR BUDGETS. THEY HAVE FISCAL TEAMS THAT DO THAT. MY TEAM DOESN'T DO THAT. THEY OFTEN COME TO US WHEN THEY HAVE FINANCIAL NEEDS BEYOND THEIR BUDGET. BUT I WOULD GENERALLY SAY A DEPARTMENT HEAD HAS THE AUTHORITY TO MANAGE THEIR BUDGET WITHOUT THE INPUT AND AUTHORITY OF THE CEO. WHERE THEY WOULD NEED ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY IS IF SOMETHING HAPPENS OUTSIDE THEIR BUDGET DURING THE FISCAL YEAR, PLACES WHERE THEY NEED TO MOVE MONEY AROUND IN THEIR BUDGET SO THE CEO DOES HAVE AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN BUDGETARY TO APPROVE BUDGETARY MOVEMENTS IN THE BUDGET TO DO CERTAIN BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS. ONLY THE BOARD CAN APPROVE THOSE. SO THOSE HAVE TO GO TO THE BOARD. WE DO A COUPLE BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS DURING THE YEAR. BUT I WOULD SAY WITHIN THEIR EXISTING BUDGET, THEY HAVE ALL THE AUTHORITY THEY NEED TO MANAGE THAT BUDGET. AND WE FULLY EXPECT THEM TO DO THAT.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. AND IF THERE'S NO OTHER QUESTIONS, DAVID, I'D LOVE FOR YOU TO ASK YOUR 3RD QUESTION AND THEN WE CAN GO.

>> SPEAKER 3: THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 9: THIS IS KIND OF FOLLOWING UP ON THE CEO VERSUS DEPARTMENTAL AUTHORITY. SEVERAL FUNCTIONS THAT ARE CURRENTLY IN THE CEO'S OFFICE BEGAN AS INCUBATIONS OR COORDINATION ROLES THAT WERE ASSIGNED TO THE BOARD, AS YOU MENTIONED BEFORE. HOW DOES THE COUNTY DECIDE WHEN A FUNCTION SHOULD BE HOUSED IN THE CEO'S OFFICE RATHER THAN THE DEPARTMENT, AND WHEN DO YOU THINK IT SHOULD GRADUATE OUT? AND I UNDERSTAND AND RECOGNIZE THAT SOME OF THOSE BENCHMARKS MAY BE ESTABLISHED BY THE BOARD. OUTSIDE OF THOSE FACTORS, WHAT PLAYS INTO YOUR DECISION MAKING?

>> SPEAKER 5: I THINK IT'S NUMBER 1, IT'S LARGELY DRIVEN BY WHAT THE BOARD DIRECTS. NUMBER 2, THOUGH WE MAY RECOMMEND CERTAIN THINGS, IT REALLY HAS TO DO WITH THE MATURITY AND STABILITY OF THE OPERATION ITSELF. MAGGIE GAVE A RECENT EXAMPLE OF OUR HOMELESSNESS INITIATIVE THAT RECENTLY MOVED OUT OF THE CEO. THAT WAS A COMBINATION OF THAT BEING A HIGH PRIORITY FOR THE BOARD, THE SCALE AND SCOPE OF THAT INITIATIVE BEING SO BROAD THAT THE BOARD DETERMINED HAVING A DEDICATED DEPARTMENT WOULD BETTER ADDRESS THE SCALE AND SCOPE OF THAT

PROGRAM. SO ULTIMATELY I WOULD LOOK AT THE EVOLUTION LIKE, AND WE USED THE TERM EARLIER, AN INCUBATOR. I MEAN, THE CEO GAVE HOMELESSNESS SEA LEGS, STABILIZED IT, AND AT SOME POINT IT WAS READY TO MOVE OUT. THE BOARD MADE THAT DECISION AND WE DID SO BUT IT WAS BASED ON LOTS OF DIFFERENT VARIABLES. I'M SORRY.
001 OKAY. OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: IS IT SMALL? OH, BUT IT'S SCANNED AND EMAILED. OKAY, BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO ACTUALLY SEND IT TO THEM. SO I THINK AT THIS POINT I'M GOING TO CLOSE THIS PART OF THE CONVERSATION AND I'M GOING TO GIVE US A BREAK, LIKE A 5 MINUTE BREAK OR CAN WE COME BACK AT 6.55, SO A 7 MINUTE BREAK SO THAT THE TASK FORCE MEMBERS IF YOU HAVE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR THE CEO'S OFFICE PLEASE SEND THEM TO THE QR CODE. THE QR CODE QUESTIONS WILL GO TO THE CHAIR OF THE EXECUTIVE AD HOC BUT ALSO GO TO THE CEO'S OFFICE SO THAT WE CAN GET RESPONSES AND KIND OF CONTINUE THIS CONVERSATION. WE KNOW THIS IS AN ONGOING CONVERSATION.

>> SPEAKER 5: YES.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR BEING HERE. THANK YOU.

WE REALLY APPRECIATE YOU ALSO ANSWERING ON THE FLY. THANK YOU TO MY TASK FORCE MEMBERS TOO FOR TRYING THIS NEW VERSION OUT OF RUNNING THIS MEETING. BUT WE KNOW WE'LL BE IN TOUCH WITH ALL OF YOU. BUT YES, GET YOUR QUESTIONS INTO YOUR INTO THE QR CODE NOW SO THAT WE MAKE SURE THAT WE CAPTURE IT AND SEND THEM TO YOU. BUT LET'S TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF A BREAK. SO 655 WILL COME BACK. AND JUST A REMINDER FOR THE ADD 5 MINUTES EACH TO REPORT OUT AND DON'T FORGET TO INCLUDE THE TIMELINE AND OBJECTIVES AND PROJECTED SUNSET DATES OF YOUR COMMITTEES.

>> SPEAKER 11: THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: OH, THERE. ALL RIGHT. CAN EVERYONE HEAR ME OKAY. SO NOTING WE HAVE TO WE ARE GOING TO DO PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEM AGENDA. AND THEN THE PRESENTATION WE JUST HEARD FROM THE COUNTY CEOS OFFICE. THANK YOU ALL FOR BEING HERE. BUT AS WE QUEUE UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT I'M GOING TO READ THE PUBLIC COMMENT THAT WE GOT VIA LETTER FROM THE SAN GABRIEL VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS JUST SO THAT IT'S CAPTURED IN OUR AGENDA MINUTES AND SO THAT FOLKS CAN HEAR. THIS IS REGARDING THIS ITEM NUMBER 4. DEAR MEMBERS OF THE GOVERNMENT REFORM TASK FORCE, ON BEHALF OF SAN GABRIEL VALLEY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS

SGVCOG, SOUTH BAY CITY'S COUNCILS OF GOVERNMENTS SBCCOG, AND THE GATEWAY CITY'S COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS GCCOG, WE WOULD LIKE TO PROVIDE COMMENTS ON ITEM NUMBER 4, WHICH IS SCHEDULED FOR DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION AT OUR JULY 22, 2026 MEETING. COMBINED THE SBCCOG, GCCOG, AND SGVCOG'S MEMBERSHIP INCLUDES 71 CITIES. THE CITY'S RELATIONSHIP WITH THE COUNTY OF LA PLAYS A VITAL ROLE IN PROVIDING SERVICES TO RESIDENTS IN OUR COMMUNITIES AND HAVING AN OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE ROBUST FEEDBACK ON THE ROLE OF THE NEW COUNTY CEO POSITION IS CRITICAL. WE RESPECTFULLY REQUEST THAT PRIOR TO PROVIDING ANY RECOMMENDATION TO THE LA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. THE GRTF ENGAGE IN DIRECT AND COLLABORATIVE OUTREACH WITH ALL 88 CITIES IN THE COUNTY. THIS INCLUDES PROVIDING CITIES WITH THE OPPORTUNITY TO PROVIDE INPUT ON HOW THE CEO'S RELATIONSHIP WITH THE CITIES, BOTH CONTRACT AND INDEPENDENT, COULD BE MOST EFFECTIVE AND HOW THIS NEW POSITION MAY IMPACT THE DELIVERY OF SERVICES. THANK YOU FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION OF THIS MATTER. SINCERELY, MARISSA KREETER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE SGV COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS, JACKIE BACHARACH, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE SOUTH BAY CITY'S COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS AND HECTOR DE LA TORRE, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE GATEWAY CITY'S COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR LETTER AND THEN I'M GOING

TO GO AHEAD AND OPEN IT UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANY OTHER
PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 4.

>> SPEAKER 10: IN-PERSON ATTENDEES WANTING TO SPEAK UNDER
PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 4, PLEASE COMPLETE
THESPEAKER FORM AND RETURN IT TO STAFF. VIRTUAL ATTENDEES
WANTING TO SPEAK UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER
4, PLEASE USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE ON YOUR COMPUTER OR
PRESS STAR 3 ON YOUR PHONE. VIRTUALSPEAKERS WILL BE CALLED BY
NAME OR THE 6 PREFIX PHONE NUMBER. STAFF WILL UNMUTE YOU AND
YOU MAY NEED TO PRESS STAR 6 TO SPEAK OR FOLLOW THE
INSTRUCTIONS FROM YOUR SERVICE PROVIDER. ONCE YOU HAVE SPOKEN,
PLEASE LOWER YOUR HAND FROM YOUR COMPUTER OR PRESS START FROM
YOUR PHONE. AS A REMINDER, YOU'LL HAVE 1 MINUTE TO ADDRESS THE
TASK FORCE. ANY >> SPEAKERS? SO WE HAVE 1 >> SPEAKER. JACKIE,
GO AHEAD AND SPEAK. YOU'RE MUTED. WELL, I THINK YOU ARE.

>> SPEAKER 16: YES. HI, CAN YOU HEAR ME?

>> SPEAKER 7: YES.

>> SPEAKER 10: HOLD ON. WE'RE MUTED. JACKIE, HOLD ON. OKAY.

YOU GOT HER? YEAH. OKAY, GO

>> SPEAKER 11: AHEAD, TRAV.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU, I'M HERE. NO? GO AHEAD. YES, GO
AHEAD.

>> SPEAKER 16: NOW? OKAY. GOOD EVENING, MEMBERS OF THE TASK
FORCE. AGAIN, MY NAME IS JACQUELINE COTTO. I'M A MEMBER OF THE
OUR LA COALITION, AND I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR THOUGHT FOR
WORK THAT YOU ARE DOING ON THE PROVISION TO ESTABLISH THE
ELECTED COUNTY EXECUTIVE. I WANTED TO FLAG THAT YESTERDAY WE
SUBMITTED A LETTER OFFERING AN INITIAL FRAMEWORK TO HELP
INFORM AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS NEW ROLE. I WANTED TO BRING
THIS TO YOUR ATTENTION AS IT WAS NOT INCLUDED IN TODAY'S
AGENDA. ALSO EMAILED TO REQUEST A MEETING WITH THE AD HOC,
WITH THE ELECTIVE EXECUTIVE SUBCOMMITTEE. WE WILL WELCOME THE
OPPORTUNITY TO WALK YOU THROUGH THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN OUR
LETTER, ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS AND DISCUSS HOW WE CAN BEST
SUPPORT YOUR EFFORTS. AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND THE
IMPORTANT WORK YOU ARE DOING. HAVE A GOOD EVENING.

>> SPEAKER 10: THANK YOU. AT THIS TIME, WE HAVE NO FURTHER >>
SPEAKERS, PUBLIC, IN PERSON OR VIRTUAL THE CHAIR'S FLOOR.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> SPEAKER 11: AND THEN

>> SPEAKER 0: GOING TO ITEM NUMBER 5 WE'RE GONNA CONTINUE ITEM
NUMBER 5 TO OUR NEXT MEETING DUE TO THE PRESENTER HAVING AN
EMERGENCY. SO, OH SORRY, GO AHEAD. OH, ITEM NUMBER 4? OKAY,
APOLOGIES. WE'RE GOING TO GO BACK TO ITEM NUMBER 4.

>> SPEAKER 3: I THINK IT'S ALREADY THE INTENTION, BUT I JUST
WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE IT ON THE RECORD. I WANT TO
MAKE A MOTION THAT THE QUESTIONS THAT WEREN'T ASKED THAT ARE
ON THE QR CODE THAT WE SUBMIT THOSE TO THE CEO'S OFFICE AND
REQUEST FOR A WRITTEN RESPONSE FROM THOSE AND THAT ANY
QUESTIONS THAT ARE DERIVED FROM THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC
ARE INCLUDED IN THAT BATCH TO SEND TO THE CO'S OFFICE TO HELP
US WITH WORK. I THINK IF WE HAD ASKED A LOT MORE QUESTIONS,
THOSE ARE LENGTHY ANSWERS AND I DON'T THINK WE WOULD HAVE BEEN
ABLE TO GET TO ALL OF THEM. SO I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT

THEY PROVIDE US WITH WRITTEN RESPONSES TO THE

>> SPEAKER 5: QUESTIONS. OKAY. I 2ND THAT.

>> SPEAKER 0: ALL RIGHT. SINCE WE HAVE A 1ST AND A 2ND, I
THINK WE JUST GOT TO GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT. OR DO

>> SPEAKER 7: YOU WANT

>> SPEAKER 6: TO GO TO... CAN WE DISCUSS THAT BRIEFLY?

>> SPEAKER 20: SURE. YEAH, I WANT TO. THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: I'M GOING TO GO TO... AND SARAH, DOES THAT MEAN
YOU ALSO HAVE HANDS UP? I'M GONNA GO TO DEREK THEN SARAH THEN
JOHN

>> SPEAKER 20: I JUST I JUST WANT TO ASK IF YOU TO SET THIS A
FRIENDLY AMENDMENT TO ALSO INCLUDE SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT
WERE ASKED LIVE AS WELL. BECAUSE I KNOW THAT THE ANSWERS TO
SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT WE ASKED KIND OF IN THIS SPACE
MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN ABLE TO, YOU KNOW, THERE'S SOME THINGS

THAT THEY JUST DON'T KNOW THAT I WOULD LOVE FOR THEM TO REVIEW
BACK

>> SPEAKER 3: AND GIVE BACK TO US. YEAH, ABSOLUTELY. I ASKED 1
OF THEM. SO YEAH, ABSOLUTELY.

>> SPEAKER 0: OKAY, SO FRIENDLY AMENDMENT ON THE FLOOR IS TO
SEND BOTH THE LIVE AND THE WRITTEN Q&A QUESTIONS TO CEO'S
OFFICE IN ADDITION TO THE AD HOC. I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER
SEDWANI AND THEN MEMBER FAZZANA.

>> SPEAKER 6: YEAH, JUST AS CLARIFICATION, MARCEL, FOR YOUR
MOTION, IS THIS AN ACTION THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO BE BROUGHT
BACK TO A FUTURE MEETING? AND WE LOOK AT IT LIKE, WHEN WE
WRITE THESE LETTERS, IT TENDS TO TAKE SOME TIME.
ALTERNATIVELY, YOU SIT ON THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC
SUBCOMMITTEE. ARE YOU COMFORTABLE IF THE SUBCOMMITTEE AND OR
CHAIR WERE JUST TO MOVE THIS FORWARD, GIVEN THE CONVERSATION
THAT WAS CAPTURED TODAY AND THOSE THAT WERE POSTED ON THE QR
CODE AND SEND IT OFF SO THAT WE CAN HAVE A TIMELY RESPONSE? OR
ARE YOU LOOKING TO HAVE THIS BROUGHT BACK TO THE NEXT TASK
FORCE MEETING, WHICH I BELIEVE IS NOT UNTIL AUGUST 12TH, IN

WHICH CASE THAT IT JUST PUTS AN ADDITIONAL TIME FRAME ON IT.

>> SPEAKER 3: YEAH, YOU KNOW, THANKS FOR THAT. BUT I THINK IN ORDER FOR US TO KEEP TO THE WAY OUR BIOS ARE WRITTEN, IT HAS TO COME BACK TO THE FULL TASK FORCE TO BE SENT OFF, RIGHT? BECAUSE THE AD HOC'S AREN'T BODIES THAT ARE ABLE TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE FULL TASK FORCE. SO THERE SHOULD BE SOME DISCUSSION AMONGST THE FULL BODY. IF THERE'S ANY MODIFICATIONS OF THE QUESTIONS OR POTENTIAL ADDITIONS TO THE QUESTIONS, I THINK WE HAVE TO STICK TO THAT PROCESS. SO AS MUCH AS I DON'T WANT IT TO WAIT UNTIL AUGUST 12TH, I THINK MAYBE WE CAN SAY WE SHOOT OFF THE 1ST BATCH THAT WERE ASKED LIVE TODAY AND FOLLOW IT UP WITH THOSE QUESTIONS AFTER THEY'VE BEEN REVIEWED BY THE FULL BODY IF THAT'S OKAY. AND TO YOUR POINT SARAH, I THINK WE SHOULD GIVE THEM A TIMELINE OF SAY 30 ISH, 60, WHATEVER THERE'S AN AGREEMENT ON TO RESPOND, RIGHT? I KNOW THE BOARD DOES THAT FOR REPORT BACKS AND STUFF LIKE THAT. SO WE SHOULD ALSO PROVIDE AN EXPECTED REPORT BACK DATE FOR THOSE RESPONSES.

RHONDA

>> SPEAKER 6: YEAH, JUST THINKING THROUGH THAT TIMELINE THAT REPORT BACK DATE THEN MIGHT BE AFTER WE APPROVE OUR 1ST SET OF

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SEPTEMBER 9TH. SO THUS FAR, OUR TIMELINE IS WE HAVE A MEETING AUGUST 12TH AND WE HAVE 1 AT THE END OF AUGUST, I CAN'T RECALL THAT EXACT DATE, 26TH I WANT TO SAY, AND THE FOLLOWING MEETING, SEPTEMBER 9TH, IS WHEN WE'LL APPROVE OUR RECOMMENDATION. SO THAT'S WHY I ASKED JUST IN TERMS OF WHAT YOUR EXACT INTENTION IS. I THINK IF WE AUTHORIZE THE CHAIR IN YOUR MOTION TODAY TO SEND OFF THAT LETTER, THEN IT COULD BE SENT AS SOON AS TOMORROW. BUT IF YOU WANNA WAIT UNTIL AUGUST 12TH, THAT'S...

>> SPEAKER 3: YEAH, IT'S NOT THAT I WANNA WAIT. I WANNA MAKE SURE WE'RE ADHERING TO OUR PROCESSES, RIGHT? BECAUSE I'M GONNA HAVE SOME COMMENTS ON SOME OF THAT STUFF LATER, BUT I THINK THAT'S THE APPROPRIATE WAY TO DO IT. AS MUCH AS I DON'T WANT TO WAIT THAT LONG, I THINK WHATEVER WAS ASKED TODAY AND IF THERE'S ANYTHING THAT THIS BODY HAS HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW, THOSE ARE OKAY TO SEND, BUT OUTSIDE OF THAT IF THERE'S ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS OR IF THERE'S QUESTIONS THAT THE BODY HASN'T HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW, THEN I THINK THOSE HAVE TO BE VETTED BY THE FULL TASK FORCE BEFORE WE CAN SEND THEM OFF FOR OUR BYLAWS.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO FOR CLARIFICATION, SORRY, THIS IS JUST FOR ME. SO EVERYTHING THAT WAS LIVE IN THE MEETING CAN GO RIGHT AWAY. AND THE ONES ON THE QR CODE, WE JUST HAVE TO WAIT TILL AUGUST 12TH.

>> SPEAKER 20: AND I SEE.

>> SPEAKER 3: AND I THINK WE HAVE A LIST OF QUESTIONS THAT WE GOT, RIGHT? IT WAS A ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION THAT WAS SENT TO US. I THINK EVERYONE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THOSE. I THINK THOSE ARE OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: I THINK THOSE WERE FROM THE SLIDE. IS THAT THE ONES WE'RE TALKING ABOUT?

>> SPEAKER 3: THERE WAS AN EMAIL THAT WE GOT YESTERDAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: I THINK THOSE ARE THE ONES FROM THIS CIVET.

>> SPEAKER 3: YEAH I THINK THOSE ARE OKAY

>> SPEAKER 4: BECAUSE EVERYONE HAD A CHANCE

>> SPEAKER 3: TO REVIEW THEM AND WE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS THEM IF THERE WERE ANY CONCERNS I DON'T HAVE ANY CONCERNS SO I THINK THAT COVERS MOST OF THEM RIGHT WHAT I'M WHAT I'M THE DELAY WOULD BE OR THE BRING IT BACK IF THERE ARE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS BY THE ATOMON OR ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS THAT WEREN'T REVIEWED TONIGHT. I THINK THAT MAY APPLY TO THE QR CODED ONES, RIGHT? BECAUSE IF WE HAVEN'T SEEN THEM.

>> SPEAKER 4: SO, CHAIR, THERE WERE 2 SETS OF QUESTIONS THAT WERE SENT OUT. 1 WAS THE CIVITAS QUESTIONS AND THEN THERE WAS AN EXPANDED VERSION THAT WAS SENT OUT TO YOU ALL AS WELL IN ADVANCE OF THIS MEETING, SO IT'S AN EXPANDED MORE COMPREHENSIVE LIST, SO IF WE CAN SEND THAT.

>> SPEAKER 3: YEAH, I THINK EVERYONE HERE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW AND MAKE AMENDMENTS OR ADDITIONS OR WHATEVER. I JUST, I DON'T KNOW THAT, I THINK THE INTENTION WAS THE QR CODE CODED QUESTIONS. THAT'S KIND OF MAYBE 1 OF THE DOWNSIDES OF THAT IS THAT WE DON'T HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO, MAYBE IN THE FUTURE WE PROVIDE A FORUM TO ASK THE QUESTION WITHOUT LENGTHY ANSWERS FOR REPORT BACKS, RIGHT? THAT CAN GET ALL OF THOSE

QUESTIONS OUT INTO THE OPEN DOMAIN AND THEN GIVE THEM AN OPPORTUNITY TO JOT THEM DOWN AND WE CAN HAVE A RECORD OF THEM. I'M TRYING TO KEEP AS MUCH OF THIS WORK AS POSSIBLE IN THE PUBLIC FACING ARENA, SO WE'RE NOT SHOOTING OUT QUESTIONS. THIS IS KIND OF INTERNAL DELIBERATIVE STUFF, BUT FOR THE LETTER FROM THE COGS, I THINK IT'S INCUMBENT UPON US TO MAKE AS MUCH PUBLIC.

>> SPEAKER 0: OKAY, SO FROM A PROCESS STANDPOINT, I THINK WE'RE SAYING THE LETTER THAT WAS REVIEWED OR THE LIST OF QUESTIONS THAT WERE REVIEWED AND THE OUT LOUD QUESTIONS THAT WE HAD TODAY WILL BE PUT INTO A LETTER FOR REQUEST TO THE CEO'S OFFICE. AND THEN THE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS CAN STILL GO TO AD HOC, BUT NEED TO BE APPROVED ON THE 812 MEETING IS THE MOTION ON THE TABLE.

>> SPEAKER 3: YEAH, AND I'M GONNA, JUST A TINY BIT TO THAT. I THINK THOSE QUESTIONS, WE SHOULD MAKE THEM PUBLIC. JUST SO THE PUBLIC HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEW THEM AS WELL.

>> SPEAKER 0: YEAH, I'M COMFORTABLE WITH THAT. I AGREE WITH THAT 100%.

>> SPEAKER 4: SO CONSOLIDATE QUESTION MENU. GREAT.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO I BELIEVE THAT THAT'S THE MOTION ON THE
TABLE. THAT TRUE FOR EVERYBODY? AND THEN I'LL GO TO MEMBERS.

>> SPEAKER 7: I'M GONNA

>> SPEAKER 0: ASK YOU THAT LIKE A LETTER FORM

>> SPEAKER 21: FROM YOU TO THE CEO.

>> SPEAKER 0: YES, I BELIEVE THAT'S THE MOTION LETTER FORM TO
THE CEO

>> SPEAKER 17: DEREK 2ND IT WITH HIS AMENDMENT OKAY

>> SPEAKER 0: DEREK YOU'RE COMFORTABLE WITH THIS AMENDMENT
OKAY SO MEMBER FIZANA

>> SPEAKER 2: YES REFERENCE WAS MADE TO EMAIL QUESTIONS AND I
GUESS I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT THAT EMAIL WAS. IF I MISSED IT,

THAT'S ON ME.

>> SPEAKER 0: IT WAS AN EMAIL.

>> SPEAKER 2: ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT THE SLIDE ITSELF

>> SPEAKER 3: NO THERE WERE 2 BATCHES OF QUESTIONS THAT WERE
EMAIL

>> SPEAKER 0: I'M SORRY

>> SPEAKER 2: OKAY I DON'T RECALL SEEING IT BUT WE CAN DEAL
WITH THAT LATER

>> SPEAKER 21: YEAH NO WORRIES YEAH THAT'S THE 1 YES THERE WAS
A LIST OF QUESTIONS THAT WAS CREATED BY CIVETOUS

>> SPEAKER 17: AT 06:49

>> SPEAKER 3: YEAH AND

>> SPEAKER 21: IT WAS THEY SENT IT FOR THEY SENT IT TO MY TEAM

RIGHT AFTER THE AD HOC

>> SPEAKER 2: SURE, SO JUST THE AD HOC IT DIDN'T GO THE FULL
GRTF

>> SPEAKER 0: NO, IT CAME FROM THE AD HOC

>> SPEAKER 21: AND THEN WE DISTRIBUTED IT TO THE FULL GRTF.

>> SPEAKER 3: IT WAS DISTRIBUTED AS A ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION TO
THE FULL TASK FORCE BUT THEY WERE DERIVED OUT OF THE AD HOC.

>> SPEAKER 2: OKAY I DON'T RECALL WHEN I GOT IT BUT WE'LL DEAL
WITH THAT LATER THAT'S PROBABLY ON ME. THE 2ND THING IS THIS
LETTER FROM THE COGS IF THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT ALL 80 CITIES
AND HOW THEY ALL DEAL I GUESS WHAT I'M KIND OF WONDERING WOULD
IT HELP FOR THIS TRTF TO ISSUE SOME KIND OF I GUESS A DATA
REQUEST TO REALLY FIND OUT FOR THEM WHAT THEY THINK THEIR
CURRENT PROTOCOLS ARE FOR CONTACTING THE COUNTY AND SO THAT WE
CAN AT LEAST GET SOME INFORMATION BACK THERE. I KNOW THERE'S A
THOUGHT THAT MAYBE WE SOMEHOW ARE SUPPOSED TO GO OUT AND MEET
WITH ALL THE CITIES INDIVIDUALLY. I THINK AT SOME LEVELS THEIR

WEIGHT FOR US TO PROACTIVELY ASK THEM TO DEFINE WHAT THEY FEEL THE STATUS QUO IS AND WHAT THEIR RECOMMENDATION IS.

>> SPEAKER 3: I THINK THAT MIGHT BE A MORE APPROPRIATE IN THE AD HOC REPORTS BECAUSE THAT'S SOMETHING ABOUT THE ENGAGEMENT PART, RIGHT, THAT I THINK THAT IS MORE THAN PART OF THOSE CONVERSATIONS. THAT'S JUST MY THOUGHTS ON IT.

>> SPEAKER 2: YEAH, I'M JUST TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE CAN INDICATE AND RESPOND TO IT, BUT TO TRY AND SPEED IT UP BY GETTING THEM TO PUT ON PAPER HOW THEY WORK. AND THEN WE CAN INCORPORATE THAT AND DECIDE WHETHER WE AGREE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OR HOW WE CAN STRUCTURE THE RECOMMENDATIONS TO ACCOMMODATE THAT, OR IN CASES WHERE WE FEEL WE CAN'T. BUT TO HELP THEM TO, IT'S 1 THING TO GET THE LETTER, BUT TO GET SPECIFIC CONCERNS THAT THEY HAVE IN TERMS OF WHAT THEIR PRACTICES ARE TODAY.

>> SPEAKER 3: I THINK YOUR POINT, DON, IS A MORE IN-DEPTH CONVERSATION THAT I INTENDED TO BRING UP DURING THE AD HOC REPORTS. BECAUSE IT HAS TO DO WITH THE ENGAGEMENT PART OF IT, RIGHT? HOW DO WE DO THAT? I THINK THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE ASKING,

RIGHT?

>> SPEAKER 2: THAT'S WHY I AM JUST TO TRY AND FIGURE OUT HOW
DO WE ACTUALLY

>> SPEAKER 3: GET INTO THIS. I HEAR

>> SPEAKER 11: YOU. OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: IT LOOKS LIKE MEMBER TABATABAI, YOU HAVE YOUR
HAND RAISED.

>> SPEAKER 8: YES, THANK YOU. THERE WE GO. I'M NOT SURE IF I
PUT IT DOWN. THERE IT GOES. YEAH. NO, I JUST WANT TO REINFORCE
WHAT WOULD REMEMBER FOR THE SAUNA JUST SAID, REGARDING THE
COGS AND, YOU KNOW, I, I DO I DO THINK THAT GIVEN WHO THE COGS
ARE GIVEN WHERE, WHERE THEY ARE IN TERMS OF ACCESS TO THE
COUNTY ALREADY, I WOULD THINK THAT THEY ALSO HAVE A PIECE TO
PLAY WHERE THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO KIND OF DEFINE THEIR
CONCERNS TO US, SEND US A LIST OF QUESTIONS. WE JUST HAD A
PUBLIC COMMENT FROM OUR LA THAT HAS MADE RECOMMENDATIONS. AND
THEY'RE A GROUP OF NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS THAT REPRESENT

THOUSANDS OF MEMBERS AND THEY'RE PUTTING WORK TOGETHER. THIS IS ALSO THE WORK OF COGS. THE REASON WE HAVE THE SAN GABRIEL VALLEY COG AND THE OTHER COGS THAT ARE AROUND THAT REPRESENT THE 59 CITIES IS THAT TO DO THAT WORK, TO HAVE THAT CONVERSATION AND THEN PROVIDE US, I UNDERSTAND THEY WANNA BE OUTREACH TOO, BUT I ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT THEY HAVE THAT CAPACITY AND ACCESS, REALLY DO HAVE ACCESS TO US IN WAYS THAT OTHERS DON'T. THAT I JUST KIND OF FIND IT A LITTLE DISAPPOINTING THAT MAYBE MORE IS NOT COMING FROM THEM, THAT IS COMING FROM OTHER TYPES OF ORGANIZATIONS THAT DON'T HAVE THAT, I GUESS, HAVE WHAT THEY HAVE AND WHY THEY EXIST. I MEAN, THEY EXIST FOR THIS TYPE OF STUFF. SO I JUST WANNA MAKE THAT COMMENT.

>> SPEAKER 3: THANK YOU. YEAH, CHAIR, I'D LIKE TO CALL THE QUESTION. THIS IS A CONVERSATION THAT I HOPE THAT WE CONTINUE IN THE NEXT ITEM, BRIAN, BECAUSE I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE HAD A SIMILAR CONVERSATION AT 1 OF THE AD HOC MEETINGS. I'M GOING TO CALL THE QUESTION FOR THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR AND THEN WE CAN CONTINUE THIS CONVERSATION IN THE NEXT ITEM.

>> SPEAKER 0: OH, THAT'S GREAT. THANK YOU. LET'S GO. LET'S GO TO VOTE. AND I KNOW WE HAVE TO GO ROLL CALL VOTE BECAUSE OF VIRTUAL.

>> SPEAKER 22: I JUST WANT TO NOTE THAT THE CALL OF THE QUESTION IS A MOTION WHICH IS FAIR TO SAY WAS ACCEPTED BY UNANIMOUS CONSENT

>> SPEAKER 7: FOR

>> SPEAKER 22: PURPOSES OF THE RECORD AND THEN THAT THE AMENDMENT WAS ALSO ACCEPTED AS PART OF UNANIMOUS CONSENT. AND THEN WE'RE JUST GOING TO TAKE A VOTE ON THE MOTION AS AMENDED.

>> SPEAKER 0: OKAY. SO BASED ON UNANIMOUS CONSENT ON THE CALL TO QUESTION, WE'RE GOING TO GO TO VOTE ON THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR, WHICH IS TO WRITE A LETTER WITH THE QUESTIONS FROM TODAY THAT WE RECEIVED BOTH IN RELATION TO THIS SAVITA'S AND AS WELL AS THE LIVE QUESTIONS, WE'LL GO TO THE CEO AND THE QR CODE QUESTIONS WILL COME BEFORE US ON A12. SO THAT'S THE MOTION ON THE FLOOR. LET'S GO TO ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> SPEAKER 1: DO WE NEED PUBLIC COMMENT BEFORE?

>> SPEAKER 0: I THINK WE WERE WE ALREADY HAD DONE PUBLIC
COMMENT ON THIS AGENDA ITEM. SO WE KNOW WE DON'T

>> SPEAKER 22: THEIR PUBLIC COMMENTS NEEDED

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBERS OF TASK FORCE WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME,
PLEASE STATE YOUR VOTE MEMBER FASANO, YES. MEMBER GREEN. YES.
MEMBER SCHER.

>> SPEAKER 17: YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER MOCHRIDGE.

>> SPEAKER 16: YES.

>> SPEAKER 12: MEMBER GRAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER PHELPS.

>> SPEAKER 9: YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER RODATE. AYE. MEMBER STEELE. AYE. MEMBER
TABATABAYI. AYE.

>> SPEAKER 7: CHAIR PRO TEM MEMBER RODATO. MEMBER STEELE.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER TABATABAI. CHAIR PROTEM SADWANI. YES.
CHAIR YAP.

>> SPEAKER 0: YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: WITH 12 A'S AND NO,

>> SPEAKER 0: I DIDN'T GET TO VOTE. YEAH.

>> SPEAKER 1: SORRY ABOUT THAT. I MY NOTES ARE OFF HERE.
MEMBER HERONIS.

>> SPEAKER 7: YES.

>> SPEAKER 19: THANK YOU

>> SPEAKER 6: MEMBER GRAY, I THINK ALSO

>> SPEAKER 1: A MEMBER GREAT MEMBER.

>> SPEAKER 6: YEAH. OKAY. SORRY. SORRY

>> SPEAKER 1: BOTH 12 DAYS AND NO NOS. THE MOTION CARRIES.

>> SPEAKER 11: MY APOLOGY. THANK YOU EVERYONE.

>> SPEAKER 0: I BELIEVE THEN WE CAN MOVE TO AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 5 BEING CONTINUED. SO WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE THAT AND GO TO NUMBER 6, WHICH IS THE REPORT OUTS. AND THEN MY HOPE IS THAT THE REPORT OUTS CAN BE 5 MINUTES EACH AND THEN WE CAN GET TO ITEM NUMBER 7. AND WE'LL GO AHEAD AND START WITH THE AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE ON COMMISSIONS.

>> SPEAKER 2: YES, WE HAVE MET ONCE EARLIER IN JULY, WE APPOINTED A CHAIR, WHICH IS MYSELF AND DEREK IS THE VICE CHAIR. GIVEN EVERYTHING ELSE WAS GOING ON WITH THE OTHER

COMMITTEES, WE HAVE DELAYED OUR NEXT MEETING INTO AUGUST. THE 10TH IS WHAT WE'RE TARGETING. SO AS WE'RE JUST STARTING OFF, WE DON'T HAVE A CONCLUSION DATE AT THIS POINT, BUT WE'RE LOOKING TO FIGURE OUT A SYSTEMIC WAY TO GO THROUGH THE VARIOUS BODIES AND DETERMINE WHO SHOULD HAVE APPOINTMENTS TO THEM IN THE FUTURE, WHETHER TO LEAVE THEM AS THEY ARE BASED ON TYPE OR WHERE TO GO FROM THERE AND ALSO TO HEAR BACK FROM THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE IN TERMS OF HOW THE COMMISSION APPOINTMENTS, HOW THEY OPERATE THE POSTING AND ADVERTISING FOR THE COMMISSION. SO WE WILL DO THAT AND I'M DONE ON LESSON 5.

>> SPEAKER 0: I KNOW. THANK YOU SO MUCH MEMBER FAZZANA. GOING TO THE AD HOC ON THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE, MEMBERS ZEDWANI AND MEMBER PHELPS.

>> SPEAKER 15: THANK

>> SPEAKER 6: YOU. YES, WE HAVE BEEN WORKING DILIGENTLY, I HOPE AS YOU CAN TELL, FROM THE LAST CONVERSATION AND BRINGING THE FOLKS FROM THE CEO'S OFFICE FORWARD. AS WE PRESENTED PREVIOUSLY, WE HAVE SHORT-TERM GOALS, WHICH WE ARE BARRELING TOWARDS FOR SEPTEMBER 9TH, BUT ALSO MIDTERM AND LONG-TERM

GOALS. SO THERE'S NO SUNSET DATE IN SIGHT FOR THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE AT THIS POINT IN TIME WE ANTICIPATE THAT THAT WORK WILL CONTINUE OVER THE COURSE OF THE GRTF ESPECIALLY GIVEN THE GRAVITY OF THAT WORK. I'LL ALSO JUST NOTE WHILE I HAVE THIS MOMENT, SHADI WILL BE PRESENTING DURING THE ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATE A NUMBER OF ADDITIONAL DATES THAT WE'LL BE SETTING FOR AUGUST FOR ADDITIONAL DEEP DIVE MEETINGS. THOSE ARE VERY SPECIFIC TO THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC'S WORK AND THE SEPTEMBER 9TH RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE'RE WORKING TOWARDS. BUT OF SPECIFIC NOTE IS THE VERY 1ST DATE, AUGUST 7TH. I WANT TO REALLY HIGHLIGHT THAT BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THAT THE CONSULTANT CIVITAS FOR THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC IS WORKING WITH POSTSCRIPTS AND THE OUTREACH AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE TO SPECIFICALLY PLAN A DAY THAT THINKS ABOUT PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT WITH STRATEGIC STAKEHOLDERS. MORE TO COME IN TERMS OF WHAT THAT'S ACTUALLY GOING TO LOOK LIKE. BUT I JUST WANTED TO REALLY UPLIFT THAT GIVEN THE CONVERSATION THAT WE JUST HAD.

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH. ANYTHING TO ADD ON THAT? AND I'M NOTING MEMBER REDACTED.

>> SPEAKER 3: ARE WE WAITING UNTIL THE END TO HAVE DISCUSSION

OR ARE WE GOING BY EACH?

>> SPEAKER 0: I THINK IF IT'S SPECIFIC TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE, WE CAN START, BUT IF YOU CAN WAIT TILL THE IF IT'S LIKE A BROADER DISCUSSION.

>> SPEAKER 7: IT'S GOING

>> SPEAKER 3: TO BE BROADER, BUT IT'S GOING TO BE SPECIFIC TO THIS 1, BECAUSE IT'S ONLY THIS 1 IN THE COMMITTEE'S 1 THAT I SIT ON AND WE'VE ONLY MET ONCE. SO I WANT TO GO BACK TO THE PREVIOUS CONVERSATION. I'VE HEARD THE ARGUMENT SEVERAL TIMES FROM A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT FOLKS. AND THIS IS MY CONCERN. WHEN I READ THE CHARTER AMENDMENT THAT IS MEASURE G, IT SPECIFICALLY STATES,

>> SPEAKER 17: LET'S SEE, THE

>> SPEAKER 3: CHARTER MANDATE IS QUOTED IN THE APPROVED MOTION. THE TASK FORCE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION, INCLUDING ROBUST COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH. COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH, THEY'RE PAIRED TOGETHER, THEY'RE

CO-EQUAL, THEY'RE NOT RANKED. SUPERVISOR MITCHELL DID MAKE A COMMENT AND MANDATED OUTREACH TO DISENFRANCHISED COMMUNITIES AND THAT TYPE OF STUFF, BUT THAT DIDN'T LESSEN OUR THAT'S THE WORD I'M LOOKING FOR OUR OBLIGATION TO ENGAGE ALL STAKEHOLDERS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

>> SPEAKER 7: WHEN I HEAR COMMENTS THAT MINIMIZE OR HAVE

>> SPEAKER 3: AN EXPECTATION OF COGS OR CITIES OR CITY MANAGERS OR ELECTED OFFICIALS TO COME OUR WAY RATHER THAN US REACHING OUT TO THEM IT REALLY BOTHERS ME. THERE ARE 3 SECTORS THAT WERE MANDATED AS PART OF THIS TASK FORCE 1 OF THEM IS MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENT RIGHT SO IF IT WAS IMPORTANT TO INCLUDE IT WHEN THEY CREATED THE TASK FORCE, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE REACH OUT REACH TO THOSE FOLKS. MUNICIPAL CITY MANAGERS, THEIR EXPERTISE SHOULD BE TREATED AS EQUALLY ESSENTIAL TO COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT, BECAUSE THE GRTS MANDATE IS COUNTY EXECUTIVE BUDGET AND SERVICE DELIVERY DESIGN AND THE ROLES GOVERNING UNINCORPORATED AREAS DIRECTLY IMPLICATES HOW CITIES AND COUNTY CODE DELIVER SERVICES THE CHARTER'S OWN WORDS PAIR COMMUNITY AND STAKEHOLDER OUTREACH TREATING SITTERS AT CITIES AS A LESSER CATEGORY CONTRADICTS SECTION 11.74. THE

ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY MUST REACH STAKEHOLDERS IN EVERY SUPERVISORY DISTRICT. THAT WAS A COMMENT MADE BY SUPERVISOR HORVATH, I BELIEVE. SO THE NOTION THAT CITIES SHOULD HAVE SOME OBLIGATION TO COME TO US OR TO BLIGHT US WITH THEIR INPUT RATHER THAN US REACHING OUT TO THEM LIKE WE'VE DONE FOR SEVERAL OTHER GROUPS IN THE COUNTY YOU KNOW IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT THAT WE REMEMBER THAT THIS COUNTY IS NOT MADE UP JUST OF PEOPLE ON THE LEFT SIDE OF THE AISLE, PEOPLE IN THE CENTER AND PEOPLE ON THE RIGHT. IT'S ALL 3 OF THOSE AND EVERYTHING IN BETWEEN. AND SO THIS COUNTY GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE SHOULD REPRESENT ALL OF THEM, WHETHER WE AGREE WITH THEM OR NOT. I DON'T AGREE WITH CERTAIN FOLKS, BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE TRY TO DESIGN OR MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GOVERNANCE REFORM THAT IS ACCOMMODATING TO EVERYONE IN LA COUNTY. THERE ARE 10 MILLION PEOPLE HERE AND I THINK THAT'S INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT. IT'S INCREDIBLY DISHEARTENING TO CONTINUALLY HEAR THAT CITIES, THAT WE SHOULDN'T SPEND AS MUCH TIME WORKING, REACHING OUT TO CITIES BECAUSE THEY DON'T MATTER AS MUCH AS OTHER COMMUNITY STAKEHOLDERS. THAT'S NOT THE WAY THE CHARTER WAS WRITTEN. IT'S NOT THE WAY MEASURE G WAS APPROVED.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO I'M MAKING NOTE, MEMBER TABATABAYI AND THEN MEMBER CEDWANI, AND THEN I'LL GO TO MEMBER FOR THEN A BAR SORRY NUMBER STEEL THAT'S

>> SPEAKER 5: OKAY NO

>> SPEAKER 8: THANK YOU FOR THAT AND I THINK I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT IN TERMS OF PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND OUTREACH ESPECIALLY TO CITIES ELECTED OFFICIALS CITY MANAGERS YOU KNOW WE HAVE MADE SURE THAT AS PART OF OUR REGULAR MEETINGS, WE'VE CUT ASIDE TIME, DONE INVITATIONS, PERSONAL OUTREACH TO ELECTED OFFICIALS FROM WHEREVER WE HAVE TRAVELED TO. WE HAVE OPENED THE SPACE FOR THEIR PUBLIC COMMENTS. WE HAD THEM AGENDIZED. WE HEARD ON A REPORT ON CITY MANAGERS AND WHAT THEY ARE VIEWING THIS PROCESS WHEN WE WERE IN PASADENA, WE DEDICATED PLENTY OF TIME THERE. WE CONTINUE TO OUTREACH TO ALL OF THOSE STAKEHOLDERS. AND I DO THINK THOUGH THAT, YOU KNOW, TO NOT ACKNOWLEDGE THE FACT THAT THOSE STAKEHOLDERS HAVE THE ABILITY TO ACCESS US, ACCESS THE GRTF, ACCESS THE SUPERVISORS THAT ULTIMATELY ARE GOING TO VOTE AND IMPROVE OR CHANGE THE RECOMMENDATIONS. I MEAN, THEY ULTIMATELY, AS MEMBER RODARTE HAS SAID, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MAKE THE DECISIONS. WE'RE

JUST A RECOMMENDING BODY. AND WE KNOW THAT THOSE STAKEHOLDERS DO HAVE THE ABILITY TO SPEAK TO THEIR CONCERNS TO THOSE SUPERVISORS IN A WAY THAT IS MORE DIFFICULT AND NOT IN, YOU KNOW, THE GENERAL PUBLIC HAS A TOUGHER TASK. AND I THINK THAT IS WHY SUPERVISOR MITCHELL HAD SAID THOSE THINGS. BUT, YOU KNOW, I THINK TO STATE THAT WE ARE NEGLECTING A STAKEHOLDERS IS A FALSE PREMISE. NONE OF THOSE STAKEHOLDERS HAVE BEEN IGNORED. THEY HAVE BEEN OUTREACH TO THEIR OFFICES HAVE BEEN CALLED. EVEN HERE DURING TASK FORCE MEETINGS, WE HAVE SPOKEN TO THEIR CONCERNS. WE HAVE OUR CHAIR HAS PROVIDED SPACE AT THE BEGINNING OF MEETINGS FOR THEM TO SPEAK AND GIVE PRESENTATIONS. AND SO I'M JUST, YOU KNOW, I DON'T SEE WHERE THE CITIES, THE CITY MANAGERS, OTHER ELECTED ARE SENSING THAT THEY ARE NOT BEING OUTREACHED TO. I WILL SAY THOUGH THAT THEY HAVE JUST AS MUCH ABILITY AS SOME OF THESE OTHER ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE, CAUSE LA AND I MENTIONED OUR LA AND THE GOOD GOVERNMENTS GROUPS HAVE BEEN DOING WORK PROVIDING PAPERS. AND I KNOW THAT THE COG DOES THAT TYPE OF WORK AS WELL. AND SO, TO SHARE AT THESE MEETINGS THAT, HEY, PROVIDE US, GIVE US THIS WORK THAT YOU DO ON OTHER ISSUES. AND WE ARE OPEN TO RECEIVING IT AND HAVING IT INFORM OUR WORK. I JUST DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY THAT WOULD BE A COMMENT THAT IS TAKEN AS ASKING MORE OF

GROUPS. AND SO, I WANNA STATE THAT, I ALSO WANT TO STATE THAT WE DO UNDERSTAND THE CAPACITY THAT THE TASK FORCE HAS IN TERMS OF DOING OUTREACH AND DOING STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT AT THE LEVELS THAT WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO DO. AND SO, I THINK AS STAKEHOLDERS IN THE COUNTY, THERE'S ALSO A LIST THAT I WOULD EXPECT THE COGS WOULD DO AS WELL TO BE A PART OF THIS, TO UNDERSTAND, YAY, YOU KNOW WHAT? WE DO ACTUALLY HAVE SOME CAPACITY. THIS IS PART OF THE WORK WE DO. SO YES, LET US LET US DO THAT. LET US ENGAGE BECAUSE WE ALSO KNOW THAT OUR ENGAGEMENT AND WHAT WE ARE CONCERNED WITH OFTENTIMES WILL HOLD A LEVEL OF WEIGHT. AND SO THAT REALLY IS TO MY COMMENT PRIOR AND MY COMMENTS NOW. SO THANK YOU, THANK YOU, CHAIR.

>> SPEAKER 0: YES, AND I THINK OF NOTE, I AM GONNA 1, COGS ARE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS. I KNOW THAT WAS A QUESTION ON THE TABLE. I AM GONNA MOVE US THROUGH THIS AND I ASK YOU TO KEEP YOUR REMARKS UNDER 3

>> SPEAKER 11: MINUTES.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER SIDWANI.

>> SPEAKER 6: GO AHEAD. YEAH, THANK YOU. AND THANK YOU TO MEMBER RODARTE FOR LIFTING UP THIS CONCERN. AND I HEAR YOU, BECAUSE THIS ISN'T THE 1ST TIME YOU'VE RAISED THIS CONCERN. AND I REALLY DO WANT TO FIND A SOLUTION TO THE CONCERN. AND I THINK WHEN I THINK ABOUT HOW OUR TASK FORCE OPERATES, WE'RE VERY, WE'VE VERY MUCH, AND I SAY THIS LOVINGLY ABOUT ALL OF US, BECAUSE I SEE US AS A TEAM, WE'RE A BIT OF A RAGTAG STARTUP TEAM, RIGHT? I MEAN, WE COME FROM MANY DIFFERENT WALKS OF LIFE, AND THIS IS AN ENORMOUS JOB THAT WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US. AND I THINK WE HAVE REALLY, I'M ACTUALLY VERY PROUD OF THE WORK WE'VE DONE LEANING ON 1 ANOTHER FOR OUR COLLECTIVE EXPERTISE. AND I THINK, MEMBER RODARTE, YOU BRING WITH YOU AN INCREDIBLE AMOUNT OF EXPERTISE ABOUT THE CITIES. AND I HEAR YOU, I SEE YOU, I SEE THE CITIES, I SEE THE NEED AND THE INTEREST TO BE FURTHER ENGAGED. BUT I WANT US TO ALSO LEAN ON OUR ENTREPRENEURIAL SPIRIT AND THE WILLINGNESS THAT I HAVE SEEN FROM ALL OF US TO REALLY ROLL UP OUR SLEEVES, GET OUR HANDS DIRTY, AND DO THIS WORK, WHATEVER THAT OFTEN TAKES. SO RIGHT NOW, WE'RE DOING THE REPORT OUTS FROM THE CURRENT SUBCOMMITTEES, BUT I KNOW THAT THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM HAS TO DO WITH THINKING ABOUT THE AD HOC TALKS. AND TO YOUR POINT, I APPRECIATE YOU LIFTING UP THIS DISTINCTION BETWEEN PUBLIC OR

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND STAKEHOLDERS. AND IF YOUR READ OF THAT IS THAT STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT IS NOT BEING ADEQUATELY CAPTURED, THEN I THINK IT WARRANTS HAVING A SEPARATE AD HOC FOR STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT, OR MORE SPECIFICALLY FOR CITY ENGAGEMENT. SO I REALLY HOPE THAT WHEN WE GET TO THE NEXT AGENDA ITEM, I'M HAPPY TO LEAD THAT MOTION IF YOU'D LIKE ME TO INITIATE THAT SUBCOMMITTEE. BUT I HOPE THAT YOU WOULD LEAD THAT WORK AND HELP US USE YOUR EXPERTISE TO HELP US UNDERSTAND HOW WE CAN BETTER DO THAT WORK. I DON'T THINK IT'S SOMETHING THAT ANY OF US WANT TO, NO ONE'S TRYING TO HAVE BARRIERS FOR CITIES TO BE INVOLVED IN THIS PROCESS, BUT I THINK WE NEED TO LEAN ON YOUR EXPERTISE OF HOW TO BEST GO ABOUT DOING THAT. AND I KNOW SHADI AND SANTOS AND THE WHOLE STAFF AND CONSULTANT TEAM ARE THERE TO HELP US MOVE FORWARD THAT VISION, WHATEVER THOSE VISIONS ARE, WHICH IS JUST A KUDOS TO THEM. BUT I REALLY DO HOPE THAT YOU CAN BRING YOUR EXPERTISE TO FULFILLING THIS GOAL, ESPECIALLY SINCE YOU CLEARLY FEEL VERY PASSIONATELY THAT WE'RE NOT LIVING UP TO IT. BUT I GUESS THE QUESTION IS THEN HOW DO WE DO THAT WORK? AND I'M LOOKING TO YOU TO HELP US LEAD IT. I'M

>> SPEAKER 0: GONNA GO TO MEMBER STEEL.

>> SPEAKER 20: I'M SITTING HERE AND I'M LISTENING TO THE CONVERSATION. I JUST WANT TO DOUBLE TAP ON A LOT OF THE THINGS THAT MEMBERS ABOUT THE BODY MENTIONED I AM HAVING A HARD TIME WITH THIS 1 BECAUSE I'M ALSO KIND OF PUTTING TOGETHER THE DIFFERENT CONVERSATIONS THAT WE'VE KIND OF HAD AROUND THE CITIES AND THE CITY MANAGERS AND THE WORK AROUND THAT I'M THINKING I THINK AT 1 POINT WHERE THERE WAS A CONVERSATION AROUND ACCESS TO THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE AND THE ABILITY TO BE ABLE TO HAVE DIRECT COMMUNICATION. AND YOU KNOW SOMETHING THAT MEMBERS OF BATAVAIS ALSO SAID THAT I COMPLETELY AGREE WITH IS THAT THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND REGULAR FOLKS WHO HAVE ALREADY BEEN TAGGING INTO THIS CONVERSATION, 2 THINGS. 1, THEY DON'T GET PAID FOR THE CIVIC ENGAGEMENT THAT THEY ARE DOING TO BE A PART OF THIS PROCESS. THIS IS THEM DOING IT ON THEIR TIME. AND THEY ALSO DON'T HAVE A LOT OF THE ALLOWABLES OR OPENINGS THAT SOME OF THE SPACES THAT HAVE BEEN OPENED UP IN IT IN THIS SPACE THAT WE'VE HAD, YOU KNOW, SHOUT OUT TO A MEMBER TO CARRY OUT TO MAKE SURE THAT THERE IS SPACE FOR ELECTEDS AND FOR EXECUTIVES TO KIND OF HAVE AND LIKE SHAPE THE UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT'S GOING ON IN THESE COMMUNITIES THAT WE HAVE BEEN ABLE TO TRAVEL TO ALL ACROSS THE COUNTY. SO KNOWING WHAT WE HAVE BEEN

ABLE TO GIVE AND OPEN UP THE DOOR FOR, ALONG WITH THE AMOUNT OF OUTREACH THAT HAS ACTUALLY TAKEN PLACE AND HAS NOT BEEN RESPONDED TO, I'M HAVING A HARD TIME OF UNDERSTANDING WHERE THE ENERGY IS COMING FROM OF SAYING THAT WE HAVE NOT OR THAT THERE'S AN ANTAGONISTIC ENERGY TOWARD THE CITIES IN THEIR LEADERSHIP. YOU KNOW I DON'T SEE THAT. I DON'T THINK THAT HAS BEEN THE ENERGY FROM THE COMMITTEE WHO HAS BEEN TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT COMMUNITY HAS BEEN ENGAGED IN THIS. THE WAY THAT WE HAVE FRAMED THIS, COMMUNITY IS NOT JUST COMMUNITY MEMBERS OR RESIDENTS, IT'S ACTUALLY ALL PEOPLE WHO WANNA PARTICIPATE IN THE GRTF PROCESS AND WHATEVER ISSUE THAT WE'RE WORKING WITH. YOU KNOW, WHEN WE DID THE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT MEETING, EVERYBODY WAS WELCOME TO COME TO THAT SPACE. IT JUST SO HAPPENED THAT THE ORGANIZATION REPRESENTATIVES AND COMMUNITY MEMBERS WERE THE ONES WHO SHOWED UP MOST RIGHT I THINK WE ALL HAVE OUR OWN SPHERES OF INFLUENCE THAT WE HAVE ON OUR OWN YOU KNOW I THINK IT'S OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO ALSO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE ADVANCING THE OPENING EVEN THROUGH OURSELVES AS INDIVIDUALS FOR PEOPLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROCESS. YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING? SO I KIND OF, I'M KIND OF TAKING A BACK ABOUT THIS CONVERSATION. YOU KNOW, I KNOW MY 3 MINUTES IS UP, SO I'LL STOP IT.

>> SPEAKER 0: I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER FAZZANA.

>> SPEAKER 2: YES, THANK YOU. I WILL SAY I WAS A LITTLE SURPRISED TO SEE THIS LETTER. BUT THAT BEING SAID, IT'S A CLEAR REQUEST FOR MORE INFORMATION. I THINK THE ISSUE IS IF YOU GOT 80 CITIES, 88 CITIES, WHAT IS AN EFFECTIVE WAY TO REACH THEM AND IS THERE SOME SYNERGY IN TERMS OF THE POINTS OF VIEW? AND ACTUALLY, I THINK THE COGS AND CONTRACT CITIES AND INDEPENDENT CITIES, LEAGUE OF CITIES, THEY COULD ALL MAYBE TRY AND HELP. ARE THERE DIFFERENCES IN HOW PEOPLE REACH OUT? ARE THERE AREAS WHERE THEY MIGHT AGREE THAT THEY MIGHT WANT TO FIND A COMMON APPROACH? FROM THE STANDPOINT OF DATA REQUESTS, IT'S MORE FROM THE STANDPOINT OF TRYING TO PUT TOGETHER IS THERE A AGREED UPON PROTOCOL OR THE COMMONALITIES WE CAN TRY AND MOVE TO AS WE GET THE INFORMATION BACK AND MAYBE GET IT BACK IN A TIMELY WAY THAT WE CAN RESPOND TO THEIR NEEDS AS WELL AS OUR NEEDS WITH THE TASK FORCE AS A WHOLE. SO I LOOK AT THIS FROM THE STANDPOINT OF GETTING BACK TO THEM SAYING THEY DO INCREDIBLE THINGS IN A LOT OF AREAS ENERGY TRANSPORTATION HOUSING HOMELESSNESS SERVICES THIS IS ANOTHER AREA THAT MAYBE THEY HAVEN'T BEEN FOCUSED ON AS MUCH BUT FOR WHATEVER REASON

HERE'S A LETTER I THINK THEY'RE READY SO AT SOME POINT TO THE EXTENT THAT WE CAN FIGURE OUT WHAT THEY CAN DO BUT THEN SEE WHAT THEY'RE WILLING TO DO TO HELP GROUP SOME OF THESE ISSUES TO SEE IF THERE'S SOME COMMON AREAS OF APPROACH COULD BE HELPFUL TO US GETTING RESULTS MORE QUICKLY WE CAN THEN TAKE BACK AND SAY THAT WE REALLY DID GET THE INPUT FROM THEM.

>> SPEAKER 11: THANK YOU. MEMBER ARSHUR. YEAH, THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 17: MARCEL, PUT A TIMER ON ME. I JUST WANT TO MAKE THE COMMENT, LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTAL BODIES IS COMMUNITY. THESE ARE ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT REPRESENT IN THIS CASE MILLIONS OF FOLKS. THEY'RE NOT THE SAME AS COMMUNITY GROUPS. NO QUESTION ABOUT IT. I REPRESENT APPROXIMATELY 35,000 EMPLOYEES WHO ARE OUTWARD FACING PRIMARY SERVICE PROVIDERS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. THEY INCLUDE BUILDING INSPECTORS, LAW ENFORCEMENT, FIREFIGHTERS, HAZMAT, HEALTH WORKERS, FOOD INSPECTORS, LIFEGUARDS, ENGINEERING, TRAFFIC CONTROL, TRAFFIC PLANNING, AND OTHER TYPES OF SERVICES WHERE THE PRIMARY CONSUMER IS THE PUBLIC, BUT THESE SERVICES ARE PROVIDED THROUGH THE CITIES. I HEAR THE IDEA THAT THE CITIES MAY HAVE ACCESS TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. I'VE BEEN AROUND THE

POLITICAL PROCESS IN THE COUNTY AND I HAVE FOUND THAT THE MORE THAN 400 CITY COUNCIL FOLKS AND VARIOUS PEOPLE HAVE SOME ACCESS TO THE SUPERVISORS, BUT IT'S NOT AS GOOD AS I MIGHT THINK. AND I DO FEEL THAT SOME ASPECTS OF THE COMMUNITY WOULD PROBABLY HAVE AN EQUALLY HARD OR A GREATER HARD TIME GETTING ACCESS. BUT WE SHOULDN'T PRESUME THAT BECAUSE IT'S A CITY COUNCIL PERSON OR CITY MANAGER, THEY CAN DIAL INTO THE SUPERVISORS AND THEREFORE WE SHOULDN'T FORCE THEM TO INTERVENE ON OUR RECOMMENDATION POST FACTO. I'VE BEEN TOLD BY MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THIS BODY SPEAKS WITH A RECOMMENDATION. IT CARRIES WEIGHT. AND AS WE SAW WITH THE ETHICS RECOMMENDATION THAT CAME OUT OF THE BODY, OUR BASE RECOMMENDATION TO ENSURE FUNDAMENTALLY THE COMPLIANCE WITH THAT RECOMMENDATION OUTSIDE THE MOTION PROCESS. I THINK THE CITY SHOULD HAVE EQUAL ACCESS TO US IN THE RECOMMENDATION PHASE PRIOR TO IT GOING TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. I THINK WE SHOULD REACH OUT TO THE CITY COUNCILS, THE COGS, AND ALL THESE DIFFERENT GROUPS AS A FORCE MULTIPLIER, JUST LIKE WE WOULD COMMUNITY GROUPS. THEY SHOULDN'T BE TREATED ANY LESSER. IT'S AN OPPORTUNITY AND I APPRECIATE THE TERM THAT JOHN USED, WHICH IS SYNERGIES, AND I THINK THEY EXIST. THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO I'M GOING TO GO TO MEMBER RODARTE AND THEN

>> SPEAKER 11: MEMBER PHELPS. ALL RIGHT.

>> SPEAKER 3: I HAVE A QUICK COMMENT AND THEN I HAVE 2
QUESTIONS FOR THE MEMBERS OF THE AD HOC THAT HAVE BEEN ON
LONGER THAN I HAVE ONLY BEEN ON FOR A SHORT TIME. I WANT TO
SAY UNEQUIVOCALLY INVITING SOMEONE TO GIVE A WELCOME AT OUR
MEETING IS NOT ENGAGEMENT. ASKING A COUNCIL MEMBER TO SPEND A
FEW MINUTES SAYING WELCOME TO MY CITY. WE HOPE YOU ENGAGE US
IS NOT ENGAGEMENT. I DON'T CARE HOW YOU FRAME IT. THAT IS NOT
MY QUESTION TO THE MEMBERS OF THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC
THAT HAVE BEEN HOW MUCH ENGAGEMENT HAS BEEN DONE BY THIS AD
HOC AND TO WHAT STAKEHOLDERS WHAT KEY STAKEHOLDERS HAVE BEEN
INVITED TO GIVE INPUT TO THE AD HOC DURING THEIR MEETINGS AS
THEY FORMULATE THESE PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WE WILL
BE TALKING ABOUT VERY SOON.

>> SPEAKER 6: WOULD YOU LIKE

>> SPEAKER 11: TO ANSWER

>> SPEAKER 6: THAT? IT SEEMED LIKE THAT WAS DIRECTED TO THE AD HOC MEMBERS, AN AD HOC OF WHICH I CHAIR. SO I CAN JUST VERY BRIEFLY ANSWER NONE. AND THAT'S BECAUSE THE GENERAL STRUCTURE, AND REMEMBER, RODARTE, I'M SURE YOU KNOW THIS, HAVING SERVED AS CHAIR OF THE TASK FORCE, WAS THAT THE AD HOC WAS MEANT TO BE SUBSTANTIVE IN TERMS OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF POLICY. AND SEPARATELY, THERE IS A PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AD HOC COMMITTEE THAT IS TASKED WITH DOING THAT AD HOC WORK. SIMILARLY, FOR ETHICS, WHEN THE AD HOC COMMITTEE CAME UP WITH AN INITIAL SET OF RECOMMENDATIONS, WE HANDED IT OFF TO THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT SUBCOMMITTEE TO DO THAT WORK BECAUSE WE REALLY SEE THEM AS BEING THE ONES HOLDING THE EXPERTISE AND THE RELATIONSHIPS TO GUIDE THAT WORK. THAT'S WHY I GO BACK TO MY INITIAL COMMENT. IF I DON'T, I DON'T, FOR ME I'M AGNOSTIC ON THIS PIECE OF CITIES VERSUS COMMUNITY. CITIES NEED TO BE ENGAGED, PERIOD, YES. AND IF WE'RE NOT DOING THAT WELL ENOUGH, THEN LET'S FIND AN AVENUE TO DO IT. BUT IT CANNOT BE ON EVERY SINGLE AD HOC TO COME UP WITH THEIR OWN ENGAGEMENT STRATEGY, BECAUSE WE HAVE TO DO ENGAGEMENT ON EVERY SINGLE PIECE OF SUBSTANTIVE POLICY WORK THAT WE ARE DOING. SO THAT WAS THE WAY THAT WE WERE ARRANGED. IT SOUNDS LIKE WHAT YOU'RE SUGGESTING IS SOME SORT OF CHANGE. I'M REALLY OPEN TO WHAT YOUR SUGGESTIONS ARE. AND IF YOU WANT

TO DO IT THROUGH THE AD HOC, YOU NOW SERVE ON THAT AD HOC, BUT YOU HAVEN'T RAISED THAT EVEN IN THE AD HOC MEETINGS. SO THIS IS A COLLABORATIVE PROCESS THAT DOESN'T NEED TO BE CONFRONTATIONAL OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. THE PURPOSE IS THAT WE ALL BRING OUR RESPECTIVE SKILLS, THE RESPECTIVE COMMUNITIES THAT WE SERVE AND THAT WE ENGAGE WITH TO THIS PROCESS. SO I THINK FOR ME, IT'S JUST THINKING ABOUT HOW CAN YOU RUN THE CONTRACT CITIES ASSOCIATION? HOW CAN YOU BRING THAT WORK TO THIS TASK FORCE? I TRULY AM ASKING THAT QUESTION BECAUSE YOU WILL KNOW THAT FAR BETTER THAN I WILL. THAT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT I DO.

>> SPEAKER 3: YEAH, I THINK THAT ENGAGEMENT IS NOT A SEPARATE ACTION OF OUR WORK. IT SHOULD NOT BE. I THINK EACH OF THE AD HOCS DO NEED TO ENGAGE. THEY DO NEED TO ENGAGE STAKEHOLDERS AND COMMUNITY, OUR LA, CITY, CITY MANAGERS, EVERYONE. BUT WHEN WE'RE CRAFTING THESE RECOMMENDATIONS BEHIND CLOSED DOORS, BECAUSE THESE AREN'T PUBLIC FACING MEETINGS, IT'S IMPERATIVE THAT WE GET THEIR INPUT AS WE'RE CRAFTING THESE RECOMMENDATIONS BECAUSE OTHERWISE BY THE TIME THEY GET HERE, AS WE SAW WITH THE BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS AND THE ETHICS RECOMMENDATIONS, THEY'RE ALMOST FULLY BAKED, RIGHT? AND IT'S

ALMOST TOO LATE TO MAKE ANY CHANGES. SO COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT OR ENGAGEMENT WITH STAKEHOLDERS, ONCE THEY COME TO THE FULL BODY, IT'S TOO LATE. IT'S ALMOST LIKE I'M GOING TO USE A PERFECT ANALOGY OF THIS. ON TUESDAY, WHEN THE BOARD CHANGED A COUPLE OF THE LANGUAGE OR THE ORIGINAL ETHICS ORDINANCE, WHEN THE ITEM WASN'T HELD, IT'S PRETTY MUCH TOO LATE, RIGHT? IT DIDN'T MATTER WHAT ANYONE SAID, THEY ALREADY PRETTY MUCH AGREED THAT THEY WEREN'T GOING TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT IT. IT'S BASICALLY A CONSENT CALENDAR, RIGHT? SO THAT'S THE WAY THAT THIS WORKS, IS WE NEED TO ENGAGE FOLKS, ALL FOLKS, I'M NOT EXCLUDING ANYONE, BUT WE NEED TO MAKE SURE WE'RE ENGAGING ALL OF THE STAKEHOLDERS IN THIS COUNTY THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS, NOT ONCE THE PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS ARE BEING DONE, BECAUSE AT THAT POINT, IT'S, IT'S, WE'RE DONE.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO I'M GOING TO GO TO MEMBER PHELPS AND THEN MEMBER STEELE AND TABATA BAYI, BUT I ALSO JUST WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I THINK WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ON AUGUST 7 IS THE ENGAGEMENT AROUND THIS PARTICULAR EXECUTIVE. AND SO I THINK HEARING SOME OF THESE COMMENTS, IT SEEMS LIKE THE RIGHT PLACE TO ALSO EXPLORE EARLIER STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENTS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS. BUT WE HAVE A MONTH FROM AUGUST 7 FOR THAT

RECOMMENDATION. SO JUST NOTING THAT, I'M GOING TO GO TO MEMBER PHELPS, THEN STEELE, THEN TABA TABA'I.

>> SPEAKER 9: I THINK THE COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS WISHES TO BE INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS OR COMPLETELY APPROPRIATE. THEY ARE A STAKEHOLDER, AND THEY'RE COMING TO US AND ASKING TO BE ENGAGED. AND FROM A PERSON WHO DOESN'T WORK DIRECTLY WITH ALL OF THE 88 CITIES IN THE COUNTY, I WANT TO HEAR WHAT THEY HAVE TO SAY. BUT I ALSO RECOGNIZE THAT WE HAVE A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF BANDWIDTH FOR ORGANIZING AND COORDINATING THAT OUTREACH. AND I THINK WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE DOING OUTREACH 1ST TO THE COMMUNITIES THAT ARE THE LEAST ORGANIZED OR LEAST REPRESENTED 1ST

>> SPEAKER 1: BEFORE

>> SPEAKER 9: WE AS A TASK FORCE DIRECTLY ORGANIZE THESE EFFORTS. SO THAT BEING SAID, I THINK IT REALLY NEEDS TO BE ON THE COGS TO ENGAGE WITH THE TASK FORCE AS WE'RE AS WE'RE MOVING FORWARD THROUGH THE PROCESS AND TO ENGAGE WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS LIKE LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS AND COMMON CAUSE WHO HAVE BEEN CONTINUALLY WATCHING US AND SENDING US

LETTERS AND PROVIDING INPUT ON OPEN FORUM. THOSE ARE THE THINGS THAT THE CONTRACT CITY SHOULD BE DOING AS PART OF THE PROCESS. AND IF THEY WANT TO HAVE ADDITIONAL INPUT, THEN PLEASE, I INVITE THEM TO HELP ORGANIZE SOMETHING THAT WE CAN COME TO AND ENGAGE WITH BECAUSE I WANT THAT. BUT WHEN WE'RE PUTTING DEMANDS IN A LETTER SAYING THAT WE NEED TO ENGAGE WITH THEM ON EVERY SINGLE RECOMMENDATION BEFORE IT GOES TO THE BOARD. I THINK THAT'S A LITTLE BIT PRESUMPTUOUS. AND SO THAT'S KIND OF WHERE I, FOR ME, I HESITATE, BUT I THINK THAT THE INTENT OF THE LETTER, WHICH IS TO ENGAGE OUR CITY PARTNERS, OUR CITY STAKEHOLDERS, I THINK THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT. I WANT THEM TO BE PART OF THE PROCESS, BUT I THINK THEY NEED TO ENGAGE LIKE OTHER COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS HAVE ENGAGED WITH US. AND THEIR MISSIONS HAVE BEEN VERY GOVERNANCE REFORM FOCUSED. AND WE HAVE NOT GIVEN THEM SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCE. WE'VE TREATED EVERYBODY PRETTY EQUALLY. SO I'M JUST KIND OF SAYING THAT OUT LOUD AS I THINK ABOUT THIS. BUT THAT'S MY FEELING ON THIS REQUEST.

>> SPEAKER 0: OK, MEMBER STEELE.

>> SPEAKER 20: I MENTIONED THE WELCOMING PIECE BECAUSE EVEN

THAT THEY HAVEN'T PULLED UP. SO LIKE MY THING IS PULL UP, BEN. LIKE THERE ARE OPEN OPPORTUNITIES. JUST IN THIS MEETING TODAY IN PUBLIC COMMENT. OUR LA SAID HEY YOU KNOW YOU ARE GONNA BE HAVING THESE CONVERSATIONS AROUND THE ELECT THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE WE'D LOVE TO MEET LIKE CAN WE GET A MEETING LIKE WHERE'S THE ENERGY FOR THEM TO IN ALL THIS? RIGHT IF WE IF WE'RE GONNA MAKE THIS ABOUT LIKE, EVERYBODY SHOULD GET AN OPPORTUNITY, LIKE I GET THE LETTER, I GET SENDING IT IN, BUT PULL UP TO THE MEETINGS, PULL UP TO WHAT'S GOING ON, MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT, COME THROUGH JUST LIKE ANYBODY ELSE WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO. I FEEL LIKE EVEN, I MEAN, THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO ARE PAID TO ACTUALLY REPRESENT THE CITIES TO BE ABLE TO COME TO THE MEETING TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE CITIES. COMMUNITY MEMBERS AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS AIN'T REALLY GOT ALL THAT, BUT THEY HERE ON A REGULAR BASIS. SO LIKE, I'M NOT REALLY TRYING TO HEAR, AND I'M VERY ANTAGONISTIC TO THIS WHOLE THING. YOU KNOW WHAT I MEAN? AND I JUST, I'M DOING THAT, NOT TO BE ANTAGONISTIC TO MY PEERS, BUT JUST IN THE PURPOSE TO MAKE A POINT OF LIKE, I AM NOT OKAY WITH BODIES WHO HAVE PRIVILEGE TRYING TO EXERT THAT PRIVILEGE WHEN THERE ARE OTHER FOLKS WHO DO NOT. AND SO I JUST WANT TO BE VERY CLEAR. WE HAVE CREATED AN ATMOSPHERE BECAUSE WE GOT A LOT OF WORK TO DO. PUMP

THROUGH THE MEETINGS. PULL UP. IF YOU'RE WATCHING THE MEETING RIGHT NOW, GET ON THE PHONE RIGHT NOW, GET ON PUBLIC CONTACT. LET US KNOW WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO SAY. WE HAVE A LOT OF RECOMMENDATIONS THAT ARE COMING BEFORE US. THE WHOLE THING ABOUT THE ETHICS COMMISSION, IT WAS ABOUT A MONTH AND 0.5 WORTH OF GRTF MEETINGS WHERE WE TALKED ABOUT THE RECOMMENDATIONS. IT DIDN'T JUST HAPPEN IN 1 MEETING AND THEN IT WAS GONE. LIKE THERE WAS TIME FOR PEOPLE TO GET ENGAGED. WE HAD A COMMUNITY MEETING. THAT COULD HAVE BEEN ANOTHER SPACE THAT PEOPLE KIND OF PULLED UP 1. COME TO WHAT'S GOING ON. IT'S LIKE WE ARE DOING I WANT TO GIVE CREDIT TO POSTSCRIPTS WHO IS DOING A MAGNIFICENT JOB AND HELPING TO BE EVEN MORE CREATIVE AND MAKING SURE THAT THE PUBLIC IN GENERAL KNOWS WHAT GRTF IS UP TO AND WHAT WE'RE DOING AND LIKE WHAT OPPORTUNITIES DO EXIST. LIKE IT'S NOT JUST FOR THE GENERAL PUBLIC, IT'S ACTUALLY FOR EVERYBODY. YOU KNOW, PART OF GENERAL PUBLIC IS THE CITY AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVES. PULL UP, THAT'S ALL I'M ASKING BECAUSE WE GOT A LOT GOING ON AND NOW WE'VE BEEN SPENT 20 MINUTES OR MORE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING THAT THAT THAT THEY COULD ACTUALLY BE A PART OF YOU KNOW I'M SAYING SO I'LL JUST LEAVE IT THERE

>> SPEAKER 0: I FEEL LIKE AND I KNOW THERE'S A LOT OF CONVERSATIONS I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER TABATA BAYI BUT IT FEELS LIKE AN OPPORTUNITY TO SAY PLEASE VISIT US AT MEASUREG.LACOUNTY.GOV PARTLY BECAUSE WE DO HAVE STILL ETHICS COMMISSIONERS BUT ALSO I DO FEEL LIKE WE ARE INCREASING OUR COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND I DO THINK THAT INCLUDES STAKEHOLDERS. I THINK WE ARE TRYING TO HAVE MORE EVENTS. WE ARE TRYING TO FIND MORE WAYS TO ENGAGE ALL OF YOU. PLEASE ENGAGE WITH US TOO. AND I THINK IN THE MEANTIME, WE WILL TAKE SOME OF THIS TO TRY TO PROBLEM SOLVE. I THINK WE ALL WANT TO ENGAGE. I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER TABATABA'I AND THEN I'M GONNA CLOSE THIS PART OF THE DISCUSSION.

>> SPEAKER 8: YEAH, NO, I WANNA THANK MEMBER PHELPS AND MEMBER STEELE AND SAID A LOT OF WHAT I WANTED TO SAY. I THINK ULTIMATELY, WHEN WE DID THE ETHICS RECOMMENDATION, AS MEMBER SEALE SAID, WE HAD COUNTLESS MEETINGS. WE HEARD FROM COUNTLESS STAKEHOLDERS WHO WROTE, WHO GAVE US WHITE PAPERS. BUT THEN WHEN WE DID DO THE COMMUNITY MEETING, WE OUTREACH TO ELECTED OFFICIALS, WE OUTREACH TO CITY MANAGERS, WE OUTREACH TO THE PUBLIC, WE OUTREACH TO THESE ORGANIZATIONS. AND THEN WE DID PERSONAL OUTREACH. AND WE EVEN AT THE REGULAR MEETING ASKED

EACH INDIVIDUAL TASK FORCE MEMBER, PLEASE DO PERSONAL OUTREACH TO GET FOLKS TO THIS COMMUNITY MEETING SO WE CAN GET THEIR INFORMED THOUGHTS AND THEY CAN MAKE IT INTO THE RECOMMENDATION. I KNOW I PERSONALLY MYSELF MADE COUNTLESS CALLS, MADE COUNTLESS EMAILS, INDIVIDUAL ZOOMS WITH FOLKS TO GET THEM TO THE MEETING. AND I THINK THIS IS SOMETHING ELSE AS OUR AD HOC IS GONNA CALL FOLKS OUT IS, WHEN WE'RE CALLING FOR THESE ETHICS APPLICATIONS, WE'VE ASKED EVERY TASK FORCE MEMBER TO BE INVOLVED, TO SEND A QUOTE, TO DO SOMETHING FOR OUR PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. WE ARE AN AD HOC. WE ARE NOT THE DOERS OF PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. WE CREATE STRATEGY THAT 13 MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE ARE SUPPOSED TO DO PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. JUST LIKE THE 13 MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE ASKED THE ETHICS AND DO THE ETHICS RECOMMENDATION WORK, DID THE BUDGET WORK AND ARE NOW DOING THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE WORK. IT IS NOT ON THE, AND I'M GONNA, AS THE CHAIR OF THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT, I'M GONNA SPEAK TO MY MEMBERS WHO'VE BEEN DOING A WONDERFUL JOB AND WORKING, THEY'RE JUST WORKING HARD. IT IS NOT OUR JOB TO DO THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. IT IS OUR JOB TO CREATE THE IDEAS AND THEN TO CREATE MOMENTS FOR US TO ENGAGE. AND THE 13 MEMBERS OF THIS TASK FORCE HAVE THE RESPONSIBILITY TO DO PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. AND SO IF YOU HAVE ACCESS TO BUGS, TO COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENT,

TO CONTRACT CITIES, TO LEAGUE OF CITIES, TO CITY MANAGERS, AND WE ARE HAVING DEADLINES, WE ARE WANTING INFORMATION, THEN PLEASE USE YOUR RESOURCES AS ALL OF US ARE USING OUR RESOURCES. AND I DON'T KNOW THAT IT'S BEEN ASKED OF US TO DO IT, BUT JUST AS PART OF THE WORK, I HAVE PERSONALLY FELT IT MY RESPONSIBILITY TO DO IT. AND SO I'M GOING TO LEAVE IT THERE. I WANTED TO THANK CHAIR YAP FOR GIVING SPACE TO THIS CONVERSATION. AND HOPEFULLY ALL THE FOLKS WHO WANNA BE PART OF THIS WORK KNOW THAT THE DOOR IS OPEN TO BE PART OF THIS WORK AND WE INVITE YOU TO BE PART OF THIS WORK AND WE WANT YOUR INFORMED OPINIONS TO BE IN THE WORK. WE WANT EVERYONE'S FINGERPRINTS, WHETHER IT IS THE CITIES, WHETHER IT'S ORGANIZATIONS, WHETHER IT'S THE FOLKS IN UNINCORPORATED LA COUNTY WHO'VE NEVER WHO FELT AND I JUST WANT TO LAST THING I WANT TO SAY BECAUSE MEMBER PHELPS SAID IT. IT DOES TAKE EXTRA EFFORT TO MEET THE FOLKS WHO'VE NEVER BEEN REACHED OUT TO. AND THAT HAS BEEN OUR FOCUS AS AN AD HOC TO DO THAT WORK, BECAUSE IT IS HARDER WORK. IT IS MORE INTENSIVE WORK, BUT IT'S THE WORK THAT IS THE MOST WORTH IT BECAUSE THAT'S WHY WE WERE PUT TOGETHER. THANK

>> SPEAKER 0: YOU. THANK YOU. I ALSO WANT TO NOTE THAT MEMBER

SOTO HAS ARRIVED AT 755. AND MEMBER SOTO, IF YOU'RE ABLE TO TELL US WHY YOU'RE REMOTE AND THAT AND CONFIRM THERE'S NO 1 OVER 18 IN THE ROOM.

>> SPEAKER 23: THERE'S NO 1 OVER 18 IN THE ROOM AND I HAD CHILDCARE ISSUES TODAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR BEING HERE. AND THANK YOU ALL FOR THAT DISCUSSION. I THINK IT'S CLEAR THAT WE ALL REALLY CARE ABOUT GETTING INFORMATION AND ENGAGING WITH PEOPLE ACROSS LA COUNTY. AND SO I'M LOOKING FORWARD TO SEEING HOW THAT PLAYS OUT IN THE WORK WE HAVE AHEAD OF US. I'M GOING TO GO TO THE EXPANSION OF THE BOARD AD HOC, SO GOING TO MEMBER PHELPS.

>> SPEAKER 9: WITH A VERY BRIEF UPDATE, THE COMMITTEE MET LAST WEEK AND WE ARE CONTINUING OUR DISCUSSIONS INCLUDING GOING OVER INITIAL DATA WE RECEIVE FROM BOARD OFFICES REGARDING BUDGET AND STAFFING, BUT WE ARE STILL WAITING ON ADDITIONAL DATA BEFORE WE START DOING ANALYSIS AND REALLY LOOKING AT ANY SORT OF RECOMMENDATIONS. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE GET THE DATA CORRECT AND SO WE'RE TAKING ADDITIONAL TIME TO DO THAT. DO YOU

KNOW THE BOARD EXPANSION COMMITTEE HAS A MORE DRAWN OUT
TIMELINE THAN SOME OF OUR OTHER COMMITTEES? SO WE'RE
CONTINUING TO GATHER THAT DATA. WE'VE CREATED AN INITIAL GIS
FIELD MAP FOR THE COUNTY THAT SHOWS THE LOCATIONS OF THE
COUNTY OFF THE LEASE OFFICES AS IT RELATES TO THE COUNTY
SUPERVISOR DISTRICT OFFICES AND THAT HAS BEEN EDUCATION OUR
DISCUSSIONS AND I MENTIONED WE'RE WORKING ON THE WORK PLAN FOR
THAT COMMITTEE. SO WE'LL BE MEETING NEXT THURSDAY, I BELIEVE
IT IS. WE'RE MEETING EVERY OTHER WEEK RIGHT NOW. AND I LOOK
FORWARD TO PROVIDING AN UPDATE AT THE NEXT MEETING.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. THANK YOU. LET'S GO TO THE
INDEPENDENT ETHICS COMMISSION MEETING OR UPDATE WITH MEMBER
SADWANI AND MEMBER SOTO.

>> SPEAKER 6: THANK YOU. WE ARE FULL STEAM AHEAD ON THE
APPLICATION PROCESS FOR THE ETHICS COMMISSIONERS. SHOUT OUT TO
ANYONE WATCHING THAT WE WANT YOU. AND THEN YOU CAN APPLY FOR
THE ETHICS COMMISSION. THE APPLICATION IS ON OUR WEBSITE,
MEASUREG.LACOUNTY.GOV. APPLICATION IS OPEN UNTIL JULY 26TH. SO
I KNOW THERE'S A BIG PUSH. THANK YOU. I SAW BRIAN AND GABS DID
VIDEOS. I'VE BEEN REPOSTING THOSE ON INSTAGRAM. HOPEFULLY

OTHER FOLKS WILL DO SOME MORE TOO. THE POSTSCRIPTS TEAM HAS BEEN DOING A FANTASTIC JOB PUSHING OUT THE ETHICS ANNOUNCEMENT AND THE APPLICATION AND TRYING TO GET THE WORD OUT. I DON'T KNOW SHADI, IF YOU HAPPEN TO HAVE OFF THE TOP OF YOUR HEAD AN UPDATE ON HOW MANY APPLICATIONS WE HAVE AT THIS POINT IN TIME. WE

>> SPEAKER 21: DO. WHEN WE CHECKED IT ABOUT AN HOUR AND 0.5 AGO, WE HAVE 199. MAYBE WE HAVE 200 NOW, BUT

>> SPEAKER 6: YES. VERY EXCITING AND NO DOUBT SOME REALLY HIGHLY QUALIFIED FOLKS. AND JUST REMEMBER, THIS IS THE POOL, NOT ONLY FOR OUR SELECTION, BUT FOR ALL 7 COMMISSIONERS. SO THIS IS THE POOL THAT THE 1ST 3, THOSE LUCKY 3 WILL USE TO SELECT THE OTHER 4. SO WE REALLY HOPE ANYONE WATCHING CAN HELP TO GET THE WORD OUT. WE'RE DOING EVERYTHING ON OUR END TO YOU, BUT MY ASK OF, ESPECIALLY GIVEN THE CONVERSATION THAT WE JUST HAD, TO EVERYONE AT THE TABLE, PLEASE SEND IT OUT TO YOUR NETWORKS. WE ALL ARE IN TOUCH WITH DIFFERENT FOLKS. I ACTUALLY, I KNOW OF A COUPLE PROFESSORS THAT REACHED OUT TELLING ME IN MY WORLD THAT THEY LOOK THEY'RE EXCITED TO APPLY. SO PLEASE DO SEND THAT OUT TO YOUR NETWORKS AS WELL. IN

TERMS OF A SUNSET DATE, NONE ON THE HORIZON. WE FULLY ANTICIPATE THAT AS THE ETHICS COMMISSION GETS SET UP, THAT OUR AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE WILL CONTINUE TO EXIST, THOUGH WE MAY NOT MEET WEEKLY, BUT WE WILL CONTINUE TO EXIST TO, YOU KNOW, PROVIDE A BACKSTOP TO OR PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL STRATEGIC ADVICE TO THE ETHICS COMMISSION AS IT MOVES FORWARD CERTAINLY OUR WORK WILL SIGNIFICANTLY SLOW DOWN ONCE THAT ETHICS COMMISSION IS UP RUNNING AND WE HOPE THAT THAT WILL HAPPEN BEFORE THE END OF THE YEAR. SO THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. I'M GONNA GO TO THE AD HOC ON LABOR RELATIONS. YEAH, OR THAT'S THE ANNOUNCEMENT I HEAR.

>> SPEAKER 19: YES, MY TURN. HAD OUR 1ST MEETING ON MONDAY. WENT REALLY WELL. A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW THE NEW ELECTED OFFICIAL CEO IS GOING TO INTERACT WITH LABOR, HOW OUR NEGOTIATIONS ARE GOING TO BE HANDLED, THE COMMUNICATION, WHO HAS AUTHORITY. JUST REALLY, IT WAS THE 1ST MEETING WAS GREAT, JUST KIND OF REALLY BRAINSTORMING, THROWING IDEAS AROUND, WE'RE GOING TO MEET EVERY OTHER WEEK. WHAT ELSE? ANYBODY ELSE WANT ANYTHING FROM? NO?

>> SPEAKER 7: CAN I

>> SPEAKER 0: GIVE YOU? DO YOU WANT TO OFFICIALLY SAY WHO THE CHAIR?

>> SPEAKER 19: I'M OFFICIALLY THE CHAIR, BUT I THINK WE VOTED TO CALL ME THE GRAND POOBA AS THE OFFICIAL TITLE.

>> SPEAKER 11: SO YEAH,

>> SPEAKER 19: YEAH. CHAIR SLASH, NO, I'M JUST KIDDING. YES, SO AND THEN DEREK IS THE CO-CHAIR OF THE GROUP. YEP, IT'S A GREAT GROUP. CAN I REAL QUICK SAY A HUGE THANK YOU WHILE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT LABOR RELATIONS AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT TO EDDIE N, WHO CAME OUT AND SPOKE WITH THE SAIU 71 BARGAINING POLICY COMMITTEE, WHICH IS THE CHAIRS OF ALL OUR BARGAINING TEAMS AND IT WAS IT WAS NOT GOOD? IT WAS GREAT IT WAS EXCELLENT. HE GAVE A GREAT OVERVIEW ABOUT WHAT MEASURE G IS THE HISTORY OUR MEMBERS HAD REALLY GREAT QUESTIONS. THEY WERE SUPER ENGAGED INSISTED WE TAKE A GROUP PICTURE WITH THEM AFTERWARDS, WHICH IS ALWAYS A GOOD SIGN SO WE HAVE A BUNCH OF ENGAGEMENTS SETTING UP SET UP. THERE'S 1 COMING UP IN AUGUST

THAT WE'RE STILL PUTTING IT TOGETHER BUT IT JUST IT'S BEEN REALLY TERRIFIC. I'VE GOTTEN A LOT OF REALLY GOOD FEEDBACK ON AND PEOPLE ARE FORTUNATELY, UNFORTUNATELY KIND OF LEARNING ABOUT MEASURE G AND WHAT IT DOES IN THE GIANT CHANGES, BUT THERE'S A REAL APPETITE TO KNOW MORE. SO I'M DOING EVERYTHING I CAN TO KIND OF CREATE SPACES THAT MAKE IT EASY FOR OUR MEMBERS TO ENGAGE IN VIRTUALLY OR, YOU KNOW, AFTER WORK WITH THE LURE OF FREE FOOD AND SPECIAL GUESTS. SO, I JUST WANT TO EXPRESS GRATITUDE TO THIS GROUP FOR HELPING OUT. SHADI, I'M LOOKING AT YOU AS WELL. THANKS FOR HELPING PUTTING IT TOGETHER AND A SPECIAL THANKS TO EDDIE. HE WAS TERRIFIC. SO, I TOLD HIM THE BAD NEWS IS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO COME BACK MORE OFTEN NOW AND DO MORE PRESENTATIONS. I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU

>> SPEAKER 11: FOR THAT. THANKS.

>> SPEAKER 6: THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: I'M GONNA GO OVER TO THE SUBCOMMITTEE ON PUBLIC BUDGET PRESENTATIONS AND 5 DAY POSTING TO MEMBERS MACRI AND GENNARO.

>> SPEAKER 18: THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR THE TIME. WE WERE ON THE AGENDA TODAY IN CIRCUMSTANCES THAT AD HOC COMMITTEES WITH SUPPORT FROM THE CHAIR WILL BE ON THE AGENDA FOR THE 120 HOUR POSTING NEXT VISIT, OR NEXT VISIT, NEXT MEETING. BUT THAT INFORMATION IS UP FOR THE PUBLIC TO REVIEW ANYTHING THAT WE PRESENT IN 2 WEEKS, MAYBE A LITTLE BIT MORE FULL, BECAUSE WE'LL HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME TO ADD TO THE REPORT. AND SO WE WOULD ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO ENGAGE WITH IT NOW AS THE INFORMATION IS NOT GOING TO CHANGE DRASTICALLY FROM NOW TO THEM. BUT THAT'S KIND OF WHERE WE'RE AT. SO THANK YOU TO THE SUPPORT FOR THE CHAIR. DO THE UNFORESEEN CIRCUMSTANCES OF JUST NOT BEING ABLE TO PRESENT TONIGHT. AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO THAT ENGAGEMENT WITH THE TASK FORCE AND THE COMMUNITY AND ALL THE STAKEHOLDERS.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THAT. MEMBER TABATA BAYI ON SUBCOMMITTEE FOR PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT.

>> SPEAKER 8: YES. THANK YOU, CHAIR YAP. WE'VE ALREADY SPOKEN QUITE A BIT ABOUT PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT, BUT I'LL SHARE SOME. LA FORWARD, LA VOICE, AND MAYBE MEMBERS STILL CAN REMIND ME OF

THE 3RD ORGANIZATION DID A PRESENTATION FOR THEIR MEMBERS ON NOT ONLY MEASURE G, BUT ON OUR ETHICS COMMISSION, IT WAS EXTREMELY WELL DONE. THEY INVITED US, SO SEVERAL OF US WERE AT THE MEETING, JUST KIND OF LISTENING IN AND THEN ALSO ADDING ANY CONTEXT ON ANYTHING THEY NEEDED, BUT WAS A FANTASTIC COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT. A LOT OF FOLKS REALLY WANT TO GET INVOLVED. AND THEY TALKED ABOUT, AS WE HEARD TODAY FROM THE PUBLIC COMMENT, THEY DEFINITELY ARE WANTING TO HAVE INPUT IN THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE OFFICE. WE ALSO ARE LOOKING AT ELECTED EXECUTIVE ENGAGEMENT. 1 OF THE THINGS THAT WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT IS HOW MAKING THE ENGAGEMENT INTENTIONAL, MAKING IT BASED ON WHAT THE AD HOC NEEDS. AND SO THAT IS SOMETHING WE ARE LOOKING AT. CAN FOLKS HEAR ME? AM I OFF? ARE YOU HEARING ME? I'M NOT SEEING VIDEOS, I WASN'T SURE. OKAY, GREAT. AND SO WE ALSO ARE GETTING A CALENDAR OF THE COUNTY EVENTS THAT ARE GONNA BE HAPPENING. SO THAT WAY, AGAIN, WE TALKED ABOUT LEVERAGING ALREADY EVENTS WHERE COMMUNITY AS WELL AS THOSE ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT, MANAGERS, THAT THEY ARE OFTEN AT, THAT WE CAN LEVERAGE THOSE IN ORDER TO HAVE MEASURE G, HAVE A PRESENCE, HAVE EDUCATIONAL INFORMATION, BUT ALSO TO GET FEEDBACK FROM ALL STAKEHOLDERS. AND SO THAT IS GETTING PUT TOGETHER AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN HAVE THAT SOON. WE

PUT OUT A SURVEY FOR THE 120 HOUR PUBLIC, THE 120 HOUR NEW RULE FOR AGENDAS, MULTILINGUAL PUBLIC SURVEYS GONE OUT JULY 17TH AND IT WILL END ON JULY 29TH. SO HOPEFULLY FOLKS CAN FILL THAT OUT AND GIVE US FEEDBACK THERE. WE HAVE ALSO BEEN ENGAGED WITH MORE ETHNIC MEDIA, TRYING TO GET MEASURE G AND GET OUT WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH MEASURE G, ESPECIALLY WITH THIS ETHICS COMMISSION IN ALL DIFFERENT TYPES OF ETHNIC MEDIA. I KNOW WE'RE WORKING WITH CAUSE, TRYING TO REALLY GET MORE INVOLVED WITH THE AAPI COMMUNITY. AND AS MEMBERS, CHAIR

>> SPEAKER 11: PRO TEM, SADWANI MENTIONED,

>> SPEAKER 8: WE'VE BEEN DOING QUITE A LOT. THERE'S A COUNTYWIDE PSA FOR THE ETHICS COMMISSION THAT I BELIEVE WAS GONNA BE SHARED TONIGHT AT THE MEETING. BUT WE'VE BEEN DOING QUITE A BIT. I'VE BEEN CONTACTED BY QUITE A FEW FOLKS WHO WANT TO APPLY, WANNA KNOW MORE ABOUT IT. AND SO THAT'S WHAT I HAVE OUT LAST. MEMBER GREEN ALSO MENTIONED THE STAKEHOLDER EVENT WE ARE PLANNING WITH SEIU. AGAIN, REALLY JUST WANTING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE TOUCHING ALL FOLKS THAT ARE IMPACTED. YOU KNOW, AGAIN, I THINK THAT THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES ARE OF PRIORITY BECAUSE THEY ARE THE ONES WHO ARE, THEIR LIVES, THEIR

PROFESSIONAL WORK REALLY IS IMPACTED BY THE RECOMMENDATIONS.
AND SO WE WANNA MAKE SURE THAT, LIKE I'VE SAID BEFORE, THEIR
FINGERPRINTS ARE ALL OVER THIS. AND SO I'D LIKE TO GO TO
MEMBER, HE DON'T ASK, TO ALSO MAKE SOME COMMENTS.

>> SPEAKER 11: THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: I BELIEVE MEMBER HERON US IS NO LONGER ON THE
ZOOM WEBEX CALL, BUT YOU SHOULD, OH,

>> SPEAKER 11: WAIT.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO THEY'RE TRYING TO LOG BACK IN AND SO WE'RE
GONNA WAIT A MOMENT AND I'M JUST GONNA SAY PLEASE APPLY BY
SUNDAY JULY 26TH

>> SPEAKER 15: JULY 26TH

>> SPEAKER 0: YEAH MEASURE G.LA COUNTY

>> SPEAKER 7: YES PLEASE 26. YEAH, MEASURE G.LACOUNTY.

>> SPEAKER 8: YES, YES, PLEASE APPLY AND, YOU KNOW, RESHARE THOSE IG POSTS, YOU KNOW, MEMBER JARONIS OBVIOUSLY IS MUCH BETTER. SO DEFINITELY HAS MORE VIEWS, BUT SHARE THEM BOTH.

>> SPEAKER 0: YES, THANK YOU. THANK YOU TO THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT FOLKS AND OUR FOLKS AT POSTSCRIPT. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR ALL THE WORK ON THAT APPLICATION PROCESS, BUT DEFINITELY CHECK OUR WEBSITE AND MAKE SURE TO APPLY BY SUNDAY, JULY 26. ON THAT NOTE, I THINK WE ARE DONE WITH OUR REPORT OUTS. I'M GOING TO GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

>> SPEAKER 10: IN-PERSON ATTENDEES WANTING TO SPEAK UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 6, PLEASE COMPLETE THESPEAKER FORM AND RETURN IT TO STAFF. VIRTUAL ATTENDEES WANTING TO SPEAK UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 6, PLEASE USE THE RAISE HAND FEATURE ON YOUR COMPUTER OR PRESS STAR 3 ON YOUR PHONE. VIRTUALSPEAKERS WILL BE CALLED BY NAME OR THE 6 PREFIX PHONE NUMBER. EXCUSE ME, STAFF WILL UNMUTE YOU AND YOU MAY NEED TO PRESS STAR 6 TO SPEAK OR FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE SERVICE PROVIDER. ONCE YOU HAVE SPOKEN, PLEASE LOWER YOUR HAND FROM YOUR COMPUTER, PRESS STAR 3 FROM YOUR PHONE. AS A REMINDER, YOU WILL HAVE 1 MINUTE TO ADDRESS

THE TASK FORCE. AND AT THIS TIME, I HAVE NO IN-PERSON.

>> SPEAKER 0: DO YOU SEE THE VIRTUAL?

>> SPEAKER 10: AND NO VIRTUAL. THE FLOOR IS YOURS.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. MOVING TO ITEM NUMBER 7 DISCUSSION
AND POTENTIAL

>> SPEAKER 10: OH DON'T SOMEONE OH NEVER MIND I'M SO SORRY GO
AHEAD

>> SPEAKER 0: OKAY MOVING TO ITEM NUMBER 7 REVIEW OF
GOVERNANCE REFORM TASK FORCE AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE STRUCTURE AND
MEMBERSHIP WE HAVE ALSO INCLUDED IN THE MATERIALS FOR TODAY'S
MEETING A LIST OF THE AD HOCS, WHO'S ON THE AD HOCS, AND THE
TIMES THEY MEET IN ORDER TO HELP INFORM THIS CONVERSATION. AND
YES, HAPPY TO OPEN IT TO THE FLOOR. ALL RIGHT. MEMBER RODARTE,
AND I'M GONNA JUST PUT THE 3 MINUTE TIMERS ON.

>> SPEAKER 3: I JUST HAVE A BUNCH OF QUESTIONS IS

>> SPEAKER 0: ALL. OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 3: MY QUESTIONS ARE FOR NORAH. NORAH, CAN YOU GIVE US THE DEFINITION? WHAT IS AN AD HOC COMMITTEE VERSUS A STANDING COMMITTEE AND WHERE THAT LINE?

>> SPEAKER 22: THE BODY THAT IS COMPRISED OF SOLELY OF LESS THAN A QUORUM OF THE MEMBERS IS CONSIDERED AN ADVISORY BODY. NOW THIS EXCEPTION DOES NOT APPLY IF THE ADVISORY BODY QUALIFIES AS A STANDING COMMITTEE. AS A STANDING COMMITTEE, A STANDING COMMITTEE IS A COMMITTEE WHICH HAS A CONTINUING SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, OR IF THAT COMMITTEE'S MEETING SCHEDULE IS FIXED BY CHARTER, ORDINANCE, A RESOLUTION, OR SOME OTHER FORMAL ACTION OF THE LEGISLATIVE BODY THAT CREATED IT. NOW, THE LATEST CHANGES WERE TO THIS SECTION OF THE BROWN ACT WERE MADE IN 1993. NO OTHER CHANGES HAVE BEEN MADE SINCE THEN. THERE IS NO CASE THAT HAS BEEN LITIGATED ON THAT ISSUE. HOWEVER, I DO WANT TO SAY THAT THERE IS A 1996 CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL OPINION THAT DISCUSSES SOME OF THE FACTORS AS TO WHEN YOU HAVE A STANDING COMMITTEE VERSUS AN AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE. SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE ASKED ARE AS FOLLOWS. DOES THE AD HOC, FOR EXAMPLE, OVERSEE AN ONGOING

SUBJECT AREA OR IS IT EXPECTED TO DISSOLVE AFTER THE ASSIGNMENT? IS IT CREATED FOR SPECIFIC ASSIGNMENTS? AND NOW THE PHRASE CONTINUING SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION, AS I SAID, HAS NOT BEEN LITIGATED IN COURTS. SO THAT MEANS THERE'S STILL ROOM FOR ARGUMENT, ESPECIALLY IN SITUATIONS THAT ARE CONSIDERED TO BE CLOSE CASES. FOR EXAMPLE, CONTINUING COULD MEAN NEEDING NO RENEWAL. IF A SUBSIDIARY BODY, LET'S SAY, IS CREATED TO ADVISE THE BIGGER BODY ON CERTAIN ISSUES WHENEVER NEEDED OR AS REQUESTED, THEN IT WOULD ARGUABLY BE CONSIDERED A STANDING COMMITTEE. NOW AS I SAID, IT'S A VERY FACT INTENSIVE INQUIRY AND THERE IS NO SPECIFIC CASE LAW ON POINT, WHICH LEAVES SOME ROOM FOR INTERPRETATION.

>> SPEAKER 3: OKAY, THANK YOU. I WANT TO BE CLEAR TO THE REST OF THE TASK FORCE. I ASK THESE QUESTIONS BECAUSE WE SHOULD AT LEAST FEEL OBLIGATED TO WORK. IF WE'RE CREATING REFORMS TO COUNTY GOVERNANCE, WE SHOULD WORK WITHIN THE GUIDELINES AND THE STRUCTURE OF THE CURRENT REQUIREMENTS OF US. SO IF THERE'S AN AD HOC THAT CONTINUES WORK OR CHANGES THE SCOPE OF ITS WORK, THAT AD HOC SHOULD BE RECONSTITUTED TO BE SPECIFIC TO THAT TASK, RIGHT? BECAUSE THE ONGOING WORK THAT A COUPLE OF THESE AD HOCS ARE DOING AGAIN IS DANGEROUSLY INTO BECOMING

STANDING COMMITTEES, AND THAT REQUIRES BROWN ACT. AND I DON'T WANT US TO HAVE TO DO THAT. AND I'M NOT SAYING THIS TO BLOW ANYTHING UP. WHAT I'M SAYING IS FOR IS WE SHOULD BE COGNIZANT OF HOW WE'RE DOING THIS WORK TO MAKE SURE THAT IT'S VALID AND MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE KEEPING WITH ALL OF THE RULES, BROWN ACT, THE ROBERT'S RULES OF ORDERS, BECAUSE THEY BOTH STATE AROUND THIS. I DID A LITTLE BIT OF RESEARCH ON IT VERY MUCH IN LINE WITH WHAT NORO SAID. AND SO MY FEAR IS THAT SOME OF THESE AD HOCS HAVE BECOME OR MAY BECOME, IF NOT SOONER, STANDING COMMITTEES BECAUSE THEY HAVE BROAD SCOPES, RIGHT? JUST USE THE ETHICS 1, AND I DON'T MEAN IT'S, I MEAN, THERE'S A LOT OF STUFF, RIGHT? SO MAYBE WHAT WE SHOULD HAVE DONE IS SAID ONCE THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS WERE COMPLETED LET'S CREATE 1 TO DEVELOP THE APPLICATIONS ONCE THAT WORK IS COMPLETED LET'S CREATE 1 TO REVIEW THOSE APPLICATIONS I THINK THAT'S THE PROPER WAY FOR US TO DO THE WORK AND IT GIVES OTHER MEMBERS OF THIS TASK FORCE AN OPPORTUNITY TO JOIN THOSE AD HAWKS. I'M NOT ADVOCATING FOR MYSELF TO JOIN ANY. I DON'T WISH TO JOIN ANY OTHER AD HAWKS CURRENTLY, BUT I THINK IT'S THE PROPER WAY FOR US TO CONDUCT OUR BUSINESS AS WE WORK THROUGH THIS. IF WE'RE GOING TO BE ABOUT GOVERNANCE REFORM, WE GOT TO STICK

>> SPEAKER 5: TO THE CURRENT GUIDELINES AND RULES.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU, MEMBER RODARTE. MEMBER SCHER.

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH, I DIDN'T HAVE A QUESTION UNTIL I HEARD
NORO PROVIDE THE OPINION ON, DOESN'T SOUND LIKE A BRIGHT LINE
BETWEEN A STANDING COMMITTEE AND AN AD HOC COMMITTEE. BUT WHAT
I DIDN'T HEAR IS MENTION OF TIME. IT DID SOUND LIKE A COUPLE
OF BLOCKS WERE CLOSE TO BEING CHECKED TO MAKE IT A STANDING
COMMITTEE, DEPENDING ON YOUR INTERPRETATION OF THAT. I WANT TO
KNOW ABOUT TIME, MEANING A YEAR, 3 MONTHS, 2 MONTHS, ASSUMING
YOU CHECKED A COUPLE OF THE OTHER BLOCKS, AND I HEARD YOU SAY
FACT INTENSIVE.

>> SPEAKER 22: CORRECT. TIME COULD BE 1 OF THE FACTORS TO BE
CONSIDERED, BUT THERE IS NO SPECIFIC CLEAR ANSWER AS TO
WHETHER 1 YEAR IS NARROW OR 6 MONTHS IS NARROW. THERE IS NO
POINT ON THAT. THE ISSUE IS THAT IT HAS TO BE FOR A LIMITED
PURPOSE AND NOT BE CONSIDERED ON AN ONGOING BASIS. MEANING
THERE HAS TO BE AN END TO IT. LET'S SAY FOR EXAMPLE IF THEY
HAVE A SPECIFIC TASK AND THE TASK IS PERFORMED, THEN THEY HAVE
AN ENDING.

>> SPEAKER 17: BUT THERE IS NO SPECIFIC TIME. THAT'S BY 3 MINUTES?

>> SPEAKER 11: I THINK THAT'S MY

>> SPEAKER 17: 3 MINUTES. I WAS GOING TO MAKE A JOKE. YOU KNOW, THE SUBCOMMITTEE I WAS ON INVOLVING THE BUDGET, YOU KNOW, WE WERE PRAYING FOR IT TO END AND THANKFULLY IT DID FOR THE MOST PART. BUT I THINK SOME OF THE WORK THAT SOME OF THE OTHER COMMITTEES ARE GOING TO DO APPROPRIATELY ARE GOING TO GO ON FOR A WHILE AND I'LL USE THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE AND WE'VE GOT SPAWN OF THAT. I DIDN'T I DON'T HAVE NECESSARILY THE SAME CONCERNS THAT MARCEL HAS, BUT WHAT I WOULDN'T WANT IS FOR US TO BE THAT CASE THAT SOMEBODY TALKS ABOUT. AND SO I WOULD ASK IF POSSIBLE THROUGH THE CHAIR THAT WE GET. IT DOESN'T SOUND LIKE AN OPINION, SOUNDS LIKE IT'S GOING TO BE ADVICE. AND HOWEVER, WE'RE GOING TO GET THAT FROM WHOMEVER THAT WE SHOULD AT TO WHAT POINT WE GET FROM CLEARLY IN THE GREEN TO GETTING INTO THE YELLOW TO APPROACHING THE RED. MY SENSE OF IT IS WE'RE PROBABLY IN THE YELLOW AND THE GREEN. I DON'T THINK WE'RE IN THE RED, BUT WE DON'T WANT

>> SPEAKER 2: TO GET THERE. THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: SURE. THANK YOU. I'M GOING TO HEAR THE OTHERS AS WELL. MEMBER PHELPS AND THEN I'LL GO TO MEMBER TABATA BAYI. AND I'M GONNA SET 3 MINUTES. BUT LET'S GO TO MEMBER PHELPS.

>> SPEAKER 9: I'D BE INTERESTED TO HEAR THE INDEPENDENT COUNCIL'S OPINION ON HOW CAN ANY AD HOC COMMITTEE BE CONSIDERED A STANDING COMMITTEE ON A BODY THAT HAS A SUNSET DATE?

>> SPEAKER 2: WELL, I DON'T THINK

>> SPEAKER 24: THE SUNSET DATE IS NECESSARILY RELEVANT TO THE ANALYSIS. SO, FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE TASK FORCE BY BYLAW CREATED STANDING COMMITTEES THAT DIDN'T HAVE AN END DATE AND HAD A SPECIFIC PURPOSE, THAT WOULD PRETTY CLEARLY BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE TO HAVE A BROWN ACT, PUBLIC NOTICE, AND ALL THAT. BUT ANYBODY UNDER THE BROWN ACT, ANY LOCAL BODY CAN CREATE AN AD HOC COMMITTEE. AND AS NORO SAID, IT DOES UNFORTUNATELY GET A LITTLE BIT FACT SPECIFIC. SO STEP 1 WAS THIS CREATED WITH A

SPECIFIC BYLAW OR SOMETHING IN WRITING IN AN ORDINANCE. AND SO WE DON'T HAVE THAT HERE. SO THEN WE'RE LOOKING AT, AS NORAH SAID, KIND OF TIME AND PURPOSE. IS IT GIVEN A PURPOSE THAT HAS A TEMPORARY STATUS TO IT OR TEMPORARY PURPOSE TO IT, AND THEN HOW LONG IS IT ONGOING AND AT WHAT POINT DOES IT BECOME CONVERT ITSELF INTO A STANDING COMMITTEE BECAUSE ITS ONGOING WORK IS OF A NATURE THAT IT DOESN'T HAVE AN ENDING DATE. AND SO THAT IS A LITTLE BIT IN THE EYE OF THE BEHOLDER, AND IT COULD VARY, HONESTLY, FROM AD HOC TO AD HOC, AS WE HAVE AS A TASK FORCE. SO YOU'VE REQUESTED ANALYSIS, AND I CAN WORK WITH NORO TO ADDRESS SPECIFIC AD HOC ISSUES, BECAUSE I DO THINK EACH AD HOC IS DIFFERENT IN TERMS OF WHAT IT'S BEEN CHARGED WITH DOING AND HOW LONG IT'S EXISTED AND THOSE TYPES OF THINGS. SO THAT'S A GOOD LAWYER ANSWER OF BEING BAKED.

>> SPEAKER 9: NO, I APPRECIATE THAT. AND THEN A COMMITTEE CAN VOTE ANY TIME TO RECOMMEND TO DISSOLVE ITSELF TO THE TASK FORCE, CORRECT? AN AD HOC COMMITTEE CAN VOTE TO RECOMMEND DISSOLUTION OF ITSELF TO THE TASK FORCE. I'M ASKING.

>> SPEAKER 24: YES, THEY CAN ACTUALLY RECOMMEND AN ACTION TO THE TASK FORCE AND

>> SPEAKER 9: THE TASK FORCE CAN VOTE TO DO IT. RIGHT. AND THE TASK FORCE, ANY MEMBER OF THE TASK FORCE CAN MAKE A MOTION AT ANY TIME OR IT CAN BE AGENDIZED AND ANY MEMBER CAN AGENDIZE A REQUEST TO DISSOLVE A COMMITTEE AT ANY TIME,

>> SPEAKER 24: CORRECT? ABSOLUTELY.

>> SPEAKER 9: OKAY, SO THOSE 2 OPTIONS ARE OPEN RIGHT NOW.

>> SPEAKER 24: YES, ANY MEMBER COULD MAKE A MOTION TO DISSOLVE AN AD HOC COMMITTEE AND THAT IF SECONDED WOULD BE VOTED ON BY THE BUTTON. OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. MEMBER TABATA BY.

>> SPEAKER 8: YES. 1ST, I WANT TO APOLOGIZE, BUT I NO LONGER HAVE VIDEO AND DURING NORO'S RESPONSE, LIKE MOST OF IT CUT OUT. AND I WAS JUST HOPING, CAUSE IT WAS A REALLY IMPORTANT QUESTION BY MEMBER SCHUR. IF I COULD JUST GET A QUICK SYNOPSIS AGAIN OF YOUR RESPONSE, NORO, AND I APOLOGIZE.

>> SPEAKER 24: SORRY. I'M NOT SURE IF YOU HEARD MY SUMMARY OF IT JUST A MOMENT AGO, BUT I THINK I PRETTY MUCH SAID WHAT NORAH SAID, BUT I THINK WE'RE HAPPY TO REPEAT IT IF YOU'D LIKE.

>> SPEAKER 8: YEAH, YEAH. I JUST WASN'T SURE IF IT WAS DIFFERENT. IT WAS PERTAINING. SO I LOST A LITTLE BIT OF CONTEXT. SO YEAH, IF YOU COULD

>> SPEAKER 3: JUST RECAP. NORO DIDN'T PUT THE ARMS ON. IT JUST

>> SPEAKER 24: INCREASES ME. THE SHORT ANSWER IS IT'S A LITTLE BIT FACT SPECIFIC AND WHAT YOU'RE LOOKING AT IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT IS A STANDING COMMITTEE OR AN AD HOC COMMITTEE. A STANDING COMMITTEE IS GENERALLY CREATED IN EITHER THE ORDINANCE OR THE BYLAWS OR THE WRITTEN RULES OF THE BODY. AN AD HOC IS GENERALLY CREATED FOR A LIMITED PURPOSE AND A LIMITED TIME, BUT THAT CAN GET FACT SPECIFIC BASED ON WHAT THE PURPOSE IS AND HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO ACHIEVE THAT PURPOSE. SO EACH OF THE AD HOCS THAT HAVE BEEN CREATED FOR THIS TASK FORCE MAY HAVE A DIFFERENT ANSWER IN TERMS OF WHEN IT POTENTIALLY COULD CONVERT TO A STANDING COMMITTEE.

>> SPEAKER 8: GOT IT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

>> SPEAKER 24: OF COURSE.

>> SPEAKER 4: CAN I ASK A CLARIFYING QUESTION REAL QUICK?
SURE. DOES THE STANDING COMMITTEE HAVE TO HAVE A MAJORITY? DO
THEY HAVE VOTING RIGHTS OR IS IT JUST LIKE THE AD HOC
COMMITTEE BUT WE JUST RENAMED IT?

>> SPEAKER 24: CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG NORAH BUT THE STANDING
COMMITTEE DOES NOT HAVE TO HAVE A MAJORITY BUT BECAUSE OF THE
NATURE OF THE BUSINESS IT'S CONDUCTING, IT HAS TO BE DONE IN A
PUBLIC FORUM.

>> SPEAKER 4: SO WE HAVE BROWN DAG BODY THAT

>> SPEAKER 24: MATTER.

>> SPEAKER 4: CORRECT. OKAY,

>> SPEAKER 24: THANK YOU. NORAH DIDN'T PUNCH ME.

>> SPEAKER 0: MEMBER FASANO.

>> SPEAKER 2: I'M NOT MEANING TO CREATE MISERY FOR EVERYONE ON THIS TASK FORCE, BUT IN CONSIDERING ALL THE AD HOC'S THAT WE HAVE AND ALL THE WORK THAT WE'RE DOING, NONE OF THEM WILL AFFECT THE PUBLIC AND HOW THEY DEAL WITH THE COUNTY WILL AFFECT THE RESOURCES REQUIRED OF THIS COUNTY MORE SO AND IN A BIGGER WAY THAN THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE. EVERYTHING ELSE ETHICS. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT WORK THAT WE'VE DONE, BUT IT'S A NICHE AND IT'S NOT GOING TO REALLY AFFECT FOR THE MOST PART DIRECTLY THE OPERATIONS OF THE COUNTY. BUT AS WE'VE HAD THIS DISCUSSION TONIGHT, WE'VE TALKED ABOUT DIFFERENT PARTIES WANTING TO ENGAGE AND PARTICULARLY I THINK IT IS THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE THAT THEY'RE REALLY MOST CONCERNED ABOUT. AGAIN, I'M NOT ON THAT TASK FORCE, BUT I'M KIND OF WONDERING, SHOULD THAT BE A STANDING COMMITTEE OR DO PEOPLE WANT TO CONSIDER THAT AND MAYBE HAVE A SUBSEQUENT DISCUSSION ANOTHER MONTH OF IT SHOULD SHIFT OVER. SO, I MEAN, THERE'S PROS AND CONS TO THAT, BUT WE REALLY WANT PEOPLE ON A REAL-TIME BASIS TO BE ENGAGED WITH WHAT THAT COMMITTEE IS DOING, THEN THAT MIGHT BE SOMETHING TO CONSIDER. SO

>> SPEAKER 0: A FEW THINGS I THOUGHT WE COULD MAYBE TRY ON FOR ADMINISTRATIVE PURPOSES AS WE FLESH THIS OUT FURTHER TO GET MORE INTO THE GREEN VERSUS YELLOW. 1 IS, I THINK TO YOUR POINT, MEMBER FAZZANA, BUT ALSO IT'S SOMETHING THAT WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT IS THE SPECIAL MEETINGS AROUND THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE THAT WE ARE GOING TO PROPOSE AND WE HAVE ACTUALLY ASKED ALL OF YOU TO SCHEDULE. AND SO WE'LL WORK ON THAT ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENDA ITEM. BUT I THINK THOSE WILL BE PUBLIC AND RECORDED SO THAT IF ANYONE MISSES ANYTHING, THEY CAN ALSO GO BACK AND LISTEN IN. I THINK THAT INTENT OF THOSE MEETINGS IS TO KIND OF HELP US ALSO FOR THOSE OF US EVEN WHO CANNOT MAKE THAT MEETING OR MISS PARTS OF IT CAN ACTUALLY HEAR RECORDED PARTS AND WE CAN SHARE MORE INFORMATION AND HAVE MORE OPPORTUNITY FOR ENGAGEMENT AND SO WE CAN THAT WAS THE SPIRIT OF THE ADDITIONAL SPECIAL MEETINGS AND SO I THINK IT WILL DO A HYBRID VERSION OF WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT THE 2ND THING IS I ACTUALLY THINK THAT IN RELATION TO THIS SO MUCH OF THE WORK THAT WE'RE DOING FROM AN OBJECTIVE STANDPOINT DOES FEEL LIKE IT HAS A DATE WHERE IT'S COMPLETED AND THEN WE DISCOVER THERE'S MORE. I THINK I WOULD LIKE QUARTERLY UPDATES ON THE OBJECTIVES FOR THE SUBCOMMITTEES AND IF YOU DO IDENTIFY A

SUNSET DATE AS YOU KEEP DOING THE WORK I THINK IT'S JUST GOOD PRACTICE FOR US TO INFORM EACH OTHER. IF WE, A, DISCOVER THINGS THAT HAVE TO GET ADDRESSED, AND B, WE WANT TO JUST INFORM EACH OTHER WHEN THAT SUNSET DATE IS. BECAUSE A COUPLE OF THE SUBCOMMITTEES ARE RELATED TO OTHER SUBCOMMITTEES, WHICH I THINK IS VERY INTERESTING. AND LASTLY, I JUST WANNA APPRECIATE THE CONVERSATIONS WE'VE HAD AS A BODY WHERE WE DO MAKE SPACE FOR EACH OTHER WHEN 1 OF US WANTS TO BE ON DIFFERENT COMMITTEES. AND I THINK SHOULD THOSE CONVERSATIONS COME UP OR OBJECTIVES CHANGE, I THINK WE HAVE BEEN VERY OPEN TO THAT CONVERSATION. AND SO I'VE APPRECIATED YOU AS PEERS FOR BEING ABLE TO MAKE THAT SPACE AND HAVE THOSE CONVERSATIONS BUT ALSO I UNDERSTAND WE HAVE SOME BIG THINGS AHEAD OF US AND WE MAY WANT TO DO MORE IN PUBLIC BODY OR IN PUBLIC SPACES AND SO APPRECIATING ALL OF YOUR OPENNESS TO THE SURVEY THAT HAS ALL THE ADDITIONAL DATES ON IT AND WE WILL DO THAT IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE MOMENT. ARE THERE ANY OTHER STATEMENT OR QUESTIONS COMMENTS AROUND THIS ITEM? OTHERWISE, I'LL GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT

>> SPEAKER 22: IF I MAY MAKE A FEW JUST CLARIFICATIONS. I HEARD THE YOU KNOW, YELLOW, RED, GREEN. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY

FOR PURPOSES OF THE RECORD. IT IS NOT OUR LEGAL ADVICE THAT WE ARE IN THE YELLOW OR IN ANY OF IT'S NOT OUR LEGAL ADVICE. THAT'S NOT OUR ANALYSIS AS OF TODAY. THAT'S WHY WE'RE SAYING IT'S EFFECT INTENSIVE INQUIRY. AND THEN JUST TO MR. KREIMAN'S POINT, IF WE DO HAVE THE MAJORITY, THEN IT'S NOT EVEN A SUBCOMMITTEE, IT JUST BECOMES A MEETING OF THIS BODY. SO FOR BOTH STANDING COMMITTEES AND AD HOC COMMITTEES, YOU ALWAYS NEED TO HAVE LESS THAN A QUORUM OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BODY PRESENT.

>> SPEAKER 24: SO I HAVE A QUESTION.

>> SPEAKER 22: YEAH, THE QUESTION IS JUST THAT WHETHER OR NOT YOU NEED TO FOLLOW THE BROWN ACT AS MR. WYNICK NOTED.

>> SPEAKER 4: SO I HAVE A, THROUGH THE CHAIR, I JUST HAVE A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION THEN. SO I'VE BEEN IN ORGANIZATIONS WHERE WE HAD COMMITTEES, SUBCOMMITTEES, AND THEY WERE BROWN ACT COMMITTEES, AND THEY TRANSITIONED OVER TO A COMMITTEE AS A WHOLE, AS OPPOSED TO WHERE ONLY THE 6 INDIVIDUALS WOULD BE ABLE TO VOTE, BUT EVERYBODY ON THE DAIS WOULD BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE DISCUSSIONS. SO IS THAT DIFFERENT THAN A

STANDING COMMITTEE? AND SO BECAUSE I THINK IF, GOD FORBID, THAT WE GO OVER TO THAT PARTICULAR SIDE OR THAT'S SOMETHING THAT YOU DECIDE, IS THAT A CONFIGURATION THAT WE CAN DO AS WELL?

>> SPEAKER 22: TO BE HONEST, I'M NOT 100% CLEAR ON THE SCENARIO. IF YOU WANT TO CLARIFY THE QUESTION PLEASE DO BUT I DO WANT TO SAY THAT FOR AD HOC COMMITTEES EVEN THOUGH YOU ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE BROWN ACT YOU ARE ALWAYS WELCOME TO OPEN IT UP TO THE PUBLIC SO LET'S SAY EVEN THOUGH YOU ARE NOT A BROWN ACT BODY, YOU'RE MORE THAN WELCOME TO MAKE YOUR MEETINGS OPEN. MEANING THE BROWN ACT IS, YEAH, IT'S NOT A CEILING, IT'S THE FLOOR.

>> SPEAKER 0: OKAY. I'M GOING TO GO TO MEMBER SCHERR, THEN MEMBER FEZZANA.

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH, 1ST OF ALL, I THINK I GET SOME SORT OF COPYRIGHT ON THAT GREEN, YELLOW, RED THING. AND I WANT TO CLARIFY GREEN IS GOOD. BUT YEAH, I DO LOOK FORWARD TO THE LEGAL OPINION. I THINK THAT DID MOVE FORWARD. I'M NOT, I DON'T CARE TO SPECULATE OF WHERE WE'RE AT OR BASED ON ANY OF MY

EXPERIENCE. I LOOK FORWARD TO RECEIVING A LEGAL OPINION FROM COUNSEL THAT REPRESENTS THIS BODY TO INFORM MY DECISION AND THEN ARE MY POSITION ON IT AND THEN MY COLLEAGUES CAN TAKE THEIR OWN POSITION ON IT. AND THAT'S ALL THAT WAS THE ONLY PURPOSE OF IT WASN'T TO IMPLY THAT WE WERE YELLOW OR RED OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THE CLARIFICATION.
MEMBER FASANO?

>> SPEAKER 2: I'M GOING TO ASK THAT IN A AGENDA 1 MONTH, NOT THE NEXT MEETING, BUT THE MEETING AFTER THAT, THAT WE PLACE A DISCUSSION ON WHETHER THE COUNTY ELECTED EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE BE A STANDING COMMITTEE OR CONTINUE AS AN AD HOC. AND TO GET AN ANALYSIS OF WHAT AS IMPORTANT AS THAT COMMITTEE IS, THERE MAY BE PEOPLE THAT FEEL LIKE THEY'RE MISSING SOMETHING AND I KNOW THAT IT ALSO HAS REAL IMPACTS IN TERMS OF HOW WE DO THE WORK THAT WE DO AS WELL. SO I'M JUST ASKING THAT IT BE AGENDIZED 2 MEETINGS DOWN SO IF YOU HAVE A DISCUSSION THEN MAKE A DECISION.

>> SPEAKER 24: AND JUST YOU KNOW ANYTHING CAN BE MADE INTO A

STANDING COMMITTEE IF YOU CHOOSE TO? YES. IT'S A DIFFERENT QUESTION OF WHETHER IT HAS TO BE A STANDING COMMITTEE BECAUSE OF THE WORK IT'S DOING.

>> SPEAKER 2: AND I'M NOT ASKING, I'M PRESUMING IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE, BUT I'M SAYING THERE MIGHT BE BENEFIT JUST BASED AS WE INTERACT. WITH ALL THE INTEREST IN THAT COMMITTEE.

>> SPEAKER 24: YEAH, I UNDERSTAND. WE JUST WANT TO GET YOU BACK THE PRODUCT YOU'RE ASKING FOR.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. MEMBER PHELPS. THANK

>> SPEAKER 9: YOU, MEMBER PHELPS. IS THERE A SENSE BY THE MOTIONER THAT WE FEEL LIKE THE CONVERSATIONS HAPPENING IN COMMITTEE ARE NOT TRANSPARENT ENOUGH OR THAT A STAKEHOLDER GROUP IS NOT BEING HEARD ENOUGH

>> SPEAKER 0: WE'RE JUST SUGGESTING AN AGENDA ITEM IS THAT RIGHT YEAH

>> SPEAKER 9: YEAH SORRY I LOST MY TRAIN OF THOUGHT. I

APOLOGIZE. SO. I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND. WHAT THE PUSH IS HERE TO MAKE, BECAUSE WE WOULDN'T BE ASKING THE QUESTION IF WE FELT LIKE THE AD HOC COMMITTEE COMMITTEES AS THEY WERE WORKING WERE WORKING AS INTENDED. WE'RE ASKING, I FEEL LIKE THE QUESTION IS BEING ASKED IS BECAUSE SOMEBODY FEELS THERE'S A LACK OF TRANSPARENCY, AND WE WANT TO RAISE THAT QUESTION. AND WE ALSO, I MEAN, I'D LIKE TO GET A LITTLE BIT MORE TRANSPARENCY ON THIS RATHER THAN JUST ASKING A RANDOM LEGAL OPINION ABOUT WHY THIS COMMITTEE SHOULD BE STANDING VERSUS OTHERS BEFORE I VOTE ON A MOTION LIKE THIS.

>> SPEAKER 0: I JUST WANT TO CLEAR FOR IS THERE A MOAT ARE I ARE WE JUST IN DISCUSSION OR THERE IS INSTRUCTION TO LOOK INTO

>> SPEAKER 9: IT'S MY UNDER I MEAN THAT'S ISN'T IS THE INSTRUCTION A MOTION DOESN'T THAT REQUIRE A MOTION

>> SPEAKER 7: I

>> SPEAKER 22: THINK THE MOTION THAT WE HEARD WAS TO PLACE THAT ITEM ON THE AGENDA FOR DISCUSSION AND THEN TO PLACE AN ITEM, ANY MEMBER CAN SEEK TO PLACE AN ITEM ON A FUTURE AGENDA,

IT REQUIRES A 2ND AND A VOTE OR WITH NO OBJECTIONS, UNANIMOUS
CONSENT CAN BE USED. SO IF NOBODY OBJECTS, THAT CAN BE THE
ORDER.

>> SPEAKER 9: SO IT'S A REPORT OUT WITH A POTENTIAL ACTION,
BUT WE'RE NOT PUTTING ON THE AGENDA WHETHER OR NOT TO SAY
WHETHER OR NOT THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE IS A STANDING OR AN AD
HOC COMMITTEE, WE'RE JUST ASKING FOR A REPORT OUT.

>> SPEAKER 22: I DEFER TO THE MEMBER WHO MADE THE MOTION, BUT
OUR UNDERSTANDING IS THAT JUST BASICALLY DIRECTIVE TO THE
STAFF TO PLACE IT ON A FUTURE AGENDA JUST FOR DISCUSSION.

>> SPEAKER 3: I BELIEVE THE REQUEST WAS FROM OUTSIDE COUNCIL
TO PROVIDE US WITH SORT OF LIKE TO ASSESS BASICALLY WHERE WE
ARE WITH OUR CURRENT AD HOC STRUCTURE, THE EXISTING AD HOC AND
WHERE WE ARE AS FAR AS GOING FROM AD HOC TO STANDING. THAT'S
SEPARATE FROM WHAT JOHN IS SUGGESTING THAT IN THE FUTURE, A
MONTH FROM NOW, PUTTING AN ITEM TO TALK ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT
WE NEED TO MAKE THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE AD HOC OR PUBLIC FACING.
SO

>> SPEAKER 9: THAT'S WHAT I'M QUESTIONING THAT MOTION. BECAUSE 1 IS A DIRECTION TO THE ATTORNEY FOR AN ANALYSIS, WHICH I'M NOT AGAINST. BUT THEN I'M HEARING A MOTION BEING MADE TO PLACE ON THE AGENDA A QUESTION OF WHETHER OR NOT THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED A STANDING OR AN AD HOC COMMITTEE. AND THAT'S WHAT I'M OBJECTING TO.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO I THINK, MEMBER FAZZANA, I'LL LET YOU CLARIFY, AND THEN I NOTICED MEMBER CEDWANI AND MEMBER SCHERTZ.

>> SPEAKER 2: MY CLARIFICATION IS THAT BECAUSE IT WAS NOT AGENDIZED TONIGHT, TONIGHT IS NOT THE NIGHT TO DISCUSS THE PROS AND CONS OF IT BUT FROM THE STANDPOINT OF THE BROWN ACT BY PUTTING IT ON A SUBSEQUENT AGENDA THEN WE CAN DISCUSS IT PEOPLE COULD WALK IN WE'LL SEE WHERE WE GO

>> SPEAKER 24: SO IF THERE'S UNANIMOUS CONSENT FOR THAT THEN IT CAN BE DONE AND HAVE KIDS HAVE THERE'S OBJECTION TO IT THEN THERE NEEDS TO BE A VOTE. IT'S NOT YET. OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO I THINK I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER SHER, THEN MEMBERS, SAID MWANI AND MEMBER HERONAS. BUT I AM STILL, SO

JUST TO CLARIFY, IS THERE A MOTION RIGHT NOW TO PUT IT ON THE AGENDA SO I THINK THERE IS A MOTION AND THERE'S A 2ND FROM MEMBER SURE AND THEN I THINK THE QUESTION IS, IS THERE ANY OBJECTIONS? OTHERWISE, IT'S BY CONSENT.

>> SPEAKER 20: YES.

>> SPEAKER 0: OK, AND SO MEMBERS STILL OBJECT. SO I THINK WE'RE IN DISCUSSION MODE BEFORE WE GO INTO VOTE. AND SO MEMBER SCHER AND THEN MEMBER SIDDWANI AND MEMBER ARONES.

>> SPEAKER 24: MADAM CHAIR, THIS WOULD REQUIRE PUBLIC COMMENT AS WELL AS

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH, MY IMPRESSION OF JOHN'S I GUESS IT'S NOW A MOTION AND MY EAGERNESS TO 2ND IS I THINK IT IS WORTHY OF A DISCUSSION. I DID NOT HEAR ANYTHING IN ANYBODY'S TONE THAT THE REASON TO SURFACE THIS PARTICULAR 1 BODY OR TO CHANGE THE SUBCOMMITTEE TO A STANDING COMMITTEE, MAKE IT OPEN TO THE PUBLIC, WHATEVER THE DISCUSSION YIELDS A MONTH FROM NOW, WAS AN ACCUSATION OF ANYTHING INAPPROPRIATE ABOUT THE COMMITTEE. I THINK MY TAKEAWAY AND MY PERSONAL OPINION IS BECAUSE THE

ELECTED EO POSITION IS 1 OF THE MOST CONTROVERSIAL COMPONENTS OF WHAT WE'RE DOING AND ALSO POTENTIALLY 1 OF THE MOST EXPENSIVE, THE MOST EXPANSIVE IN POWER FOR THOSE THAT AREN'T EVEN AWARE OF IT, ETC. ETC. IT MIGHT BE 1 THAT WE SHOULD CONSIDER FOR A MORE PUBLIC VIEW PRIOR TO A RECOMMENDATION OR SOMETHING COMING OUT. THAT'S THE WAY I TOOK IT AND I THINK THAT'S A REALLY HEALTHY DISCUSSION TO HAVE IN WITH THE FULL COMMISSION. WHEN THE COMMISSION WAS STILL MEETING AT THAT BUILDING UP THERE ON THE TOP FLOOR, I CAN'T REMEMBER, SEEMS LIKE A LIFETIME AGO, WE'D ACTUALLY DISCUSSED HAVING THE ELECTED EO AS A FULL BODY ADVENTURE DURING THE ENTIRE TIME. AND THEN WE ENDED UP WITH A SUBCOMMITTEE. WE ACTUALLY HAD 2 SUBCOMMITTEES ON THE ELECTED EO, AND I WOULD PUT THE LABOR PIECE INTO A CHUNK OF THAT. AND SO THAT'S WHY I SUPPORT THE MOTION. I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING FROM MY COLLEAGUES ON WHY THEY WOULD OPPOSE IT. BUT THAT'S WHY I 2ND THE MOTION. AND I DIDN'T HEAR ANYTHING ILL INTENT OR ANYTHING OF CONCERN ABOUT IT. IT'S MORE TO THE BROADER POINT OF THE PARTICULAR INTEREST OF THIS WITH THE PUBLIC AND ALL THE OTHER STAKEHOLDER GROUPS.

>> SPEAKER 0: I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER SAID, WANI, THEN MEMBER WHO WROTE US THE MEMBERS DEAL.

>> SPEAKER 6: YEAH, THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THAT, DEREK. MEMBER, SURE, I AGREE WITH THAT. I DON'T OPPOSE US HAVING THAT CONVERSATION. I WANNA PUT IN CONTEXT THE TIMELINE THAT'S BEING SUGGESTED TO MEETINGS FROM NOW. THAT WOULD PUT US AT OUR 2ND MEETING IN AUGUST, WHICH WOULD BE AUGUST 26TH. WHAT WE ARE CURRENTLY SCHEDULED TO DO ON AUGUST 26TH IS TO REVIEW RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE TO THEN PASS ON SEPTEMBER 9TH. BEFORE THAT DATE, WE HAVE SCHEDULED 3 ADDITIONAL MEETINGS, AUGUST 7TH, 17TH AND 21ST. WE WERE PLANNING TO DISCUSS THAT IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE UPDATE SHADI WAS GOING TO DO THAT. BUT WE DO HAVE 3 MEETINGS THAT ARE NOW SCHEDULED SPECIFICALLY TO DO DEEP DIVES ON THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE, RECOGNIZING THAT THERE IS A REAL NEED, DESIRE TO DO PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT, STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT, WHATEVER WHICHEVER TERM IS THAT WE WANT TO DO TO MAKE SURE THAT ALL OF THE TASK FORCE MEMBERS ARE ADEQUATELY INVOLVED IN THAT CONVERSATION. SO WE HAVE PLANNED 3 8 HOUR DAYS PRIOR TO AUGUST 26TH, SPECIFICALLY FOR THIS PURPOSE. THAT'S NOT CREATING AN AD HOC THAT IS PUBLIC FACING OR STANDING AD HOC. BUT IT IS IN MY HUMBLE OPINION, A FORM OF ADDRESSING THE CONCERN THAT IS BEING RAISED. SO I JUST WANNA PUT THAT OUT THERE THAT WE HAVEN'T

DISCUSSED THAT IN DETAIL YET BECAUSE THE PLAN WAS FOR LATER IN TONIGHT'S AGENDA. BUT AUGUST 7TH, 17TH, 21ST, WE ARE PLANNING OUT DEEP DIVE CONVERSATIONS THOSE WERE THE DATES THAT WERE IDENTIFIED BY STAFF THAT WERE THAT HAD THE GREATEST NUMBER OF PEOPLE WHO ARE AVAILABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN THOSE CONVERSATIONS ON THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE SO WE CAN STILL DO THAT IN 2 MEETINGS FROM NOW, BUT WE DO HAVE A FULL AGENDA THAT HAS BEEN.

>> SPEAKER 0: WE WEREN'T SURE. WE WEREN'T

>> SPEAKER 11: YOU THANK YOU. THANK YOU. YOU THANK YOU. THANK YOU. THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 0: HERE, 1, THE GRTF BUDGET AND CONSULTANT UPDATE, AND THEN 2, SOME OF THE ORAL REPORT ON AUDITOR CONTROLLER RESEARCH, AND THEN 3, WE'LL DO SOME ADMINISTRATIVE, WHICH IS RELATED TO SOME OF THESE MEETINGS. SO LET'S START WITH THE UPDATE ON THE GRTF BUDGET. THE BUDGET. GREAT.

>> SPEAKER 4: THANK YOU, CHAIR YAFF. SO AS A RESULT OF THE LAST MEETING, THERE WAS SOME REQUEST FROM VARIOUS MEMBERS TO PROVIDE A LITTLE BIT MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE BUDGET FOR THE

GRTF. AND SO WE INCLUDED IN YOUR PACKET A BUDGET FORECAST FOR 2026-27. I HAVE TO SAY THAT THE BUDGET EXPENDITURES FOR 2025-26, THEY'RE STILL GOING THROUGH THE 13TH ACCOUNTING PERIOD WHERE THEY'RE PROCESSING INVOICES FROM JUNE, AND THEY'RE GONNA BE RECONCILING THE BOOKS AND CLOSING THE BOOKS. AND SO WE INTEND ON PROVIDING AN UPDATE ABOUT THE EXPENDITURES FOR LAST FISCAL YEAR AT THE NEXT MEETING. BUT I DID SPEND SOME TIME TRYING TO PUT TOGETHER A BUDGET FORECAST FOR YOU ALL. AT THE PRESENT TIME, THE TOTAL SOURCES FOR 26-27 IS ABOUT \$4.7 MILLION. SALARIES AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS ARE, AND UP ON THE SCREEN, THERE'S THE INFORMATION THAT YOU CAN FOLLOW ALONG WITH. SO THERE IS AN ALLOCATION FOR SALARIES AND EMPLOYEE BENEFITS OF \$1 MILLION. THIS STIPENDS FOR THE MEETINGS AS WELL AS ABOUT \$300, 000. AND THEN EXPENDITURE OF SERVICES AND SUPPLIES IS APPROXIMATELY \$3.46 MILLION FOR TOTAL RESOURCES AVAILABLE ABOUT \$4.7, \$4.8 MILLION IN ROUND FIGURES. SO WE PUT TOGETHER THE FORECAST BASED ON THE PERCENTAGES THAT WE BELIEVED AND IF YOU CAN GO DOWN A LITTLE BIT TO SEE THE SERVICES SUPPLIES. WE PUT THE BUDGET TOGETHER BASED ON WHAT WE A FORECAST IN TERMS OF WHAT EACH 1 OF THE AD HOCS ARE GOING TO SPEND OVER THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR. AND SO WE HAVE 7 AD HOCS. WE ADDED 2 AD HOCS. AND THE LION'S SHARE AT THIS POINT IN TIME OF

ALL THE RESOURCES, WE BELIEVE ABOUT 40% OF THE RESOURCES AVAILABLE WILL GO TO THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE. 30% OF THE RESOURCES WILL GO TO THE BOARD EXPANSION. AND THEN 15% AT THIS POINT IN TIME IS GOING TO GO TO THE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT. AT LEAST THAT'S THE WAY WE'VE LAID IT OUT. WE ASSUME THAT THE 120-HOUR POSTING AND THE BOARD PRESENTATION AD HOC WOULD START GEARING DOWN AS WELL AS THE ETHICS COMMISSION THAT WOULD START GEARING DOWN PRETTY SIGNIFICANTLY. AND SO WE ALLOCATED THOSE MONIES THAT WE HAD IDENTIFIED EARLIER TO THE OTHER AD HOCS THAT WE THINK ARE GOING TO START QUICKLY AND REQUIRE MORE RESOURCES. SO THAT'S THE BUDGET FORECAST. I DO WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE FORECAST IS JUST THAT, A FORECAST, THAT THERE IS BUDGETARY FLEXIBILITY TO MOVE MONIES AROUND BETWEEN AD HOCS AND BETWEEN SNS ITEMS. AND SO I'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO STAND FOR QUESTIONS ON THE BUDGET FORECAST, AND THEN I CAN TALK ABOUT THE CAPITAL PROCESS.

>> SPEAKER 0: MEMBER SHER.

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH, THE CONTRACTOR STUFF'S COMING OUT OF SNS OR WAS THERE A SEPARATE BUDGET FOR

>> SPEAKER 4: THAT? CONTRACTOR COMES OUT

>> SPEAKER 17: OF SNS. OKAY, THAT MAKES THE MOST SENSE. I DON'T RECALL A QUESTION ON HOW THE CONSULTING AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES MASTER AGREEMENT IS FORMED. I APPRECIATE THE SLIDE AND THEN HOW THE 2ND SLIDE THERE, HOW THE MEMBERS SHAPE THE WORK AND THEN CORRECT, ETC. ETC. I APPRECIATE THE SLIDES MY INQUIRY WAS SPECIFICALLY BUT I IT'S BURIED IN HERE DOWN ON THE PAGE 10 AND ALL OF MY QUESTIONS TILL THEN BUT I ENCOURAGE WE MOVE TO THE INTERESTING PART QUICKLY.

>> SPEAKER 4: ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON THE BUDGET FORECAST, THE WAY IT WAS DEVELOPED. IN TERMS OF THE CAPSMA,

>> SPEAKER 11: THE REASON THAT WE'RE NOT SEEING ANY CHANGES IN THE BUDGET FORECAST IS BECAUSE OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC. AND SO WE'RE NOT SEEING ANY CHANGES IN THE BUDGET FORECAST BECAUSE OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC. AND SO WE'RE NOT SEEING ANY CHANGES IN THE BUDGET FORECAST

>> SPEAKER 3: I'M JUST TRYING TO SAVE A LITTLE TIME HERE. I THINK THERE'S A PASSWORD FOR.

>> SPEAKER 7: I THINK

>> SPEAKER 11: THERE'S ATTACHMENT FOR.

>> SPEAKER 20: COUNCILMAN, IT'S SPECIFIC, BUT LIKE LOOKING AT THE NUMBERS, IT'S IN SPECIFIC, BUT LOOKING AT THE NUMBERS, HOW ARE WE DETERMINING WHAT IS THE RIGHT SIZING FOR THE AMOUNT, THE APPROVED AMOUNT FOR A CONSULTANT IN THEIR WORK, RIGHT? BECAUSE THAT'S NOT THE CUSTOMER PROCESS, BUT LIKE, YOU KNOW, LIKE FOR THE RESEARCH, I'M LOOKING FOR THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE AT 544, 000, LIKE 500000 DOLLARS. IS THAT BROKEN UP ACROSS LIKE, HOW ARE WE DETERMINING WHAT IS THE RIGHT SIZE AMOUNT FOR A CONSULTANT FEE FOR THIS WORK?

>> SPEAKER 4: IT'S FLUID, QUITE FRANKLY. IT'S A FORECAST BASED ON THE WORK PLANS THAT HAD BEEN APPROVED BY EACH OF THE AD HOCS. AND SO WE HAVE A SENSE OF WHAT WE THINK WE'RE GOING TO BE EXPENDING, BUT IT'S NOT SCIENCE, IT'S AN ART IN TERMS OF BRINGING TOGETHER BUDGET FORECASTS. AND SO IT'S REALLY DEPENDENT ON THE ANALYSIS THAT WE HAVE, THE EXPERIENCE THAT WE'VE HAD WITH THE CONSULTANTS, THE AVAILABLE HOURS THAT WE'VE

SEEN. AND SO WE TRIED TO FORECAST WHAT WE BELIEVE THOSE NUMBERS ARE, BUT IT WAS REALLY ABOUT THE WORK PLANS THAT WERE APPROVED BY ALL THE AD HOCS, AND THEN REALLY IT'S REALLY A PROFESSIONAL GUESS ON MY PART IN TERMS OF HOW WE'RE GOING TO ALLOCATE THESE. AND I MONITOR THE EXPENDITURES BASED ON THESE PARTICULAR FORECASTS. SO IN TERMS OF THE VENDOR RATES, YOU SEE THE CHART THAT IDENTIFIES SPECIFIC POSITIONS OR, I DON'T WANT TO SAY CLASSIFICATIONS, BUT TITLES IN THE HOURLY RATES THAT I BELIEVE MEMBER SURE WAS ASKING FOR A FEW A FEW WEEKS OR A FEW MEETINGS AND SO WHAT WE DID IS WE ANONYMIZED IT AND PUT THE NUMBERS DOWN IN TERMS OF WHAT THE HOURLY RATES ARE BASED ON THOSE POSITIONS. YOU'LL NOTICE THAT THERE'S 17 VENDORS. THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT ALL 17 VENDORS ACTUALLY HAVE A CONTRACT. SO WE HAVE ABOUT 6 CONTRACTS, 6

>> SPEAKER 11: THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 17: WHAT I WOULD LIKE IS A LIST OF THE VENDORS WHO ARE CURRENTLY USING AND ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CREATES NOISE AND DISRUPTS THE DATA TRANSFER. NOT APPRECIATED.

>> SPEAKER 1: I DON'T UNDERSTAND THAT.

>> SPEAKER 17: WHAT DO YOU MEAN? YOU DON'T

>> SPEAKER 4: UNDERSTAND THAT? NO, WHAT DO

>> SPEAKER 17: YOU MEAN? YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT WE HAVE
VENDORS INCLUDED ON THIS SHEET THAT HAVE PASSED THROUGH THE
CAPSUM OF WHAT WE'RE NOT CURRENTLY USING.

>> SPEAKER 4: THAT'S CORRECT. YEAH, WE DON'T NEED THEIR DATA.

>> SPEAKER 17: I DON'T CARE TO SEE IT. OH, I SEE YOU. YEAH,
BECAUSE THEN I CAN'T SAY THE WORD THAT THE A WORD THAT SAYS
YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT THE NAME IS SO I WON'T TRY TO SAY IT BUT
BECAUSE IT'S DOUBLE BLIND WE DON'T KNOW THE NAME OF THE
CONTRACTOR BY NAME AND

>> SPEAKER 7: WE DON'T KNOW THE NAME

>> SPEAKER 17: OF THE CONTRACTOR BY NAME, AND WE DON'T KNOW
THE NAME OF THE FIRM. AND APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY ARE THERE
THAT WE'RE ONLY USING 6 OF 13? YEAH, 0.5 OF THE DATA SET IN

HERE IS NOT VALID, NOT RELEVANT. AND SO I WILL SAY THAT THE RATES THEREFORE ARE CAMOUFLAGE. BUT LET ME ASK A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT THE RATES THAT I DO SEE. 1, VENDOR 1, PROGRAM MANAGER, THE HOURLY VIRTUAL RATES 95, THE IN-PERSON RATES 195. APPROXIMATELY IT'S EITHER \$100 AN HOUR, WHICH I SEE IS CONSISTENT FOR THEIR FIRM FOR IN-PERSON RATES, OR IT'S JUST 100% MORE. OKAY, I KIND OF GET THE MATH. I JUMP DOWN, I SEE DIFFERENT. I DON'T WANT TO USE THE WORD INFLATION, BECAUSE AN IN-PERSON MEETING IS MORE EXPENSIVE FOR A VENDOR THAN A VIRTUAL. THAT'S FAIR. BUT THEN I ACTUALLY SEE A VENDOR THAT CHARGES LESS FOR IN-PERSON THAN FOR VIRTUAL. AND I'M SORRY IF I CAN'T FIND IT HERE. THIS IS THE SENIOR PRINCIPLE FOR VENDOR NUMBER 10. CAN YOU EXPLAIN THAT ANOMALY TO ME? SURE.

>> SPEAKER 4: IT'S NOT AN ANOMALY. IT'S BASED ON THE INFORMATION THAT IT'S THE BID DOCUMENT. SO THESE ARE THE RATES THAT THEY BID FOR WHEN THEY WENT THROUGH THE CAPTION PROCESS. SO PART OF THAT IS WE WANT TO SEE YOUR RATES. AND SO THOSE ARE THE RATES THAT THEY BID.

>> SPEAKER 17: THIS PARTICULAR VENDOR CHARGES LESS IN PERSON AND THEY DO VIRTUAL SUBSTANTIAL AMOUNT LESS. YEAH. OH, I'M

SORRY. I'M SORRY. WHICH 1 IS IT?

>> SPEAKER 4: I'LL HAVE TO LOOK INTO THAT BECAUSE

>> SPEAKER 17: I DON'T KNOW WHY. YES, VENDOR AID. I'M SORRY.

>> SPEAKER 4: IT COULD BE THAT I JUST MISTYPED IT. OH, SO IT
COULD BE. I MEAN, I HAVE TO GO BACK.

>> SPEAKER 7: OH, IT

>> SPEAKER 17: COULD BE A TYPO IN THE FIGHT IN

>> SPEAKER 4: THE DOCUMENT. YEAH, I JUST NEED TO TAKE A LOOK
AT THAT, BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE THE INFORMATION, THE SOURCE
DOCUMENT WITH ME. AND IN TERMS OF THE VENDORS, WE DO HAVE
2467.

>> SPEAKER 17: BUT HE'S SAYING NOW THAT THAT COULD BE A TYPO.
OK, LET ME ASK ABOUT VENDOR 13. THERE'S 11 DIFFERENT PERSONS
OR JOB TITLES THAT ARE UTILIZE THERE. IS THAT A VENDOR THAT?
YES.

>> SPEAKER 4: WE ARE USING THAT PARTICULAR VENDOR, BUT THE. DO YOU

>> SPEAKER 17: HAVE LIKE A KEY CARD THAT SAYS WHICH THESE VENDORS ARE?

>> SPEAKER 4: I HAVE THEM, YEAH. I TRAPPED THEM.

>> SPEAKER 17: SO VENDOR 13 WE ARE USING. CORRECT. OKAY, ARE WE USING ALL 11 OF THESE TITLES?

>> SPEAKER 4: NO, WE'RE NOT. THEY'RE SPECIFIC TO THE JOB.

>> SPEAKER 17: I'M GOING TO ADJUST MY REQUEST THROUGH THE CHAIR THAT I'D LIKE TO SEE A LIST OF THE VENDORS WITH THEIR NAME OF THE COMPANY. I DO NOT NEED TO SEE THE NAME OF THE INDIVIDUALS AND THE FOLKS THAT ARE ENGAGED WITH US TO ACTUALLY ONLY BE THE PEOPLE THAT ARE ENGAGED. IN THE CASE OF VENDOR 13, FOR EXAMPLE, WE SAY WE'RE USING THEM. WE HAVE A PRICE RANGE BETWEEN \$600 AND \$125 AN HOUR. THAT'S PRETTY MASSIVE. I'D LIKE TO KNOW IF WE'RE USING THE \$600 AN HOUR PERSON OR THE \$125 OR

WHATEVER. AND WE SHOULDN'T BE AFRAID OF THE DATA. BUT 1 OF THE THINGS THAT CAUGHT ME OFF GUARD WHEN I SAW THIS DOCUMENT WAS 1 THAT, OK, MAYBE IT'S A TYPO. MAYBE THERE'S A COUPLE OF TYPOS. BUT I SAW SOME STUFF THAT JUMPED OUT AT ME THAT WE WOULD HAVE A LOWER RATE FOR AN IN-PERSON. SO THAT DOESN'T FEEL RIGHT. AND THEN THE OTHER THING WAS THERE WAS TOO MUCH DATA. I HAVE 11 PAGES OF DOCUMENTS, BUT I REALLY ONLY NEEDED 1 PAGE. AND THEN THE FACT THAT IT WAS DOUBLE BURIED, THAT'S THE KIND OF SUSPICION WE DON'T WANT. WE DON'T WANT THE PEOPLE THAT I SEE PEOPLE ACTUALLY KIND OF MAKING FACES. WE DON'T WANT THAT FOR THE GOVERNMENT INFORMED TASK FORCE. WE WANT TO KNOW WHAT THE RATES ARE. WE WANT TO BE ABLE TO BE OPEN AND UPFRONT ABOUT IT. AND AS FAR AS PEOPLE CHARGING, I'M NOT GONNA SAY \$600 AN HOUR. LET ME JUST USE A MEDIAN PRICE OFF HERE AT \$300 AN HOUR. THERE ARE MANY, MANY PROFESSIONALS THAT MY ORGANIZATION EMPLOYS IN THAT PRICE RANGE. IT'S NO PROBLEM. I JUSTIFY IT TO DUES PAYING MEMBERS, IN MY CASE AT CITY UNION, AND WE EXPLAIN IT. BUT WHAT WE DO IS WE'RE FULLY TRANSPARENT ABOUT IT. WE KNOW THE CREDENTIALS OF THESE PEOPLE. WE EXPLAIN THEIR VALUE. WHEN I RECEIVE INFORMATION SET LIKE THIS, THAT'S BURIED THIS DEEP AND DOWN, I GET NERVOUS. AND SO WHAT THE DOCUMENT DID FOR ME PERSONALLY WAS IT DIDN'T REDUCE MY CONCERN, IT INCREASED IT.

AND SO I'LL JUST LEAVE IT AT THAT. AND I LOOK FORWARD TO A MORE DISCREET DATA SET THAT'S MORE ON POINT. AND MAYBE MY COLLEAGUES WON'T SUPPORT ME IN THAT. THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 4: AND SO, AS A RESULT, IT'S DIFFICULT FOR US TO DISPLAY THE ACTUAL NAMES AND THE RATES THAT ARE BEING CHARGED BECAUSE IF SOMEONE ELSE COMES IN, IT PUTS ME IN A REALLY BAD NEGOTIATING POSITION WHEN WE WANNA BRING SOMETHING ELSE ON. AND SO I DON'T WANNA DO THAT. AND I NEVER HAD TO DO THAT AS PART OF THE COUNTY PROCESS. AND SO IF YOU WANNA TAKE THAT LEVERAGE AWAY FROM ME, YOU KNOW, WE CAN DO THAT. BUT I WOULD ADVISE AGAINST IT IN TERMS OF, I'LL CLEAN THIS UP AND JUST IDENTIFY THOSE PARTICULAR POSITIONS THAT WE'RE ACTUALLY PAYING FOR. THE PROBLEM IS, IS THAT THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT SOMEONE THAT'S MAKING \$600 AN HOUR MAY HAVE TO WORK ON THE PROJECT. YEAH, THAT'S FINE. SO WE WERE TRYING TO BE COMPREHENSIVE, TRYING TO BE RESPONSIVE TO YOUR REQUEST. WE WERE TRYING TO BE RESPONSIVE TO MEMBER SOTA'S REQUEST IN TERMS OF WHAT THE CAPSIM PROCESS IS. IT IS AN OPEN COMPETITIVE BID PROCESS. IT IS ONGOING AND IT'S NOT STOPPED. SO I DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO NEGOTIATE HAVING THIS OUT IN THE OPEN.

>> SPEAKER 17: I MEAN I'LL TELL YOU WHAT I WANT TO BE ABLE TO DO. IF I'M IN A SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING AND THERE'S 12345 VENDORS THERE. I NEED TO HAVE A SENSE OF WHAT COSTS PER HOUR, BECAUSE IT MAY BE MY REQUEST THAT ENCOURAGE THOSE VENDORS TO BE THERE. AND I NEED TO BE ABLE TO ANSWER TO MY MEMBERSHIP.

>> SPEAKER 4: DISCUSSIONS ABOUT WHICH PARTICULAR INDIVIDUALS ARE GOING TO BE WORKING ON WHAT TASK FORCE, FOR EXAMPLE, OR A SPECIFIC RESEARCH PROJECT. SO THERE IS AN ONGOING DISCUSSION ABOUT IT. SO IF THEY KNEW ANOTHER PARTY'S RATE THEY WOULD TRY TO GET LOWER THAN SURE. I MEAN I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE INDIVIDUALS THAT ARE ON HERE. THIS IS WHAT THEY'RE GONNA BID. I'M TALKING ABOUT THE INDIVIDUALS THAT MAY WE MAY NEED ADDITIONAL RESOURCES OR ADDITIONAL CONSULTED IN ORDER TO DO THE WORK AND SO IT'S DIFFICULT TO NEGOTIATE WITH THEM WHEN THEY UNDERSTAND WHAT THE RATES ARE. I CAN TELL YOU THAT WE HAVE 2467 CONSULTANTS OUT OF THE 17 THAT ARE WORKING. YOU KNOW POSTSCRIPT, YOU KNOW IMPRINTA'S THERE. MILLER MANAGEMENT, WHO'S WORKING ON THE 120 DAY. THERE'S NICK HEIDORN, NICHOLAS HEIDORN, WHO'S WORKING ON THE ETHICS COMMISSION. YOU HAVE POSTSCRIPTS

>> SPEAKER 0: AND INGEN. IS IT OKAY IF I JUMP IN HERE? ONLY BECAUSE I THINK WE HAVE 2 MORE QUESTIONS ON THE TABLE THAT I'M HAPPY TO DO AND I THINK THERE'S MORE OR I FEEL LIKE THE OVERARCHING KIND OF ISSUE HAS BEEN ADDRESSED. SO IF THERE ARE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS,

>> SPEAKER 4: YEAH I THINK IN ORDER TO ADDRESS MEMBER SCHURZ, I WILL GO AHEAD AND GO BACK AND IDENTIFY FOR THE AD HOCS, THE NUMBER OF CONSULTANTS AND THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT WE SPEND ON THE AVERAGE FOR A SPECIFIC MEETING. SO IT GIVES YOU THE IDEA OF WHAT THAT IS.

>> SPEAKER 17: WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO LIST...

>> SPEAKER 7: ARE

>> SPEAKER 17: YOU... I'LL DO THE MATH. BECAUSE IN DIFFERENT MEETINGS, WE HAVE DIFFERENT NUMBERS OF PEOPLE AT ANY GOOD TIME. I WOULD LIKE A LIST OF THE VENDORS AND IT CAN BE NOT IDENTIFIED. I WOULD LIKE A LIST OF THE VENDORS WE'RE USING, THE TITLES OF THE CLASSIFICATIONS, THE PEOPLE THAT WE'RE USING. SO LIKE IN THE CASE OF VENDOR 13 WHERE THERE'S 11 OF

THEM MAYBE WE'RE ONLY USING 3 OF THOSE I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE RATES ARE THAT'S IT OKAY, I'VE JUST TOLD THE PEOPLE WE'RE USING THAT'S FINE. THANK YOU

>> SPEAKER 11: ALL RIGHT,

>> SPEAKER 0: I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER STEEL THEN MEMBER ADARTÉ

>> SPEAKER 20: AND THIS IS GONNA BE QUICK IN YOUR IN THE NEGOTIATION PROCESS KNOWING THAT WE'RE TRYING TO KEEP THE BUDGET UNDER CONTROL, WHAT IS THE DETERMINATION OF THE APPROPRIATE RATES THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE FOLKS AT WHEN IT COMES TO CONSULTING ON THE WORK THAT WE'RE DOING HERE? LIKE, HOW ARE YOU THINKING THROUGH IT?

>> SPEAKER 4: WELL, THE WAY I THINK THROUGH IT IS THAT IF I BELIEVE THAT THEY'RE OVERPRICED, WE DON'T USE THEM. AND WE GO OUT AND TRY TO FIND SOMEONE ELSE TO DO THE WORK.

>> SPEAKER 20: I GUESS I'M ASKING WHAT IS OVERPRICED THOUGH? AND WHAT IS UNDERPRICED? YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING? HOW ARE WE DETERMINING THE LEVELS SO THAT WE CAN HAVE AN APPROPRIATE

BUDGET? PROJECTION YOU KNOW ME SO THAT SO THAT BECAUSE YOU KNOW TO REMEMBER SURE HIS POINT LIKE KNOWING THAT WE'RE TRYING NOT TO SPEND A LOT OF FUNDS WHEN IT COMES TO THE BUDGET, BEING THOUGHTFUL ABOUT THE BUDGET. WHEN WE'RE IN OUR MEETINGS, IF A MEETING IS GOING LONG, LIKE SOMEONE LIKE HIM OR MYSELF, EVEN I'M THINKING ABOUT, WELL, SHIT, LIKE IF WE ARE GOING OVER IN THIS CONVERSATION, WE'RE ALSO SPENDING THE BREAD UP AS WELL. YOU KNOW WHAT I'M SAYING? SO I GUESS I'M JUST TRYING TO GET A SENSE OF LIKE, HOW ARE WE, WHAT MECHANISM ARE WE PUTTING IN PLACE TO TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE BEING THOUGHTFUL ABOUT HOW TO CONTROL THE BUDGET FROM A STANDPOINT AROUND THE VENDORS.

>> SPEAKER 11: THAT'S ALL.

>> SPEAKER 4: I BELIEVE THAT WE ARE BEING THOUGHTFUL INTERNALLY IN TERMS OF ANALYZING QUALIFICATIONS AND THE RATES. AND SO WE MAKE A DETERMINATION ON WHICH CONSULTANT WE SHOULD BE ASSIGNING TO A SPECIFIC JOB. COST IS 1 FACTOR. I THINK THAT THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE, THIS GRTF IN GOVERNMENT REFORM IS AN INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT PROCESS. AND WHILE WE'RE MONITORING THE BUDGET, THE QUALITY OF THE WORK THAT WE'RE RECEIVING IS I

BELIEVE IS MORE IMPORTANT BECAUSE OF THE IMPACTS, LONG-TERM IMPACTS, THAT THESE DECISIONS THAT YOU ALL ARE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE AND THE RECOMMENDATIONS YOU'RE GONNA HAVE TO MAKE TO CHANGE GOVERNANCE IS REALLY THE OVERRIDING CONCERN THAT I HAVE MAKING SURE THAT YOU HAVE A CONSULTANT THAT'S BEING RESPONSIVE THAT THAT PROVIDES YOU WITH THE INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED IN A TIMELY FASHION. AND SOMETIMES THAT DOES COST. AND SO IF YOU LOOK AT THESE THINGS INDIVIDUALLY, YEAH, YOU'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO SAY, WELL, WOW, THAT PERSON'S OVERPRICED OR WE'RE SPENDING TOO MUCH MONEY ON A MEETING. BUT WE DON'T HAVE THE BENEFIT OF TIME. WE HAVE TO DO THESE THINGS VERY, VERY QUICKLY. AND THAT DOES COST MONEY. AND THEY'RE ALSO DOING WORK. IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT THE WORK THAT THEY'RE DOING AT THE AD HOC MEETING, THEY'RE ACTUALLY DOING ANALYSIS WORK, RESEARCH, AND THEY'RE THINKING THROUGH A LOT OF VERY, VERY COMPLEX PROBLEMS AND TRYING TO DISTILL IT INTO DIGESTIBLE PIECES FOR YOU ALL. AND SO WE ARE BEING MINDFUL. I HAVE ONGOING CONVERSATIONS WITH ALL THE CONSULTANTS. I REVIEW ALL OF THEIR BIDS, THEIR INVOICES, AND IF THERE'S A QUESTION, I RAISE IT.

>> SPEAKER 0: I THINK ALSO JUST HEARING ALL OF THE THINGS

THEY'RE DOING AND THAT SOME OF IT DOES INCLUDE BOTH RESEARCH AND EXPERTISE IS HELPFUL, I THINK, AND WE THINK ABOUT THE MATH, RIGHT? SO I LIKE, THANK YOU FOR ASKING. AND I'M GOING TO MEMBER OVER TO ARTI.

>> SPEAKER 3: THANKS. SANTOS, A COUPLE OF THESE QUESTIONS ARE JUST EASY YES OR NO. DOES THE SCOPE THAT IS PROVIDED FOR THE CONSULTANTS, ARE THERE CAPS IN THE MONETARY VALUE? DO THEY SAY YOU CAN WORK TO THIS MUCH AND YOU'RE CAPPED, THAT'S IT, AND THEN

>> SPEAKER 4: YOU HAVE TO RE-BID? YES, WE HAVE DELIVERABLES AND WE HAVE CONTINGENCY MONEY SET ASIDE AS WELL BECAUSE IT REALLY DEPENDS. SO FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAD BID A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF MONEY FOR A SPECIFIC WORK, AND THEN WE INCLUDED MORE GRTF MEETINGS, WE INCLUDED MORE AD HOCS. THOSE THINGS WERE NOT INCLUDED IN THE ORIGINAL BIDS BECAUSE THAT'S NOT WHERE WE WERE AT THE TIME. SO IT'S A SNAPSHOT IN TIME. AND SO WE HAVE THE ABILITY TO MOVE MONEY AROUND IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE DOING WHAT WE NEED TO DO AT THE ELECTED OFFICIALS. IT'S AN AMENDMENT

>> SPEAKER 3: TO THE ORIGINAL CONTRACT, BUT STILL WITH A
DEFINED CAP.

>> SPEAKER 4: RIGHT NOW, I HAVEN'T HAD TO AMEND ANY CONTRACTS.
SO RIGHT NOW, THEY'RE WITHIN THEIR CONTRACT AMOUNTS AT THE
PRESENT TIME. NOW, IF I HAVE TO INCREASE THERE BECAUSE OF
CONTINUITY PURPOSES OR WE ASK THEM TO DO MORE WORK, THEN YEAH,
WE'RE GOING TO AMEND THE CONTRACT. BUT WE'RE TRYING TO FIND...

>> SPEAKER 3: I DON'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT YOU, BUT FOR TIME, I
KNOW WE'RE AFTER NINING, EVERYBODY GETS A LITTLE LOOPY. SO
THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE. I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. I
DON'T MEAN TO CUT YOU OFF, BUT I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE
SAYING. THE OTHER 1 IS, SO MY OTHER QUESTION WAS ABOUT DEFINED
DELIVERABLES. SO FOR EACH OF THESE CONTRACTS, YOU DO DEFINE IN
THE SCOPE, YOU WILL DO THIS, RIGHT? THIS IS YOUR EXPECTED
DELIVERABLE AND WE MEASURE TO THEM. AND THEN IF THEY'RE NOT
MEETING THAT, WE KICK THEM OUT

>> SPEAKER 4: THE DOOR. YEAH, THEY HAVE DELIVERABLES THAT THEY
HAVE TO MEET, BUT IT'S FLUID BECAUSE THE CONVERSATIONS WE HAVE
AT THE AD HOC MEETINGS MAY CHANGE.

>> SPEAKER 3: SURE, THE FLOW CHANGE. AND THE TIMES. YEAH, THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE. I KNOW COUNTY COUNCIL DOES THIS. THEY SET A SPECIFIC RATE WHEN IT COMES TO OUTSIDE COUNCIL, RIGHT? LIKE I SAID, ON THE LIABILITY TRUST FUND, AND WE TALK ABOUT THAT ALL THE TIME, THE COUNTY JUST CHANGED ITS RATE, THEY INCREASED THE RATE TO ATTRACT MORE REPUTABLE, I GUESS, LAW FIRMS THAT WOULD DO A BETTER JOB. IS THAT A POSSIBILITY IN THIS? SAY IF WE WANT A CONSULTANT ON X, THIS IS YOUR RATE. TAKE IT OR LEAVE IT, RIGHT? AND THE PEOPLE THAT WANT IT WILL APPLY AND THE PEOPLE THAT DON'T WON'T, RIGHT? I THINK THAT WILL HELP PREVENT YOU FROM HAVING TO NEGOTIATE THIS AND WE COULD SEE WHO THESE PEOPLE ARE, RIGHT? IS THAT FEASIBLE? FEASIBLE?

>> SPEAKER 4: I DON'T BELIEVE IT'S FEASIBLE BECAUSE AT THIS POINT IN TIME BRINGING THEM ON BOARD IS A PARAMOUNT IMPORTANCE AND THAT'S WHY THEY HAVE SPECIFIC RATES FOR SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS SO THAT WE CAN PICK AND CHOOSE WE'D LIKE THIS PERSON TO DO THE WORK.

>> SPEAKER 3: OKAY, SO IT ALLOWS YOU TO PAY SOMEBODY

POTENTIALLY THAT YOU REALLY

>> SPEAKER 20: SO THIS IS NOT A LOW

>> SPEAKER 3: AS BITTER GAME IS WHAT I'M SAYING. THAT'S CORRECT. OKAY. ALL RIGHT. FINALLY, THIS IS GOING TO BE MY ASK OF YOU AND THE FULL TASK FORCE. I THINK AS WE WORK THROUGH THIS, ONCE WE KNOW ALL OF THE NAMES, I THINK IT'S IMPERATIVE FOR TRANSPARENCY. EVERY 1 OF US IDENTIFIES WHETHER OR NOT WE HAVE A PERSONAL OR PROFESSIONAL RELATIONSHIP WITH THESE VENDORS. MY CONCERN IS IF THERE'S 1 OR 2 OF US THAT HAVE RELATIONSHIPS WITH 13 OF OUR VENDORS, THAT'S, TO ME, IT WORRIES ME A BIT, RIGHT? SO I THINK FROM, AND WE MIGHT EXCLUDE YOU A LITTLE BIT ON THAT SANTOS BECAUSE YOU HAVE IT, BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE ACKNOWLEDGE IT, RIGHT? YOU'VE WORKED WITH A LOT OF PEOPLE, BUT I THINK IT'S STILL IMPORTANT FOR THE SAKE OF TRANSPARENCY THAT WE ACKNOWLEDGE THAT RIGHT ALL OF US INCLUDING YOU

>> SPEAKER 4: I BELIEVE THAT THE REASON THE CAPSULAR PROCESS IS DONE THE WAY IT IS BECAUSE YOU ALL ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR DEVELOPING THE SCOPE AND THE EO HAS BEEN DELEGATED AUTHORITY

TO ACTUALLY CONTRACT OUT. SO ONCE THE SCOPE IS COMPLETED WITH YOU ALL, YOU HAVE NO BEARING IN TERMS OF WHO'S BEING SELECTED.

>> SPEAKER 3: BUT THAT DOESN'T PREVENT ME FROM SAYING, HEY, THIS COMPANY IS REALLY GOOD AT THIS AND YOU MIGHT WANT TO LOOK AT THEM SANTOS IF I'M TIGHT WITH YOU RIGHT IT DOESN'T PRECLUDE THAT THAT'S THE CONCERN. HOLD ON LET ME MAKE SURE I THINK I'M DONE. I CAN'T READ WITHOUT THESE.

>> SPEAKER 11: NO I'M DONE YEAH THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 17: YEAH ACTUALLY THE DISCLOSURE ISN'T TO MEET ANY MINIMUM STANDARDS OF THE COUNTY. I HAVE A PERSONAL STANDARD. I SIT ON A BUNCH OF DIFFERENT BODIES AND GROUPS AND I SPEND OTHER PEOPLE'S MONEY. I HAVE TO TREAT THEIR MONEY MORE CAREFULLY THAN I TREAT MY OWN. AND THANK GOD FOR THAT BECAUSE I'M

>> SPEAKER 11: A WRECK.

>> SPEAKER 17: BUT LIKE WHEN WE WERE HIRING THE LAW FIRM, I MADE IT VERY CLEAR TO EVERYBODY IN THE ROOM THAT THIS IS A LAW

FIRM THAT I HAVE A PROFESSIONAL REP, YOU KNOW, RELATIONSHIP WITH IN A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT VENUES. AND I ASKED OTHERS IF THAT WAS A FACTOR 2 AND THE COUNTY MOVED HIM THROUGH AND THEY'RE HIRED AND IT'S BEEN GREAT. WE WOULDN'T WORK WITH THEM IF THEY WEREN'T GREAT. THERE MAY BE OTHER FIRMS ON HERE, WHICH I DON'T THINK THERE ARE, BUT THERE COULD BE THE REASON FOR THE DISCLOSURE AND THE CONFLICT RESOLUTION ISN'T TO MEET A MINIMUM STANDARD TO MEET THE COUNTY STANDARDS. I'M NOT ACCOUNTING EMPLOYEE. I AM ACCOUNTABLE TO 35, 000 COUNTY EMPLOYEES THAT ARE IN 800 DIFFERENT JOB CLASSIFICATIONS, ET CETERA, ET CETERA. I SERVE AN ELECTED BODY IN A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT CATEGORIES, AND I SERVE IN ELECTED POSITIONS. I HAVE TO BE ABLE TO SAY, OH, YEAH, I KNEW THIS CONSULTANT WAS X, Y OR Z, AND I NEED TO BE ABLE TO EXPLAIN IT. IT'S NOT A MATTER OF MEETING ANY MINIMUM STANDARDS. IT'S MEETING A MAXIMUM STANDARD. AND I ALSO THINK FOR THE WORK THAT THIS GROUP IS DOING, AS A BODY, THE GROUP WILL SET THE TONE FOR THAT. BUT WE'RE NOT LOOKING TO MEET THE MINIMUM STANDARDS. AND THAT'S NOT TO DISPARAGE THE POINT THAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE BLIND. I'VE BEEN INVOLVED WITH THE RFP PROCESS WITH THE COUNTY TOO, OR THROUGH SELECTION OF VENDORS THAT ARE MAKING FAR MORE THAN THESE VENDORS INVOLVED IN THE 401K AND THE OTHER THINGS

AND DOING ALL THE SPREADSHEETS AND THE TABULATIONS AND STUFF LIKE THAT TOO. AND I KNOW THE COUNTY HAS A ROBUST SYSTEM TO PREVENT THERE TO BE THE OVERLAP. BUT WE HAVE DIFFERENT NEEDS THAN THAT NEED. AND I THINK THAT'S WHAT WE'RE EXPRESSING TO YOU. MY INTEREST IN THESE COSTS AND THIS DISCLOSURE PIECE IS TO SERVE THOSE INTERESTS. AND MAYBE I'M BEING SELFISH. BUT I THINK THE PUBLIC DESERVES IT TOO. AND SO THAT'S WHY I'VE KIND OF BEEN ON IT. WHAT'S, AND I APPRECIATE THE IDEA OF WHY THIS DOCUMENT WAS DONE. THAT EXPLANATION WAS REALLY HELPFUL. AND SO, YOU KNOW, I'M GOING TO RETRACT MY COMMENTS ABOUT, MA'AM, YOU KNOW, WE ENDED UP WITH 11 PAGES FOR 1 WOULD HAVE DONE. I UNDERSTAND THAT NOW. RIGHT. BUT I NEED TO GET ACROSS THAT BRIDGE AND I'M NOT THERE YET.

>> SPEAKER 4: I UNDERSTAND AND SO WE'LL GET YOU ACROSS THAT BRIDGE BUT I DO WANT SINCE WE'RE IN A PUBLIC FORUM I HAVE NEVER BEEN APPROACHED BY ANY MEMBER OF THIS PARTICULAR BODY TO IDENTIFY OR SELECT SOMEONE BECAUSE I WAS ASKED TO DO THAT. SO THE PROCESS HAS WORKED, THEY'RE INDEPENDENT. WE WORK THROUGH THE SCOPE OF WORK, GIVE ME THE SCOPE OF WORK, AND THEN WE GO OUT TO TRY TO IDENTIFY VENDORS ON IT. WE HAVE 17 VENDORS AND WE'RE NOT USING ALL OF THEM. SO I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE

SAYING, AND WE'LL TRY TO GET YOU TO WHERE YOU NEED TO GET TO.

>> SPEAKER 17: AND I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY ALLEGATIONS OF THAT OR MISCONDUCT. AND I'VE HEARD NONE OF THAT FROM THE PEOPLE THAT I REPRESENT, THE GROUPS AND THE COMMITTEES. AND WE'RE OUT IN THE PUBLIC ALL OVER. THERE'S NOTHING LIKE THAT. WE'RE JUST GONNA GET OUT AHEAD OF THIS AND MEET THE STANDARDS THAT WORK FOR US. AND THEN WE'LL CONTINUE TO DRIVE FORWARD. PEOPLE ARE ALWAYS GOING TO THROW STONES AT THE RATES OR WHATEVER. WE GET THAT. WE'VE BEEN AROUND THAT. OUR BEST DEFENSE IS THE TRANSPARENCY.

>> SPEAKER 6: THANK YOU. THANK YOU

>> SPEAKER 0: FOR THE DISCUSSION. I'M GOING TO GO TO THE ORAL REPORT ON AUDITOR CONTROLLER RESEARCH. THANK

>> SPEAKER 24: YOU MADAM CHAIR AND MEMBERS. IF YOU RECALL SEVERAL MEETINGS AGO THERE WAS A PRESENTATION FROM THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER AND HIS STAFF. I'M NOT GOING TO REPEAT THAT PRESENTATION, CERTAINLY IN THE INTEREST OF TIME. BUT I DO WANT TO JUST PROVIDE JUST A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF WHAT WAS SAID IN THAT

AND THE RELEVANT PARTS FOR THE DIRECTION I RECEIVE. SO IF YOU RECALL, THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER IS CURRENTLY A COUNTY DEPARTMENT. IT HAS SEVERAL DUTIES. IT ACTS AS THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, THE PAYMASTER, THE AUDITOR FOR THE COUNTY, PROCESSES PAYROLL, DISTRIBUTES LOTS OF MONEY IN PROPERTY TAXES, INVESTIGATES FRAUD, IT OPERATES A COUNTY FRAUD HOTLINE, SERVES AS THE COUNTY FISCAL MANAGER, RUNS INTERNAL CONTROL CERTIFICATION PROGRAMS, INDEPENDENT AUDIT FUNCTIONS, CONTRACT MONITORING AND CONTRACT ACCOUNTABILITY, FRAUD, WASTE AND ABUSE INVESTIGATIONS, AND FINANCIAL REPORTING. UNLIKE MANY OTHER COUNTIES, THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY IS NOT ELECTED. MANY OTHER LARGE COUNTIES HAVE THIS AS AN ELECTED OFFICER, INCLUDING SACRAMENTO AND SAN DIEGO AND SOME OTHER PARTICULAR JURISDICTIONS. SO AT THE END OF THE AUDITOR'S PRESENTATION OR NEAR, THEY HAD SOME PROPOSALS THAT THE TASK FORCE WAS INTERESTED IN. AND THEY SUGGESTED 4 CHARTER CHANGES TO THE WAY MEASURE G READS, WHICH WE'LL TALK ABOUT IN A MOMENT. SO THE 4 PROPOSALS THAT WERE PUT FORTH, 1 WAS TO CHANGE MEASURE G TO PRESERVE THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER'S REPORTING DIRECTLY TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. 2ND WAS TO CHANGE MEASURE G TO CODIFY THE INDEPENDENCE OF THE AUDITOR'S OFFICE AT THE CHARTER LEVEL. CHANGE NUMBER 3 WAS TO CHANGE

MEASURE G TO DEDICATE AND PROTECT A FUNDING SOURCE FOR THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER'S OFFICE, SPECIFICALLY .02% OF THE COUNTY BUDGET. AND THEN THE LAST 1 WAS TO CHANGE MEASURE G TO DESIGNATE THE AUDITOR CONTROL HOTLINE AS THE COUNTYWIDE INTAKE VEHICLE, IF YOU WILL, FOR FRAUD COMPLAINTS. AND SO THE WAY MEASURE G IS CURRENTLY WRITTEN IS, YOU KNOW, TALKING SPECIFICALLY ABOUT SECTION 11.10 AND THAT TALKS ABOUT THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE HAVING THE DUTY TO SUPERVISE, DIRECT, AND MANAGE THE CONDUCT OF ALL COUNTY OFFICES AND THE OPERATION OF ALL DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES OF THE COUNTY. AND IN THAT LANGUAGE, IT MAKES A FEW SPECIFIC EXCEPTIONS TO THAT FOR SPECIFIC DEPARTMENTS. THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER IS NOT IN THE LIST OF EXCEPTIONS TO THAT DEPARTMENT. AND SO THEREFORE, MR. G PLACES THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER UNDER THE SUPERVISION AND MANAGEMENT OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE. THAT DOES NOT MEAN THE BOARD DOESN'T HAVE A ROLE. THE BOARD IN CHARTER SECTION 10.14 BASICALLY IS GIVEN THE DUTY TO SET THE FUNCTIONS AND POLICIES OF THE AUDITOR'S OFFICE BASIS WITH ANY OTHER COUNTY OFFICE. SO IT HAS THE ABILITY TO OPERATE SORT OF AS WAS DISCUSSED IN SOME PREVIOUS MEETINGS, WITH THE LEGISLATIVE FUNCTION OF THE BOARD SETTING THE POLICIES AND THE FUNCTIONS, AND THEN THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE, SORT OF BEING IN CHARGE OF MANAGING AND EXECUTING

THOSE POLICIES AND FUNCTIONS. SO THAT'S THE CURRENT STRUCTURE IT HAS. AND SO IF YOU LOOK AT THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER'S RECOMMENDATIONS, THEY'RE REALLY CALLING FOR CHANGES TO THE MEASURE G STRUCTURE. SO THE MEASURE G STRUCTURE, AGAIN, HAS THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER REPORTING TO THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE. THE 4 PROPOSALS THAT THEY PUT INTO OR MADE TO YOU WOULD REQUEST THAT THERE BE CHANGES MADE TO THE MEASURE G CHARTER STRUCTURE TO ALLOW THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER TO REPORT TO THE BOARD AND TO CODIFY THEIR INDEPENDENCE AND TO GIVE THEM PROTECTED FUNDING AND THEN THE DESIGNATION OF THE HOTLINE. SO AT THE END OF THE DAY, THE CHANGES THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE MADE TO THE CHARTER, AT LEAST IN MY VIEW, AREN'T IMPLEMENTATION CHANGES, THEY'RE MORE CHANGES TO THE STRUCTURE THAT MEASURE G PUT IN PLACE. SO IN TERMS OF YOUR RANGE OF MOTION, I DON'T THINK IT FALLS WITHIN THE PURVIEW OF HAVING IMPLEMENTATION RECOMMENDATIONS. IT MAY BE SOMETHING THAT THE CHARTER REFORM COMMISSION WOULD HAVE TO TAKE UP SINCE IT'S MAKING CHANGES TO MEASURE G. SO, THOSE WERE OUR FINDINGS THAT THE CURRENT STRUCTURE HAS THEM REPORTING TO THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE. THE OTHER WRINKLE TO THAT, THE AUDIT CONTROLLER RAISED A STATE STATUTE THAT DOES TALK ABOUT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THE AUDITORS OFFICES IN GENERAL. AND THAT LANGUAGE IN THE GOVERNMENT CODE SAYS THAT UPON ORDER OF

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS THAT SORRY LET ME FIND MY LANGUAGE FOR THAT YEAH UPON ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS THE AUDITOR THE OUR CONTROLLER SHALL PRESCRIBE AND BASICALLY PERFORM THEIR FUNCTIONS. SO IT ISN'T ENTIRELY CLEAR IN THIS AND WITH OTHER ISSUES RELATED TO HOW STATUTES ARE GOING TO INTERACT WITH THE NEW VERSION OF THE CHARTER. AND THAT'S SOMETHING MAYBE A COURT WOULD HAVE TO DECIDE. BUT JUST IN TERMS OF SORT OF WHAT'S BEFORE THIS BODY, IT WOULD TAKE A CHARTER AMENDMENT, NOT A CHARTER CLARIFICATION, TO DO WHAT THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER IS ASKING YOU TO DO. AND SO FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, IT ISN'T SORT OF AN IMPLEMENTATION ISSUE. IT'S MORE OF A CHARTER AMENDMENT ISSUE. AND THAT'S NOT REALLY THE CHARGE, IF YOU WILL, OF THE TASK FORCE. SO THAT'S MY WHOLE REPORT ON IT BASED ON MY RESEARCH AND FINDINGS CONVERSATIONS. HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU HAVE.

>> SPEAKER 0: MEMBER FASANO.

>> SPEAKER 2: YES, JUST A COMMENT. I'D REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE CONSIDERED MORE ON THE TIMELINE OF POSSIBLY EVEN GETTING INTO THE CHARTER AMENDMENT THAT WAS JUST PUT TO THE BOARD. THE BOAT HAS OBVIOUSLY SAILED ON THAT, SO IT'S GOING TO BE DOWN

THE ROAD. BUT I DO THINK THERE IS A THICKET OF STATE CODES, GOVERNMENT CODES, ET CETERA, THAT WILL AFFECT ANY NUMBER OF THE PARTS IN TERMS OF THE REPORTING RELATIONSHIPS. THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER IS 1 OF THEM. I THINK THEY'VE RAISED SOME INTERESTING POINTS AND I GUESS I WAS HOPING THAT I MOVED OFF OF THE 2ND, 3RD, AND 4TH ITEMS, BUT THE 1ST ITEM OF HAVING THEM REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, I THOUGHT ACTUALLY MIGHT RESOLVE SOME OF THAT NOW. SO I THOUGHT IT MIGHT ACTUALLY GIVE SOME OVERSIGHT RESPONSIBILITY TO THE BOARD. THAT BEING IN TERMS OF THE AUDIT FUNCTIONS AND SOME OVERSIGHT TO MAKE SURE THAT FUNCTIONS WITHIN THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE WERE BEING DONE PROPERLY. MY SENSE IS THAT MAYBE THE COUNT THAT THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE TASK FORCE MIGHT BE LOOKING AT, YOU KNOW, WHERE THE AUDITOR SHOULD REPORT. AND IF THAT'S THE CASE, THAT'S A GOOD THING AND THEY CAN FIGURE IT OUT. I'LL WAIT TO SEE, BUT THE STATE CODES AND THE CURRENTLY IMPLEMENTED CHARTER WITH MAJOR G RAISED SOME INTERESTING POINTS OF DISCUSSION TO ME

>> SPEAKER 0: MEMBER HERONIS

>> SPEAKER 15: THANK YOU CHAIR, GARY I CAN'T TELL IT'S CUZ IT'S LATE. I'M NOT SMART ENOUGH OR YOU'RE JUST SO SMART. I AM

HAVING A LOT OF TROUBLE PICKING UP WHAT YOU'VE BEEN PUTTING DOWN FOR THE PAST 20 MINUTES AND I'M JUST BEING TRANSPARENT TO CREATE SPACE FOR ANYONE ELSE THAT'S ALSO MAYBE FEELING THAT WAY, INCLUDING THE PUBLIC. SO IF I COULD, AND LET ME KNOW IF THIS IS JUST COUNTERINTUITIVE TO ANYTHING WE WANT TO MOVE ON FROM FOR THE REST OF MY COLLEAGUES AND SUCH. BUT I'M WONDERING IF MAYBE ALONGSIDE A POSTSCRIPT OR SOMETHING, WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO MAKE A GRAPHIC OR SOMETHING THAT'S A LITTLE MORE VISUAL IN REGARDS TO WHAT YOU WERE LAYING OUT. BECAUSE I DO THINK IT'S IMPORTANT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M GRASPING EVERYTHING. AND LIKE I SAID, IT COULD JUST SIMPLY BE BECAUSE IT'S LATE, BUT YEAH. SURE.

>> SPEAKER 24: AND IT'S DEFINITELY NOT BECAUSE I'M TOO SMART. THAT IS FOR SURE NOT THE ISSUE. SO I APOLOGIZE IF I DIDN'T EXPLAIN IT IN A CLEAR ENOUGH MANNER. AND I'M HAPPY TO TURN THIS INTO SOMETHING IN WRITING OR A LITTLE MORE DIGESTIBLE. SO I APOLOGIZE IF I MADE IT TOO COMPLICATED. WE'RE LAWYERS AND WE TEND TO DO THAT AND I APOLOGIZE.

>> SPEAKER 11: IT'S

>> SPEAKER 15: OUR- NO, I, YEAH, LIKE I SAID, I DON'T WANT TO BE SEEN LIKE ANYTHING LIKE THAT. I THINK THAT'S WHAT I WOULD LOVE IS IF YOU CAN JUST MAKE THIS INTO LIKE A PHYSICAL REPORT OF SOME SORT AND IT LITERALLY DOESN'T HAVE TO BE ANYTHING MORE THAN A PAGE OF WHAT YOU CREATED OR ANYTHING. I JUST THINK IT WOULD HELP

>> SPEAKER 24: JUST HAPPY TO BE

>> SPEAKER 15: THE INFORMATION ACROSS

>> SPEAKER 4: YES, OKAY

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU MEMBER FOCKRIDGE

>> SPEAKER 18: MEMBER HERE ON IS THANK YOU FOR COMMENTING THAT I'M IN THE ROOM AND I THINK I WAS TRACKING THE SAME WAY. I'M NOT A LAWYER. I THINK I GOT THE GIST OF IT. BUT TO SUMMARIZE WHAT I UNDERSTOOD FROM YOUR ASSESSMENT, WHAT THE 4 OPTIONS THAT THE AUDITOR CONTROLLER PRESENTED IN YOUR, AND CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, YOUR 1 SENTENCE TAKEAWAY IS IT'S NOT AN IMPLEMENTATION THING, WHICH IS WHAT WE'RE RESPONSIBLE FOR. IT

NEEDS TO BE A CHARTER AMENDMENT THAT COMES AT A LATER DATE BECAUSE WE'VE ALREADY, I MEAN, THAT'S ALREADY PASSED FOR THIS CURRENT ELECTION COMING UP.

>> SPEAKER 24: YES, THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN A MUCH EASIER, SHORTER WAY FOR ME TO SAY THAT, I AGREE.

>> SPEAKER 18: OKAY, GOT IT. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE THAT'S WHAT I HAD IN MY HEAD. OKAY, THANK YOU. YES.

>> SPEAKER 0: IT'S LIKE, WAIT MORE? THANK YOU AND THANK YOU FOR THE CLARIFYING. WE'RE GONNA GO, WE'RE GONNA KEEP ON GOING, BUT THANK YOU MR. WINNICK FOR

>> SPEAKER 15: THE UPDATE.

>> SPEAKER 0: RIGHT NOW, BEFORE WE TALK ABOUT THESE SPECIAL MEETINGS, I WOULD LIKE TO MOTION JUST 2 QUICK ADMINISTRATIVE THINGS. 1 IS THE STAFF HAS ASKED FOR PRINTED MATERIALS. IF THERE'S SPECIFIC PRINTED MATERIALS YOU WANT, IF YOU COULD LET THEM KNOW SO THAT WE DON'T PRINT THE WHOLE PACKET ALL THE TIME. HAPPY TO IF THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT, BUT IF FROM A MEMBER'S

PERSPECTIVE IF YOU COULD JUST DO THAT. AND THEN THE 2ND THING IS JUST A REMINDER, BECAUSE WE HAVE A NUMBER OF FOLKS ON VIRTUAL PROTOCOL, WE NEED QUORUM IN PERSON. AND SO PLEASE LET US KNOW IF YOU WILL NEED VIRTUAL. AND THERE'S SPECIFIC REASONS THAT YOU WOULD NEED TO. SO AS WE GO INTO MORE MEETINGS THAT ARE LONGER, I JUST WANT TO REMIND FOLKS TO LET US KNOW.

>> SPEAKER 4: THOSE ARE THE SAME REASONS FOR A

>> SPEAKER 0: RECORD MEETING. YEAH, SAME REASONS. AND SO JUST KIND OF A HEADS UP ABOUT VIRTUAL PROTOCOL AND PRINTED MATERIAL REQUEST. AND ON THAT NOTE, SHADI, IS THERE ANYTHING ELSE? DO YOU WANT TO JUST GO FOR THIS MEETING MOMENT? LET'S DO IT.

>> SPEAKER 21: BECAUSE WE'VE ALL ENJOYED BEING HERE FOR THE LAST 7, ALMOST 6 HOURS OR HOWEVER LONG IT'S BEEN, I'M EXCITED TO ANNOUNCE THERE WILL BE MORE TIME THAT WE CAN SPEND TOGETHER IN AUGUST. I KNOW THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT IT. GABS, I SEE YOU LAUGHING, AND BRIAN, AND SARAH. SO WE HAVE SCHEDULED 4 ADDITIONAL SPECIAL MEETINGS, WHICH MEANS THEY WILL BE BROWN ACT BODY PUBLIC FACING MEETINGS COMING UP IN AUGUST IN ORDER TO HELP EXPEDITE OUR WORK, ESPECIALLY IN REGARDS TO THE

ELECTED EXECUTIVE. THOSE DATES ARE AUGUST 7, AUGUST 17, AUGUST 21, AND AUGUST 28. I DOUBLE CHECKED WITH MY HANDY CALENDAR IN FRONT OF ME, AND THAT FALLS ON 3 FRIDAYS AND 1 MONDAY. ALL 1ST 3 OF THOSE, THE 7TH, THE 17TH, AND THE 21ST ARE SCHEDULED AT THE HALL OF ADMINISTRATION. WE ARE PENDING THE DOWNTOWN LOCATION FOR AUGUST 28TH. WE WERE HOPING IT WOULD ALSO BE AT HOA, BUT WE'RE STILL FINALIZING THAT JUST SO THAT YOU'RE AWARE. THESE ARE GOING TO BE LONG MEETINGS. I THINK THAT DISCUSSION WAS ALREADY KIND OF HAD EARLIER THAT WE NEED THE TIME TO DO THE WORK. SO THE TIME THAT WE'VE ALLOTTED FOR THESE MEETINGS, JUST TO LET YOU KNOW, IS FOR AUGUST 7TH, 17TH, AND 21ST IS FROM 12 P.M. UNTIL 8 P.M. AND AUGUST 28TH IS SCHEDULED FROM 9 A.M. UNTIL 5 P.M. SO THIS WILL BE ALL THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE HAVE DONE A POLL TO DETERMINE THAT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE QUORUM BUT I UNDERSTAND THAT WE WOULD HAVE TO MAKE A MOTION TO SOLIDIFY THESE DATES. AM I CORRECT? SO YES. THANK YOU, DAVID GREEN.

>> SPEAKER 6: 2ND.

>> SPEAKER 21: SARAH, 2ND, THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 11: CAN I,

>> SPEAKER 15: SORRY, CAN I SAY A QUICK COMMENT OR? SURE, NO, YES. THIS IS NOT, THIS IS NOT FOR THE STAFF. THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR HURTING CATS. I'M SURE IT WASN'T EASY GETTING US TO GET A SURVEY GOING. BUT 1 THING I JUST WANT TO SAY TO THE GROUP IS LIKE FOR MYSELF AND HOPEFULLY TO CREATE SPACE FOR OTHERS TOO. LIKE, YOU KNOW, I WON'T BE ABLE TO ATTEND SOME OF THESE MEETINGS BECAUSE THEY'RE DURING MY WORK DAYS, AND I DON'T HAVE THE PRIVILEGE, LIKE MY JOB HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS JOB. THIS IS BASICALLY A WEIRD HOBBY I HAVE. BUT ALL THAT TO SAY, I JUST WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE, LIKE, I WON'T BE ABLE TO COME, BUT DOESN'T MEAN I DON'T CARE. AND I'M GOING TO DO MY BEST TO LIKE, PUT WRITTEN THINGS TOGETHER IN ORDER TO SUBMIT THEM FOR THE MEETINGS AND SUCH. BUT WHAT I WOULD ASK IS IN A FUTURE CASE, IF WE COULD CONSIDER 1 WEEKEND MEETING AT SOME POINT IN THE FUTURE. BUT I'M GOOD WITH WHAT WE GOT NOW. I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO VOTE ANYMORE NECESSARILY, BUT I JUST WANTED TO SAY THAT TO THE GROUP.

>> SPEAKER 0: SURE. MEMBER MOCKRIDGE AND THEN MEMBER SCHERR AND THEN MEMBERS STEELE. SORRY ABOUT THAT.

>> SPEAKER 18: THANK YOU, GABS, FOR KIND OF OPENING THIS DISCUSSION. I AGREE. YOU KNOW, I THINK WE UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S A NEED TO HAVE THESE SPECIAL MEETINGS, BUT THE IMPACT TO OUR DAILY JOBS FOR MANY OF US, I THINK FOR ME, IT'S GONNA REQUIRE A REQUEST FOR TIME OFF AND BECAUSE IT'S NEARLY IMPOSSIBLE FOR ME TO MEET THOSE DAYS AND TIMES SOMETIMES IN THE MIDDLE OF DAY. BUT IN THAT EFFORT, IN THAT EFFORT, CHAIR, I WOULD ASK THAT WE ARE VERY SPECIFIC AND CONCISE WITH OUR AGENDA ON THOSE PARTICULAR SPECIAL DAYS. AND IF IT'S NOT NECESSARY TO HAVE 8 ITEMS WITH AD HOC UPDATES AND ALL THE OTHER STUFF, I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT IN ORDER TO MINIMIZE

>> SPEAKER 0: THE TIME THAT WE WILL HAVE DEVOTED AND KEEP US VERY FOCUSED. SO THESE WILL BE SPECIFIC TO THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE AND WE'LL HAVE PLANNED OUT AGENDAS WITH VERY SPECIFIC THINGS WE'RE GOING TO COVER. FOR THOSE OF YOU, TOO, I THINK WE TRIED TO CHOOSE TIMES WITH THE MOST FOLKS AVAILABLE. WE HAVE DECIDED TO ONLY MAKE DECISIONS ON THESE WEDNESDAY EVENINGS SO THAT IF SOMEONE CAN'T COME, THAT THEY CAN ACTUALLY WATCH THE VIDEO AND GET THE INFORMATION, HEAR THE DISCUSSION, AND THEN STILL BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE ON WEDNESDAY EVENINGS

WHEN WE'RE HERE TOGETHER. SO THAT'S SOMETHING WE TALKED ABOUT. IT FELT LIKE THE BEST WAY TO ALSO MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO ALL OF US, EVEN IF WE COULDN'T BE THERE FOR THE WHOLE DISCUSSION, BUT AT LEAST HAVE THE INFORMATION PUBLICLY AVAILABLE, WHICH FELT HELPFUL. I JUST WANTED TO SAY THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 21: SURE. JULIA, TO YOUR CONCERN, SINCE THIS IS A SPECIAL MEETING, IT'S GOING TO BE VERY SIMILAR TO HOW OUR ETHICS SPECIAL MEETING WAS. IT'S LIKE THEY WERE SAYING, VERY SUBJECT-SPECIFIC. SO THERE WON'T BE THOSE LONG AD HOC UPDATES AND THINGS LIKE THAT. AND I THINK EVEN GENERAL COMMENT,

>> SPEAKER 0: AM I CORRECT? GENERAL COMMENT IS NOT EVEN PART OF IT, RIGHT? HOW MANY LAWYERS CAN GRAB IT?

>> SPEAKER 22: THAT'S THE TOUGHEST QUESTION OF THE NIGHT. BUT NO, UNDER SPECIAL MEETINGS.

>> SPEAKER 21: I JUST WANTED TO EXPLAIN THAT IT'S REALLY THAT FOCUSED. AND ALSO, BECAUSE WE'RE NOT, WE PLANNED IT SO THAT THIS IS MORE LIKE A FACT-FINDING PROCESS AT THESE MEETINGS SO THAT IT'S INFORMATIVE AND A DISCUSSION AND NOT DECISION-MAKING

BECAUSE THE DECISION-MAKING WILL BE DONE AT THE GENERAL MEETINGS WHERE WE'LL HAVE A SUPERMAJORITY.

>> SPEAKER 18: OKAY SO TO BE CLEAR THEN THESE SPECIAL MEETINGS ARE NOT DECISION-MAKING MEETINGS. RIGHT. SO THEN DO WE EVEN HAVE TO HAVE QUORUM?

>> SPEAKER 0: WE HAVE TO HAVE

>> SPEAKER 18: A QUORUM BECAUSE WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE ANY ACTION ITEMS.

>> SPEAKER 22: FOR ANY MEETING OF THIS WORK TO BE AN OFFICIAL MEETING THERE HAS TO BE QUORUM.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO THESE ARE OFFICIAL MEETINGS. YEAH, THESE ARE OFFICIAL MEETINGS.

>> SPEAKER 6: BUT WE'RE NOT MAKING

>> SPEAKER 21: DECISIONS SINCE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY THIS TASK FORCE HAS TO BE A SUPERMAJORITY.

>> SPEAKER 11: OKAY. YEAH.

>> SPEAKER 0: OH, I SEE WHAT YOU'RE SAYING.

>> SPEAKER 17: WHAT HAPPENS IF WE HAVE AN OFFICIAL MEETING
WE'RE ANTICIPATING A QUORUM AND WE DON'T ACHIEVE CORE

>> SPEAKER 22: WE STILL HAVE THE MEETING SO OUR POSITION HAS
BEEN THAT IF YOU DON'T HAVE QUORUM, IT'S NOT AN OFFICIAL
MEETING OF THE BODY. OKAY. BUT YOU CAN HAVE A PRESENTATION,
THERE CAN BE, YOU KNOW, DISCUSSIONS, ETC. IT'S JUST NOT GOING
TO BE AN OFFICIAL

>> SPEAKER 24: MEETING. AND JUST TO ADD, YOU CAN'T DELIBERATE
EITHER IF YOU DON'T HAVE A QUORUM. SO YOU CAN'T RECEIVE THE
INFORMATION, BUT YOU COULDN'T HAVE DISCUSSION ABOUT

>> SPEAKER 11: IT.

>> SPEAKER 18: OKAY, WE COULDN'T HAVE DISCUSSION, BUT WE CAN
RECEIVE INFORMATION. THERE'S NO ACTION TO BE, THE INTENTION IS

NO ACTION. IT'S JUST FACT FINDING IN THESE PARTICULAR SPECIAL MEETINGS.

>> SPEAKER 22: THE CONCERN IS JUST THAT, AND I OBVIOUSLY I DEFER TO YOU IS THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO BE PART OF THE OFFICIAL MEETING OF THAT THE BODY SO DEPENDING ON WHAT YOUR GOALS ARE WHAT IS THE PERP WHAT ARE YOU TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH I JUST WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT IF YOU DON'T HAVE QUORUM YOU DON'T HAVE AN OFFICIAL MEETING SO MEANING NO MINUTES NOTHING GETS REGISTERED THAT THAT WAS AN OFFICIAL MEETING OF THE BODY NOW

>> SPEAKER 0: QUORUM DOES ALLOW FOR US TO HAVE DISCUSSION AND THEN HELP WITH

>> SPEAKER 2: QUORUM IF YOU HAVE

>> SPEAKER 0: QUORUM WITH DIRECTION

>> SPEAKER 21: YEAH, YEAH

>> SPEAKER 18: RIGHT, OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 19: YEAH, AND

>> SPEAKER 18: PART OF IT IS SUPER MAJORITY PART TO THE
RECOMMENDATION, RIGHT? BUT THAT WOULD ONLY BE CONDUCTED ON OUR
REGULAR MEETINGS, THE WEDNESDAY MEETINGS

>> SPEAKER 11: THAT WE WOULD VOTE.

>> SPEAKER 0: I APOLOGIZE. SO I'M GONNA GO TO MEMBER STEELE,
THEN TABATA BAYI, THEN SOTO, THEN ZANA RODARI.

>> SPEAKER 10: EXCUSE ME, CHAIR. SO BOTH MEMBERS, RON IS AT
TABATA BAYI. OH, OKAY, I'M SORRY.

>> SPEAKER 7: TABATA BAYI.

>> SPEAKER 8: I PUT MY HAND DOWN.

>> SPEAKER 7: YES,

>> SPEAKER 10: YOU HAVE TO COVER ME.

>> SPEAKER 11: SO BOTH ROSS MEMBER RON IS A TAB

>> SPEAKER 7: TO BODY.

>> SPEAKER 0: OH OKAY, I'M

>> SPEAKER 7: SORRY TELL IT TO

>> SPEAKER 11: MY HAND DOWN.

>> SPEAKER 10: YES. YEAH THANKS, SO JUST ROSA HAS

>> SPEAKER 11: OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 0: SO I REMEMBER A MEMBER STEEL 1ST JUANA

>> SPEAKER 6: OKAY

>> SPEAKER 0: MEMBER SOTO HI EVERYONE OKAY,

>> SPEAKER 11: WE CAN COME BACK. OKAY. MEMBER SOTO.

>> SPEAKER 0: HI, EVERYONE.

>> SPEAKER 23: SO, I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO KNOW WHAT THE TOPICS OF OUR DIFFERENT MEETINGS ARE GOING TO BE. I'D LIKE FOR US AS A BODY TO AGREE TO THE TOPICS AND THE AGENDAS THAT WE'RE GOING TO DISCUSS. I THINK IT IS CRUCIAL TO OUR OWN TIME AND EFFICIENCY FOR US TO HAVE A SAY IN HOW WE'RE DOING IT. SO MAYBE, YOU KNOW, IF WE COULD, WE DON'T HAVE TO DO IT NOW, WE COULD DO IT, YOU KNOW, VIA CORRESPONDENCE IN PREP FOR THE MEETING, OR WE COULD DO IT AT THE 1ST MEETING. BUT I JUST DON'T WANNA COMMIT TO 4 MEETINGS OF 8 HOURS LONG AND NOT REALLY KNOW WHAT WE'RE GOING TO BE COVERING. I ALSO WANT US TO BE MINDFUL OF THE WORK THAT WE ARE DOING BECAUSE I JUST WANT TO COMMEND STAFF, CONSULTANTS, THIS BODY FOR ALL OF THE WORK THAT WE'RE ALL DOING TO ACHIEVE, YOU KNOW, JUST EXCELLENT WORK PRODUCT BACK TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. I THINK WHERE SOMETIMES WE LOSE SORT OF THE YOU KNOW THE EFFICACY OF OUR WORK IS IN OUR DELIVERY. AND SO I'M CONCERNED THAT I JUST WANNA, I WANNA BE MORE INVOLVED, GIVEN THE EXPERIENCE THAT WE HAD THE LAST COUPLE OF WEEKS, I WANNA BE MORE INVOLVED IN UNDERSTANDING WHAT DEADLINES WE'RE TRYING TO MEET, YOU KNOW, FOR WHAT PURPOSE AND THEN HOW MUCH TIME DO WE ACTUALLY HAVE TO

REVIEW? BECAUSE AGAIN, GIVEN THE 3 NOW EXPERIENCES THAT WE HAVE BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, I FEEL THE MOST EFFECTIVE OPPORTUNITY WE HAD IS WHEN WE PRESENTED SOMETHING THAT HAD A UNANIMOUS SORT OF BACKING THAT WAS PRESENTED WITH ENOUGH AND THOROUGH INFORMATION AND WHERE THERE WAS ALIGNMENT WITH SOME OF THE BOARD OFFICES TO UNDERSTAND WHAT ASPECTS OF THE WORK THEY WERE GOING TO UPLIFT AND ASK QUESTIONS ABOUT AND JUST BE MORE SORT OF CONNECTED TO US, RIGHT? IN PARTNERSHIP AS WE'RE, AS WE'RE PRESENTING OUR RECOMMENDATIONS TO THEM, I WANT THAT KIND OF LEAN INTO BEST PRACTICE AS WE MOVE FORWARD IN ANY OF OUR WORK. BECAUSE I THINK IF WE HAVE ANOTHER EXPERIENCE LIKE WE HAD IN THIS WEEK AS AN EXAMPLE, I FEEL LIKE WHAT WE'RE LOSING IS OUR CREDIBILITY AND OUR ABILITY TO INFLUENCE THIS INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT PROCESS. AND SO I JUST WANNA SAY AGAIN, THANK YOU TO EVERYONE WHO'S DOING SO MUCH WORK TO GET THE WORK OF THIS TASK FORCE IN FRONT OF OUR BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, IN FRONT OF OUR CONSTITUENCY HERE IN LA COUNTY AND LET'S MOVE FORWARD WITH YOU KNOW JUST A LITTLE BIT MORE INVOLVEMENT CONNECTION AND JUST TIGHTNESS RIGHT AND IN HOW WE'RE ALL WORKING TOGETHER TO ACHIEVE THE LARGER GOAL.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU I THINK BRANDON I THINK YOU COULD

SPEAK A LITTLE BIT TO AUGUST 7TH, IF THAT'S HELPFUL ON THE AGENDA.

>> SPEAKER 25: OF COURSE, SHERRY. SORRY ABOUT THAT. FOR THE 1ST OF THE PROPOSED SERIES OF MEETINGS ON AUGUST 7TH WE SAW IT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO GET THE FEEDBACK AND PERSPECTIVES FROM THE COUNTY'S CITIES AND UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITIES FOLLOWING THE CONVERSATION EARLIER ABOUT INVOLVEMENT OF THE COGS AND OTHER STAKEHOLDER GROUPS REPRESENTING CITIES. WE'VE THOUGHT TO BRING BOTH CITY ORGANIZATIONS, RESIDENTS, COMMUNITY GROUPS AVAILABLE TO SPEAK ON THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATIONS. WE'VE TOSSED AROUND THE IDEA OF POSSIBLY EXTENDING PUBLIC COMMENT PERIODS IF POSSIBLE, TO ALLOW FOR A MORE ROBUST DISCUSSION, TO ALLOW FOR SOME MORE DIRECT ENGAGEMENT AROUND ELECTED EXECUTIVE.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, BACK TO MEMBER STEELE.

>> SPEAKER 20: JUST QUICKLY, WHERE IS THE RECORDINGS OF THESE MEETINGS GONNA ACTUALLY LIVE JUST SO THAT COMMUNITY MEMBERS WHO WON'T BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE ACTUALLY HAVE THE ABILITY TO

ALSO GO BACK AND WATCH ALONG AT THE SAME TIME SO THAT THEY CAN PREPARE FOR HOW THEY WANT TO ENGAGE IN THE MEETINGS ON WEDNESDAYS AS WELL.

>> SPEAKER 0: I THINK BECAUSE THEY'RE OFFICIAL MEETINGS THEY'LL LIVE ON OUR GRTF WEBSITE WHERE ALL OF OUR AGENDA MEETINGS ARE. SO YES, THANK YOU.

>> SPEAKER 20: I ASSUME THAT I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE WE SAID IT.

>> SPEAKER 0: NO, THANK YOU. THAT'S HELPFUL. AND THAT'S LA OR MEASURE G. LA COUNTY. YES. ALL RIGHT. MEMBERS SAID, WANI.

>> SPEAKER 6: YEP. THANK YOU. THANKS TO EVERYONE. IT'S LONG DAYS. TO MEMBERS, I HEAR YOU AND I THINK REVIEWING IT AT OUR 1ST MEETING IS A FANTASTIC IDEA. AS BRANDON LAID OUT, PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT, ENGAGEMENT OF THESE STAKEHOLDER COMMUNITIES IS REALLY THE FOCUS OF THE 1ST 1. THE BROAD TOPICS THAT HAVE BEEN LOOSELY DISCUSSED FOR THE OTHERS, AND I THINK CONSULTANTS ARE GONNA HELP PULL THE CONCEPT AND HOW WE'RE GONNA RUN THESE MEETINGS TOGETHER FOR US, BUT REORGANIZATION OF THE CURRENT

CEO'S OFFICE AND CREATION OF THE BUDGET DIRECTOR'S OFFICE, DEEP DIVE, WE BEGAN THAT CONVERSATION TONIGHT, BUT THERE'S A LOT MORE IN THAT. CREATION OF THE ELECTED EXECUTIVE'S OFFICE AND REALISTICALLY WHAT DOES THAT LOOK LIKE? WHAT KINDS OF POSITIONS WILL BE NEEDED, ET CETERA? AND THEN A FOLLOW-UP CONVERSATION ON THE LEGISLATIVE ANALYST OFFICE. WE HAD THAT CONVERSATION LAST WEEK. WE STARTED THAT, BUT THERE'S A LOT MORE TO BE DONE. SO THOSE ARE 3 MAIN TOPIC AREAS THAT I WOULD ANTICIPATE GET COVERED IN THESE 3 ADDITIONAL MEETINGS, 4 ADDITIONAL MEETINGS. BUT WE CAN ABSOLUTELY, AND MY HOPE IS WE LITERALLY ONLY GOT THESE DATES ON MONDAY. SO WE HAVE A LOT OF PLANNING TO DO TO TRY AND FIGURE OUT WHAT THAT'S GOING TO LOOK LIKE AND HOW THAT'S GOING TO TAKE SHAPE. BUT THOSE ARE THE BIG TOPIC AREAS THAT WE'RE ALSO TRYING TO HAVE RECOMMENDATIONS ON FOR SEPTEMBER 8TH.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. SO I BELIEVE WE HAVE THE MOTION ON

>> SPEAKER 7: THE FLOOR.

>> SPEAKER 0: AND SO I'M GOING TO GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN WE'LL GO TO ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> SPEAKER 2: STATE THE MOTION.

>> SPEAKER 0: SURE, THE MOTION IS TO HAVE ADDITIONAL SPECIAL MEETINGS ON AUGUST 7, 17, AND AUGUST 21 FROM 12 TO 8PM AND THEN AUGUST 28 FROM 9AM TO 5PM. SO THOSE ARE OUR 4 MEETINGS. 1ST IS MEMBER GREEN, 2ND IS CHAIR PRO TEM SAID, WANI.

>> SPEAKER 10: YOU WANT TO GO GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT RIGHT NOW?

>> SPEAKER 0: NO, WE'RE AT PUBLIC COMMENT FOR I'M SORRY. PUBLIC COMMENT. OKAY.

>> SPEAKER 10: IMPRESS ATTENDEES WANTED TO SPEAK UNDER PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER. IS IT 8? OKAY. SORRY FOR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 8. PLEASE COMPLETE THE SPEAKER FORM AND RETURN IT TO STAFF. VIRTUAL ATTENDEES WANT TO SPEAK ON THE PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 8. PLEASE USE THE RAISED HAND FEATURE ON YOUR COMPUTER. PRESS STAR 3 ON YOUR PHONE. VIRTUAL SPEAKERS WILL BE CALLED, BY NAME ARE THE 6 PREFIX NUMBER ON YOUR PHONE. STAFF WILL UNMUTE YOU, AND YOU MAY NEED

TO PRESS STAR 6 TO SPEAK OR FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS FROM THE SERVICE PROVIDER. ONCE YOU HAVE SPOKEN, PLEASE LOWER YOUR HAND FROM THE COMPUTER OR PRESS STAR 3 FROM YOUR PHONE. AS A REMINDER, YOU'LL HAVE 1 MINUTE TO ADDRESS THE TASK FORCE. AND AT THIS TIME, WE HAVE NO IN-PERSON OR VIRTUALSPEAKER THAT COMPLETES PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AGENDA ITEM 9. CHAIR, THE FLOOR IS YOURS.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU. LET'S GO TO ROLL CALL VOTE.

>> SPEAKER 1: OKAY, HERE WE GO. LET'S TRY THIS AGAIN. MEMBERS OF THE TASK FORCE, WHEN I CALL YOUR NAME, PLEASE STATE YOUR VOTE. MEMBER FASANA. YES. MEMBER HERONIS. YES. MEMBER GREEN. YES. MEMBER SCHER? YES. MEMBER MOCKRIDGE?

>> SPEAKER 0: YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER GRAY?

>> SPEAKER 10: YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: MEMBER PHELPS? YES. MEMBER RODARTE? I WANT TO GO

HOME. MEMBER SOTO? AYE. MEMBER STEELE? AYE. MEMBER TABATABAY?
AYE. CHAIR PRO TEMSADWANI?

>> SPEAKER 11: YES.

>> SPEAKER 1: CHAIR YAP? YES. WITH 13 AYES, THE MOTION
CARRIES.

>> SPEAKER 0: GREAT THANKS EVERYONE WE'RE AT MISCELLANEOUS
ANYONE HAVE A MISCELLANEOUS ITEM NUMBER 9 MEMBER FASANA

>> SPEAKER 2: YES I'LL MAKE THIS SHORT BASICALLY THE METRO AD
HOC ON REORGANIZATION HAS MET AND THEY'VE CONCLUDED THEIR WORK
THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS TO KEEP THE BOARD STRUCTURE THE
WAY IT IS BUT ALLOW THE CITIES AND THE COUNTY TO FILL SEATS
WITH PUBLIC MEMBERS OTHER THAN ELECTEDS. TRUTHFULLY I

>> SPEAKER 7: DON'T THINK THAT'S GOING TO GO REAL FAR BY ANY
OF THE STAKEHOLDERS.

>> SPEAKER 2: I THINK PART OF THIS WAS AN INTENT TO LEAVE
THINGS THE WAY THEY ARE, JUST OPINION. 3 MOTIONS HAVE COME OUT

OF THAT. 1 IS TO ADD A PUBLIC MEMBER THAT RIDES TRANSIT. AND ANOTHER OPTION IS TO, THAT THE COUNTY CEO POSITION WOULD, LET'S SEE, WHAT DOES IT SAY ABOUT THAT? ONCE ELECTED, THE CEO, I THINK IT WOULD BE UP TO THE COUNTY SUPERVISORS ON HOW THAT PERSON WOULD, WHETHER THEY WOULD SERVE OR WHERE THE BOARD MEMBERS WOULD SERVE AND ALL OTHER THINGS I THINK WOULD BE THE SAME. SO BASICALLY IT'S LEAVING, IT'S KICKING THAT TO THE COUNTY TO DECIDE WHAT THEY WANT TO DO WITH THE CEO. STILL ONLY 5 MEMBERS ON THE BOARD. ANOTHER OPTION IS A MOTION THAT WOULD CREATE A PUBLIC RIDER, BUT ALSO CREATE ANOTHER SEAT FOR SMALL CITIES. SO CREATE 0.2 SEAT FOR THE OTHER 87 CITIES. SO THERE ARE 3 MEMBERS ON THAT MOTION. AND THE LAST 1 IS TO CONSIDER A RIDER REPRESENTATIVE APPOINTED BY THE COUNTY SUPERVISORS RESIDING IN AN UNINCORPORATED AREA, A LABOR REPRESENTATIVE AND A COUNTY EXECUTIVE APPOINTMENT. AND ALSO LOOK AT THE CITY OF LA PERCENTAGES ON WHAT THEIR, WHETHER SOME OF THOSE SEATS, 1 OF THOSE SEATS SHOULD SHIFT OR THE OTHER 87 CITIES. THAT 1 WAS BROUGHT BY SUPERVISOR HORVATH AND MYSELF. I DON'T

>> SPEAKER 7: EXPECT THAT WHAT I REALLY THINK IS THAT

>> SPEAKER 2: THEY'RE GOING TO KICK THE CAN AND THIS WILL

PROBABLY GET TALKED ABOUT LATER BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THERE'S
OBVIOUSLY A CLEAR DIRECTION OF WHERE THEY WANT TO GO AND I'M
OFF THE COMMITTEE NOW AT THIS POINT AND THANKFUL THAT

>> SPEAKER 0: WAS THAT YOUR REAL MISCELLANEOUS COMMENT ALL
RIGHT, ANY OTHER MISCELLANEOUS COMMENTS NUMBER STEEL

>> SPEAKER 20: I JUST WANTED TO SAY THANK YOU TO THE TASK
FORCE FOR THE WORK AND CONSIDERATION ABOUT THE LETTER, ABOUT
THE WORK FOR MAKING SURE THAT WE CAN GET THINGS FOR THE ETHICS
COMMISSION ON THE ON THE BALLOT. I THINK IT WAS IMPORTANT
WORK. I THINK THERE'S GONNA BE A LOT THAT'S GONNA BE A VALUE
ADD OUT OF THAT. AND THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF COMMUNITY
FEEDBACK AS WELL FOR THE THINGS THAT WE'VE BEEN ABLE TO KIND
OF SUPPORT IN THAT REGARD. SO I JUST WANTED TO LIFT EVERYBODY
UP, YOU KNOW, FOR THE AMAZING WORK THAT WE CONTINUE TO DO ON
ALL FRONTS. SO THAT WAS IT.

>> SPEAKER 0: THANK YOU FOR THAT. SEEING NO OTHER SIGNED
PLACARDS UP, I AM GOING TO GO AHEAD AND MOVE TO ITEM 10,
ADJOURNMENT. THANK YOU. OUR NEXT MEETING ON A WEDNESDAY IS
AUGUST 12, 2026 AT THE SEED SCHOOL OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

LEARN MORE AT [MEASUREG.LACOUNTY.GOV](https://measureg.lacounty.gov) FOR ALL THE THINGS. BUT
THANKS EVERYONE. YOUR ADJOURNMENT TIME IS 9.50 P.M. THANK YOU

>> SPEAKER 11: EVERYBODY. THANK YOU.